International Edition:
 DOI: 10.1002/anie.201607270

 German Edition:
 DOI: 10.1002/ange.201607270

ortho-C–H Arylation of Benzoic Acids with Aryl Bromides and Chlorides Catalyzed by Ruthenium

Agostino Biafora, Thilo Krause, Dagmar Hackenberger, Florian Belitz, and Lukas J. Gooßen*

Abstract: A system consisting of catalytic amounts of $[(p-cym)RuCl_2]_2/PEt_3$ ·HBF₄, K_2CO_3 as the base, and NMP as the solvent efficiently mediates the ortho-C–H arylation of benzoic acids with aryl bromides at 100°C. Replacing the phosphine ligand with the amino acid DL-pipecolinic acid enables the analogous transformation with aryl chlorides. The key advantage of this broadly applicable transformation is the use of an inexpensive ruthenium catalyst in combination with simple carboxylates as directing groups, which can either be tracelessly removed or used as anchor points for decarboxylative ipso substitutions.

Biaryls are ubiquitous in pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and functional materials, and efficient methods to access these substructures are constantly sought after.^[1] Traditionally, these structures are accessed by cross-coupling of preformed organometallic reagents with aryl halides^[2] or by oxidative or reductive couplings of prefunctionalized substrates.^[3]

The discovery of directing groups that efficiently control the regioselectivity of C–H arylations has recently revolutionized this field, enabling the regiospecific introduction of aryl groups in unfunctionalized positions.^[4] However, this great conceptual advantage is often offset by the structural complexity of the required directing groups.^[5] Their introduction and subsequent removal adds several steps to the overall synthetic process. Only recently, abundant functional groups with low coordinating ability, such as carboxylates, have successfully been used as directing groups for *ortho*-C–H arylations.^[6] The key benefit of carboxylate groups is that they can be tracelessly removed by protodecarboxylation or utilized as leaving groups in a rapidly growing number of decarboxylative coupling reactions, with formation of C–C, C–O, C–N, C–S, C–P, and C–halogen bonds, for example.^[7]

The development of transformations based on carboxylate directing groups is highly challenging. The low σ -donating ability of the carboxylate limits their ability to direct metal centers to one specific C–H bond and reduces the activity of

[*] A. Biafora, F. Belitz
FB Chemie-Organische Chemie, TU Kaiserslautern
Erwin-Schrödinger-Strasse Geb. 54, 67663 Kaiserslautern (Germany)
T. Krause, D. Hackenberger, Prof. Dr. L. J. Gooßen
Fakultät Chemie und Biochemie
Ruhr Universität Bochum
Universitätsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum (Germany)
E-mail: lukas.goossen@rub.de
Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for
the author(s) of this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201607270. the resulting metallacycle towards aryl electrophiles. *ortho* Arylations of benzoic acids were first reported by the groups of Daugulis,^[8] Larrosa,^[9] Su,^[10] and Yu,^[11] who employed expensive palladium catalysts.^[12] With aryl iodides, these transformations proceeded smoothly even at room temperature.^[10] The conversion of aryl bromides is possible only under rather harsh conditions, and the coupling of aryl chlorides has thus far required such high temperatures that selective monoarylation could not be achieved.^[8] With arenediazonium salts as electrophiles, *ortho* arylation proceeds under mild conditions, but requires expensive iridium catalysts.^[13] Oxidative arylations have been reported with expensive aryl boronic acids and a limited set of heteroarenes as arylating agents.^[14]

Despite the remarkable progress achieved in this highly topical area, a broadly applicable carboxylate-directed C–H arylation that is based on readily available aryl bromides or chlorides and the use of a reasonably priced catalyst^[15] has not yet been described. Ackermann and co-workers as well as our group have recently demonstrated that simple and affordable ruthenium catalysts efficiently promote regioselective hydroarylations of carboxylates.^[16] We reasoned that the addition of electron-rich ligands might activate the intermediary ruthenacycle towards oxidative insertion into Ar–Br or Ar–Cl bonds by increasing its electron density, as outlined in Scheme 1. This hypothesis was supported by results of Dixneuf,^[17] Larrosa,^[18] Ackermann,^[19] and others,^[20] who demonstrated that ruthenium catalysts can activate aryl chlorides or bromides, and the observations by Daugulis,^[8] Dixneuf,^[21]



Scheme 1. Carboxylate-directed C–H arylation of arenes with aryl electrophiles assisted by electron-rich ligands.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-5

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

Wiley Online Library

and Ackermann^[22,19b] that such processes are facilitated by electron-rich phosphine and amino acid ligands.

