Template Synthesis of Donor-Functionalized NX-Carbenes (X = P, Si)

Insun Yu, Christopher J. Wallis, Brian O. Patrick, and Parisa Mehrkhodavandi*

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, 2036 Main Mall, Vancouver,

British Columbia, Canada

Received August 18, 2009

Summary: Donor-functionalized (phosphino)(amino)- and (silyl)(amino)carbenes are generated via nucleophilic attack at the carbon atom of a coordinated isocyande on a piano-stool iron(II) complex. The template synthesis methodology involves the formation of ylidene complexes, which are reduced to yield the desired carbene complexes. The electronic properties of the resulting carbene complexes are similar and indicate that in the (phosphino)(amino)carbene the phosphine lone pair is not interacting with the carbene carbon and is available for further reactivity.

Changing the carbon-bound substituents of stable singlet carbones can have a profound effect on their steric and electronic properties as ligands.^{1,2} A large collection of Nheterocyclic carbones (NHCs) with varied N-bound substituents and their donor-functionalized analogues have been reported.¹ Whereas NHCs use two C-bound donors to stabilize the carbone (push-push carbones), early work on acyclic carbones² and recent expansion of the field to stable cyclic (alkyl)(amino)carbones (CAACs)^{3a} have demonstrated that "push-spectator" carbones (NX-carbones; X = C, P, Si) can be stronger donors than NHCs and, with

(2) For representative reviews on non-NHCs see: (a) Vignolle, J.;
Cattoën, X.; Bourissou, D. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3333-3384.
(b) Arnold, P. L; Pearson, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 596-609.
(c) Jones, N. D.; Cavell, R. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5485-5496.
(d) Canac, Y.; Soleilhavoup, M.; Conejero, S.; Bertrand, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 3857-3865. (e) Bourissou, D.; Guerret, O.; Gabbai, F. P.; Bertrand, G. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 39-91.

Bertrand, G. *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 39–91.
 (3) (a) Lavallo, V.; Canac, Y.; Prasang, C.; Donnadieu, B.; Bertrand, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 5705–5709. (b) Anderson, D. R.; Lavallo, V.; O'Leary, D. J.; Bertrand, G.; Grubbs, R. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 7262–7265.

(4) (a) Cantat, T.; Mézailles, N.; Maigrot, N.; Richard, L.; Le Floch,
P. *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 1274–1275. (b) Ruiz, J.; Mosquera, M. E. G.;
García, G.; Patren, E.; Riera, V.; García-Granda, S.; Van der Maelen, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 4767–4771.

(5) (a) Asay, M.; Kato, T.; Saffon-Merceron, N.; Cossio, F. P.; Baceiredo, A.; Bertrand, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 1–5. (b) Masuda, J. D.; Martin, D.; Lyon-Saunier, C.; Baceiredo, A.; Gornitzka, H.; Donnadieu, b.; Bertrand, G. *Chem. Asian J.* **2007**, *2*, 178–187. (c) Martin, D.; Baceiredo, A.; Gornitzka, H.; Schoeller, W. W.; Bertrand, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1700–1703.

(6) (a) Merceron-Saffon, N.; Gornitzka, H.; Baceiredo, A.; Bertrand, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 1431–1435. (b) Teuma, E.; Lyon-Saunier, C.; Gornitzka, H.; Mignani, G.; Baceiredo, A.; Bertrand, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5541–5545. (c) Canac, Y.; Conejero, S.; Donnadieu, B.; Schoeller, W. W.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7312–7313. (d) Merceron, N.; Miqueu, K.; Baceiredo, A.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6806–6807.

pubs.acs.org/Organometallics

a judicious choice of alkyl substituents, can lead to efficient catalysis. $^{\rm 3b}$

ORGANOMETALLICS

P- or Si-functionalized carbenes remain largely unexplored as ligands,^{2a,d,4} although rare examples were shown to be excellent ligands for rhodium.^{5,6} Challenges in the synthesis of the free carbenes and in the formation of complexes via ligand substitution pathways have severely limited the utility of these species as ligands. Donor-functionalized analogues of these carbenes are hitherto unknown.

