X. Jiang et al.

Letter

Palladium-Catalyzed Allylation of Polyfluoroarenes with Allylic Pivalates

Α

Xinpeng Jiang^a Yong Liu^a Lei Zhang^a Jinkang Chen^a Kang Cheng^a Chuanming Yu *a.b⁽²⁾

^a College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. of China
^b Collaborative Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta Region Green Pharmaceuticals, Zhejiang University of Technology,

Hangzhou 310014, P. R. of China ycm@zjut.edu.cn $R \rightarrow P^{\text{c}} OPiv + H^{\text{c}} P^{\text{c}} Piv + H^{\text{c}} Piv + H^{\text{c}} Piv + H^{\text{c}} Piv + Piv +$

Received: 04.07.2017 Accepted after revision: 03.09.2017 Published online: 19.09.2017 DOI: 10.1055/s-0036-1590914; Art ID: st-2017-w0541-l

Abstract An efficient 1,5-cyclooctadiene–PdCl₂/dicyclohexyl(2',4',6'-triisopropylbiphenyl-2-yl)phosphine (XPhos) catalytic system was developed for C–H allylation of polyfluoroarenes with allylic pivalates. The reactions showed excellent functional-group tolerance, good yields, and high regioselectivities. Mechanistic investigations supported a (π -allyl)palladium complex pathway through a directed oxidative addition of the allylic pivalate to palladium, followed by sequential nucleophilic attack by the polyfluorobenzene and reductive elimination. In a gramscale reaction, a palladium loading of 0.5 mol% was enough to afford the required product in good yield.

Key words polyfluoroarenes, allylation, palladium catalysis, C–H functionalization, fluoro compounds

Owing to their unique physicochemical and biological properties, polyfluoroarenes have been widely used in life science,¹ agrochemicals,² and materials science.³ Because the conventional Friedel-Crafts reaction is limited to electron-rich substrates.⁴ the development of efficient methods to functionalize these important structural motifs is therefore highly valuable.⁵ Although the allyl group is synthetically useful due to its diverse functional-group transformations through simple treatments,⁶ few examples have been reported of direct transition-metal-catalyzed allylations of electron-deficient polyfluoroarenes (Scheme 1a). As an alternative, stoichiometric amounts of aryl metal reagents have been used to generate allylic polyfluoroarenes.⁷ Only a few groups have reported direct allylations of polyfluoroarenes. For instance, Zhang and co-workers reported palladium-catalyzed allylations of polyfluorobenzenes with allylic carbonates8 or allylic halides.9 Similarly, Miura and coworkers employed allyl phosphates in the presence of a [Cu(acac)₂]/1,10-phenanthroline catalyst system,¹⁰ and Xie and Chang developed a copper/N-heterocyclic carbene catalyzed C–H allylation under mild conditions.¹¹ Nevertheless, most of these methods require either substrates containing specific leaving groups that are difficult to install or relatively high catalyst loadings. Consequently, it would be highly desirable to develop a method to synthesize allylated polyfluoroarenes that would overcome these limitations. We recently developed a series of methods to synthesize functionalized polyfluoroarenes¹² and polyfluoroheterocycles.¹³ In a continuation of this work, we report a simple palladium-catalyzed allylation reaction with allylic pivalates for the synthesis of allyl-substituted polyfluorobenzenes (Scheme 1b).



Scheme 1 Approaches to allylation of electron-deficient arenes

We commenced our study by choosing cinnamyl acetate [(E)-2a] and pentafluorobenzene (1a) as substrates. Initially, the reaction of pentafluorobenzene (1a) with cinnamyl acetate [(E)-2a] was investigated in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%) and PPh₃ (10 mol%) in toluene at 120 °C. We were please to observe the formation of coupling product 4a in 68% yield (Table 1, entry 1). When the leaving group was changed to OPiv, the yield of 4a reached 87% (entry 2). Other transition-metal catalysts, such as Cu(OAC)₂ and NiCl₂, proved to be ineffective with these substrates (entries 3 and 4).

Synlett

X. Jiang et al.

 Table 1
 Screening of Substrate Leaving Groups and Transition-Metal

 Catalysts^a
 Image: Catalysts^a

F ₅	+ Ph OR R = Ac (<i>E</i>)-2a R = Piv (<i>E</i>)-3a	cat. (5 mol%) PPh ₃ (10 mol%) Cs ₂ CO ₃ (1.2 equiv)	Ph F ₅
Entry	R	Catalyst	Yield [♭] (%)
1	Ac	Pd(OAc) ₂	68
2	Piv	Pd(OAc) ₂	87
3	Piv	NiCl ₂	NR ^c
4	Piv	Cu(OAc) ₂	trace

^a Reaction conditions: (*E*)-**2a** or (*E*)-**3a** (1.0 mmol), **1a** (2.0 equiv), Cs₂CO₃ (1.2 equiv), catalyst (5 mol%), PPh₃ (10 mol%), PhMe (3.0 mL), 120 °C, 8 h, under argon.