To confirm our hypothesis, we chose the reaction of 2-methylbenzoic acid (1a) and bromobenzene (2a) as a model system and investigated various catalysts and conditions (Table 1). Encouragingly, traces of the desired product **3a** were detected when $[(p-cym)RuCl_2]_2$ was used as

Table 1: Optimization of the ortho arylation reaction.[a]

	Me		Ме			
Ĺ	H CO ₂ H	Ph-X	Ru cat., ligand, NMP, 100 °C, N	base	CO₂H	
	1a	2		3a	а	
Entry	Catalyst	PhX	Base	Ligand	Yield ^[b] [%]	
1	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	GuanCO ₃	-	trace	
2	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	-	13	
3	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	PPh ₃	35	
4	$[(C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2$	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	PPh ₃	34	
5	$[(C_6Me_6)RuCl_2]_2$	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	PPh ₃	0	
6	[(p-cym)Rul ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	PPh ₃	35	
7	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	PPhCy ₂	59	
8	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	PCy ₃	76	
9	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	$P'Pr_3$	80	
10	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	$PMe_3 \cdot HBF_4$	73	
11	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	$PEt_3 \cdot HBF_4$	90	
12 ^[c]	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhBr	K ₂ CO ₃	$PEt_3 \cdot HBF_4$	93 (93)	
13 ^[c]	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhCl	K₂CO₃	PEt ₃ ·HBF ₄	12	
14 ^[d]	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhCl	K ₂ CO ₃	$PEt_3 \cdot HBF_4$	12/75 ^[e]	
15 ^[d]	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhCl	K ₂ CO ₃	∟-proline	47	
16 ^[d]	[(p-cym)RuCl ₂] ₂	PhCl	K ₂ CO ₃	DL-pipecolinic acid	80 (75)	

[a] Reaction conditions: **1** a (1 equiv), **2** a (4 equiv), [Ru] (4 mol%), ligand (8 mol%), base (1.1 equiv), NMP (3 mL), 100 °C, 18 h, N₂ atmosphere. [b] Yields of the corresponding methyl esters determined by GC analysis after esterification with K₂CO₃ (2 equiv) and MeI (5 equiv) in NMP using *n*-tetradecane as the internal standard; yields of isolated products are given in parentheses. [c] PhX (1 equiv). [d] 120 °C. [e] After 48 h. Cy = cyclohexyl, GuanCO₃ = guanidine carbonate, NMP = *N*-methylpyrrolidone, *p*-cym = *para*-cymene.

the catalyst in combination with guanidine carbonate at 120 °C (entry 1), conditions that had been highly effective for our hydroarylation reaction.^[16b] A major increase in yield was achieved upon switching to potassium carbonate as the base (entry 2). As anticipated, the yields improved substantially upon addition of a phosphine ligand (entry 3). Systematic variation of the ruthenium precursor confirmed that [(pcym)RuCl₂]₂ is the optimal precatalyst (entries 4–6). The nature of the ligand had a decisive influence on the reaction outcome. Among the ligands tested, electron-rich alkyl phosphines turned out to be superior. The best yields were achieved with triethylphosphine (entry 11), which can be conveniently administered in the form of its HBF4 salt. After optimization of the reaction conditions (4 mol% [(p-cym)-RuCl₂]₂, 8 mol % PEt₃·HBF₄, and 1.1 equiv K₂CO₃ in 3 mL NMP at 100°C), high yields were obtained even when using only one equivalent of the aryl bromide (entry 12). NMP is uniquely effective as the solvent (see the Supporting Information).

When chlorobenzene was used as the electrophile, only modest yields were observed under these conditions (entry 13), even upon increasing the temperature to 120°C (entry 14). Once again, the ligand turned out to be the decisive factor in the reaction development. Phosphine ligands were almost ineffective whereas amino acids strongly promoted the desired transformation (entry 15). After increasing the amount of aryl chloride, the monoarylated product 3a was obtained in 80% yield. Although pipecolinic acid is a more efficient ligand than PEt₃·HBF₄, the latter gave high yields when the reaction time was extended to 48 h, indicating that the reaction proceeds through a similar mechanism for aryl chlorides and bromides. Control experiments revealed that the optimal system for aryl chlorides is less effective for aryl bromides (33% yield, see the Supporting Information).