Herein we report donor-functionalized (phosphino)-(amino)- and (silyl)(amino)carbenes formed using template synthesis.^{7,8} Recently, template synthesis via nucleophilic attack at the carbon atom of a coordinated isocyanide^{9,10} has been used to form M-NHC complexes with an H substituent at the N-position. These complexes were further utilized to form the first NHC-containing macrocycles,¹¹ but to our knowledge this strategy has not been used for P- or Sisubstituted carbenes. Our general methodology involves nucleophilic attack on a chelating isocyanide-triphenylphosphine ligand coordinated to a CpFe(CO) fragment, complex **1**, to form ylidene complexes followed by protonation of the resulting imine to yield the desired carbene complexes (Scheme 1).^{12,13}

The reaction of the iron isocyanide complex 1^{12} with 1 equiv of KPPh₂ or KSiPh₃ in THF at -78 °C affords the corresponding iron ylidene complexes 2 and 3, respectively.¹⁴

(9) (a) Tamm, M.; Hahn, F. E. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1999, *182*, 175–209.
(b) Basato, M.; Michelin, R. A.; Mozzon, M.; Sgarbossa, P.; Tassan, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2005, *690*, 5414–5420.

(10) (a) Ruiz, J.; García, G.; Mosquera, M. E. G.; Perandones, B. F.; Gonzalo, M. P.; Vivanco, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8584–8585.
(b) Ruiz, J.; Perandones, B. F.; García, G.; Mosquera, M. E. G. Organometallics 2007, 26, 5687–5695.

(11) (a) Hahn, F. E.; Langenhahn, V.; Pape, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5390–5392. (b) Hahn, F. E.; Langenhahn, V.; Lügger, T.; Pape, T.; Le Van, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3759–3763. (c) Kaufhold, O.; Stasch, A.; Pape, T.; Hepp, A.; Edwards, P. G.; Newman, P. D.; Hahn, F. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 306–317.

(12) (a) Liu, C.-Y.; Chen, D.-Y.; Cheng, M.-C.; Peng, S.-M.; Liu, S.-T. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 1983–1991. (b) Ku, R.-Z.; Chen, D.-Y.; Lee, G.-H.; Peng, S.-M.; Liu, S.-T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1997**, *36*, 2631–2632.

(13) Liu et al. reported a diaminocarbene-phosphine complex via the well-known nucleophilic addition of *n*-propylamine to a coordinated isocyanide complex, 1 (ref 12a). We have found analogous reactions with the less nucleophilic HPPh₂ and HSiPh₃ to be impossible.

(14) Complex 3 was obtained in 28% isolated yield along with an unidentified byproduct (10% isolated yield).

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: mehr@chem.ubc.ca.

⁽¹⁾ For recent reviews on NHCs and donor-functionalized analogues see: (a) de Frémonta, P.; Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *253*, 862–892. (b) Jacobsen, H.; Correa, A.; Poater, A.; Costabile, C.; Cavallo, L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *253*, 687–703. (c) Hahn, F. E.; Jahnke, M. C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3122–3172. (d) Herrmann, W. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1290–1309.

⁽⁷⁾ For cyclic C-amino phosphorus ylides as a source of bidentate phosphine/aminocarbene ligands for transition metals see: Vignolle, J.; Donnadieu, B.; Bourissou, D.; le Soleilhavoup, M.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, *128*, 14810–14811.

⁽⁸⁾ For intramolecular stabilization of (amino)(silyl)carbene complexes via interaction of the metal center with the silicon substituent see: Schwarz, M.; Kickelbick, G.; Schubert, U. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 1811–1817.





