www.rsc.org/chemcomm

munication

## Ruthenium-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes using chiral oxazolinylferrocenylphosphines

## Izuru Takei,<sup>a</sup> Yoshiaki Nishibayashi,<sup>b</sup> Youichi Ishii,<sup>c</sup> Yasushi Mizobe,<sup>a</sup> Sakae Uemura<sup>\*b</sup> and Masanobu Hidai<sup>\*d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8505, Japan

- <sup>b</sup> Department of Energy and Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Materials Science and Technology, Faculty of Industrial Science and Technology, Science University of Tokyo, Noda, Chiba 258-8510, Japan

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 19th July 2001, Accepted 10th October 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 22nd October 2001

Chiral ruthenium(II) complexes,  $RuCl_2(PPh_3)(oxazolinyl$ ferrocenylphosphine), have been found to be effective catalysts for asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes to give the corresponding primary amines in good yields with high enantioselectivities (up to 89% ee) after acid hydrolysis.

Optically active primary amines are one of the most useful synthetic intermediates of natural compounds and pharmaceutical drugs.1 However, the *direct* enantioselective synthesis of optically active *primary* amines with high enantioselectivities is limited only to the hydroboration of ketoxime ethers<sup>2</sup> and the kinetic resolution of racemic primary amines.<sup>3</sup> A catalytic hydrogenation of ketoximes producing directly the chiral primary amines has been reported by several groups, but no sufficient results have been achieved until now.4 This is in sharp contrast to the enantioselective hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation of imines catalysed by transition metal complexes with chiral ligands to afford the corresponding secondary amines with high enantioselectivities.<sup>5,6</sup> As an alternative direct enantioselective synthesis of chiral primary amines, Brunner and co-workers developed the rhodium-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes using DIOP (2,3-O-isopropylidene-2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane) as a chiral ligand, but enantioselectivities of the produced primary amines were moderate (up to 36% ee).7 On the other hand, we have recently disclosed that the ruthenium(II)- and iridium(I)catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of imines by using oxazolinylferrocenylphosphines8 (1) as chiral ligands gave the corresponding secondary amines with high enantioselectivities after acid hydrolysis (up to 89% ee).9 As an extension of our studies, we have now investigated the ruthenium(II)-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes by using 1 as chiral ligands and have found that the corresponding chiral primary amines were produced successfully. Preliminary results are described here.

Treatment of 1-tetralone oxime<sup>10</sup> (3a) with 3 equiv. of diphenylsilane in THF in the presence of  $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)\cdot \hat{1}a]$  (2a) (1 mol%) at rt for 24 h afforded 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthylamine (4a) in 46% GLC yield with 74% ee (R) after acid hydrolysis (Scheme 1; Table 1, run 1).11 The ee value of 4a was determined by GLC analysis of the corresponding trifluoroacetamide. The relatively low yield of 4a to the high conversion of 3a is considered to be due to the formation of unidentified side products together with 1-tetralone, which was probably formed after the hydrolysis of some intermediates. The addition of AgOTf (1 mol%; OTf =  $OSO_2CF_3$ ) to the reaction system slightly increased the yield of 4a (Table 1, run 2). The use of **2b**, bearing *i*-Pr substituted oxazoline, in place of 2a caused a decrease of the catalytic activity (Table 1, run 3). The ruthenium complex having an oxazolinylphenylphosphine<sup>12</sup> (1c) without planar chirality showed a quite low enantioselectivity (Table 1, run 4), compared with that having an oxazolinylferrocenylphosphine (**1a** and **1b**). Reaction in the presence of 2 mol% of **2a** and AgOTf in DME (1,2-dimethoxyethane) gave the best enantioselectivity (up to 83% ee) (Table 1, runs 5 and 6). It is noteworthy that none of the oxazolinylphosphines (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**) worked effectively as chiral ligands for the rhodium- and iridium-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes. For example, the ee values of **4a** obtained by using rhodium and iridium catalysts having **1a** were only 8% (*S*) and 5% (*R*), respectively. Furthermore, no reaction occurred when the ruthenium complex with DIOP was employed under the same reaction conditions, in contrast to Brunner's results<sup>7</sup> described above (Rh-catalysed: up to 36% ee).

