FULL PAPER

Isomerisation and fragmentation of sulfur-containing organometallacycles on dicobalt centres induced by phosphorus donor ligands

Jason D. King,^{*a*} Martin J. Mays,^{*a*} Giles E. Pateman,^{*a*} Paul R. Raithby,^{*a*} Gregory A. Solan,^{*b*} Gráinne Conole^{*c*} and Mary McPartlin^{*c*}

- ^a Department of Chemistry, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW. E-mail: mjm14@cus.cam.ac.uk
- ^b Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, UK LE1 7RH
- ^c School of Applied Chemistry, University of North London, Holloway Road, London,
- UK N7 8DB

Received 11th September 2000, Accepted 23rd November 2000 First published as an Advance Article on the web 2nd January 2001

Treatment of the dibridged dicobalt complex $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1(S): \eta^2(C): SPhC(O)CR'CR}(CO)_4]$ $(\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{1a})$ with L, at ambient temperature, affords an inseparable mixture of two mono-substituted isomeric complexes of general formula $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CHCH\}(CO)_3(L)]$ [L = P(OMe)_3 2a/3a, PPhMe₂ 2b/3b], differing only in the metal centre at which L is coordinated. When the reaction is performed with $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CR'CR\}(CO)_4]$ [R' = Me, R = H 1b], in which R' and R are inequivalent, a similar mixture of inseparable isomers is isolated, $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)-M^2(C)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)-SPhC(O)$ CMeCH}(CO)₃(L)] [L = P(OMe)₃ 4a/5a, PPhMe₂ 4b/5b] and, in addition, a third separable isomer, [Co₂(µ-PPh₂)- $\{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CHCMe\}(CO)_3(L)\}$ [L = P(OMe)_3, **6a**, PPhMe₂ **6b**], in which R' and R have interchanged. Addition of a further equivalent of L at elevated temperature to mixtures of 2/3 results in both carbonyl substitution and a rearrangement of the bridging ligand assembly to give $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta$ $\eta^{2}(C)$ -PPh₂CRCR'C(O){(CO)₂(L)₂] [R' = R = H; L = P(OMe)_{3} 7a, PPhMe_{2} 7b] in high yield. A similar transformation is achieved on interaction of 4/5 and 6 with L leading to $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2CRCR'C(O)\}$ - $(CO)_2(L)_2[R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 8a, PPhMe_2 8b] and, in addition, [Co_2(\mu-SPh){\mu-\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2-1}] addition, [Co_2(\mu-SPh){\mu-\eta^2(C)-PPh_2-1}] addition, [Co_2(\mu-SPh){\mu-\eta$ CR'CRC(O){(CO)₂(L)₂][R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)₃ 9a, PPhMe₂, 9b], in which the positions of R' and R are reversed. Reaction of 2a/3a with triphenylphosphine, gives the mixed phosphite-phosphine rearranged species $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2CHCHC(O)\}(CO)_2\{P(OMe)_3\}(PPh_3)]$ 10 as the sole product. The facility of the bridging ligands in 1 to undergo a range of bond-breaking (C-S and C-C) and bond-forming (C-S, C-P and C-C) reactions induced by phosphorus donor ligand addition is discussed and pathways postulated. The single crystal X-ray structures of 2b, 7a and 10 are described.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2001

1 Introduction

Published on 02 January 2001. Downloaded by University of Windsor on 31/10/2014 06:56:15.

The cleavage of carbon–sulfur bonds mediated by transition metals occurs in a range of catalytic reactions,¹⁻⁴ but has found prominence in the hydrodesulfurisation (HDS) process, in which it represents a key step during the removal of sulfur from organic compounds in petroleum feedstocks.^{5,6} A wide variety of transition metal complexes have been employed to model the supported HDS system (Co-/Mo-S species on Al₂O₃) and often sulfur-containing organometallacyclic ring species have been isolated and postulated as intermediates in the process.^{7–17} While many of these metallacyclic species are found in mononuclear complexes,^{7–13} a number are generated on two adjacent metal centres,^{14–17} a situation that has been suggested to model an edge of a MoS₂ crystallite in the commercial catalyst.¹⁸

As part of an on-going study of the chemistry of organoditransition metal complexes we have developed routes to species that contain heteroatoms incorporated into four-, five- or nine-membered organometallacycles.¹⁹⁻²⁶ Recently we reported the synthesis of a family of dicobalt complexes of the type $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SRC(O)CRCR}(CO)_4]$ **1** and have shown that the almost planar five-membered Co–S– C–C=C metallacycle can undergo reversible fragmentation at room temperature on treatment with ¹³CO gas.²⁷ Although the mechanism for this transformation is uncertain, a series of equilibria involving carbon–sulfur and carbon–carbon



Fig. 1 Reversible fragmentation of $1a^{23}$ [Co] = Co(CO)₂.

bond-breaking and bond-forming reactions has been postulated (Fig. 1).

In this paper we describe the reactions of a number of monodentate phosphorus donor ligands (*viz.* organophosphites and -phosphines) with $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1(S): \eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CR'CR}(CO)_4]$ (R' = R = H 1a; R' =



Scheme 1 Products from the reaction of 1 with L: Reagents and conditions: (i) L, 293 K, C₇H₈, 4 h; (ii) L', 383 K, C₇H₈, 1 h; (iii) L, 383 K, C₇H₈, 1 h.