The molecular structures of **2** and **3** were determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography and show octahedral piano-stool iron centers similar to that of the reported isocyanide complex 1.¹² The metal-bound carbon atoms in **2** and **3** are planar. The Fe–C_{ylidene} distances in **2** and **3** of 2.005(3) and 1.997(3) Å are significantly longer than the Fe–C_{isocyanide} distance reported for **1** (1.77 Å), indicating that the latter has a greater bond order than the Fe–C_{ylidene} bonds. In contrast to the sole reported Rh-NPC complex^{6a,b} the ylidene-bound phosphorus atom in **2** is not coordinated to the metal. In this case η^2 coordination is not expected because complex **2** features a coordinatively saturated iron center.¹⁵

The reaction of the ylidene complexes 2 and 3 with an equimolar amount of HBF₄ in CHCl₃ at -35 °C forms the corresponding carbene complexes 4 and 5 (Scheme 1). The (silvl)(amino)carbene complex 5 was obtained in 97% isolated yield; however, although the analogous (phosphino)-(amino)carbene complex 4 is formed quantitatively, it decomposes in solution and more slowly in the solid state (see below). The characteristic downfield N-H¹H NMR resonances at 9.64 and 10.62 ppm confirm the formation of the carbene complexes 4 and 5, respectively; the resonance for the related diaminocarbene iron complex appears at 7.97 ppm.^{12a,13} The ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for 4 and 5 show significantly deshielded Fe-Ccarbene signals at 276.4 and 299.4 ppm. These downfield signals are indicative of push-spectator carbenes;^{2a} the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR signals for reported complexes of push-push carbenes are significantly more upfield.6a,b The (silyl)(amino)carbene fragment does not behave as a push-pull carbene and instead is analogous to aryl- or alkylamino carbenes.6c

A THF solution of the (phosphino)(amino)carbene complex **4** reverts to the isocyanide complex **1** and diphenylphosphine in a few hours, although traces of **1** are evident after only a few minutes at room temperature (Scheme 2).¹⁶ The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **4** shows two sets of doublets at 38.1 and 51.0 ppm for the Fe- and carbene-bound phosphines, respectively (${}^{3}J_{P-P} = 12$ Hz). Over time, these signals disappear and new signals for Fe-*P* in **1** (54.4 ppm) and diphenylphosphine (-40 ppm) appear. The reaction likely occurs via intramolecular deprotonation of the cyclic amine by the carbene-bound diphenylphosphine, followed

Scheme 2. Conversion of Carbene Complex 4 to 1 and HPPh₂



by phosphine elimination.^{6d} This indicates that, unlike the amino functionality, there is no π contribution from the phosphino moiety; it retains its lone pair and is able to deprotonate the amine. A similar reaction is not observed with the analogous diaminocarbene complex.^{12a,13}

The carbonyl stretching frequencies of complexes 2–5 were used to gauge the electronic differences between the ligands. The ylidene complexes 2 and 3 had $\nu_{\rm CO}$ stretches at 1912 and 1915 cm⁻¹, respectively, while the carbene complexes 4 and 5 had stretches at 1956 and 1959 cm⁻¹. Although the ylidene complexes 2 and 3 are neutral while the carbene complexes 4 and 5 are cationic and thus a direct comparison between them is not meaningful, the progressive increase in the stretching frequencies of the latter suggests a decreased electron density on the metal and corresponds to the decrease in π electron contribution to the carbene center as substituents are changed from N ($\nu_{\rm CO}$ 1949 cm⁻¹) to P to Si.

In this work we have described the first examples of donorfunctionalized NX-carbenes (X = P, Si), which were generated via a two-step template synthesis on a piano-stool iron(II) complex. Spectroscopic evaluation of these complexes indicates that although they are both "push-spectator" carbenes, they are nevertheless electronically distinct. This work opens up avenues for template synthesis of currently inaccessible acyclic and cyclic carbenes. We are conducting computational studies to elucidate the electronic properties of these systems and will expand this methodology to a series of acyclic and cyclic carbene complexes on Ru and Rh centers.