Asymmetric hydrosilylation of other ketoximes with diphenylsilane was investigated in the presence of **2** and AgOTf.<sup>13</sup> Typical results are summarised in Table 2. Reactions of 1-indanone oxime (**3b**) and 1-benzosuberon oxime (**3c**) proceeded smoothly, but only moderate enantioselectivities were obtained (Table 2, runs 3–5). In the case of acetophenone



Scheme 1 Asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes.

Table 1 Ruthenium-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of 1-tetralone oxime  $(3a)^a$ 

| Run   | Catalyst/<br>mol% | Additive/<br>mol% | Reaction time/h | Conv. of <b>3a</b> (%) | Yield of <b>4a</b> (%) <sup>b</sup> | ee of <b>4a</b> (%) <sup>c</sup> |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | <b>2a</b> (1)     | _                 | 24              | >95                    | 46                                  | 74 (R)                           |
| 2     | <b>2a</b> (1)     | AgOTf(1)          | 18              | >95                    | 50                                  | 78 (R)                           |
| 3     | <b>2b</b> (1)     | AgOTf(1)          | 80              | >95                    | 45                                  | 74 (R)                           |
| 4     | <b>2c</b> (1)     | AgOTf(1)          | 40              | 77                     | 44                                  | 23 (R)                           |
| 5     | <b>2a</b> (2)     | AgOTf(2)          | 15              | >95                    | 71                                  | 79 (R)                           |
| $6^d$ | <b>2a</b> (2)     | AgOTf(2)          | 24              | >95                    | 65                                  | 83 (R)                           |

<sup>*a*</sup> All reactions were carried out in the presence of a catalyst and an additive using ketoxime **3a** (1.0 mmol) and Ph<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub> (3.0 mmol) in THF (5 ml) at rt.<sup>*b*</sup> GLC yield.<sup>*c*</sup> Determined by GLC analysis of the corresponding trifluoroacetamide.<sup>*d*</sup> DME was used in place of THF.

Table 2 Ruthenium-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketoximes  $(3)^a$ 