Me, R = H **1b**) which lead under mild conditions not only to carbonyl substitution, but also to reactions involving carbon–carbon and carbon–sulfur bond cleavage along with carbon–carbon, carbon–sulfur and carbon–phosphorus bond formation (see Scheme 1). A portion of this work has been the subject of a communication.²⁸

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Reaction of 1a and 1b with L at 293 K

The reactions of $[Co_2(\mu\text{-}PPh_2)\{\mu\text{-}\eta^1(S)\,:\,\eta^1(C)\,:\,\eta^2(C)\text{-}SPhC(O)\text{-}$ CR'CR (CO)₄] (R' = R = H 1a, R' = Me, R = H 1b) with a slight excess of L $[L = P(OMe)_3, PPhMe_2]$ at 293 K in toluene for 4 h give the inseparable (by chromatography) isomeric purple complexes $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2) \{\mu-\eta^1(S): \eta^1(C): \eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)-$ CR'CR (CO)₃(L)] [R' = R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 2a/3a, PPhMe₂ 2b/3b], [R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 4a/5a, PPhMe_2 4b/5b] in ratios of between 3:2 and 2:1, respectively, as determined by an inspection of the relative intensities of the NMR signals from each isomer. When R' = Me, R = H, a third separable red complex $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2) \{\mu-\eta^1(S): \eta^1(C): \eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CRCR'\}$ - $(CO)_{3}(L)$ [R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)_{3} 6a, PPhMe_{2} 6b] is also obtained. In general, all the reactions (Scheme 1) proceed with high combined yields. All the complexes have been characterised by ¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR and IR spectroscopy and by mass spectrometry and microanalysis (see Table 1 and Experimental section). In addition, complex 2b has been the subject of a single crystal X-ray diffraction study.

Although **2b** forms part of an inseparable (by chromatography) isomeric mixture of complexes (**2b/3b**), crystallisation by slow evaporation of a CH_2Cl_2 -hexane solution at room temperature gave crystals of **2b** suitable for a single X-ray diffraction study. The molecular structure of complex **2b** including the atom numbering scheme is illustrated in Fig. 2. Selected bond distances and angles are displayed in Table 2.

The structure of **2b** consists of a singly-bonded dicobalt skeleton [Co(1)–Co(2) 2.483(2) Å] bridged by PPh₂ and SPhC(O)CHCH ligands and bound terminally by three carbonyl and one PPhMe₂ ligands. The SPhC(O)CHCH ligand is σ -coordinated to Co(1) *via* S and C(1) to form an almost planar [max. deviation from plane by C(1)=0.134 Å] five-membered metallacyclic ring [Co(1)–S–C(3)-C(2)=C(1)] in



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **2b** including the atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms apart from H1 and H2 have been omitted for clarity.

which the carbon–carbon double bond is asymmetrically π -coordinated to Co(2) *via* C(1) and C(2) [Co(2)–C(1) 1.98(1), Co(2)–C(2) 2.12(1) Å]. The PPh₂ ligand is asymmetrically bonded to Co(1) and Co(2) [Co(1)–P(2) 2.182(4), Co(2)–P(2) 2.135(4) Å] and its phosphorus atom lies pseudo*trans* to both the S and C(2) atoms of the metallacycle [P(2)–Co(1)–S 132.3(1), P(2)–Co(2)–C(2) 122.8(4)°]. The terminal PPhMe₂ ligand is bound to Co(1) and occupies a site *trans* to C(1) of the five-membered metallacycle [C(1)–Co(1)–P(1) 173.8(4)°]. The distorted octahedral coordination environment at each metal is completed at Co(1) by one terminal carbonyl ligand and at Co(2) by two carbonyl groups.

The structure of **2b** can be compared with the structurally characterised unsubstituted complexes $1a^{28}$ and $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)-{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SBu^nC(O)CHCH}(CO)_4]^{27}$ with no appreciable structural variation introduced on substitution of a carbonyl group by a phosphine.

The ³¹P and ¹H NMR spectra for the mixture of **2b/3b** both display two sets of signals in an approximately 2:1 ratio. In the ³¹P NMR spectrum four broad signals are observed, two downfield (δ 179.9 **2b**, 140.8 **3b**) corresponding to the bridging phosphido groups^{16–25,29} and two upfield (δ 32.8 **2b**, 36.8 **3b**) to the terminal PPhMe₂ ligands.

