# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

This article is part of the

# OBC 10th anniversary

themed issue

All articles in this issue will be gathered together online at

www.rsc.org/OBC10



# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 5861

**PAPER** www.rsc.org/obc

## The stereocontrolled total synthesis of spirastrellolide A methyl ester. Expedient construction of the key fragments†‡§

Ian Paterson,\* Edward A. Anderson, Stephen M. Dalby, Jong Ho Lim, Philip Maltas, Olivier Loiseleur, Julien Genovino and Christian Moessner

Received 13th January 2012, Accepted 8th March 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25100k

Due to a combination of their promising anticancer properties, limited supply from the marine sponge source and their unprecedented molecular architecture, spirastrellolides represent attractive and challenging synthetic targets. A modular strategy for the synthesis of spirastrellolide A methyl ester, which allowed for the initial stereochemical uncertainties in the assigned structure was adopted, based on the envisaged sequential coupling of a series of suitably functionalised fragments; in this first paper, full details of the synthesis of these fragments are described. The pivotal C26-C40 DEF bis-spiroacetal was assembled by a double Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation/acetalisation cascade process on a linear diene intermediate, configuring the C31 and C35 acetal centres under suitably mild acidic conditions. A C1-C16 alkyne fragment was constructed by application of an oxy-Michael reaction to introduce the A-ring tetrahydropyran, a Sakurai allylation to install the C9 hydroxyl, and a 1,4-syn boron aldol/directed reduction sequence to establish the C11 and C13 stereocentres. Two different coupling strategies were investigated to elaborate the C26-C40 DEF fragment, involving either a C17-C25 sulfone or a C17-C24 vinyl iodide, each of which was prepared using an Evans glycolate aldol reaction. The remaining C43-C47 vinyl stannane fragment required for introduction of the unsaturated side chain was prepared from (R)-malic acid.

### Introduction

Marine organisms, particularly sponge invertebrates and their associated bacteria, continue to be an important source of novel biologically active compounds, both in terms of their significant therapeutic potential and as novel molecular probes for chemical biology studies.<sup>1,2</sup> In particular, marine macrolides that exhibit exceptional levels of antimitotic activity, combined with unique modes of action, represent valuable lead structures for the development of new generation anticancer drugs. Furthermore, as the promising biological activity exhibited by many complex marine macrolides is often mirrored by their scarce natural supply, and complicated by the challenge of full stereochemical determination, chemical synthesis represents a critically important exercise. In this regard, the successful development of Halaven by Eisai, as a fully synthetic analogue of the halichondrin marine

macrolides for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer, constitutes a compelling proof-of-concept.3 The Novartis large-scale synthesis of discodermolide, a rare polyketide of marine sponge origin, also represents an impressive example of what can be achieved towards the development of complex marine natural products as anticancer drugs in a pharmaceutical setting.<sup>4</sup>

The spirastrellolides constitute a structurally unprecedented family of antimitotic macrolides, initially isolated by bioassayguided fractionation from extracts of the Caribbean sponge Spirastrella coccinea collected off reef walls near Capucin, Dominica. <sup>5</sup> The most abundant member of this family is spirastrellolide A (1), which was first reported by the Andersen group in 2003, 5afollowed by six structurally related congeners (spirastrellolides B-G, 2-7).<sup>6</sup> As shown in Fig. 1, the spirastrellolide scaffold with its signature 38-membered macrolactone and embedded spiroacetal motifs displays considerable architectural complexity, with variation in the degree of chlorination at C4 and C28, olefination at  $\Delta^{15,16}$ , methylation at the C46-OH in the unsaturated side-chain and hydroxylation at C8. While the spirastrellolides are C47 carboxylic acids, conversion to the corresponding methyl esters 8-14 proved necessary to enable the complete separation of the congeners and final purification, and the majority of the physical and biological data for the spirastrellolides has been collected for these purified methyl esters and their derivatives. More recently, the Matsunaga group<sup>7</sup> have isolated

University Chemical Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK. E-mail: ip100@cam.ac.uk; Fax: +44 1223 336 362

<sup>†</sup>This article is part of the Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 10th Anniversary issue.

<sup>‡</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: this provides the entire Experimental section for this paper. See DOI: 10.1039/ c2ob25100k

<sup>§</sup> Dedicated to Professor Ian Fleming.

1: R = R' = X = Z = H, Y = CI, 
$$\Delta^{15,16}$$
, Spirastrellolide A
2: R = R' = X = Y = Z = H, Spirastrellolide B
3: R = R' = X = Y = H, Z = OH, Spirastrellolide C
4: R = R' = Z = H, X = Y = CI,  $\Delta^{15,16}$ , Spirastrellolide D
5: R = R' = X = Y = Z = H,  $\Delta^{15,16}$ , Spirastrellolide E
6: R = R' = X = Z = H, Y = CI, Spirastrellolide F
7: R = X = Z = H, Y = CI, R' = Me,  $\Delta^{15,16}$ , Spirastrellolide G
8: R = Me, R' = X = Z = H, Y = CI,  $\Delta^{15,16}$ 
9: R = Me, R' = X = Y = Z = H
10: R = Me, R' = X = Y = Z = H
11: R = Me, R' = Z = H, X = Y = CI,  $\Delta^{15,16}$ 
12: R = Me, R' = X = Z = H, Y = CI
13: R = Me, R' = X = Z = H, Y = CI
14: R = R' = Me, X = Z = H, Y = CI,  $\Delta^{15,16}$ 

Fig. 1 Structures of spirastrellolides A-G and their corresponding methyl esters.

spirastrellolide A from a different sponge collected off the coast of Nagannu Island, Okinawa, suggesting that the spirastrellolides may be secondary metabolites produced by symbiotic bacteria.

