





Note

Synthesis of two maleimide derivatives of *cis*-configurated platinum(II) complexes for the preparation of chemoimmunoconjugates

Mark Thomas Schütte a, Peter Schumacher A, Clemens Unger A, Rolf Mülhaupt b, Felix Kratz a.*

* Tumor Biology Center, Dept. Med. Oncology, Clin. Res., Breisacher Straße 117, 79106 Freiburg, Germany b Institute of Macromolecy far Chemistry, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

R-ceived 26 November 1996; revised 10 March 1997

Abstract

By attaching maleimide groups () anticancer drugs, derivatives are obtained which bind selectively to thiolated carrier proteins. Two maleimide ester derivatives of plat.num(II) complexes which consist of an active *cis*-configurated platinum(II) moiety and a maleimide group capable of binding to thiolated carriers were prepared, i.e. *N*-(*O*-(3-maleimidobenzoyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1,2-diaminoethanedichloroplatinum(II) (7) and *N*-(*O*-(2-(4-maleimidophenyl)acetyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1,2-diaminoethanedichloroplatinum(II) (8), 7 and 8 were characterized through ¹H and ¹C NMR spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and mass spectrometry (FAB). © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Platinum complexes; Cisplatin; Maleimide complexes; Chemoimmunoconjugates

1. Introduction

Cisplatin (cis-diamminedichloroplatinum(II)), a potent antineoplastic drug in the treatment of testicular carcinomas, ovarian carcinomas, tumors of the F- ad and neck, and bladder tumors, does have serious side-effects due to its reactions with cellular components of healthy tissues by which it exerts its cytotoxicity [1]. These include nephrotoxicity, ototoxicity, myelotoxicity, peripheral neuropathy, nausea and vomiting.

The development of so-called 'second generation platinum complexes', e.g. carboplatin (*cis*-diammine-(1,1-cyclobutanedicarboxylato) platinum(II)), has, in part, contributed to altering the toxicity profile of platinum compounds [2].

A novel strategy to overcome the toxicity of cytotoxic platinum complexes to normal tissue — thereby increasing the therapeutic index of these agents — is to attach the active moiety of platinum complexes to carrier proteins which exhibit a significant uptake in tumor tissue.

Such an approach could be of clinical benefit considering that the dose-limiting factor of cisplatin is its high nephrotoxicity [3] and that cisplatin, once bound to serum proteins (approximately 90% of injected cisplatin are protein-bound

three hours postinfusion [4]), does not retain its antitumor activity [5a,b].

An effective method of preparing such chemoimmunoconjugates is to introduce a maleimide group into the drug, which then binds selectively to sulfhydryl groups of carrier proteins through its carbon double bond [6]. Recently, we have synthesized a number of maleimide compounds for this purpose 171.

Hence, we have developed maleimide ester derivatives of platinum(H) complexes which consist of an active *cis*-configurated platinum(H) moiety and a maleimide group capable of binding to thiolated carriers.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

M.p.: Büchi 530; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR: Bruker AM 400, Bruker WM 250 (internal standard: TMS); FAB-MS: Finnigan-MAT 312; elemental analysis: Perkin-Elmer elemental analyzer 240; FT-IR spectroscopy: Perkin-Elmer 16 PC; silica gel chromatography on silica gel 60 (0.063–0.100 mm) from Merck AG; TLC: silica coated plates 60 F₂₅₄ from Merck AG; organic solvents: p.a. grade and a gift from BASF; 1 M HCl in ether from Aldrich: other organic or inorganic compounds: Merck AG. The maleimide spacers were prepared previously [7].

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-761-206-21-76; fax: +49-761-206-18-99; e-mail: felix@tumorbio.uni-freiburg.de

2.2. N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-N,N'-bis-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-1.2-diaminoethane (2)

N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-ethylenediamine (1) (20.8 g, 200 mmol) was dissolved in 100 ml anhydrous methylene chloride. A solution of di-tert-butyldicarbonate (47.96 g, 220 mmol) in 200 ml anhydrous methylene chloride was added dropwise within 3 h at room temperature. After being stirred for further 9 h at room temperature the solution was diluted with 100 ml anhydrous ether, extracted with 150 ml water, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in a minimal amount of ethyl acetate and chromatographed on a silica gel column (ethyl acetate/hexane 1/ 2). Yield: 30.0 g, 98.6%; white crystals, m.p. 64°C. Anal. Calc. for C₁₄H₂₈N₂O₅: C, 55.26; H, 9.21; N, 9.21. Found: C, 55.50; H. 9.18; N. 9.02%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.0 (s, 1H, NH), 3.7 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.5 (s, 1H, OH), 3.3 (bs¹, 6H, 3 N=C H_2), 1.5/1.4 (2s, 18H, 6 C H_3). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 156.80 (2 C=O), 79.45 (quart. C), 61.88 (OCH₂), 51.83/ 48.56/39.47 (3 NCH₂), 28.66 (6 CH₃).