Experimental Section

General Methods. Unless otherwise specified all procedures were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques or in an MBraun glovebox. A Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer and Bruker Avance 400dir MHz spectrometer were used to record the ¹H NMR, ¹³C{¹H} NMR, and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra. ¹H NMR chemical shifts are given in ppm versus residual protons in deuterated solvents as follows: δ 5.32 for CD_2Cl_2 and δ 7.27 for $CDCl_3$. ¹³C{¹H} NMR chemical shifts are given in ppm versus residual ¹³C in solvents as follows: δ 54.00 for CD_2Cl_2 and δ 77.23 for $CDCl_3$. ³¹P{¹H} NMR chemical shifts are given in ppm versus 85% H₃PO₄ set at 0.00 ppm. A Waters/Micromass LCT mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray (ESI) ion source and a Kratos-50 mass spectrometer equipped with an electron impact ionization (EI) source were used to record low-resolution and high-resolution spectra. IR spectra were obtained on a Thermo Scientific FT-IR spectrometer (Nicolet 4700). Diffraction measurements for X-ray crystallography were made on a Bruker X8 APEX II diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares using the SHELXTL crystallographic software of Bruker-AXS. Unless specified, all non-hydrogens were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, and all hydrogen atoms were constrained to geometrically calculated positions but were not refined. CHN analysis was performed using a Carlo Erba EA1108 elemental analyzer. The elemental composition of unknown samples was determined by using a

⁽¹⁵⁾ Despagnet, E.; Miqueu, K.; Gornitzka, H.; Dyer, P. W.; Bourissou, D.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11834–11835.

⁽¹⁶⁾ The decomposition occurs at room temperature as well as at -35 °C over several hours. The phosphine release from 6 and formation of 1 was monitored by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy (see SI). The direct reaction of isocyanide complex 1 with HPPh₂ does not result in the formation of carbene complex 7.



Figure 1. Molecular structures of **2** (left) and **3** (right) (thermal ellipsoids at 35% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): **2** C1–Fe1 1.732(5), C2–Fe1 1.997(3), P1–Fe1 2.173(5), C1–O1 1.158(2), C2–N1 1.262(2), C2–P2 1.885(2), P2–C2–Fe1 111.7(9). **3** C1–Fe1 1.741(3), C2–Fe1 2.005(3), P1–Fe1 2.186(9), C1–O1 1.163(3), C2–N1 1.289(4), C2–Si1 1.913(3), Si1–C2–Fe1 122.6(8).

calibration factor. The calibration factor was determined by analyzing a suitable certified organic standard (OAS) of a known elemental composition.

All solvents were degassed and dried using 3 Å molecular sieves in an MBraun solvent purification system. THF, Et₂O, and C₆H₆(C₆D₆) were further dried over Na/benzophenone and distilled under N₂. CH₃CN (CD₃CN), CH₂Cl₂ (CD₂Cl₂), and CHCl₃ (CDCl₃) were dried over CaH₂ and vacuum-transferred to a Strauss flask and then degassed through a series of freeze–pump–thaw cycles. Deuterium-labeled NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratory. Other chemicals and solvents were purchased from Aldrich, Fisher, Alfa Aesar, or Strem and were used without further purification. 1-Azido-3-chloropropane, *N*-(3-chloroprophyl)triphenylphosphinimine, N-(3-(diphenylphosphino)propyl)triphenylphosphinimine, CpFe(CO)₂I, complex 1, ¹ KPPh₂, ² and KSiPh₃³ were prepared according to literature procedures.