Spirastrellolide A (1) was found to accelerate cell entry into mitosis from S-phase, prior to initiating cell-cycle arrest during M-phase, which is thought to be mediated through potent and selective inhibition of protein phosphatase 2A ( $IC_{50} = 1 \text{ nM}$ ). Drugs that target the inhibition of either protein kinases (PKs, mediating phosphorylation of proteins) or protein phosphatases (PPs, governing dephosphorylation of proteins) have shown considerable therapeutic potential in fields tackling cancer, obesity, organ transplant rejection and the formation of Alzheimer's plaques.8 The methyl ester 8 also exhibited potent antiproliferative activity against a panel of cancer cell lines. This promising biological profile marks out the spirastrellolides as exciting lead structures for drug discovery,<sup>9</sup> and combined with the scarcity of the natural supply and the initial uncertainties over the full stereochemistry, has stimulated considerable synthetic interest, with numerous studies towards fragments reported. 10-12

The continuing efforts of the Andersen group to elucidate the full stereostructure finally led in 2007 to a definitive assignment of the configuration of the macrolide core of spirastrellolide B through single crystal X-ray diffraction studies on the p-bromophenacyl derivative 15 (Fig. 2).6a This was followed by the assignment of the remote C46 stereocentre within the side chain

Fig. 2 Derivative 15 of spirastrellolide B prepared by the Andersen group for structure elucidation by single crystal X-ray diffraction.

by degradation studies on spirastrellolide D and correlation with dimethyl (R)-malate. 6b In the meantime, we and other groups had initiated synthetic studies based on the 2004 spirastrellolide A structure of Andersen, 5b which had 16 possible stereochemical permutations. Soon after the disclosure of the full stereostructure by the Andersen group, <sup>6b</sup> we communicated our first total synthesis of spirastrellolide A methyl ester (8) which validated the configurational assignment.<sup>13</sup> This was followed by the Fürstner group's two total syntheses of spirastrellolide F methyl ester (the 15,16-dihydro congener 13, Fig. 1).14 In this and the accompanying paper, 15 we now provide full details and discussion of our total synthesis, concentrating on the ongoing stereochemical issues and the evolution of efficient fragment assembly strategies that ultimately proved successful in preparing spirastrellolide A methyl ester. In this first paper, we provide a full account of the development and optimisation of scaleable and highly stereocontrolled routes to the key spirastrellolide fragments.

### Initial synthetic efforts and strategy evolution

The spirastrellolides clearly present a significant synthetic challenge due to the array of functional groups and the high level of oxygenation, which necessitates careful attention to chemoselectivity issues and the selection of a robust but flexible protecting group strategy. However, of arguably greater significance for the synthetic chemist is the issue of stereochemistry which must be

Spirastrellolide A (1, Fig. 3) bears 20 stereogenic centres distributed around the 38-membered macrocycle, which also incorporates three cyclic subunits: a cis-fused tetrahydropyran (A-ring), a [6,6]-spiroacetal (BC-rings) and a [5,6,6]-bisspiroacetal (DEF-rings), with the latter two benefiting from double anomeric effect stabilisation. From the outset, we reasoned that these well-defined spiroacetal ring systems might be obtained via acid-catalysed acetalisation under thermodynamic control from suitable linear precursors. In addition, there is a remote stereocentre at C46 within the unsaturated side-chain. Initially, the configurational relationship between the C3-C7 tetrahydropyran A-ring (red), C9-C24 BC-spiroacetal (green),

Fig. 3 Initial target structure with key bond disconnections at C42– C43, C25-C26 and C1-OC37; where the configurational relationship between the four coloured stereoclusters was not defined.

8: R = Me, spirastrellolide A methyl ester

DEF-bis-spiroacetal (blue) and C46 hydroxyl stereocentre (purple) was not confidently assigned by the Andersen group, such that there were 16 possible stereoisomers to be considered. Our synthetic plan therefore needed to be highly flexible due to this configurational uncertainty, and should also allow for access to novel structural analogues for SAR studies. Hence, the adoption of a modular approach, combining the different stereoclusters in suitably functionalised fragments to progressively assemble the northern and southern spiroacetal-containing regions and the macrolide core, lay at the heart of our synthesis plan. Taking the above factors into consideration, our initial studies<sup>12a-d</sup> targeted the construction of fully elaborated northern and southern hemispheres with the objective of narrowing down the number of stereochemical permutations by NMR comparisons with the available data for the natural product. Disconnection of the side-chain at C42-C43, allowing for the undefined C46 stereocentre, would be used to reduce the stereoconundrum to the three highlighted macrocyclic domains (C3-C7, C9-C24, C27-C38) in Fig. 3. Following disconnection across the macrolactone bridge, a C25-C26 bond scission would then isolate the DEF-spiroacetal as a single region of known stereochemistry together with the C1-C25 ABC-region.

Before discussing the details of the synthetic strategy which ultimately proved successful, it is helpful to first summarise some of the key results from intelligence gathering exercises directed towards the construction of the northern and southern hemisphere regions, where the selection of suitable protecting groups was found to be a critical issue. In early exploratory work, we targeted the synthesis of both possible diastereomers of the C1-C25 southern hemisphere in 16 and 17 (Scheme 1). 12b The precursor C1-C25 enones 18 and 19 were constructed incorporating either the (3R,7S)- or (3S,7R)-configuration of the Aring tetrahydropyran from the diastereomeric alkynes 20 and 21, combined with the same aldehyde 22. In order to achieve the removal of the two acetonides and induce controlled spirocyclisation, rather forcing conditions were found to be required (aq. HF, MeCN), leading to complete desilylation and generation of

Scheme 1 Initial synthesis of two diastereomers 16 and 17 of southern hemisphere C1-C25 region.

pentaol spiroacetals 16 and 17. Both these pentaols showed excellent spectroscopic correlation with the natural product, where on balance the (3R,7S) diastereomer 16 appeared to correlate more closely to the spirastrellolide NMR data than counterpart 17. However, an unequivocal conclusion could not be reached at this stage. This result was not entirely unexpected as the macrocycle and the appended side-chain are likely to strongly affect the molecular conformation and resultant NMR spectra compared to these simpler fragments, particularly in the C7-C9 region. Nevertheless, this work provided a preliminary indication that 16 was the better match, which was pursued and ultimately proved to be correct. As discussed later, whilst some aspects of the synthesis of spiroacetal 16 would be retained, the conditions required for acetonide deprotection were somewhat harsh and capricious. Consequently, our preferred longer term strategy for BC-spiroacetalisation would incorporate PMB ethers

Scheme 2 Initial synthesis of southern hemisphere C1–C21 region 24.