| Reaction Conv. of Yield of ee of<br>Run Ketoxime Catalyst Solvent time/h $3 (\%)$ $4 (\%)^b$ $(\%)^c$ 13a2aTHF20>955079 (R23a2aDME25>956283 (R33b2aDME40>952618 (R43b2bDME40>951035 (R53c2aTHF90>954560 (R63d2aTHF20>95558 (R73d2bTHF40>951035 (R83e2bTHF25>952261 (R93f2bTHF25702674 (R103g2bDME40>951569 (R113h2aTHF90>95612 (R   |     | N <sup>OH</sup><br>33<br>) <sub>n</sub> 33 | <b>b</b> ( <i>n</i> = 1)<br><b>a</b> ( <i>n</i> = 2)<br><b>c</b> ( <i>n</i> = 3) | X OH<br>3d (X = H)<br>3e (X = F)<br>3f (X = Cl)<br>3g (X = Me) |                 |                       | 3h  |                                 |
|---|-----|--|--|--|-----------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 1       3a       2a       THF       20       >95       50       79 (R         2       3a       2a       DME       25       >95       62       83 (R         3       3b       2a       DME       40       >95       26       18 (R         4       3b       2b       DME       40       >95       10       35 (R         5       3c       2a       THF       90       >95       45       60 (R         6       3d       2a       THF       20       >95       5       58 (R         7       3d       2b       THF       40       >95       21       89 (R         8       3e       2b       THF       25       >95       5       58 (R         9       3f       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R         9       3f       2b       THF       25       70       26       74 (R         10       3g       2b       DME       40       >95       15       69 (R         11       3h       2a       THF       90       >95       6       12 (R | Run | Ketoxime                                   | Catalyst   | Solvent  | Reaction time/h | Conv. of <b>3</b> (%) | Yield of <b>4</b> (%) <sup><i>b</i></sup> | ee of <b>4</b> (%) <sup>c</sup> |
| 2 $3a$ $2a$ DME $25$ >95 $62$ $83$ (R         3 $3b$ $2a$ DME $40$ >95 $26$ $18$ (R         4 $3b$ $2b$ DME $40$ >95 $10$ $35$ (R         5 $3c$ $2a$ THF $90$ >95 $45$ $60$ (R         6 $3d$ $2a$ THF $20$ >95 $5$ $58$ (R         7 $3d$ $2b$ THF $40$ >95 $21$ $89$ (R         8 $3e$ $2b$ THF $25$ >95 $22$ $61$ (R         9 $3f$ $2b$ THF $25$ >95 $22$ $61$ (R         9 $3f$ $2b$ THF $25$ >95 $15$ $69$ (R         10 $3g$ $2b$ DME $40$ >95 $15$ $69$ (R         11 $3h$ $2a$ THF $90$ >95 $6$ $12$ (R   | 1   | 3a   | 2a   | THF  | 20              | >95                   | 50  | 79 (R)                          |
| 3       3b       2a       DME       40       >95       26       18 (R         4       3b       2b       DME       40       >95       10       35 (R         5       3c       2a       THF       90       >95       45       60 (R         6       3d       2a       THF       20       >95       5       58 (R         7       3d       2b       THF       40       >95       21       89 (R         8       3e       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R         9       3f       2b       THF       25       70       26       74 (R         10       3g       2b       DME       40       >95       15       69 (R         11       3h       2a       THF       90       >95       6       12 (R  | 2   | 3a   | 2a   | DME  | 25              | >95                   | 62  | 83 (R)                          |
| 4       3b       2b       DME $40$ >95       10       35 (R)         5       3c       2a       THF       90       >95       45       60 (R)         6       3d       2a       THF       20       >95       5       58 (R)         7       3d       2b       THF       40       >95       21       89 (R)         8       3e       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R)         9       3f       2b       THF       25       70       26       74 (R)         10       3g       2b       DME       40       >95       15       69 (R)         11       3h       2a       THF       90       >95       6       12 (R)  | 3   | 3b   | 2a   | DME  | 40              | >95                   | 26  | 18 (R)                          |
| 5       3c       2a       THF       90       >95       45       60 (R         6       3d       2a       THF       20       >95       5       58 (R         7       3d       2b       THF       40       >95       21       89 (R         8       3e       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R         9       3f       2b       THF       25       70       26       74 (R         10       3g       2b       DME       40       >95       15       69 (R         11       3h       2a       THF       90       >95       6       12 (R  | 4   | 3b   | 2b   | DME  | 40              | >95                   | 10  | 35 (R)                          |
| 6       3d       2a       THF       20       >95       5       58 (R         7       3d       2b       THF       40       >95       21       89 (R         8       3e       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R         9       3f       2b       THF       25       70       26       74 (R         10       3g       2b       DME       40       >95       15       69 (R         11       3h       2a       THF       90       >95       6       12 (R  | 5   | 3c   | 2a   | THF  | 90              | >95                   | 45  | 60 (R)                          |
| 7       3d       2b       THF $40$ >95       21       89 (R         8       3e       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R         9       3f       2b       THF       25       >95       22       61 (R         10       3g       2b       DME       40       >95       15       69 (R         11       3h       2a       THF       90       >95       6       12 (R  | 6   | 3d   | 2a   | THF  | 20              | >95                   | 5   | 58 (R)                          |
| 8         3e         2b         THF         25         >95         22         61 (R           9         3f         2b         THF         25         70         26         74 (R           10         3g         2b         DME         40         >95         15         69 (R           11         3h         2a         THF         90         >95         6         12 (R   | 7   | 3d   | 2b   | THF  | 40              | >95                   | 21  | 89 (R)                          |
| 9         3f         2b         THF         25         70         26         74 (R)           10         3g         2b         DME         40         >95         15         69 (R)           11         3b         2a         THF         90         >95         6         12 (R)  | 8   | 3e   | 2b   | THF  | 25              | >95                   | 22  | 61 (R)                          |
| 10 <b>3g 2b</b> DME 40 $>95$ 15 69 ( <i>R</i> )<br>11 <b>3h 2a</b> THE 90 $>95$ 6 12 ( <i>R</i> )   | 9   | 3f   | 2b   | THF  | 25              | 70                    | 26  | 74 (R)                          |
| 11 $3h$ 2a THE 90 >95 6 12 (R)  | 10  | 3g   | 2b   | DME  | 40              | >95                   | 15  | 69 (R)                          |
|   | 11  | 3h   | 2a   | THF  | 90              | >95                   | 6   | 12 (R)                          |