With effective methods in hand for the conversion of both aryl bromides and chlorides, we investigated the scope of the transformation. The model substrate 2-methylbenzoic acid (1a) was successfully coupled with various aryl bromides using method A (Table 2). Electron-rich and electron-poor substrates reacted similarly, and common functional groups, such as CF_3 , CN, ester, halo, keto, alkyl, and methoxy moieties as well as unprotected phenolic and benzylic hydroxy groups,

Table 2:	Substrate	scope of	the direct	arylation	with	various	aryl
bromide	s and chlo	rides. ^[a]					



[a] Reaction conditions: Method A: **1a** (0.5 mmol), ArBr (0.5 mmol), [(p-cym)RuCl₂]₂ (4 mol%), PEt₃·HBF₄ (8 mol%), K₂CO₃ (1.1 equiv), NMP (3 mL), 100°C, 18 h, N₂ atmosphere. Method B: **1a** (0.5 mmol), ArCl (0.75 mmol), [(p-cym)RuCl₂]₂ (4 mol%), DL-pipecolinic acid (8 mol%), K₂CO₃ (1.1 equiv), NMP (3 mL), 120°C, 18 h. Yields of the corresponding methyl esters after esterification with K₂CO₃ (2 equiv) and Mel (5 equiv) in NMP. [b] Isolated as the methyl ether. [c] ArBr (1.5 equiv). [d] Isolated as the free acid.

www.angewandte.org

2

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

were tolerated in the *para* or *meta* position. *ortho* Substituents led to only moderate yields. It is noteworthy that under these conditions, aryl halides bearing functional groups that would be expected to be more efficient directing groups smoothly reacted with the *ortho* position of the carboxylates. This opens up welcome opportunities for polyfunctionalization. Products **3aa** and **3ab** demonstrate that comparable yields were achieved starting from aryl chlorides using method B (4 mol% [(*p*-cym)RuCl₂]₂, 8 mol% DL-pipecolinic acid, 1.1 equiv K₂CO₃ in 3 mL NMP at 120 °C).

The scope with regard to the aromatic carboxylate was investigated with bromobenzene (2a) and chlorobenzene (2a'; Table 3). Benzoic acids bearing electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents, including methoxy, halo, and acyl groups, were smoothly coupled. Heterocyclic carboxylates were also successfully converted into the desired products. Unwanted double arylation could not be suppressed with unsubstituted or para-substituted benzoic acids. However, a substituent in the 3-position was sufficient to direct the arylation to the 6-position exclusively. This regioselectivity towards the less hindered ortho position was also observed with fused (hetero)aromatic quinoline 6-carboxylic acid (11) and 2-naphthylcarboxylic acid (1i). A particularly remarkable example is the coupling of 2-acetamidobenzoic acid with 2a. The new bond is selectively formed in the ortho position of the benzoic acid rather than in the ortho position of the amide

 $\textit{Table 3:}\ Substrate scope of the direct anylation with various benzoic acids.^{[a]}$



[a] Reaction conditions: Method A: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.75 mmol), [(p-cym)RuCl₂]₂ (4 mol%), PEt₃·HBF₄ (8 mol%), K₂CO₃ (1.1 equiv), NMP (3 mL), 100°C, 18 h. Method B: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2a' (0.75 mmol), [(p-cym)RuCl₂]₂ (4 mol%), DL-pipecolinic acid (8 mol%), K₂CO₃ (1.1 equiv), NMP (3 mL), 120°C, 18 h. Yields of the corresponding methyl esters after esterification with K₂CO₃ (2 equiv) and Mel (5 equiv) in NMP. [b] ArBr (1 equiv). [c] Yield determined by GC analysis.

despite the C–H directing ability of the latter, which by far exceeds that of carboxylic acids in related C–H functionalizations. Four additional examples demonstrate that method B permits the coupling of aryl chlorides in comparable yields.

We next probed whether the biaryl synthesis could also be combined with concomitant protodecarboxylation.^[23] The examples in Scheme 2 demonstrate that this process does not even require an additional reaction step. By simply adding a copper catalyst to the reaction medium and increasing the temperature to 190°C, the corresponding biaryl products **4** were formed in good yields. The *ortho* arylation can also be combined with decarboxylative cross-couplings,^[24] as demonstrated by the synthesis of terphenyl **5ca** in 55% nonoptimized yield.



Scheme 2. ortho Arylations followed by decarboxylative reactions.

To shed light on the mechanism proposed in Scheme 1, we synthesized *ortho*-ruthenated toluate **6a**. The stoichiometric reaction of **6a** and PEt₃·HBF₄ with bromobenzene (**2a**) yielded **3aa** in 57% yield (Scheme 3), which supports the intermediacy of an *ortho*-metalated species in the catalytic cycle. In the presence of only pyridine as the ligand, no product formation was observed, which confirmed the necessity for a suitable ligand (see the Supporting Information). In-depth mechanistic studies are underway to fully clarify the reaction pathway.