**Scheme 3** Initial synthesis of tetracyclic C26–C40 DEF-bis-spiroacetal **29**.

at C13 and C21, following the success of a DDQ-mediated deprotection and spirocyclisation of **23** in constructing the related C1–C21 spiroacetal **24** (Scheme 2). <sup>12c</sup>

In our early studies on the assembly of the northern hemisphere region, incorporating the characteristic DEF-bis-spiroacetal of spirastrellolide A, the C26–C40 ketone **25**, which we envisaged to be a precursor to this spiroacetal was prepared by HWE coupling between acetonide-containing phosphonate **26** and aldehyde **27** (Scheme 3). Deprotection again proved problematic, with the strongly acidic conditions required for cleavage (*e.g.* HCl or CSA) leading to competitive elimination of the F-ring to furan **28**. Even under optimised conditions (Dowex 50Wx8, MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 70 °C), at best only a 40% yield of the required tetracyclic C26–C40 DEF subunit **29** could be obtained.

**Scheme 4** Examples of unsuccessful attempts at C25–C26 bond formation with tetracyclic DEF components.

Nevertheless, detailed  $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR comparison of **29** with the analogous data reported for spirastrellolide A methyl ester revealed excellent homology, confirming the structural and stereochemical assignment for this key region. While the subsequent examination of alternative routes to the DEF-bis-spiroacetal led to some improvements,  $^{12d,f}$  it became clear that a complete revision of our synthetic strategy for the northern hemisphere region was required to enable access to adequate stocks of material to advance the total synthesis.

In addition to issues associated with accessing C26–C40 DEF-bis-spiroacetal **29** in useful quantities, preliminary exploratory investigations into the formation of the C25–C26 bond to connect the northern and southern hemisphere regions were carried out (Scheme 4). For this challenging union, two strategies were pursued using substrates which could be readily derived from tetracycle **29**: an alkylation of tosylate **30** or iodide

Scheme 5 Revised synthesis plan for spirastrellolide A methyl ester based on either (a) Julia olefination to form C25–C26 bond or (b) Suzuki coupling and hydroboration to form C24-C25 bond in aldehyde 40.

31 with sulfone 32, and a Julia olefination of aldehyde 33 with model sulfone 34.16 Unfortunately, neither of these approaches proved successful, and in all cases complete degradation of the DEF-component was observed without isolation of any required coupled products 35 or 36, thought to be due to the sensitive nature of the C40 δ-lactone functionality. Overall, these frustrating setbacks prompted a reconsideration of our approach to not only constructing the DEF-region but the macrocycle as a whole, leading to the following strategy evolution and identification of more suitable fragments and protecting groups.

### Revised synthesis plan

The presence of the  $\Delta^{15,16}$  alkene in the B-ring of spirastrellolide A meant that our original fragment coupling strategy, <sup>12a,b</sup> involving the union of preformed ABC- and DEF-subunits, was rather inflexible, with chemoselectivity issues arising from any coupling methods that would introduce additional unsaturation. It was recognised that the convergency of the original strategy would have to be sacrificed for an alternative approach, whereby the  $\Delta^{15,16}$  alkene could be introduced subsequent to elaboration at the C26 position of the DEF-subunit, which might now be achieved under suitably mild conditions.

As shown in our revised synthesis plan outlined in Scheme 5, spirastrellolide A methyl ester (8) would arise from the late-stage Stille coupling of side-chain stannane 37 to a preformed macrocycle, which would be closed by macrolactonisation between the C1 carboxylic acid and C37 hydroxyl group in truncated secoacid 38. Now however, the C1-C16 alkyne 39 containing the  $\Delta^{15,16}$  unsaturation was excised, revealing fully elaborated C17– C40 aldehyde 40. Coupling of alkyne 39 with aldehyde 40 and formation of the BC-spiroacetal would now use the successful approach developed earlier for spiroacetal 24 (Scheme 2), which relies on the selective and mild removal of PMB ethers at C13 and C21 with in situ spiroacetalisation. 12c,e A modified Julia olefination<sup>17</sup> was initially considered for C25-C26 bond formation, utilising the sulfone fragment 41 which incorporates all five contiguous stereocentres spanning C20-C24 for coupling to the DEF-aldehyde fragment 42. Hydroboration of the resulting terminal alkene to afford a C17 alcohol would then be followed by reduction of the internal alkene. Alternatively, a B-alkyl Suzuki coupling reaction<sup>18</sup> of DEF-alkene **43** with the simpler C17-C24 vinyl iodide 44 would provide a C17-C40 diene, upon which it was proposed that the C23/C24 stereocentres and C17 oxygenation in aldehyde 40 might be installed through an adventurous substrate-controlled double hydroboration reaction. The relative merits of these two complementary coupling strategies would be determined by experiment, as discussed in the accompanying paper. 15 Notably, it was only during the latter stages of this work that the stereochemical uncertainties within the macrocycle were resolved by the Andersen group, 6a which

Scheme 6 Retrosynthetic analysis of DEF-bis-spiroacetal 45 based on a double asymmetric dihydroxylation/acetalisation cascade from diene 47.

fortuitously validated the decision to pursue stereoisomer 8, as originally depicted for spirastrellolide A methyl ester.<sup>5b</sup>

### Results and discussion

### The C26-C40 DEF-bis-spiroacetal 45

Due to the limitations of our originally reported 12a route to C26– C40 fragment 29 (Scheme 3), an improved synthesis of a new DEF-bis-spiroacetal fragment 45 was devised as shown in Scheme 6, with the principle aim of reducing the susceptibility of the F-ring to furan formation by removing the appended γ-lactone. Importantly, we also recognised that the sense of asymmetric induction in the Sharpless dihydroxylation reactions<sup>19</sup> that would be used to install the C37/C38 and C26/C27 diols in the acyclic precursor 46 was the same. 12e This would enable us to install all four hydroxyl groups in 46 from the C26-C40 diene 47 with a total avoidance of protecting groups, and subsequent spiroacetalisation might therefore be induced under particularly mild acidic conditions. In turn, diene 47 would be accessed through aldol coupling of C26-C32 methyl ketone 48 and C33-C40 aldehyde 49 (prepared using our lactate aldol methodology<sup>20</sup> to set the C34/C35 anti-relationship) in preference to the HWE coupling protocol used previously. In practice, the implementation of these measures greatly streamlined the synthesis and improved the scalability of the preparation of the pivotal DEF-subunit of spirastrellolide A.

Following our earlier studies, 12a the Oehlschlager–Brown asymmetric chloroallylation reaction<sup>21</sup> was selected to configure a syn-α-vinylchlorohydrin and hence provide a route to the chlorinated D-ring of spirastrellolide A. Accordingly, treatment readily available aldehyde 50 (Scheme 7) with

Scheme 7 Synthesis of methyl 48 ketone by asymmetric chloroallylation.