Synthesis of Complex 2. A solution of KPPh₂ was prepared by adding a THF solution (10 mL) of diphenylphosphine (0.70 g, 3.78 mmol) to potassium metal (0.18 g, 4.62 mg/atom) in THF (10 mL) dropwise at -78 °C, and the resulting solution was stirred at -78 °C for 12 h. The solution of KPPh₂ was filtered at room temperature through Celite to remove the excess potassium metal. The filtered solution was added dropwise to a suspension of the iron(II) isocyanide-phosphine complex (1) (2.00 g, 3.78 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at $-78 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Half of the solvent (ca. 15 mL) was removed in vacuo. The resulting yellow suspension was filtered, and the collected precipitate was washed twice with a minimal amount of THF. The yellow precipitate was redissolved in benzene, and the solution was filtered through Celite to remove KI. The solvent was removed in vacuo to yield complex 2 as a yellow powder (1.78 g, 80%). X-ray quality yellow needles were grown in CDCl₃ at room temperature: IR (CDCl₃) 1912 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CO}); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.52 (m, 1 H), 2.00-2.25 (m, 1 H), 2.73 (td, J = 12.97, 2.88 Hz, 1 H), 3.02-3.17 (m, 1 H), 3.63 (t, J = 10.51Hz, 1 H), 3.91 (d, J = 1.37 Hz, 5 H), 4.57 (dd, J = 10.43, 7.96 Hz, 1 H), 7.10-7.23 (m, 5 H), 7.32-7.43 (m, 6 H), 7.44-7.54 (m, 5H) 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.86–7.98 (m, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.04 (s, 1 C), 36.97 (d, J = 20.08 Hz, 1 C), 56.05 (d, J = 19.64, 1 C), 84.00 (s, 5C), 126.64–143.15 (m, 24 C), 214.30 (dd, J = 70.57, 23.96 Hz, -C=N-), 221.04 (dd, J = 16.91)(dd, $J = 23.76 \text{ Hz}, -\text{CO}); {}^{31}\text{P}\{^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.51 (d, $J = 23.76 \text{ Hz}, -\text{C-PPh}_{2}), 57.28$ (d, $J = 23.76 \text{ Hz}, \text{Fe-PPh}_{2}-);$ MS (ESI, *m/z*) calcd mass 588.1309, obsd mass 588.1319 (M+). (This compound slowly decomposes in the solid state at -35 °C; EA was not possible.)

Synthesis of Complex 3. Triphenylsilylpotassium (KSiPh₃) was obtained as a yellow precipitate by reacting a solution of hexaphenyldisilane (0.40 g, 0.76 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) with potassium metal (0.12 g, 3.04 mg/atom) at 35 °C under static vacuum for 48 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the yellow residue was dissolved in THF (20 mL). This solution was filtered and added dropwise to a suspension of the iron(II) isocyanide-phosphine complex (1) (0.79 g, 1.52 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at -78 °C, and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature with stirring overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue redissolved in benzene, and the solution filtered through Celite to remove KI. The solvent was again removed in vacuo to collect a dark yellow precipitate. In order to purify the product, the precipitate was left as a suspension in Et₂O (20 mL) at room temperature overnight and then filtered. The filtrate, containing a major amount of byproduct and a small amount of complex 3, was removed as a brown solution. These steps were repeated four times until complex 3 was isolated as a yellow solid (0.282 g, 28%). X-ray quality orange prisms of complex 3 were grown in CDCl₃ at -35 °C: IR (CDCl₃) 1915.17 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CO}); ¹H NMR (400 Hz, CDCl₃) δ 1.75–2.09 (m, 2 H), 2.57–2.80 (m, 2 H), 4.03 (t, J = 10.28 Hz, 1 H), 4.22 (s, 5H), 5.02–5.14 (m, 1 H), 7.11–7.23 (m, 4 H), 7.29-7.40 (m, 13 H), 7.41-7.55 (m, 2 H), 7.67-7.79 (m, 5H); $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.64 (s, 1C), 34.52 (d, J = 20.99 Hz, 1C), 59.57 (d, J = 5.65 Hz, 1C), 83.40 (s, 5C), 127.22–140.44 (m, 30C), 219.49 (d, J = 36.33 Hz, -CO), 234.38 (d, J = 16.15 Hz, -C=N–); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 58.37 (s, 1P); MS (ESI, m/z) calc mass 662.1731, obsd mass 662.1736 (M+). Anal. Calcd for 3 ($[C_{40}H_{36}FeNOPSi] \cdot 1/2$ -[CHCl₃]): N, 1.94; C, 67.44; H, 5.10. Found: N, 2.54; C, 67.34; H. 5.29.