| Complex | $v(CO)^{a}/cm^{-1}$ | ¹ H NMR $(\delta)^{b}$ | ³¹ P NMR $(\delta)^c$ |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 2a ^d | 2000s, 1965s, 1948w, 1691m <i>°</i> | 7.7–7.2 [m, 15H, Ph], 6.02 [ddd, ${}^{3}J$ (PH) 16.2, ${}^{3}J$ (P'H) 7.8, ${}^{3}J$ (HH) 4.8, 1H, C(O)CHCH], 4.48 [dd, ${}^{3}J$ (PH) 6.3, 1H, C(O)CHCH] 3.25 [d ${}^{3}J$ (PH) 11.0 9H P(OMe) 1 | 185.3 and 156.2 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂ , P(OMe) ₃] |
| 2b ^{<i>d</i>} | 1998s, 1969s, 1947w, 1641m | $C(O)CHCH]_{3,2.5}$ [d, $J(FH)$ 11.0, 91, $F(OMC)_{31}$ 7.8–6.8 [m, 20H, Ph], 6.00 [ddd, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 15.9, ${}^{3}J(P'H)$ 7.6, ${}^{3}J(HH)$ 4.5, 1H, $C(O)CHCH]_{3,4.46}$ [dd, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 6.1, 1H, $C(O)CHCH]_{3,1.25}$ [d, ${}^{2}J(PH)$ 8.3, 3H, PPh Me], 1.26 [d, ${}^{2}(P'H)$ 8.1, 3H, PPh Me] | 179.9 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂], 32.8 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 3a ^d | As for 2a | 7.7–7.2 [m, 15H, Ph], 5.76 [ddd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 20.1, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 17.7, ³ <i>J</i> (HH) 4.8, 1H, C(O)CHC <i>H</i>], 4.38 [ddd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 6.1, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 2.5, 1H, C(O)C <i>H</i> CH], 3.54 [d. ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.4, 9H, P(OMe).] | 154.1 and 147.1 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂ , P(OMe) ₃] |
| 3b ^{<i>d</i>} | As for 2b | 7.8–6.8 [m, 20H, Ph], 5.78 [ddd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 19.9, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 17.9, ³ <i>J</i> (HH) 4.5, 1H, C(O)CHC <i>H</i>], 4.19 [ddd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 6.0, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 3.0, 1H, C(O)CHCH], 1.48 [d, ² <i>J</i> (PH) 8.5, 3H, PPh <i>Me</i>], 1.41 [d, ² <i>J</i> (P'H) 8.3, PPh <i>Me</i>] | 140.8 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂], 36.8 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 4a ^{<i>d</i>} | 2005m, 1990s, 1950s, 1683m | 7.8–7.2 [m, 15H, Ph], 5.99 [dd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 16.1, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 8.0, 1H, C(O)CMeC <i>H</i>], 3.24 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.0, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 1.78 [d, ⁴ <i>J</i> (PH) 4.0, 3H, C(O)C <i>Me</i> CH] | 194.8 and 156.3 [s, br, $\mu\text{-PPh}_2, P(OMe)_3]$ |
| 4b ^{<i>d</i>} | 2004s, 1973s, 1946w, 1640m ^e | 7.8–6.8 [m, 20H, Ph], 5.80 [dd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 16.3, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 4.0, 1H, C(O)CMeCH], 1.78 [d, ⁴ <i>J</i> (PH) 2.7, 3H, C(O)CMeCH], 1.31 [d, ² <i>J</i> (PH) 8.3, 3H, PPhMe], 1.25 [d, ² <i>J</i> (P'H) 8.3, 3H, PPhMe] | 180.2 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂], 11.9 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 5a ^d | As for 4a | 7.8–7.2 [m, 15H, Ph], 5.83 [dd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 21.5, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 17.9, 1H, C(O)CMeC <i>H</i>], 3.50 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.2, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 1.86 [d, ⁴ <i>J</i> (PH) 4.0 3H, C(O)C <i>Me</i> CH] | 185.3 and 152.7 [s, br, $\mu\text{-PPh}_2, P(OMe)_3]$ |
| 5b ^{<i>d</i>} | As for 4b | 7.8–6.8 [m, 20H, Ph], 5.56 [dd, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 18.5, ${}^{3}J(P'H)$ 15.2, 1H, C(O)CMeCH], 1.95 [d, ${}^{4}J(PH)$ 2.7, 3H, C(O)CMeCH], 1.48 [d ${}^{2}J(PH)$ 8 5 3H, PPhMe] 1.36 [d ${}^{2}J(P'H)$ 8 4 3H, PPhMe] | 137.8 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂], 31.8 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 6a | 2005m, 1990s, 1950s, 1683m | 7.8–7.2 [m, 15H, Ph], 4.07 [dd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 6.0, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 4.0, 1H, C(O)C <i>H</i> CMe], 3.23 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 1.95 [d, ⁴ <i>J</i> (PH) 3.8 3H, C(O)CHCMe] | 176.3 [s, br, μ -PPh ₂], 138.8 [s, br, P(OMe) ₃] |
| 6b | 2004s, 1973s, 1946w, 1640m | Not recorded | 183.1 [s, br, μ-PPh ₂], 15.4 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 7a | 2004s, 1956m, 1580w ^e | 8.0–7.0 [m, 15H, Ph], 4.09 [ddd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 37.4, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 10.0, ³ <i>J</i> (HH) 4.3, 1H, CHC <i>H</i> C(O)], 3.92 [ddd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 4.3, ² <i>J</i> (P'H) 4.3, 1H, C <i>H</i> CHC(O)], 3.60 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.4, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 3.47 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 10.9, 9H, P(OMe) ₂] | 165.7 [s, P(OMe) ₃], 147.6 [s, P(OMe) ₃], 33.9 [s, PPh ₂ CHCHC(O)] |
| 7b | 2009s, 1960s, 1579m | Not recorded | 35.9 [s, PPh ₂ CHCHC(O)], 18.2 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] 7.4 [s br PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 8a | 2015s, 1967s, 1588m | 8.0–7.0 [m, 15H, Ph], 3.95 [dd, ² <i>J</i> (PH) 8.2, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 5.8, 1H, C <i>H</i> CMeC(O)], 3.63 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.5, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 3.51 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 10.8, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 1.41 [s, 3H, CHCMeC(O)] | 168.1 [s, P(OMe) ₃], 147.2 [s, P(OMe) ₃], 30.8 [s, PPh ₂ CHCMeC(O)] |
| 8b | 2010s, 1960s, 1579m ^e | 8.0–6.9 [m, 10H, Ph], 3.67 [dd, ² J(PH) 6.4, ³ J(P'H) 6.3, 1H, CHCMeC(O)], 1.63 [d, ³ J(PH) 7.9, 3H, PPhMe], 1.45 [d, ³ J(PH) 8.3, 3H, PPhMe], 1.42 [d, 3H, ⁴ J(PH) 1.7, CMeCHC(O)], 1.41 [d, ³ J(PH) 8.0, 3H, PPhMe], 1.06 [d, ³ J(P'H) 8.3, 3H, PPhMe] | 37.2 [s, PPh ₂ CHCMeC(O)], 11.5 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph], 3.3 [s, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 9a | 2020s, 1971s, 1575m | 8.0–7.0 [m, 15H, Ph], 4.16 [dd, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 37.2, ³ <i>J</i> (P'H) 9.3, 1H, CMeCHC(O)], 3.52 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.4, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 3.36 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 11.4, 9H, P(OMe) ₃], 1.41 [d, ³ <i>J</i> (PH) 8.9, 3H, CMeCHC(O)] | 167.0 [s, br, P(OMe) ₃], 143.2 [s, br, P(OMe) ₃], -29.6 [s, PPh ₂ CMeCHC(O)] |
| 9b | 2014s, 1970s, 1576m | 7.8–6.9 [m, 25H, Ph], 3.97 [m, 1H, CMeCHC(O)], 1.42 [s, br, CMeCHC(O)], 1.33 [s, br, 12H, PPhMe] | 38.3 [s, PPh ₂ CMeCHC(O)], 16.0 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph], 0.8 [s, br, PMe ₂ Ph] |
| 10 | 1995s, 1942s, 1580m | 7.8–7.0 [m, 30H, Ph], 3.82 [ddd, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 37.4, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 8.8, ${}^{3}J(HH)$ 4.0, 1H, CHCHC(O)], 3.65 [m, 1H, CHCHC(O)], 3.40 [d, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 11.2, 9H, P(OMe) ₃] | 146.2 [s, br, P(OMe) ₃], 55.4 [s, br, PPh ₃], 35.6 [s, PPh ₂ CHCHC(O)] |