(Z)- $(\gamma$ -chloroallyl)borane 51, derived *in situ* from allyl chloride and (-)-Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOMe, afforded syn-chlorohydrin 52 (>20:1 dr) in 51% yield and 92% ee (<sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the corresponding Mosher esters).<sup>22</sup> The dimethyl acetal of **50** is conveniently unmasked during this process, and subsequent methyl ether formation from 52 with Meerwein's salt delivered methyl ketone 48. Although operationally demanding, this process could be performed on a reasonably large scale, providing access to multigram quantities of this C26–C32 building block.

A suitable C33-C40 component 49 was now required for aldol coupling with 48. For this purpose, aldehyde 53 was submitted to a modified Knoevenagel reaction<sup>23</sup> with malonic acid to access β,γ-unsaturated carboxylic acid 54 (Scheme 8). Oxidation state adjustment provided aldehyde 56, which could not be purified by column chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub> due to the propensity for isomerisation to the conjugated enal. Under our standard conditions,  $^{20c}$  reaction of the (E)-boron enolate of ethyl ketone 57<sup>24</sup> with crude aldehyde 56 provided the anti-aldol adduct 58, which following oxidative work-up, was isolated cleanly and in high yield (83%, >20:1 dr). Silyl protection of aldol adduct 58 (TBSOTf) to give 59, followed by cleavage of the lactate auxiliary under standard conditions, <sup>20c</sup> afforded aldehyde 49 in 85% overall yield.

With scaleable routes to both ketone 48 and aldehyde 49 established, their coupling to form C26-C40 diene 47 could now be pursued (Scheme 9). Again a boron aldol reaction was conveniently employed for this purpose, which provided an inconsequential mixture of epimeric alcohols 60 in excellent yield (98%). Treatment of 60 with MsCl and Et<sub>3</sub>N induced an El<sub>cb</sub> elimination to give the corresponding (E)-enone. Conjugate reduction<sup>25</sup> under the catalytic conditions reported by Lipshutz,<sup>26</sup> requiring only 3 mol% of Stryker's reagent ([Ph<sub>3</sub>PCuH]<sub>6</sub>), provided diene **61** in excellent yield (94%). Acid-mediated desilylation of 61 and Dess-Martin oxidation of the ensuing C35 alcohol then provided 47 in 66% yield on small scale (ca. 50 mg), however repetition of this two-step process on larger scales delivered the desired diketone 47 in much lower yields, with predominant formation of dihydropyran 62 instead being observed. To circumvent this side-reaction, a threestep procedure was developed in which the C31 ketone in 61 was reduced prior to desilylation at C35, thus preventing cyclisation. The resulting diol 63 could then be converted cleanly to diketone 47 using a double Swern oxidation, in a reliable 87% yield.

Synthesis of aldehyde 49 using an asymmetric boron aldol Scheme 8 reaction

With C26-C40 diene 47 in hand, the key tandem double asymmetric dihydroxylation and bis-spiroacetalisation sequence was addressed. Submission of diene 47 to Sharpless conditions, 19 utilising (DHQ)<sub>2</sub>PYR as ligand, effected a stereoselective double dihydroxylation but did not lead directly to C26-C40 DEF-bis-spiracetal 64 (Scheme 10). Instead, a complex mixture of products was isolated, considered to be the C26-C40 hemi-acetals 65, which were fully cyclised under mildly acidic conditions (PPTS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH). Per-silylation of the crude diol product facilitated purification, giving access to targeted DEF-bis-spiroacetal 45 in 43% yield (chromatographically separable from other spirocyclic isomers). Clear nOe enhancements between key protons in bis-spiroacetal diol **64**<sup>27</sup> confirmed the major product of the double dihydroxylation/bisspirocyclisation sequence to contain the desired double anomerically stabilised configurations at C31 and C35.

In our earlier work, in which these asymmetric dihydroxylations were performed on the individual alkenes in separate fragments, the diastereoselectivity of each dihydroxylation was found to be around 20:1 dr in each case. 12b Notably, the dihydroxylation of the terminal  $\Delta^{26,27}$  alkene corresponds to a reinforcing situation based on the inherent 1,2-anti stereoinduction from the allylic chloride (which acts in a manner comparable to an allylic alcohol).<sup>28</sup> Therefore, the minor isomers obtained in the double asymmetric dihydroxylation of 47 were assigned as epimers at the C31 and C35 acetal centres. Although the major

**Scheme 9** Synthesis of linear diene **47**, the substrate for double asymmetric dihydroxylation.

product, desired bis-spiroacetal 64, adopts the expected anomeric effect-stabilised configuration, the resulting cage-like structure shown may suffer from destabilising steric interactions. By contrast, other C31/C35 configurations, although less favourable stereoelectronically, may result in reduced steric crowding. Importantly, it was found that these minor DEF isomers could be resubmitted to the spiroacetalisation conditions (PPTS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH), leading to desilylation and epimerisation at the spirocyclic centres (C31 and C35) which, upon TES reprotection, generated further quantities of 45. In this fashion, the required C26-C40 bis-spiroacetal 45 could be accessed in a reliable 81% yield after two equilibrations of the mixed isomer by-products. The success of this approach owes much to the increased stability of DEF-spiroacetal 64 with respect to furan formation, allowing access to the thermodynamic equilibrium mixture of products rather than the kinetic outcome.

The development of this expedient double asymmetric dihydroxylation/acetalisation cascade process ensured that we could now prepare multi-gram quantities of DEF-bis-spiroacetal 45 to go forward with the total synthesis effort. Importantly, selective

Scheme 10 Double asymmetric dihydroxylation/spiroacetalisation cascade sequence to give C26-C40 DEF-bis-spiroacetal 45.

deprotection of the primary TES ether provided C26 alcohol 66 for further elaboration to aldehyde 42 and alkene 43 for fragment coupling (described in the following paper<sup>15</sup>). Based on the two alternative strategies proposed in Scheme 5, we now required access to both the C17-C25 sulfone fragment 41 for the planned Julia olefination approach, and the simpler C17-C24 vinyl iodide 44 for exploration of the Suzuki cross-coupling approach.