2.3. N-(O-(3-Maleimidobenzoyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-N,N'-bis-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-1,2-diaminoethane (3)

3-Maieimidobenzoic acid chloride (6.82 g, 29 mmol), dissolved in 100 ml THF, was added dropwise to a solution of 2 (8 g. 26.3 mmol) in 50 ml THF and 4 ml triethylamine. After being stirred for 8 h at room temperature a precipitate was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in a minimal amount of ethyl acetate and chromatographed on a silica gel column (ethyl acetate/hexane 1/1). Yield: 9.6 g. 72.6%; yellow oil. Anal. Calc. for C24H11N1On: C. 59.64; H. 6.56; N. 8.35. Found: C. 60.04; H. 6.77; N. 8.24%, ¹H NMR (CDCL₁): 8 8.1=7.5 (m, 4H, Ph=H), 6.9 (s, 2H, HC=CH), 5.0 (s, 1H, NH), 4.4 (8, 2H, HOCH₂), 3.6/3.5/3.4 (3s, 6H, 3 NCH₂), 1.4 (s. 18H, 6 C H_A). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₄): δ 169,09 (C=0). 165.38 (PhCOO), 134.35 (HC=CH), 131.63/131.21/ 130.37/129.29/128.98/127.10 (Ph-C), 80.38 (quart. C), 63.37 (OCH2), 46.66/46.45/39.46 (3 NCH₂), 28.41 (6 CH.).

2.4. N-(O-(2-(4-Maleimidophenyl)acetyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-N,N'-bis-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-1,2-diaminoethane (4)

4-Maleimidophenylacetic acid (5 g. 21.65 mmol) and 2 (6.58 g. 21.65 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of 15 ml methylene chloride and 45 ml THF. After adding 26.4 mg (0.2165 mmol) dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), a solution of 4.9 g (23.82 mmol) N/N'-dicyclohexyl-carbodiimide (DCC), dissolved in 25 ml THF, was added dropwise at 0°C. The intensive red solution was stirred for 24 h, the formed precipitate removed by filtration and the filtrate evaporated

to dryness in vacuo. The oily residue was dissolved in a minimal amount of ethyl acetate and chromatographed on a silica gel column (ethyl acetate/hexane 3.5/3). Yield: 4.8 g, 42.9%; pale yellow crystals, m.p. 87°C. Anal. Calc. for $C_{26}H_{35}N_3O_8$: C, 60.35; H, 6.77; N, 8.12. Found: C, 60.77; H, 7.4; N, 8.75%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.4 (d, 2H, 2 NCCH), 7.2 (d, 2H, 2 CH₂CCH), 6.8 (s, 2H, HC=CH), 4.9 (s, 1H, NH), 4.2 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.7 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂), 3.4/3.2 (2s, 6H, 3 NCH₂), 1.5/1.4 (2s, 18H, 6 CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 170.83 (C=O), 169.45 (PhCH₂COO), 134.25 (HC=CH), 130.13/129.80/126.22/126.09 (Ph-C), 80.29 (quart. C), 63.42 (OCH₂), 47.38/46.62/40.89 (3 NCH₂), 39.32 (PhCH₂), 28.41 (6 CH₃).

2.5. N-(O-(3-Maleimidobenzoyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1.2-diaminoethane dihydrochloride (5) and N-(O-(2-(4-male-imidophenyl)acetyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1,2-diaminoethane dihydrochloride (6)

5 or 6 (12 mmol) was dissolved in 60 ml 1 M HCI/ether and stirred for 96 h during which time a precipitate was formed. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed several times with anhydrous ether and dried in vacuo.

5: 2.93 g, 65%; m.p. 176°C. Anal. Calc. for $C_{15}H_{10}N_3O_4Cl_2$: C, 47.89; H, 5.05; N, 11.17; Cl, 18.86. Found: C, 47.97; H, 5.42; N, 11.03; Cl, 17.63%. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 9.9 (s, 2H, N H_2 ⁺), 8.5 (s, 3H, N H_3 ⁺), 8.2-7.6 (m, 4H, Ph=H), 7.2 (s, 2H, $HC \approx CH$), 4.6 (t, 2H, OC H_2), 3.6-3.2 (m, 6H, 3 NC H_2). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 169.67 ($C \approx O$), 164.81 ($C \approx O$), 134.76 ($HC \approx CH$), 131.92/131.78/129.94/129.24/129.05/127.96 (Ph=C), 60.57 (OC H_2), 45.52/44.38/35.17 (3 NC H_2).