^{*a*} Recorded in *n*-hexane solution. ^{*b*} ¹H chemical shifts (δ) in ppm relative to SiMe₄ (0.0 ppm), coupling constants in Hz in CDCl₃ at 293 K. ^{*c*} ³¹P chemical shifts (δ) in ppm relative to external 85% H₃PO₄ (0.0 ppm), {¹H}-gated decoupled, measured in CDCl₃ at 293 K. Deduct 140.2 from tabulated values in order to reference relative to external P(OMe)₃; ^{*d*} Complex pairs (**2a**,**3a**), (**2b**,**3b**), (**4a**,**5a**) and (**4b**,**5b**) are inseparable mixtures of mono-substituted species. ^{*e*} Recorded in CH₂Cl₂.

In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2b/3b** two pairs of signals for the olefinic protons are observed; the more downfield signals (*ca.* δ 5.9) are assigned to the μ -SPhC(O)CHCH proton and the more upfield (*ca.* δ 4.4) to the μ -SPhC(O)CHCH proton.²⁷ For both the downfield resonances three-bond couplings to the other vinyl proton, the phosphido-phosphorus atom and the terminal phosphorus bound ligand lead to the resonances taking the form of doublets of doublets (ddd). In contrast, the more upfield resonances take the form of doublets of doublets (dd) (**2b**) and doublets of doublets of doublets (**3b**). The absence of a third coupling for **2b** suggests that the site of the terminal phosphine ligand is such that there are more than three bonds between it and the vinyl proton. On this basis **2b** is assigned the molecular structure described above in which there are four bonds between the μ -SPhC(O)CHCH proton and the terminal phosphine ligand. The presence of a third coupling for **3b** for the corresponding proton, however, suggests the structure illustrated in Scheme 1 in which the phosphine is positioned on the cobalt atom in closer proximity to this proton (*i.e.* through three bonds). A similar location of a phosphine ligand to that in **3b** has been reported for the structurally related complex [Co₂(μ -PPh₂){ μ - η ¹(P): η ¹(C): η ²(C)-PPh₂C(O)-CHCH}(CO)₃(PPh₃)] and indeed a similar ³*J*(PH) coupling is observed for the corresponding proton.¹⁹

Microanalysis of the mixture of **2b** and **3b** is consistent with the two complexes having the same composition while the FAB

Table 2Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for 2b

| Co(1)-Co(2) Co(1)-P(1) Co(1)-C(1) Co(2)-P(2) Co(2)-C(2) C(2)-C(3) C-O (carbony | $\begin{array}{c} 2.483(2)\\ 2.234(4)\\ 1.97(1)\\ 2.135(4)\\ 2.12(1)\\ 1.41(2)\\ 1) & 1.14(1)-1.16(2) \end{array}$ | Co(1)–S Co(1)–P(2) Co(2)–C(1) S–C(3) C(1)–C(2) C(3)–O(3) Co–C (carbonyl) | 2.266(4) 2.182(4) 1.98(1) 1.92(1) 1.42(2) 1.20(2) 1.73(2)-1.76(2) | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| $\begin{array}{l} S-Co(1)-Co(2\\ P(1)-Co(1)-S\\ P(2)-Co(1)-S\\ C(1)-Co(1)-C\\ C(1)-Co(1)-P\\ C(11)-Co(1)-P\\ C(11)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(2)-Co(2)-C\\ C(1)-C(2)-C\\ C(2)-C(1)-C\\ C(2)-C(1)-C\\ C(2)-C(2)-C\\ C(3)-C(2)-C\\ C(3)-C(2)-C\\ C(3)-C(2)-C\\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc} & 83.0(1) \\ & 89.3(1) \\ & 132.3(1) \\ o(2) & 51.1(4) \\ (1) & 173.8(4) \\ Co(2) & 140.6(5) \\ o(1) & 55.8(1) \\ o(1) & 51.1(4) \\ o(1) & 78.4(3) \\ (1) & 40.4(5) \\ & 99.1(5) \\ o(1) & 70.2(1) \\ (1) & 117.7(9) \\ (2) & 64.4(7) \\ 1) & 123(1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l} P(1)-Co(1)-Co(2)\\ P(2)-Co(1)-Co(2)\\ P(2)-Co(1)-P(1)\\ C(1)-Co(1)-S\\ C(1)-Co(1)-S\\ C(1)-Co(1)-P(2)\\ C(11)-Co(1)-S\\ C(1)-Co(2)-P(2)\\ C(2)-Co(2)-P(2)\\ C(2)-Co(2)-P(2)\\ C(2)-Co(2)-Co(1)\\ C(12)-P(1)-Co(1)\\ C(13)-P(1)-Co(1)\\ Co(2)-C(1)-Co(1)\\ Co(2)-C(1)-Co(2)\\ C(3)-C(2)-Co(2)\\ C(3)-C(2)-Co(2)\\ C(3)-C(2)-Co(2)\\ C(3)-C(2)-Co(2)\\ C(3)-C(2)-Co(2)\\ C(3)-S\\ \end{array}$ | $123.7(1) \\ 54.0(1) \\ 97.8(1) \\ 86.8(4) \\ 81.4(4) \\ 114.7(5) \\ 82.6(4) \\ 122.8(4) \\ 144.9(5) \\ 115.5(5) \\ 119.8(5) \\ 77.8(4) \\ 75.2(7) \\ 101.1(9) \\ 110(1) \\ 110(1) \\ 100(1) $ | |

mass spectrum reveals a single molecular ion peak along with fragmentation peaks corresponding to the loss of up to three carbonyl ligands.