### The C17-C25 sulfone 41

The polyoxygenated C20-C24 region in spirastrellolide A contains five contiguous stereocentres. The construction of this stereopentad commenced with dimethyl (S)-malate (67), which was converted into aldehyde 68 by adaptation of a literature procedure.<sup>29</sup> Thus, a Fráter-Seebach-type enolate alkylation with methyl iodide afforded the expected anti-isomer 69 (61%, 9:1 dr). Reduction of 69 with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> then gave triol 70, which proved too water soluble to be isolated from the aqueous phase during work up. To circumvent this, addition of anhydrous acetic acid in place of an aqueous work up, followed by in situ acetylation, enabled efficient isolation of the tris-acetate of 70.30 Without exposure to water, triol 70 was then easily obtained by methanolysis (NaOMe, MeOH). Regioselective acetalisation then produced the thermodynamically preferred six-membered benzylidene acetal 71 (77%, 4 steps), which was converted to

Scheme 11 Synthesis of C17–C25 sulfone fragment 41.

41

78

aldehyde 68 via Dess-Martin oxidation buffered by pyridine. For the purpose of establishing the syn-diol relationship between C21 and C22 in the targeted C17–C25 sulfone 41 (Scheme 11), whilst simultaneously installing a PMB ether at C21 for the planned BC-spiroacetalisation, an Evans glycolate aldol reaction was selected.<sup>31</sup> This strategy would also allow the requisite C20 methoxy-bearing stereocentre to be installed by reduction of an ensuing ketone, utilising this PMB ether for chelation control.

In the event, glycolate imide 72 was accessed using a convenient three-step process from (R)-benzyloxazolidinone. 32 Enolisation of 72 (n-Bu<sub>2</sub>BOTf, Et<sub>3</sub>N, PhMe), followed by addition of aldehyde 68 then gave syn-aldol adduct 73 (76%, >20:1 dr). Transamination with N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride and subsequent TES ether formation followed by addition of allylmagnesium bromide produced ketone 74 (68%, 3 steps). Chelation-controlled reduction<sup>33</sup> of 74 was best achieved using  $Zn(BH_4)_2$ , which gave alcohol **75** (78%, 19:1 dr).

With all of the necessary stereocentres now installed, the secondary alcohol in 75 was converted into its methyl ether 76 by

reaction with Me<sub>3</sub>OBF<sub>4</sub> in the presence of proton sponge.<sup>34</sup> For the purpose of obtaining a suitable substrate for introduction of the required sulfone group by a Mitsunobu reaction, a selective three step protecting group manipulation of 76 was performed. This involved acidic cleavage of the acetal and silyl ether of 76, followed by tris-TES protection and cleavage of the primary silyl ether of 77. This latter step proved challenging, but following a screen of various conditions, treatment with HF·py/py in THF was found to selectively liberate the primary alcohol, and a Mitsunobu reaction with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (BTSH) gave sulfide 78 (99%). Finally, the synthesis of the Julia coupling partner was completed by oxidation of sulfide 78 using H2O2 in the presence of an ammonium molybdate catalyst, affording the desired C17-C25 sulfone 41 (70%).

### The C17-C24 vinyl iodide 44

For the alternative Suzuki coupling approach, access to C17-C24 vinyl iodide fragment 44 was required. In practice, this (E)vinyl iodide was installed through hydrotitanation of but-3-ynol (79) according to the procedure developed by the Sato group (Scheme 12).<sup>35</sup> Dess-Martin oxidation then afforded aldehyde **80** (89%).<sup>36</sup>

As in the earlier case, an Evans glycolate aldol coupling between imide 72 and aldehyde 80 (n-Bu<sub>2</sub>BOTf, Et<sub>3</sub>N, PhMe) proceeded smoothly to afford syn-aldol adduct 81 (77%, >20:1 dr). With the C21/C22 stereocentres in place, transamination of 81, with subsequent silvl protection, gave Weinreb amide 82. Treatment of 82 with allylmagnesium bromide and chelationcontrolled reduction of the resulting ketone with Zn(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, then afforded alcohol 83 (90%, 19:1 dr). The required C17-C24 vinyl iodide fragment 44 was then completed through methylation of the C20 alcohol (Me<sub>3</sub>OBF<sub>4</sub>) and desilylation under mildly acidic conditions (PPTS, MeOH).<sup>37</sup> Using the above

(i) Cp2TiCl2 i-BuMgCl; I2 (ii) DMP 43% 80 n-Bu<sub>2</sub>BOTf, Et<sub>3</sub>N PhMe 77%, >20:1 dr **ОРМВ** Β'n **OTES** 86% (2 steps) (i) MeNH(OMe)·HCI, ÖРМВ ÖРМВ Ме AlMe<sub>3</sub> 81 (i) AllylMgBr (ii) TESOTf (ii) Zn(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> 84%, 19:1 dr (i) Me<sub>3</sub>OBF<sub>4</sub> (ii) PPTS, MeOH **PMBO** PMBO, **OTES** OMe OH 83 44

Scheme 12 Synthesis of C17–C24 vinyl iodide fragment 44.

procedure, vinyl iodide 44 could be efficiently accessed on multi-gram scales, in seven steps and 37% yield from aldehyde 80. Notably, this expedient sequence proved to be more convenient than the much lengthier route to the corresponding sulfone 41.

### The C1-C16 alkyne 39

The optimised synthetic route to the C1-C16 alkyne 39 (Scheme 13), containing the remaining carbon atoms required for the spirastrellolide A macrocycle, evolved from strategies we had previously developed for construction of a range of related ABC-ring fragments (e.g., 16 and 17 in Scheme 1). 12b,e Alkyne 39 would now come from β-hydroxy ketone 84, itself generated from a 1.4-svn aldol reaction <sup>38,39</sup> between C1–C11 aldehyde **85** and methyl ketone 86. In turn, aldehyde 85 would arise from an intramolecular oxy-Michael addition of C1-C9 enoate 87, with subsequent chelation-controlled allylation to set the C9 stereocentre.