^b Yield of the isolated product.

^c NR = no reaction.

Encouraged by these results, we chose pentafluorobenzene (**1a**) and allylic pivalate [(*E*)-**3a**] for further optimization of the reaction conditions (Table 2). In the absence of a ligand,⁹ the reaction in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (dba = dibenzylideneacetone) or Pd(OAc)₂ afforded 4a in 17 and 18% yield, respectively (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). Various ligands were then evaluated in the presence of 5 mol% of Pd(OAc)₂. The nitrogen ligand 2,2'-bipyridine gave a trace of the product (entry 4); consequently, we screened various phosphine ligands. The bidentate ligands 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf), bis(diphenylphosphino)propane (dppp), and (R)-BINAP were less effective than PPh₃ (entries 5–7), possibly due to hampered formation of the $(\pi$ -allyl)palladium pivalate, which is important for the efficiency of the reaction.⁹ It's worth noting that (R)-BINAP produced a small amount of isomer **5a** along with required product 4a (4a/5a = 10:1). Fortunately, the monodentate ligand dicyclohexyl(2',4',6'-triisopropylbiphenyl-2-yl)phosphine (XPhos) gave a higher yield under similar conditions (entry 8). Further investigation of the palladium catalyst showed that Pd(PPh₃)₄ gave a lower yield and selectivity (entry 9). The Pd(II) catalysts Pd(TFA)₂, PdCl₂, and $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ afforded the corresponding products in 83, 68, and 85% yield, respectively (entries 10–12). When $Pd(acac)_2$ was used, a 91% yield of 4a was obtained with lower regioselectivity (4a/5a = 15:1) (entry 13). The best palladium catalyst was found to be COD-PdCl₂, giving 98% of 4a with high regioselectivity (4a/5a > 33:1) (entry 14). With respect to the base, K₂CO₃ gave a lower yield, suggesting that strong basic conditions are essential for this reaction (entry 15).

Next, the scope of the substituted allylic pivalates was examined under the optimal reaction conditions (Scheme 2). Generally, substrates bearing an electron-donating group afforded the required products **4a**–**e** in good yields (81–98%), whereas pivalates substituted with elec5a





Entry	Catalyst	Ligand	Yield ^b (%)	4a/5a ^c	
1	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	87	25:1	
2	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	-	17	> 33:1	
3	Pd(OAc) ₂	-	18	20:1	
4	Pd(OAc) ₂	Ьру	trace	-	
5	Pd(OAc) ₂	dppf	64	>33:1	
6	Pd(OAc) ₂	dppp	79	33:1	
7	Pd(OAc) ₂	(R)-BINAP	75	10:1	
8	Pd(OAc) ₂	XPhos	89	>33:1	
9	$Pd(PPh_3)_4$	XPhos	43	15:1	
10	Pd(TFA) ₂	XPhos	83	20:1	
11	PdCl ₂	XPhos	68	20:1	
12	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	XPhos	85	25:1	
13	Pd(acac) ₂	XPhos	91	15:1	
14	COD-PdCl ₂	XPhos	98	>33:1	
15 ^d	COD-PdCl ₂	XPhos	74	>33:1	

^a Reagents and conditions: (*E*)-**3a** (1.0 mmol), **1a** (2.0 equiv), Cs₂CO₃ (1.2 equiv), catalyst (5 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), PhMe (3.0 mL), 120 $^{\circ}$ C, 8 h, under aroon.

^b Yield of the isolated product.

^c Determined by ¹H NMR and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

^d The base was replaced with K_2CO_3 (1.2 equiv).

tron-withdrawing groups gave lower yields (68–83%) (Scheme 2; **4f** and **4g**). Interestingly, when (*E*)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)allyl pivalate was used, the three-component coupling product **4h** was obtained in good yield (76%) due to a sequential $C(sp^2)$ –Cl bond activation. Sterically hindered and less-reactive aliphatic allylic pivalates gave products **4i** and **4j** in 71% and 60% yield, respectively. Furthermore, heterocyclic-substituted allylic pivalates also give products **4j** and **4k** in 58 and 63% yield, respectively.