<sup>*a*</sup> All reactions were carried out in the presence of catalyst (0.010 mmol) and AgOTf (0.010 mmol) using ketoxime **3** (0.50 mmol) and Ph<sub>2</sub>SiH<sub>2</sub> (2.0 mmol) in solvent (5 ml) at rt.<sup>*b*</sup> GLC yield.<sup>*c*</sup> Determined by GLC analysis of the corresponding trifluoroacetamide.

oxime (3d),<sup>14</sup> the best enantioselectivity of 89% ee was achieved (Table 2, run 7). Introduction of a *p*-halogeno or *p*methyl substituent to the aromatic ring of acetophenone oxime slightly decreased the enantioselectivity (Table 2, runs 8–10). When **2b** was used in place of **2a** as catalyst, a slightly better enantioselectivity was obtained in several cases (Table 2, runs 7–10). Dialkyl ketoxime (**3h**) was also converted into the corresponding dialkyl amine, but unfortunately in low yield with low enantioselectivity (Table 2, run 11).

In summary, we have developed the highly enantioselective ruthenium( $\pi$ )-catalysed hydrosilylation of ketoximes to give the corresponding *primary* amines with high enantioselectivities (up to 89% ee) after hydrolysis. This may provide a versatile method for the straightforward synthesis of chiral *primary* amines because of the ready accessibility of ketoximes by reaction of ketones with hydroxylamine. Further work is currently in progress aiming at the elucidation of the reaction mechanism and broadening the scope of this asymmetric hydrosilylation.

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 09102004 and 12750747) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

## Notes and references

- 1 For recent reviews, (a) E. Juaristi, J. Escalante, J. L. Le-Romo and A. Reyes, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1998, 9, 715; (b) E. Juaristi, J. L. Le-Romo, A. Reyes and J. Escalante, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1999, 10, 2441.
- 2 (a) S. Itsuno, M. Nakano, K. Miyazaki, H. Masuda, K. Ito, A. Hirao and S. Nakahama, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985, 2039; (b) S. Itsuno, Y. Sakurai, K. Ito, A. Hirao and S. Nakahara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.,

1987, **60**, 395; (c) Y. Sakito, Y. Yoneyoshi and G. Suzukamo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, **29**, 223.