The synthesis of aldehyde 85 commenced with the opening of epoxide 88 (obtained in >95:5 er using Jacobsen hydrolytic resolution)<sup>40</sup> with butenylmagnesium bromide (Scheme 14). Cross metathesis<sup>41</sup> of the ensuing alkene with methyl acrylate (Grubbs II, 0.5 mol%) gave (E)-enoate 87, which then underwent a hetero-Michael reaction on treatment with t-BuOK, giving C1-C9 cis-tetrahydropyran 89 in good yield and selectivity (83%, >20:1 dr). Oxidation state adjustment at C9 afforded C1-C9 aldehyde 90, which proved a good substrate for allylation under Sakurai-type conditions<sup>42</sup> (TiCl<sub>4</sub>, 80%, 6:1 dr). This last step replaced previous reliance on stoichiometric chiral reagents to set the C9 stereocentre, 12b with the

**Scheme 13** Retrosynthetic analysis of C1–C16 alkyne fragment 39.

Scheme 14 Synthesis of C1–C11 aldehyde 85.

diastereoselectivity attributed to a  $\pi$ -facial bias for pseudo-axial attack of allyltrimethylsilane onto a  $\beta$ -alkoxy-chelated intermediate. Silyl protection of alcohol **91** and ozonolysis completed the C1–C11 aldehyde **85** in 62% overall yield from epoxide **88**.

Using our standard conditions, aldehyde 85 underwent smooth aldol coupling<sup>38</sup> with the diisopinocamphenylboron enolate derived from methyl ketone 86, to give the expected 1,4syn adduct 84 with good yield and selectivity (75%, >20:1 dr)(Scheme 15). 43 Next, an Evans-Saksena reduction 44 of ketone **84** afforded the corresponding 1,3-anti diol (92%, >20:1 dr). Subsequent formation of the PMP acetal 92 under oxidative conditions (DDQ) and silylation of the residual C11 alcohol then gave the fully protected polyol. Regioselective opening of the PMP acetal to reveal the terminal C15 alcohol in 93 proved difficult, with many conditions (including standard treatment with DIBAL) resulting in over-deprotection to afford 1,3-diol **94.** Under optimised conditions using BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub> at 100 °C, <sup>45</sup> the ratio of desired alcohol 93 to diol 94 was at best 3:1, although 94 could be easily recycled. While Corey-Fuchs alkynylation<sup>46</sup> could then be used to complete the C1-C16 alkyne fragment in good yield (not shown), the sequence was amended to include a protecting group exchange, in order to mitigate any late-stage selectivity issues with respect to deprotection at C1 in the presence of TBS ethers at C37 and C40. This entailed selectively cleaving the TBS ether at C1 (HF·py, py) to give alcohol 95, followed by PMB ether formation and conversion of the dibromoalkene to the alkyne **39** (73%, 5 steps). The newlyformed C1 PMB ether should then be cleaved to reveal the primary alcohol during the planned DDQ-mediated BC-spiroacetalisation step. This reaction sequence proved sufficiently robust to be able to afford multi-gram quantities of the C1-C16 alkyne fragment 39.

Scheme 15 Synthesis of C1–C16 alkyne fragment 39.

### The C43-C47 vinyl stannane 37

Either the (R)- or (S)-configuration of the C46 stereocentre in the side-chain stannane fragment 37 could be obtained by starting from the appropriate enantiomer of malic acid. The synthesis of (46R)-37 from (R)-malic acid (96) is shown in Scheme 16, where its antipode was prepared in an analogous fashion.

Following a literature procedure, <sup>47</sup> aldehyde **97** was prepared from **96**, <sup>48</sup> and a Corey–Fuchs reaction <sup>46</sup> afforded vinyl dibromide **98**. In order to prepare (*Z*)-vinyl stannane **99**, selective reduction of the *trans*-bromide of **98** with *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH was investigated in the presence of catalytic Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, <sup>49</sup> with the reaction monitored using <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. After isolation of the (*Z*)-vinyl bromide product, tin–halogen exchange was carried out with (Me<sub>3</sub>Sn)<sub>2</sub> and the same Pd(0) catalyst. <sup>50</sup> By following this two-step procedure, the (*Z*)-vinyl stannane **99** could be isolated

Scheme 16 Synthesis of vinyl C43–C47 stannane fragment 37.

as the only isomer, albeit in low yield. Alternatively, a one-pot procedure allowed selective debromination and sequential tinhalogen exchange to afford 99 in improved yield (50%) and with reduced effort. Using this convenient one-pot procedure, both the (R)- and (S)-dioxolanones 99 and ent-99 could be prepared in useful quantities. Finally, the corresponding methyl esters 37 and ent-37 were obtained by methanolysis (75%), such that attachment of the side chain would directly generate the spirastrellolide A methyl ester without additional manipulation.

### **Conclusions**

Due to a combination of their promising anticancer properties (as potent and selective PP2A inhibitors), the limited supply from the marine sponge source and their unprecedented molecular architecture, the spirastrellolides represent attractive yet challenging synthetic targets. A modular strategy for the synthesis of spirastrellolide A methyl ester (8), allowing for the initial stereochemical uncertainties in the assigned structure, was adopted based on the envisaged sequential coupling of a series of suitably functionalised fragments. An expedient synthesis of the northern hemisphere C26-C40 DEF-bis-spiroacetal fragment 45 by a double asymmetric dihydroxylation/acetalisation cascade sequence enabled the preparation of multi-gram quantities of this key component. The remaining fragments 37, 39, 41 and 44 were all prepared by efficient and highly stereocontrolled routes, exploiting both reagent and substrate stereocontrol.<sup>51</sup> The synthetic routes developed for all the key fragments of the spirastrellolides proved sufficiently robust to be scaled up to the extent that gram quantities could usually be produced in a single campaign. This reliable access to these functionalised fragments equipped with suitable protecting groups then set the stage for completion of the first total synthesis of spirastrellolide A methyl ester, as described in the following paper. 15

### **Experimental**

Full experimental and characterisation details are provided in the ESI.§

### Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the EPSRC, Homerton College (Research Fellowship to E. A. A.), Clare College (Research Fellowship to S. M. D.), SK Innovation (J. H. L.), Syngenta (O. L.) and the DAAD (C. M.). We thank Professor R. J. Andersen (University of British Columbia) for helpful discussions throughout this project and the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service (Swansea) for providing mass spectra.