To further extend the scope of this method, various fluoroarenes **1** containing two to four fluorine atoms were tested. In general, the yields increased with increasing number of fluorine atoms (Scheme 3). Substrates containing four fluorine atoms gave products **6a–d** in 73–84% yield, regardless of the electronic properties of the substituent groups. Furthermore, those substrates possessing more than one reaction site afforded the corresponding monoallylated products **6c** and **6d** in good yields. For 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene, a moderate yield of **6e** was obtained under the standard conditions. We also detected trace amounts (<2%)

В

Synlett

X. Jiang et al.

Letter



С

Scheme 2 Reaction of 1a with various allylic pivalates (*E*)-3. *Reaction conditions*: (*E*)-3 (1 mmol), 1a (2 equiv), COD–PdCl₂ (5 mol%), XPhos (10 mol%), Cs₂CO₃ (1.2 equiv), toluene (3 mL) 120 °C, 8 h, under argon. 4/5 > 20:1, unless otherwise specified. ^a Reaction conditions: (*E*)-3 (1 mmol), 1a (4 equiv), Cs₂CO₃ (2.4 equiv), COD–PdCl₂ (10 mol%), XPhos (20 mol%), toluene (4 mL), 120 °C, 8 h, under argon.



Scheme 3 Cross-coupling reaction of fluoroarenes 1 with allylic pivalates (*E*)-3b. *Reaction conditions*: (*E*)-3b (1 mmol), 1 (2 equiv), Cs₂CO₃ (1.2 equiv), COD-PdCl₂ (5 mol%), XPhos (10 mol%), PhMe (3 mL), 120 °C, 8 h, under argon.

^a Minor bisallylic products were also detected by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (see Supporting Information).

Letter

Synlett

X. Jiang et al.

of the corresponding bisallylic products for substrates containing four fluorine atoms. Note that 1,3-difluorobenzene, an unsuitable substrate in previous studies,^{8,10} provided the corresponding product **6f** in moderate yield, whereas monofluorobenzenes such as 3-fluorobenzonitrile did not react owing to the low acidity of the C–H bond to be activated.

With these results in hand, we conducted a gram-scale experiment with pivalate **3a** and pentafluorobenzene (**1a**) under the standard conditions (Scheme 4). Because 5 mol% of the palladium catalyst would be expensive if the reaction were scaled up, we reduced the amount of COD–PdCl₂ to 0.5 mol%, and the reaction was complete within eight hours, giving the required product **4a** in 80% yield.



To investigate the mechanism, we examined the reaction of the (Z)-allylic pivalate (Z)-**3a** with pentafluorobenzene (**1a**) under the standard conditions (Scheme 5). The linear (E)-allylated product **4a** was obtained in 87% yield



Scheme 5 Cross-coupling of pentafluorobenzene (**1a**) with (*Z*)-3-phenylallyl pivalate [(*Z*)-**3a**]

with no (*Z*)-allylated or branched isomers observed. The high stereo- and regioselectivity indicated that $(\pi$ -allyl)palladium intermediates are formed in the catalytic reaction.

On the basis of the above experiment and reports on kinetic isotope effect studies,^{8,9} the reaction does not involve a concerted metalation/deprotonation process. A plausible reaction mechanism is therefore proposed in Scheme 6. Initially, Pd⁰ is generated from COD–PdCl₂ and XPhos. Then, the (π -allyl)palladium complex I is formed by oxidative addition of the allylic pivalate to the Pd⁰ species. Subsequently, the base-deprotonated polyfluoroaromatic undergoes nucleophilic attack by the (π -allyl)palladium complex I to form a (polyfluoroaryl)(allyl)palladium complex III. Finally, reductive elimination leads to the expected allylated compound, with regeneration of the Pd⁰ species.

In summary, we have developed a highly efficient COD– PdCl₂/XPhos-catalyzed allylation of polyfluorobenzenes to synthesize a series of allylfluorobenzenes by means of a C–H bond-functionalization reaction.¹⁴ The new method has excellent functional-group tolerance, competitive yields, and excellent selectivity. Last, but not least, the high reactivity of new catalyst system permits the use of a catalyst loading of as little as 0.5 mol%, making such allylation reactions industrially attractive. Further investigations and applications of this strategy are underway in our laboratory.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (grants numbers 21676252 and 21506191).

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1590914.