Scheme 3. Stoichiometric reaction of the ortho-ruthenated toluate 6a.

In conclusion, we have shown that cost-effective ruthenium complexes are at least as effective and broadly applicable as state-of-the-art palladium systems for catalyzing the synthetically useful *ortho* arylation of benzoic acids. In combination with subsequent decarboxylative *ipso* substitutions, they promise to open up new perspectives for sustainable, regioselective arene (di)functionalization.

Experimental Section

An oven-dried 20 mL vessel was charged with $[Ru(p-cym)Cl_2]_2$ (12.2 mg, 0.02 mmol, 4 mol%), triethylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate (8.3 mg, 0.04 mmol, 8 mol%, method A) or DL-pipecolinic acid (5.2 mg, 0.04 mmol, 8 mol%, method B), K₂CO₃ (76 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv), and benzoic acid **1** (0.50 mmol). After the vessel had been subjected to three alternating vacuum and nitrogen purge cycles, NMP (3 mL) and the aryl halide **2** (0.50 mmol, method A; 0.75 mmol, method B) were added via syringe. The resulting mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 18 h. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-5

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

www.angewandte.org

allowed to cool to room temperature. NMP (2 mL), K_2CO_3 (207 mg, 3 equiv), and MeI (156 μ L, 5 equiv) were added, and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added, and the resulting mixture was washed with water, aqueous LiCl solution (20%), and brine (20 mL each). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexane gradient), yielding the corresponding biaryl.

Acknowledgements

We thank Umicore for donating chemicals, the DFG (SFB/ TRR-88, "3MET" and EXC/1069 "RESOLV") and the Stipendienstiftung Rheinland-Pfalz (fellowship to D.H.) for financial support and Joachim Hewer for technical assistance with the ESI-MS measurements. We thank Prof. Dr. Daniel J. Weix (University of Rochester) for agreeing to coordinate publication.

Keywords: aryl halides \cdot benzoic acids \cdot biaryls \cdot C–H arylation \cdot ruthenium

- [1] D. A. Horton, G. T. Bourne, M. L. Smythe, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 893–930.
- [2] a) G. Bringmann, R. Walter, R. Weirich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 977–991; Angew. Chem. 1990, 102, 1006–1019;
 b) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359–1470; c) V. B. Phapale, D. J. Cárdenas, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1598–1607; d) P. E. Fanta, Chem. Rev. 1946, 38, 139–196; e) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457–2483; f) E. Negishi, Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 340–348.
- [3] a) L. K. G. Ackerman, M. M. Lovell, D. J. Weix, Nature 2015, 524, 454-457; b) M. Amatore, C. Gosmini, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2089-2092; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 2119-2122; c) Synthesis of Biaryls (Ed.: I. Cepanec), Elsevier Science, Oxford, 2004, pp. 1-349; d) C. C. C. Johansson Seechurn, M. O. Kitching, T. J. Colacot, V. Snieckus, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5062-5085; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 5150-5174; e) C.-L. Sun, Z.-J. Shi, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9219-9280; f) L. T. Ball, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, C. A. Russell, Science 2012, 337, 1644-1648.
- [4] a) L. Ackermann, R. Vicente, A. Kapdi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9792-9826; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 9976-10011;
 b) X. Chen, K. M. Engle, D.-H. Wang, J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094-5115; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 5196-5217; c) J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, Nature 2002, 417, 507-514.
- [5] a) H. Bonin, M. Sauthier, F.-X. Felpin, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 645-671; b) I. Hussain, T. Singh, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1661-1696; c) G. P. Chiusoli, M. Catellani, M. Costa, E. Motti, N. Della Ca', G. Maestri, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 456-469; d) M. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Jie, H. Zhao, G. Li, W. Su, Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 843-895.
- [6] a) S. De Sarkar, W. Liu, S. I. Kozhushkov, L. Ackermann, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1461–1479; b) K. M. Engle, T.-S. Mei, M. Wasa, J.-Q. Yu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788–802; c) Z. Chen, B. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Yu, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1107–1295; d) P. Nareddy, F. Jordan, S. E. Brenner-Moyer, M. Szostak, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 4755–4759; e) C–H Activation (Eds.: J.-Q. Yu, L. Ackermann, Z. Shi),

Springer, Heidelberg, **2010**; f) P. H. Dixneuf, H. Doucet, *C*–*H* Bond Activation and Catalytic Functionalization I, Springer, Heidelberg, **2015**; g) P. H. Dixneuf, H. Doucet, *C*–*H* Bond Activation and Catalytic Functionalization II, Springer, Heidelberg, **2016**.