### References

- 1 An annual review is dedicated to marine natural products: J. W. Blunt, B. R. Copp, R. A. Keyzers, M. H. G. Munro and M. R. Prinsep, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2012, 29, 144.
- 2 (a) A. M. S. Mayer, K. B. Glaser, C. Cuevas, R. S. Jacobs, W. Kem, R. D. Little, J. M. McIntosh, D. J. Newman, B. C. Potts and D. E. Schuster, Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 2010, 31, 255; (b) S. M. Dalby and I. Paterson, Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev., 2010, 13, 777; (c) M. S. Butler, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2008, **25**, 475; (d) D. J. Newman and G. M. Cragg, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2007, **70**, 461; (e) I. Paterson and E. A. Anderson, Science, 2005, 310, 451; (f) I. Paterson and K.-S. Yeung, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 4237; (g) K.-S. Yeung and I. Paterson, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 4632.
- 3 H. Ledford, Nature, 2010, 468, 608.
- 4 (a) G. J. Florence, N. M. Gardner and I. Paterson, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2008, 25, 342; (b) S. J. Mickel, D. Niederer, R. Daeffler, A. Osmani, E. Kuesters, E. Schmid, K. Schaer, R. Gamboni, W. Chen, E. Loeser, F. R. Kinder, K. Konigsberger, K. Prasad, T. M. Ramsey, O. Repic, R.-M. Wang, G. Florence, I. Lyothier and I. Paterson, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2004, 8, 122.
- 5 (a) D. E. Williams, M. Roberge, R. V. Soest and R. J. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 5296; (b) D. E. Williams, M. Lapawa, X. Feng, T. Tarling, M. Roberge and R. J. Andersen, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2607.
- 6 (a) K. Warabi, D. E. Williams, B. O. Patrick, M. Roberge and R. J. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 508; (b) D. E. Williams, R. A. Keyzers, K. Warabi, K. Desjardine, J. L. Riffell, M. Roberge and R. J. Andersen, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 9842.
- S. Matsunaga, personal communication, Laboratory of Aquatic Natural Products Chemistry, University of Tokyo.
- 8 (a) V. V. Vintonyak, A. P. Antonchick, D. Rauh and H. Waldmann, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2009, 13, 272; (b) J. L. McConnell and B. E. Wadzinski, Mol. Pharmacol., 2009, 75, 1249; (c) R. E. Honkanen and T. Golden, Curr. Med. Chem., 2002, 9, 2055; (d) A. McCluskey, A. T. R. Sim and J. A. Sakoff, J. Med. Chem., 2002, 45, 1151; (e) L. D. Klaman, O. Boss, O. D. Peroni, J. K. Kim, J. L. Martino, J. M. Zabolotny, N. Moghal, M. Lubkin, Y. B. Kim, A. H. Sharpe, A. Stricker-Krongrad, G. I. Shulman, B. G. Neel and B. B. Kahn, Mol. Cell. Biol., 2000, 20, 5479; (f) A. I. Lazarovits, S. Poppema, Z. Zhang, M. Khandaker, C. E. LeFeuvre, S. K. Singhal, B. M. Garcia, N. Ogasa, A. M. Jevnikar, M. J. White, G. Singh, C. R. Stiller and R. Z. Zhong, Nature, 1996, 380, 717.
- 9 R. Andersen, M. Roberge and D. Williams, Canada Patent, CA 2464687,
- 10 For reviews of synthetic efforts towards the spirastrellolides, see: (a) I. Paterson and S. M. Dalby, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2009, 26, 865; (b) M. V. Perkins, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2921.
- 11 (a) J. Liu and R. P. Hsung, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2273; (b) Y. Pan and J. K. De Brabander, Synlett, 2006, 853; (c) C. Wang and C. J. Forsyth, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 2997; (d) A. Fürstner, M. D. B. Fenster, B. Fasching, C. Godbout and K. Radkowski, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 5506; (e) A. Fürstner, M. D. B. Fenster, B. Fasching, C. Godbout and K. Radkowski, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 5510; (f) J. Liu, J. H. Yang, C. Ko and R. P. Hsung, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 6121; (g) A. B. Smith III and D.-S. Kim, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 3311; (h) C. Wang

- and C. J. Forsyth, Heterocycles, 2007, 72, 621; (i) A. Fürstner, B. Fasching, G. W. O'Neil, M. D. B. Fenster, D. Godbout and L. Ceccon, Chem. Commun., 2007, 3045; (j) K. A. Keaton and A. J. Phillips, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 1083; (k) J. H. Yang, J. Liu and R. P. Hsung, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2525; (I) S. Chandrasekhar, C. Rambabu and A. S. Reddy, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 4355; (m) A. B. Smith III, H. Smits and D.-S. Kim, Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 6597; (n) G. Sabitha, A. S. Rao and J. S. Yadav, Synthesis, 2010, 2010, 505; (o) J. L.-Y. Chen and M. Brimble, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 3967.
- 12 (a) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby and O. Loiseleur, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4121; (b) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby and O. Loiseleur, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4125; (c) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson and S. M. Dalby, Synthesis, 2005, 3225; (d) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby, J. H. Lim, P. Maltas and C. Moessner, Chem. Commun., 2006, 4186; (e) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby, J. Genovino, J. H. Lim and C. Moessner, Chem. Commun., 2007, 1852; (f) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby, J. H. Lim, O. Loiseleur, P. Maltas and Moessner, Pure Appl. Chem., 2007, 79, 667; (g) I. Paterson, S. M. Dalby and P. Maltas, Isr. J. Chem., 2011, 51, 406.
- 13 (a) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby, J. H. Lim, J. Genovino, P. Maltas and C. Moessner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3016; (b) I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby, J. H. Lim, J. Genovino, P. Maltas and C. Moessner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3021.
- 14 (a) G. W. O'Neil, J. Ceccon, S. Benson, M.-P. Collin, B. Fasching and A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9940; (b) S. Benson, M.-P. Collin, G. W. O'Neil, J. Ceccon, B. Fasching, M. D. B. Fenster, C. Godbout, K. Radkowski, R. Goddard and A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9946; (c) S. Benson, M.-P. Collin, A. Arlt, B. Gabor, R. Goddard and A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 8739.
- 15 I. Paterson, E. A. Anderson, S. M. Dalby, J. H. Lim and P. Maltas, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25101a.
- 16 S. M. Dalby, PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge, 2007.
- (a) J. B. Baudin, G. Hareau, S. A. Julia and O. Ruel, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 1175; (b) P. R. Blakemore, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 2563.
- 18 (a) N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2457; (b) S. R. Chemler, D. Trauner and S. J. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 4544; (c) K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger and D. Sarlah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4442.
- 19 H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze and K. B. Sharpless, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2483.
- 20 (a) I. Paterson, D. J. Wallace and S. M. Velazquez, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 9083; (b) I. Paterson and D. J. Wallace, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 9087; (c) I. Paterson, D. J. Wallace and C. J. Cowden, Synthesis, 1998, 639.
- 21 S. Hu, S. Jayaraman and A. C. Oehlschlager, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 7513.
- 22 I. Ohtani, T. Kusumi, Y. Kashman and H. Kakisawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 4092.
- 23 N. Ragoussis, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 93.
- 24 For some recent applications of this aldol reaction in other complex polyketide syntheses, see: (a) I. Paterson, V. A. Steadman neé Doughty, M. D. McLeod and T. Trieselmann, Tetrahedron, 2011, 67, 10119; (b) I. Paterson, G. J. Naylor, N. M. Gardner, E. Guzman and A. E. Wright, Chem.-Asian J., 2011, 6, 459; (c) I. Paterson and P. Rubenbauer, Synlett, 2010, 571; (d) I. Paterson, G. J. Naylor and A. E. Wright, Chem. Commun., 2008, 4628.
- 25 W. S. Mahoney, D. M. Brestensky and J. M. Stryker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 291.
- 26 B. H. Lipschutz, J. Keith, P. Papa and R. Vivian, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998,
- 27 A sample of **64** was purified for the purposes of characterisation.
- 28 The same sense of  $\pi$ -facial selectivity is induced by a hydroxyl group in the dihydroxylation of secondary allylic alcohols. (a) J. K. Cha, W. J. Christ and Y. Kishi, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 2247; (b) K. N. Houk, S. R. Moses, Y.-D. Wu, N. G. Rondan, V. Jäger, R. Schohe and F. R. Fronczek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 3880.
- 29 R. W. Hoffmann, G. Mas and T. Brandl, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2002, 3455.