6: 3.42 g. 73%; m.p. 212°C). Anal. Calc. for $C_{16}H_{24}N_1O_1Cl_2$: C. 49.24; H. 5.39; N. 10.77; Cl. 18.18. Found: C. 49.01; H. 5.68; N. 10.53; Cl. 17.88%. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 9.9 (s. 2H, NH_2 ⁺), 8.5 (s. 3H, NH_3 ⁺), 7.4 (d. 2H. 2 NCCH), 7.3 (d. 2H. 2 CH₂CCH), 7.2 (s. 2H, HC = CH), 4.4 (t. 2H. OCH₂), 3.9 (s. 2H, Ph=CH₂), 3.4/3.2 (2s. 6H. 3 NCH₂), ¹C NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 170.82 (C = O), 169.85 (C = O), 134.58 (C = C), 130.17/129.84/126.55/126.40 (Ph=C), 59.68 (C = C), 45.44/44.28/35.14 (3 NCH₂), 39.39 (PhCH₂).

2.6. N-(O-(3-Maleimidobenzoyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1.2-diaminoethanedichloroplatinum(II)(7) and N-(O-(2-(4-maleimidophenyl)acetyl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1.2-diaminoethanedichloroplatinum(II)(8)

5 or **6** (1 mmol) was dissolved in 5 ml of 20% THF in water and added dropwise to a solution of K_2PtCl_4 (0.415 g, 1 mmol) dissolved in the same amount of 20% THF in water. After being stirred for 1 h the yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed several times first with 20% THF in water and then with anhydrous ether and dried in high vacuum.

^{&#}x27; bs = broad singlet.

7: 0.39 g, 68%; m.p.>250°C. Anal. Calc. for $C_{15}H_{17}N_3O_4PtCl_2$; C, 31.63; H, 2.99; N, 7.38; Pt, 34.29; Cl, 12.46. Found: C, 31.19; H, 3.37; N, 6.79; Pt, 33.35; Cl, 12.95%. FT-IR (Csl): $\nu(Pt-Cl)=348$ cm $^{-1}$. 1H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 8.1–7.6 (m, 4H, Ph–H), 7.2 (s, 2H, HC=CH), 6.3 (m, 2H, NH₂), 4.6 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 4.5 (m, 1H, NH), 3.5/2.9/2.7 (3m, 6H, NCH₂). 13 C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 169.64 (C=O), 164.74 (COO), 134.75 (HC=CH), 131.94/131.52/130.24/129.30/128.59/127.50 (Ph–C), 61.70 (OCH₂), 54.34/49.57/45.22 (3 NCH₂); MS-FAB, 3

kV, nitrobenzylalcohol (rel. intensity): m/z 570 ($M^+ + 1$, 10), 534 ($M^+ - \text{Cl}$, 17), 499 ($M^+ - 2\text{Cl}$, 2).

8: 0.33 g. 57%; m.p. > 250°C. *Anal*. Calc. for $C_{16}H_{19}N_3O_4PtCl_2$: C, 32.93; H, 3.26; N, 7.2; Pt, 33.46; Cl. 12.16. Found: C, 32.64; H, 3.54; N, 7.31; Pt, 33.00; Cl. 11.97%. FT-IR (CsI): $\nu(Pt-Cl) = 338 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 7.4 (d, 2H, 2 NCCH), 7.3 (d, 2H, 2 CH₂CCH), 7.2 (s, 2H, HC=CH), 6.3 (s, 2H, NH_2). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 170.65 (C=O), 169.84 (COO), 134.60 (HC=CH), 133.71/130.19/129.95/126.59 (Ph-C),

61.18 (OCH₂), 54.31/49.38/45.12 (3 NCH₂), 30.59 (PhCH₂); MS-FAB, 3 kV, nitrobenzylalcohol (rel. intensity): m/z 584 ($M^+ + 1$, 12), 548 ($M^+ - Cl$, 19), 513 ($M^+ - 2Cl$, 4).

3. Results and discussion

The method of preparing the platinum(II) complexes 7 and 8 is depicted in Scheme 1. The starting compound N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-ethylenediamine (1) was first reacted with di-tert-butyldicarbonate. Interestingly, in the isolated product 2 the BOC group was introduced at the primary amine as well as at the secondary amine position. The rationale for introducing the BOC group was firstly to obtain the maleimide esters 3 and 4 in high yields, and secondly to prevent the primary amine group reacting spontaneously with the double bond of the maleimide group. The BOC group was removed by dissolving 3 or 4 in anhydrous ether/HCl upon which the hydrochlorides 5 and 6 precipitated. To the thus obtained hydrochlorides an equivalent amount of K_2PtCl_4 in a mixture of THF/water was added to yield the yellow-colored platinum complexes 7 and 8.