On the basis of the close similarity of the spectroscopic data, structures similar to **2b** are postulated for **2a**, **4a** and **4b** (with L bound to the metallacyclic Co) while complexes **3a**, **5a**, **5b**, **6a** and **6b** are ascribed structures similar to **3b** (with L bound to the Co atom not in the metallacycle). For **5** and **6**, which are structurally identical apart from the disposition of the inequivalent R' and R groups, the orientation of the 'inequivalent R' and similar way, the disposition of the R groups in **4** is determined by comparison with the corresponding data for **2**. While complexes **4/5** are inseparable, complexes **6** can be isolated cleanly and do not isomerise to **4/5** even on prolonged standing (2–3 days) in solution.

A general feature of the inseparable complexes 2/3 and 4/5 is the presence of up to six resonances in the terminal carbonyl regions of their ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectra at 293 K corresponding to three signals per isomer. This would suggest that at this temperature the carbonyl groups are inequivalent. Indeed, this is a feature that has also been observed in the ¹³C NMR spectra of the precursor complexes **1a** and **1b** in each of which four distinct resonances are displayed.²⁷ Due to the broadness of these signals for **2/3** and **4/5**, however, no coupling to geminal phosphorus centres could be detected.

2.2 Reaction of 2/3, 4/5 or 6 with L at 383 K

The reactions of $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)-$ CR'CR (CO)₃(L)] [R' = R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 2a/3a, PPhMe_2 2b/3b], [R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)₃ 4a/5a, PPhMe₂ 4b/5b] $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CRCR'\}$ and $(CO)_3(L)$] [R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 6a, PPhMe_2 6b] with excess (up to 5 equivalents) L, at 383 K in toluene for 1 h gives the green complexes $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2-$ CRCR'C(O)}(CO)₂(L)₂] [R' = R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 7a, PPh-Me, 7b], $[R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)_3 8a, PPhMe_2 8b]$ and $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2CR'CRC(O)\}(CO)_2 (L)_{2}$ [R' = Me, R = H; L = P(OMe)_{3} 9a, PPhMe_{2} 9b] in combined high yield (Scheme 1). Reaction of 2a/3a with triphenylphosphine gives the mixed phosphine-phosphite species $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)\}(CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-q^2(C)-PP\dot{h}_2CH\dot{C}H\dot{C}(O)](CO)_2-\eta^2(C)-q^2(C$ $\{P(OMe)_3\}(PPh_3)$] 10 in 78% yield.

All the complexes have been characterised by ¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR and IR spectroscopy and by mass spectrometry and microanalysis (see Table 1 and Experimental section) and, in



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of 7a including the atom numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

addition, complexes **7a** and **10** have been the subjects of single X-ray diffraction studies.

The molecular structure of complex 7a is illustrated in Fig. 3; selected bond distances and angles for both are listed in Table 3. In complex 7a the Co-Co single bond [2.466(2)] is bridged by SPh and PPh₂CHCHC(O) ligands such that one Co atom [Co(2)] is incorporated into a five-membered Co-P-C=C-C metallacycle whose unsaturated C-C bond is π -coordinated to the second Co atom [Co(1)]. The P-C=C-C system is folded so as to make the Co-Co and the C=C axes almost perpendicular. The thiolato group bridges the two metal centres symmetrically [Co(1)–S(1) 2.238(2) and Co(2)–S(1) 2.251(2)]. Both Co(1) and Co(2) atoms are bound by P(OMe)₃ ligands occupying sites trans to the metal-metal bond [P(2)-Co(2)-Co(1) 163.3(8), P(3)-Co(1)-Co(2) 162.4(1)°]. The relative configuration of the phosphorus donor ligands can be regarded as pseudo-cis with a torsion angle of 7°. A single carbonyl ligand completes the distorted octahedral coordination environment at each metal centre.

A partial determination of the molecular structure of complex **10** was made;³⁰ this is depicted in Fig. 4. The X-ray analysis is consistent with the proposed structure but the poor quality of the data precludes discussion of the structural details.

205

| Co(1)–Co(2) | 2.466(2) | Co(2)–S(1) | 2.251(2) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Co(1) - S(1) | 2.238(2) | C(1) - C(2) | 1.47(1) |
| Co(2)–P(1) | 2.194(2) | P(1)-C(3) | 1.796(7) |
| Co(2)-C(1) | 2.002(8) | C(1)–O(1) | 1.231(7) |
| C(2) - C(3) | 1.424(9) | Co(1) - C(2) | 2.058(2) |
| Co(1)–C(3) | 2.023(2) | Co(2)–P(2) | 2.171(2) |
| Co(1)–P(3) | 2.149(2) | Co(2)–C(2) | 1.803(8) |
| Co-C(carbonyl) | 1.768(8)-1.803(8) | | |
| | | | |
| C(12)–Co(1)–P(3) | 98.5(1) | P(1)-Co(2)-S(1) | 130.5(1) |
| C(12)-Co(1)-S(1) | 105.2(3) | C(13)-Co(2)-Co(1) | 101.5(2) |
| P(3)-Co(1)-S(1) | 110.2(1) | C(1)-Co(2)-Co(1) | 68.7(2) |
| C(12)-Co(1)-Co(2) | 96.8(2) | P(1)-Co(2)-Co(1) | 74.5(8) |
| P(3)–Co(1)–Co(2) | 162.4(1) | P(2)-Co(2)-Co(1) | 163.3(8) |
| S(1)-Co(1)-Co(2) | 57.0(1) | S(1)-Co(2)-Co(1) | 56.4(1) |
| C(1)-Co(2)-P(2) | 97.3(2) | C(3)-P(1)-Co(2) | 94.9(3) |
| C(13)–Co(2)–P(1) | 98.5(3) | Co(1)-S(1)-Co(2) | 66.2(1) |
| C(1)-Co(2)-P(1) | 80.6(3) | C(2)-C(1)-Co(2) | 108.9(4) |
| P(2)-Co(2)-P(1) | 113.3(1) | C(1)-C(2)-C(3) | 116.9(7) |
| C(1)-Co(2)-S(1) | 76.7(2) | C(2)-C(3)-P(1) | 109.2(6) |
| P(2)-Co(2)-S(1) | 112.8(1) | | |
| | | | |



Fig. 4 Partially determined molecular structure of 10 including the atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms apart from H4 and H5 have been omitted for clarity.