- 30 T. Motozaki, K. Sawamura, A. Suzuki, K. Yoshida, T. Ueki, A. Ohara, R. Munakata, K. Takao and K. Tadano, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2261.
- 31 (a) T. K. Jones, R. A. Reamer, R. Desmond and S. G. Mills, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2998; (b) D. A. Evans, J. R. Gage, J. L. Leighton and A. S. Kim, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 1961.
- 32 Imide 72 was prepared through acylation of the lithiated oxazolidinone with BnOCH2COCl, which was then subjected to protecting group exchange to install a PMB ether. This entailed debenzylation through hydrogenation over Pearlman's catalyst and treatment of the resulting alcohol with PMBTCA in the presence of catalytic Ph<sub>3</sub>CBF<sub>4</sub> to afford 72. For experimental details, see the ESI§.
- 33 T. Nakata, T. Tanaka and T. Oishi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 2653.
- 34 D. A. Evans, A. M. Ratz, B. E. Huff and G. S. Sheppard, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7171.
- 35 (a) F. Sato and Y. Kobayashi, Org. Synth., 1990, 69, 106; (b) Y. Gao and F. Sato, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 659.
- 36 This sensitive aldehyde was best prepared and used directly for the subsequent Evans aldol addition step, as it was observed to decompose if concentrated or exposed to light.
- 37 In model studies, it was found to be necessary to cleave the TES ether in the vinyl iodide fragment to achieve the required hydroboration following the C24-C25 Suzuki coupling step.
- 38 (a) I. Paterson, J. M. Goodman and M. Isaka, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 7121; (b) I. Paterson and R. M. Oballa, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 8241.
- 39 For some recent applications of this aldol reaction in other complex polyketide syntheses, see: (a) I. Paterson, L. J. Gibson and S. B. J. Kan, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5530; (b) I. Paterson and T. Paquet, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2158; (c) I. Paterson, K. Ashton, R. Britton, G. Cecere, G. Chouraqui, G. J. Florence, H. Knust and J. Stafford, Chem.-Asian J., 2008, 3, 367.
- 40 (a) W. Zhang, J. L. Loebach, S. R. Wilson and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2801; (b) L. E. Martínez, J. L. Leighton, D. H. Carsten and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 5897.
- 41 A. K. Chatterjee, J. P. Morgan, M. Scholl and R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 3783.
- A range of other Lewis acids and allyl metals were screened but the Sakurai protocol using TiCl<sub>4</sub> was found to be optimum.
- 43 The origin of the high level of stereocontrol in such boron-mediated aldol reactions has been rationalised by computational studies: where the inherent 1,4-syn selectivity arising from a formyl H-bonded boat TS is enhanced by the use of reinforcing Ipc chiral ligands. (a) R.S. Paton and J. M. Goodman, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4299; (b) J. M. Goodman and R. S. Paton, Chem. Commun., 2007, 2124; (c) R. S. Paton and J. M. Goodman, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 1253.
- 44 D. A. Evans, K. T. Chapman and E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 3560.
- 45 I. Shiina, J. Shibata, R. Ibuka, Y. Imai and T. Mukaiyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2001, 74, 113.
- 46 E. J. Corey and P. L. Fuchs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 13, 3769.
- 47 F. E. Dutton, B. H. Lee, S. S. Johnson, E. M. Coscarelli and P. H. Lee, J. Med. Chem., 2003, 46, 2057.
- 48 This preparation required considerable care as the intermediate alcohols have the potential to cyclise and form the undesired  $\alpha$ -hydroxy- $\gamma$ -butyrolactone. Furthermore, the unstable character of aldehyde 96 prevented the use of conventional purification methods, leading to 37% yield obtained over 3 steps.
- 49 (a) J. Uenishi, R. Kawahama, O. Yonemitsu and J. Tsuji, J. Org. Chem., 1996, **61**, 5716; (b) J. Uenishi, R. Kawahama, O. Yonemitsu and J. Tsuji, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 8965.
- 50 (a) W. D. Wulff, G. A. Peterson, W. E. Bauta, K.-S. Chan, K. L. Faron, S. R. Gilbertson, R. W. Kaesler, D. C. Yang and C. K. Murray, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 277; (b) W. J. Scott and J. K. Stille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 3033; (c) C. D. Vanderwal, D. A. Vosburg and E. J. Sorensen, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 4307.
- 51 Yields over longest linear sequences for fragments: alkyne 39: 11.6% over 18 steps from 88; DEF spiroacetal 45: 24.5% over 16 steps from 53; vinyl iodide 44: 22% over 9 steps from 79; sulphone 41: 5.4% over 17 steps from 67; stannane 37: 30.4% over 3 steps from 97.