- 3 (a) M. T. Reetz and C. Dreisbach, *Chimia*, 1994, **48**, 570 and references cited therein; (b) S. Takayama, S. T. Lee, S.-C. Hung and C.-H. Wong, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 127; (c) S. Arai, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz and G. C. Fu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 234.
- 4 (a) P. Krasik and H. Alper, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1992, **3**, 1283; (b) A. C. Chan, C.-C. Chen, C.-W. Lin, Y.-C. Lin, M.-C. Cheng and S.-M. Peng, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1995, 1767.
- 5 (a) S. Kobayashi and H. Ishihara, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 1069; (b) T. Ohkuma, M. Kitamura and R. Noyori, in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. I. Ojima, Wiley-VCH, New York, 2000, ch. 1.
- 6 (a) K. Tani, J.-I. Onouchi, T. Yamagata and Y. Kataoka, *Chem. Lett.*, 1995, 955; (b) S. Kainz, A. Brinkmann, W. Leitner and A. Pfaltz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 6421; (c) K. Abdur-Rashid, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 1047; (d) N. Uematsu, A. Fujii, S. Hashimoto, T. Ikariya and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 4916; (e) X. Verdaguer, U. E. W. Lange, M. T. Reding and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 6784; (f) X. Verdaguer, U. E. W. Lange and S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1103.
- 7 (a) H. Brunner and R. Becker, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 1984, 23, 222; (b)
   H. Brunner, R. Becker and S. Gauder, *Organometallics*, 1986, 5, 739.
- 8 (a) Y. Nishibayashi and S. Uemura, *Synlett*, 1995, 79; (b) Y. Nishibayashi, K. Segawa, Y. Arikawa, K. Ohe, M. Hidai and S. Uemura, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, 545–546, 381 and references cited therein.
- 9 (a) Y. Nishibayashi, I. Takei, S. Uemura and M. Hidai, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3420; (b) I. Takei, Y. Nishibayashi, Y. Arikawa, S. Uemura and M. Hidai, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 2271.
- 10 In the present study, the prochiral ketoximes were used as formed from the corresponding ketones and hydroxylamine liberated from hydroxylammonium chloride.<sup>7</sup> A single isomer of each ketoxime was tentatively assigned as (*E*)-form from the following arguments: G. L. Karabatsos and N. Hsi, *Tetrahedron*, 1967, 23, 1079; K. Maruoka, T. Miyazaki, M. Ando, Y. Matsumura, S. Sakane, K. Hattori and H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, 105, 2831; D. L. Boger and W. L. Corbett, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, 57, 4777; K. D. Sugi, T. Nagata, T. Yamada and T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1997, 493.
- 11 When the reaction of 3a (2.50 mmol) with diphenylsilane (10.0 mmol) was carried out under the same reaction conditions, **4a** was isolated in 67% yield (70% GLC yield) with 80% ee.
- 12 G. Helmchen and A. Pfaltz, Acc. Chem. Res., 2000, 33, 336 and references cited therein.
- 13 A typical procedure for ruthenium-catalysed asymmetric hydrosilvlation of ketoximes is as follows. In a 20 ml flask were placed catalyst 2a (10.3 mg, 0.010 mmol; 2.0 mol%) and AgOTf (2.6 mg, 0.010 mmol; 2.0 mol%) under N2. Anhydrous DME (5 ml) was added, and then the mixture was magnetically stirred at rt for 1 h. After the addition of 1-tetralone oxime (3a) (80 mg, 0.50 mmol), diphenylsilane (2.0 mmol) was slowly added at rt and the mixture was stirred at rt for an appropriate time. The mixture was then quenched with MeOH (1.0 ml), stirred at rt for 30 min, and subsequently hydrolysed by the addition of 1 N HCl aq. The mixture was extracted with water (50 ml), and 3 N NaOH aq. (20 ml) was added to the aqueous solution to obtain a free amine. This solution was extracted with diethyl ether (50 ml  $\times$  3) and the extract was dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. For GLC analysis of the yield of 4a, n-tetradecane was added as an internal standard. The optical purity was determined by GLC analysis of the corresponding trifluoroacetamide on a cyclodextrin phase (Chiraldex GT-A, 30 m). The absolute configuration of 4a was determined by an optical rotation ..
- 14 Acetophenone oxime (3d) was assigned as the (*E*)-isomer by <sup>1</sup>H NMR; F. G. Bordwell and G.-Z. Ji, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, 57, 3019.