Examples of similar, structurally characterised dicobalt complexes that incorporate the μ -PPh_2CRCRC(O) ligand include the phosphido-bridged complexes $[Co_2(\mu$ -PPh_2){ μ - $\eta^1(P):$ $\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)$ -PPh_2CHCPhC(O){(CO)_4}]^{19} and $[Co_2(\mu$ -PPh_2)-{ $\{\mu$ - $\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)$ -PPh_2CHCHC(O){(CO)_2(PPhMe_2)_2}]^{23}} and, indeed, the conformations of the μ -PPh_2CRCRC(O) ligands in these complexes are very similar to those in 7a and 10. The coupling of a CO and an alkyne to a bridging phosphido group has been reported in a number of other dinuclear transition metal complexes leading to μ -PPh_2C(O)-CRCR species in which the CO is positioned between the phosphide and vinyl groups.^{19,31}

The spectroscopic properties of **7a** and **10** are in accordance with their solid-state structures being maintained in solution with weak IR bands (*ca*. 1580 cm⁻¹) being assigned to v(CO) of the PPh₂CHCHC(O) ketonic group. In the ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra of **7a** and **10** three broad resonances are observed in each case. By comparison with previously reported dicobalt complexes^{19,20,23} the resonances at δ 33.9 (**7a**) and 35.6 (**10**) are assigned to the *P*Ph₂CHCHC(O) phosphorus atom while P(OMe)₃ resonances are seen in the region δ 146.2–165.7 and the PPh₃ signal at δ 55.4. In the ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectrum of **7a** two broad signals are observed in the terminal carbonyl region (δ 203.1, 207.1) and a slightly sharper singlet carbonyl resonance at lower field (δ 224.2) is assigned to the CO of the metallacycle.

On the basis of a comparison with the spectroscopic data for **7a** and **10** the structures of the bis-substituted complexes **7b**, **8a**, **8b**, **9a** and **9b** are assigned related structures with a singly bonded dicobalt unit bridged by SPh and PPh₂CR'CRC(O) ligands and bound terminally by two phosphorus-bound ligands. The proposed orientation of the R' and R substituents in **8** and **9**, is based on the ¹H NMR data. For example, the ¹H NMR spectra of **8a** and **9a** both show doublet of doublet resonances for the vinyl protons [μ -PPh₂CH=CMeC(O) or μ -PPh₂-CMe=CHC(O)] at δ 3.95 (**8a**) and at δ 4.16 (**9a**). The presence, however, of a coupling constant of 37.2 Hz for **9a** indicates that the vinyl proton is located *trans* to the phosphido group [PPh₂-CMeCH_{trans}C(O)]. A comparison of the corresponding data for the μ -PPh₂CHCHC(O) ligand in **7a** supports both assignments.

It is noteworthy that complexes 1a and 1b can be converted directly into 7a, 7b, 8a, 9a and 9b by reaction with excess of L [P(OMe)₃ or PPhMe₂] at 363 K in toluene in high yield.

2.3 Mechanistic implications

The isolation of 6 from the room-temperature reaction of 1b with one equivalent of L demonstrates that fragmentation of the µ-SPhC(O)CMe=CH ligand must occur during the reaction in order to account for the reversal in the disposition of the vinyl group substituents (in 6). These results can be compared to the reversible fragmentation of the µ-SPhC(O)CHCH ligand observed, even at room temperature, on treatment of 1a with ¹³CO gas. This gives [Co₂(µ-PPh₂){µ-SPh¹³C(O)CHCH}- $(^{13}CO)_2(CO)_2$] 1a', in which a pathway involving bond-breaking and bond-making of carbon-sulfur and carbon-carbon bonds has been invoked (see Fig. 1).²³ A similar set of bond-breaking and bond-forming transformations is capable of accounting for the formation of 6 and it seems likely that reversible fragmentation of the µ-SPhC(O)CR'CR ligand occurs during the formation of 2-5. Indeed, the fact that complexes 2/3 or 4/5are inseparable, irrespective of the phosphorus-donor ligand L or the nature of the vinyl substituents, suggests that in solution an equilibrium exists between them involving reversible fragmentation of the µ-SPhC(O)CR'CR ligand.

While addition of one equivalent of L causes isomerisation and probably reversible fragmentation of the μ -SPhC(O)-CR'CR ligand in 1, addition of a second equivalent at elevated temperature causes permanent sulfur–carbon bond rupture and phosphorus–carbon bond formation to give the PPh₂-CRCRC(O)/SPh–bridged dicobalt complexes 7–10. Several points are worth highlighting about these reactions. Firstly, only on thermolysis of 2–6 with a second equivalent of L does this rearrangement occur; heating these complexes alone does not initiate the rearrangement. Secondly, the yield ratio of the isomeric products 8:9, in which R' \neq R, is independent of which mono-substituted isomeric starting material is used (4/5 or 6) suggesting a common intermediate(s). Thirdly, the reaction of 2a/3a with PPh₃ affords only one product (10) implying that both 2a and 3a form a common intermediate.