Synthesis of Complex 4. A solution of complex 2 (0.61 g, 1.03 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was cooled to -35 °C, and an equimolar solution of $6.2 \text{ M HBF}_4(0.17 \text{ mL})$ in diethyl ether was added dropwise using a microsyringe. The solution was warmed to room temperature for 5 min, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and complex **4** was collected as a yellow solid. To separate complex 4 from decomposition products iron(II) isocyanide-phosphine (1) and diphenylphosphine, the product was suspended in THF overnight at room temperature. The suspension was filtered through a frit to collect the product **4** (0.208 g, 30%): IR (CDCl₃) 1955.87 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CO}); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 1.37 (br s., 1 H), 2.20-2.48 (m, 1 H), 3.10-3.41 (m, 2 H), 4.17 (s, 6 H), 4.29 (br s, 1 H), 7.22 (br s., 2 H), 7.31-7.39 (m, 4 H), 7.43-7.55 (m, 6 H), 7.60 (d, J = 6.04 Hz, 3 H), 7.70 (d, J = 9.06 Hz, 2 H), 7.87 (t, J = 7.00 Hz, 2 H), 9.64 (br s, 1 H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ 23.68 (br s, 1 C), 34.94 (d, J = 24.48 Hz, 1 C), 51.47 (br s, 1 C), 85.93 (s, 5C), 128.62–139.56 (m, 24 C), 217.17 (dd, J = 19.58, 8.97 Hz, –CO), 276.39 (dd, J = 61.20, 23.66 Hz, =C-NH–); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 38.05 (d, J = 11.88 Hz, –C-PPh₂), 50.98 (d, J = 11.88 Hz, Fe-PPh₂–); MS (ESI, m/z) calcd mass 588.1309, obsd mass 588.1298 (M+). (This compound slowly decomposes in the solid state at –35 °C and in solution; EA was not possible.)

Synthesis of Complex 5. A solution of HBF₄ (6.2 M, 0.012 mL, 0.074 mmol) in Et₂O was added to a solution of complex 3 (0.05 g, 0.08 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 mL) at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was then removed *in vacuo*. Et₂O was added to the residue to precipitate complex 5 as a yellow solid (0.058 g, 97%): IR (CDCl₃) 1958.83 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CO}); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.46 (br s, 1 H), 2.23–2.53 (m, 1 H), 2.90 (t, *J* = 12.91, 1 H), 3.25–3.45 (m, 1 H), 4.40 (br s, 6 H), 4.50 (br s, 1 H), 6.95–7.14 (m, 3 H), 7.26–7.77 (m, 22 H), 10.62 (br s, 1 H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR

(400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.09 (s, 1C), 34.99 (d, J = 22.61 Hz, 1C), 54.28 (br s, 1C), 85.80 (s, 5C), 127.86–138.89 (m, 30C), 216.45 (d, J = 32.29 Hz, –CO), 299.38 (br s, =C-NH–); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.42; MS (ESI, m/z) calcd mass 662.1732, obsd mass 662.1747 (M+). Anal. Calcd for **5** (C₄₀H₃₇BF₄FeNOPSi): N, 1.87; C, 64.11; H, 4.98. Found: N, 2.17; C, 64.24; H, 5.21.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank UBC, NSERC, CFI, and BCKDF for funding, and Prof. Paula Diaconescu for thought-provoking discussions.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and crystallographic details as well as observation of the decomposition of 7 to 1 and HPPh₂. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.