Synlett

X. Jiang et al.

References and Notes

- (a) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320. (b) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (c) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432. (d) Jiang, T.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, Z.; Sun, P.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, Z.; Shao, Q.; Jiang, X.; Li, B.; Chen, K.; Jiang, H.; Wang, H.; Zhu, W.; Shen, J. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 602. (e) Schwehm, C.; Li, J.; Song, H.; Hu, X.; Kellam, B.; Stocks, M. J. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 324. (f) Hansen, K. B.; Hsiao, Y.; Xu, F.; Rivera, N.; Clausen, A.; Kubryk, M.; Krska, S.; Rosner, T.; Simmons, B.; Balsells, J.; Ikemoto, N.; Sun, Y.; Spindler, F.; Malan, C.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Armstrong, J. D. Ill. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8798.
- (2) Jia, X.; Wang, J.; Ding, X.; Yang, J.; Li, N.; Zhao, N.; Huang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 10874.
- (3) (a) Meyer, E. A.; Castellano, R. K.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1210. (b) Murphy, A. R.; Fréchet, J. M. J. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1066. (c) Babudri, F.; Farinola, G. M.; Naso, F.; Ragni, R. Chem. Commun. 2007, 1003.
- (4) (a) Roberts, R. M.; Khalaf, A. A. Friedel-Crafts Alkylation Chemistry: A Century of Discovery; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1984.
 (b) Kodomari, M.; Nawa, S.; Miyoshi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 1895. (c) Hayashi, R.; Cook, G. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1311.
- (5) (a) Weaver, J.; Senaweera, S. *Tetrahedron* 2014, 70, 7413.
 (b) Ahrens, T.; Kohlmann, J.; Ahrens, M.; Braun, T. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, 115, 931. (c) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. *Chem. Rev.* 2009, 109, 2119. (d) Lafrance, M.; Rowley, C. N.; Woo, T. K.; Fagnou, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 8754. (e) He, M.; Soulé, J.-F.; Doucet, H. *ChemCatChem* 2014, 6, 1824. (f) Fang, X.; Huang, Y.; Chen, X.; Lin, X.; Bai, Z.; Huang, K.-W.; Yuan, Y.; Weng, Z. *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2013, 151, 50. (g) Sun, L.; Rong, M.; Kong, D.; Bai, Z.; Yuan, Y.; Weng, Z. *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2013, 150, 117.
- (6) For a recent review, see: Cristina Silva Costa, D. Arab. J. Chem. 2017, DOI: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.07.017.

- (7) (a) Kiyotsuka, Y.; Acharya, H. P.; Katayama, Y.; Hyodo, T.; Kobayashi, Y. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1719. (b) Vinogradov, A. S.; Krasnov, V. I.; Platonov, V. E. Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 44, 95. (c) Pigge, F. C. Synthesis 2010, 1745.
- (8) Fan, S.; Chen, F.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5918.
- (9) Yu, Y.-B.; Fan, S.; Zhang, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 14643.
- (10) Yao, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2011**, *50*, 2990.
- (11) Xie, W.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1876.
- (12) (a) Yang, G.; Yu, Z.; Jiang, X.; Yu, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, 56, 4689. (b) Yang, G.; Jiang, X.; Liu, Y.; Li, N.; Yin, G.; Yu, C. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 5, 882. (c) Yang, G.-H.; Liu, M.; Li, N.; Wu, R.; Chen, X.; Pan, L.-L.; Gao, S.; Huang, X.; Wang, C.; Yu, C.-M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2015, 616.
- (13) Yu, C.; Hu, G.; Zhang, C.; Wu, R.; Ye, H.; Yang, G.; Shi, X. J. Fluorine Chem. **2013**, 153, 33.
- (14) **1,2,3,4,5-Pentafluoro-6-[(2***E***)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-yl]benzene (4a); Typical Procedure**

COD–PdCl₂ (5 mol%), XPhos (10 mol%), Cs₂CO₃ (1.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and toluene (3 mL) were added sequentially to a Schlenk tube containing allylic pivalate (*E*)-**3a** (1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and pentafluorobenzene (**1a**; 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) under argon, and the mixture was stirred at 120 °C (oil bath) for 8 h until the reaction was complete (TLC). The mixture was then diluted with EtOAc (40 mL), washed with brine (3 × 20 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄). After filtration and evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by chromatography (silica gel, hexane) to give a white solid; yield: 279 mg (98%); mp 62–64 °C.

¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33–7.25 (m, 4 H), 7.24–7.20 (m, 1 H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.21 (dt, *J* = 15.7, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.59 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 145.00 (dm, *J* = 246.4 Hz), 139.87 (dm, *J* = 252.4 Hz), 137.52 (dm, *J* = 250.4 Hz), 136.57, 132.44, 128.55, 127.64, 126.19, 124.24, 113.23 (t, *J* = 19.1 Hz), 25.60. ¹⁹F NMR (565 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -143.90 (dd, *J* = 22.3, 8.4 Hz, 2 F), -157.23 (t, *J* = 22.3 Hz, 1 F), -162.48 (td, *J* = 22.3, 8.4 Hz, 2 F). MS (EI): m/z = 284 [M]⁺.