Scheme 2 illustrates a plausible pathway to account for the formation of the mono-substituted phosphite/phosphine complexes 2–6. Initial substitution of a terminal carbonyl group in 1 by L may occur preferentially at one metal centre [Co¹] to give 2 or 4 (the major isomers of the inseparable pairs) initiating a reversible 'ring-flip' of the SPhC(O)CR'CR ligand to Co² to give respectively 3 or 5. This 'ring-flip' mechanism occurs through extrusion of SPh and CO groups from the metallacycle (in 2 or 4) and the formation of an intermediate complex A containing a perpendicularly $\eta^2: \eta^2$ -bridged alkyne group and a pendant thiolate group (see Fig. 1 for additional related possible intermediates). The pendant SPh group in A can then shift to Co(2) to give B in a manner similar to that identified for



Scheme 2 Proposed pathway for 'ring-flip' interconversion and formation of 2-6 from 1. [Co] = Co(CO)₂ or Co(CO), L = P(OMe)₃ or PPhMe₂.

related mixed-metal systems²⁶ and then reform the metallacycle *via* SPh, CO and alkyne coupling. The formation of the isomers **5** and **6**, in which the disposition of the R substituents on the vinyl group is reversed, can be understood as resulting from insertion of the CO or SPh group (see Fig. 1) into one or other of the metal–carbon bonds between Co(2) and the alkyne-bridge in **B**. On the other hand, it is not clear why an isomer of **4** with the R substituents on the vinyl group reversed is not formed *via* the same process. It is note-worthy that a similar regiospecificity is observed in the formation of **1b** from the reaction of $[Co_2(\mu-MeCCH)(CO)_6]$ with PPh₂(SPh).²⁷

Although we were unable to detect by solution NMR spectroscopy any of the postulated intermediate species in the formation of **2–6**, related complexes containing the proposed or similar bridging ligands have been isolated and demonstrated to undergo related bond-forming and bond-breaking reactions. For example, reversible coupling of alkynes with CO on bimetallic centres has been well documented ^{19,27–29,31–35} while recent reports have disclosed thiolate-alkyne coupling.^{25,26} In addition, the 'ring-flip' mechanism proposed here can be compared to the shift of a hydride and an oscillation of a $\eta^1: \eta^2$ -vinyl group between two metal centres detected by Deeming *et al.*³⁶

Scheme 3 illustrates a plausible pathway for the formation of 7–10. Addition of L' to all mono-substituted structural types 2–6 is proposed to give a pair of common bis-substituted intermediates C and D. As in Scheme 2 these intermediates arise *via* fragmentation of the SPhC(O)CRCR ligand and contain transverse μ - η^2 : η^2 -alkyne and pendant thiolate groups. For C, however, exchange of a thiolate group with a bridging

phosphido group can then take place to give E, followed by coupling of the alkyne, CO and a phosphide groups to give 7–10. Since the phosphide group may couple with the alkyne by insertion into either of two Co(1)-C bonds, two regiochemistries of the resulting vinyl group are possible and, indeed, observed in 8 and 9. In Scheme 3 we propose initial phosphide rather than CO insertion, as we have previously isolated µ-PPh2CRCR-bridged dicobalt complexes from alkyne-bridged precursor complexes and moreover, have observed the related carbonylation reaction of these complexes.¹⁹ The subsequent insertion of CO in Scheme 3 must be irreversible, as treatment of 7a with ¹³CO at 383 K does not result in labelling of the ketonic carbonyl group. The reason for the absence of an isomer of 10 in which the phosphorus donor ligands have exchanged positions is unclear, but the reduced steric demands of a P(OMe)₃ group over a PPh₃ group at the crowded metallacyclic Co atom may be significant.

3 Conclusions

The reaction of phosphorus donor ligands (L) with the asymmetrically bridged 1 is much more complex than the simple substitution of carbonyl groups by the L ligands. Indeed, substitution of the carbonyl ligands does occur but with the effect of inducing two types of intramolecular rearrangement reaction. Firstly, the orientation of the R groups on the vinyl unit of the sulfur-containing Co–S–C–C=C metallacycle in 1b can be reversed on mono-substitution at moderate temperatures (293 K). Secondly, the metallacycle, Co–S–C–C=C, in 1 can be converted to a phosphorus-containing Co–P–C=C–C metallacycle, in 7–10, on bis-substitution at elevated temperatures (383 K).

4 Experimental

4.1 General techniques and reagents

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry, oxygen-free nitrogen, using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were distilled under nitrogen from appropriate drying agents and degassed prior to use.³⁷ Infrared spectra were recorded in dichloromethane solution in 0.5 mm NaCl cells, using a Perkin-Elmer 1710 Fourier-transform spectrometer. Fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra were recorded on a Kratos MS 890 instrument using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as a matrix. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P spectra were recorded on either a Bruker WM 250 spectrometer or a Bruker AM 400 spectrometer. Preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on commercial Merck plates with a 0.25 mm layer of silica, or on 1 mm silica plates prepared at the University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge. Column chromatography was performed on Kieselgel 60 (70–230 or 230–400 mesh). Products are given in order of decreasing $R_{\rm f}$ values.

Unless otherwise stated all reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and the compounds $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1-(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CR'CR}(CO)_4]$ (R' = R = H 1a; R' = Me, R = H 1b) were prepared by literature methods.²⁷

4.2 Room temperature reactions of 1a with L

(i) $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P(OMe)_3}$. To a solution of $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S): \eta^1(C): \eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CHCH\}(CO)_4]$ **1a** (0.300 g, 0.52 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³) was added $\mathbf{P(OMe)_3}$ (0.20 cm³, 1.70 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred at 293 K for 4 h and after removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, purified by TLC using hexane–dichloromethane (2:1) as eluent. This gave in addition to a small amount of unreacted starting material, the inseparable isomeric purple crystalline complexes $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CHCH\}(CO)_3^ \{\mathbf{P(OMe)_3}\}$ **2a/3a** (0.315 g, 90%). Compounds **2a/3a**: NMR (CDCl₃, 293 K): ¹³C(¹H composite pulse decoupled), (**2a**)

207



Scheme 3 Proposed pathway to rearranged complex 10 from 2a/3a. [Co] = Co(CO)₂ or Co(CO), L = P(OMe)₃, L' = PPh₃.