All synthesized compounds were characterized through ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and/or mass spectrometry. NMR spectroscopy of the relevant complexes 7 and 8 reveals the characteristic peaks of the introduced maleimide group at 7.2 ppm (strong singlet) for the proton signals of the double bond in the ¹H NMR spectra and at 134=135 and 168=170 ppm for the carbon atoms of the double bond and carbonyl group in the ¹³C NMR spectra.

The MS-FAB spectra of the two complexes 7 and 8 show a characteristic molecular ion peak ($M^+ \pm 1$) at 570 and 584, respectively, and peaks at 534 or 548 and 499 or 513, corresponding to $M^+ = C1$ and $M^+ = 2C1$, are distinctly visible. FT-IR spectroscopy shows a distinct band at $\nu = 348$ cm⁻¹ for 7 and $\nu = 338$ cm⁻¹ for 8, which is the characteristic region for Pt=CI stretching vibrations [8].

Based on the above data and the elemental analyses of 7 and 8, their structures are postulated as shown in Scheme 1.

7 and 8 were designed so as to incorporate a maleimide group which reacts rapidly with sulfhydryl groups [6]. In order to demonstrate that the sulfhydryl group reacts significantly faster with the maleimide groups of 7 and 8 than with

their cis-configurated platinum(II) moieties, the model compounds **5**, **6** and **9** (**9** prepared according to [9]; see Scheme 1) were incubated with cysteine in 0.9% saline in a ratio of 1:1 ($c[Pt] = 300 \,\mu\text{M}$, pH 6) at room temperature. The concentration of sulfhydryl groups was determined with Ellman's reagent ($\epsilon_{412} = 13600 \,\text{M}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-1} \,[10]$). Whereas **5** and **6** reacted quantitatively with cysteine within a few minutes, we observed no loss of sulfhydryl groups for **9** during 60 min. In accordance with our results it has been reported that cisplatin also reacts slowly with cysteine in 0.9% saline, the reaction being complete after 4–5 days [11].

Hence, 7 and 8 exhibit suitable properties for binding the active *cis*-configurated platinum(H) moiety to thiolated carrier proteins.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Dr Mildred Scheel Stiftung der Deutschen Krebshilfe, Germany and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. We thank Asia Medica, Germany for providing us with K₂PtCl₄.

References

- 111 S.E. Sherman and S.J. Lippard, Chem. Rev., 87 (1987) 1153.
- [2] J.J. Roberts, R.J. Knox, F. Friedlos and D.A. Lydall, in D.H.C. McBrien and T.F. Slater (eds.), Biochemical Mechanisms of Platinum Antitumour Drugs, IRL Press, Oxford, UK, 1986, p. 29.
- [3] Z.H. Siddik, S.E. Dible, F.E. Boxall and K.R. Harrap, in D.H.C. McBrien and T.F. Slater (eds.), Biochemical Mechanisms of Platinum Antitumour Drugs, IRL Press, Oxford, UK, 1986, p. 171.
- [4] J.J. Gullo, C.L. Litterst, J.M. Maguire, B.I. Sikie, F.H. Hoth and P.V. Woolley, Cancer Chemother, Pharmacol., 5 (1980) 2.
- [5] (a) K. Takahashi, T. Seki, K. Nishikawa, S. Minamide, M. Iwabuchi, M. Ono, S. Nagamine and H. Horinishi, Jpn. J. Cancer Res. (Gann), 76 (1985) 68, (b) F. Kratz, in B.K. Keppler (ed.), Metal Complexes as Antitumour Agents, VCH, Weinheim, 1993, p. 393.
- [6] G.E. Means and R.E. Feeney, Bioconjugate Chem., 1 (1990) 2.
- 171 U. Beyer, M. Krüger, P. Schumacher, C. Unger and F. Kratz, Chem. Monthly, 128 (1997) 91.
- [18] R. Faggiani, B. Lippert, C.J. Lock and B. Rosenberg, Can. J. Chem., 60 (1982) 529.
- 191 S. Haghigbi, C.A. McAuliffe, W.E. Hill, H.H. Kohl and M.E. Friedman, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 43 (1980) 113.
- [40] G.L. Ellman, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 82 (1959) 70.
- [44] B. Odenheimer and W. Wolf, Inorg. Chim. Acta. 66 (1982) L41.