- [7] a) W. I. Dzik, P. P. Lange, L. J. Gooßen, *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 2671–2678; b) N. Rodríguez, L. J. Goossen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 5030–5048; c) L. J. Goossen, F. Collet, K. Goossen, *Isr. J. Chem.* 2010, 50, 617–629; d) L. J. Gooßen, N. Rodríguez, K. Gooßen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 3100–3120; *Angew. Chem.* 2008, 120, 3144–3164.
- [8] H. A. Chiong, Q.-N. Pham, O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9879–9884.
- [9] a) J. Luo, S. Preciado, I. Larrosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4109–4112; b) J. Cornella, M. Righi, I. Larrosa, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 9601–9604.
- [10] C. Zhu, Y. Zhang, J. Kan, H. Zhao, W. Su, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3418–3421.
- [11] M. Wasa, B. T. Worrell, J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1275–1277; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1297–1299.
- [12] D.-H. Wang, T.-S. Mei, J.-Q. Yu, Synfacts 2009, 0436-0436.
- [13] L. Huang, D. Hackenberger, L. J. Gooßen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12607–12611; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12798– 12802.
- [14] a) D.-H. Wang, T.-S. Mei, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17676–17677; b) Y. Zhang, H. Zhao, M. Zhang, W. Su, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3817–3821; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 3888–3892.
- [15] See the Johnson Matthey Precious Metals Management homepage for the monthly average prices of palladium, iridium, rhodium, and ruthenium between 22nd June 2015 and 22nd July 2016 under http://www.platinum.matthey.com/prices/pricecharts, 2016. Last accessed 22nd July 2016.
- [16] a) N. Y. P. Kumar, A. Bechtoldt, K. Raghuvanshi, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 6929–6932; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 128, 7043–7046; b) L. Huang, A. Biafora, G. Zhang, V. Bragoni, L. J. Gooßen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 6933–6937; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 128, 7047–7051.
- [17] I. Özdemir, S. Demir, B. Çetinkaya, C. Gourlaouen, F. Maseras, C. Bruneau, P. H. Dixneuf, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1156– 1157.
- [18] M. Simonetti, G. J. P. Perry, X. C. Cambeiro, F. Juliá-Hernández, J. N. Arokianathar, I. Larrosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3596–3606.
- [19] a) L. Ackermann, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015, 19, 260-269; b) J.
 Li, S. Warratz, D. Zell, S. De Sarkar, E. E. Ishikawa, L.
 Ackermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13894-13901; c) L.
 Ackermann, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3123-3125.
- [20] a) P. B. Arockiam, C. Bruneau, P. H. Dixneuf, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, *112*, 5879-5918; b) G.-F. Zha, H.-L. Qin, E. A. B. Kantchev, *RSC Adv.* 2016, *6*, 30875-30885; c) S. Oi, S. Fukita, N. Hirata, N. Watanuki, S. Miyano, Y. Inoue, *Org. Lett.* 2001, *3*, 2579-2581; d) S. Oi, Y. Ogino, S. Fukita, Y. Inoue, *Org. Lett.* 2002, *4*, 1783-1785; e) H. Grounds, J. C. Anderson, B. Hayter, A. J. Blake, *Organometallics* 2009, *28*, 5289-5292.
- [21] P.B. Arockiam, C. Fischmeister, C. Bruneau, P.H. Dixneuf, *Green Chem.* 2013, 15, 67–71.
- [22] J. Hubrich, L. Ackermann, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 3700-3704.
- [23] L. J. Gooßen, N. Rodríguez, C. Linder, P. P. Lange, A. Fromm, *ChemCatChem* **2010**, *2*, 430–442.
- [24] L. J. Goossen, N. Rodríguez, B. Melzer, C. Linder, G. Deng, L. M. Levy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4824-4833.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-5

Received: July 27, 2016 Revised: September 2, 2016 Published online:

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!



Communications

Communications

C-H Activation

A. Biafora, T. Krause, D. Hackenberger, F. Belitz, L. J. Gooßen* ____ **IIII**-IIII

ortho-C-H Arylation of Benzoic Acids with Aryl Bromides and Chlorides Catalyzed by Ruthenium



Bromides and chlorides: A system consisting of $[(p-cym)RuCl_2]_2/PEt_3 \cdot HBF_4$ as the catalyst, K_2CO_3 as the base, and NMP as the solvent efficiently mediates the *ortho*-C-H arylation of benzoic acids with aryl bromides at 100 °C. Replacing the phosphine ligand with the amino acid DL-pipecolinic acid enables the analogous transformation with aryl chlorides.