δ 208.6 [s, CoCO], 205.7 [s, CoCO], 203.7 [s, CoCO], 180.8 [d, ³*J*(PC) 22, SPhC(O)CHCH], 142–124 [m, Ph], 124.6 [dd, ²*J*(PC) 50, ²*J*(P'C) 35, SPhC(O)CHCH], 68.0 [s, SPhC(O)CHCH] and 52.3 [d, ²*J*(PC) 6 Hz, P(OMe)₃]; (**3a**) δ 207.9 [s, CoCO], 203.4 [s, CoCO], 202.5 [s, CoCO], 181.8 [d, ³*J*(PC) 22, SPhC(O)CHCH], 142–124 [m, Ph], 116.3 [dd, ²*J*(PC) 46, ²*J*(P'C) 31, SPhC(O)-CHCH], 62.1 [s, SPhC(O)CHCH] and 51.8 [d, ²*J*(PC) 4 Hz, P(OMe)₃]. Fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectrum, *m*/*z* 674 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – *n*CO) (*n* = 1–3). Anal. Calc. for C₂₇H₂₆-Co₂O₇P₂S: C, 48.1; H, 3.9. Found: C, 48.3; H, 4.0%.

(ii) L = PPhMe₂. As above in (i) using PPhMe₂ (0.20 cm³, 1.40 mmol). Eluted with hexane-dichloromethane (10:1) gave **2b/3b** (0.291 g, 83%). Compounds **2b/3b**: Anal. Calc. for $C_{32}H_{28}Co_2O_4P_2S$: C, 55.81; H, 4.07. Found: C, 55.63; H, 4.12%. FAB mass spectrum, *m/z* 688 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – *n*CO) (*n* = 1–3).

4.3 Room-temperature reactions of 1b with L

(i) $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{OMe})_3$. To a solution of $[Co_2(\mu - \mathbf{PPh}_2) \{\mu - \eta^1(\mathbf{S})\}$: $\eta^{1}(C): \eta^{2}(C)-SPhC(O)CMeCH (CO)_{4}$] 1b (0.300 g, 0.51 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³) was added P(OMe)₃ (0.20 cm³, 1.70 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred at 293 K for 4 h and after removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, purified by TLC using hexane-dichloromethane (2:1) as eluent. This gave in addition to a small amount of unreacted starting material, the inseparable isomeric purple crystalline complexes $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CMeCH}(CO)_3 \{P(OMe)_3\}$ [L = 4a/5a (0.154 g, 44%)] and the red crystalline complex $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\eta^1(S): \eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)-$ CHCMe}(CO)₃{P(OMe)₃}] 6a (0.126 g, 36%). Compounds 4a/ 5a: NMR (CDCl₃, 293 K): ¹³C(¹H composite pulse decoupled), (4a) δ 209.4 [s, CoCO], 203.9 [s, CoCO], 202.3 [s, CoCO], 177.3 [d, ³J(PC) 16, SPhC(O)CMeCH], 142–127 [m, Ph and SPhC(O)-CMeCH], 68.1 [s, SPhC(O)CMeCH], 51.7 [d, ²J(PC) 4 Hz, P(OMe)₃] and 23.7 [s, SPhC(O)CMeCH]; (5a) δ 208.0 [s, CoCO], 204.6 [s, CoCO], 203.0 [s, CoCO], 181.5 [d, ³J(PC) 20, SPhC(O)CMeCH], 147.2 [dd, ²J(PC) 50, ²J(P'C) 34, SPhC(O)-CMeCH], 142-127 [m, Ph], 66.6 [s, SPhC(O)CMeCH], 52.3 [d, ²J(PC) 6 Hz, P(OMe)₃] and 23.2 [s, SPhC(O)CMeCH]. Anal.

Calc. for $C_{28}H_{28}Co_2O_7P_2S$: C, 55.81; H, 4.07. Found: C, 55.63; H, 4.12%. FAB mass spectrum, m/z 688 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1-3). Compound **6a**: FAB mass spectrum, m/z 688 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1-3).

(ii) L = PPhMe₂. As above in (i) using PPhMe₂ (0.20 cm³, 1.40 mmol). Elution with hexane–dichloromethane (10:1) gave **4b/5b** (0.143 g, 40%) and **6b** (0.144 g, 40%). Compounds **4b/5b**: FAB mass spectrum, m/z 702 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1-3). Compound **6b**: FAB mass spectrum, m/z 702 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1-3).

4.4 Thermolytic reactions of 2/3 with L

(i) 2a/3a with P(OMe)₃ (L). To a solution of $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2) \{\mu - \eta^{1}(S) : \eta^{1}(C) : \eta^{2}(C) - SPhC(O)CHCH\}(CO)_{3}\{P(OMe)_{3}\}] 2a/$ **3a** (0.200 g, 0.29 mmol) in toluene (50 cm^3) was added a solution of $P(OMe)_3$ (0.20 cm³, 1.70 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³). The reaction mixture was stirred at 383 K for 1 h and after removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the mixtures were purified by TLC using hexane-ethyl acetate (10:1) as eluent. This gave in addition to a small amount of unreacted starting material, the green complex $[Co_2(\mu$ -SPh){ μ - $\eta^1(P)$: $\eta^1(C)$: $\eta^2(C)$ -PPh₂CHCHC(O)}(CO)₂{P(OMe)₃}₂] 7a (0.171 g, 75%). Compound 7a: NMR (CDCl₃, 293 K): ¹³C(¹H composite pulse decoupled), δ 224.2 [s, PPh₂CHCHC(O)], 207.1 [s, CoCO], 203.1 [s, CoCO], 144-125 [m, Ph], 72.0 [s, br, PPh₂CHCHC(O)], 51.7 [m, br, P(OMe)₃] and 31.9 [m, br, PPh₂CHCHC(O)]. Anal. Calc. for C₂₉H₃₅Co₂O₉P₃S: C, 45.2; H, 4.6; P, 12.1. Found: C, 45.4; H, 4.5; P 12.0%. FAB mass spectrum, m/z 770 (M⁺) and $(M^+ - nCO) (n = 1-3).$

(ii) 2b/3b with PPhMe₂ (L). As above in (ii) using 2a/3b (0.200 g, 0.29 mmol) and PPhMe₂ (0.20 cm³, 1.40 mmol). Elution with hexane–dichloromethane (2:1) gave 7b (0.181 g, 78%). Compound 7b: NMR (CDCl₃, 293 K): ¹³C(¹H composite pulse decoupled), δ 226.8 [s, PPh₂CHCHC(O)], 208.8 [s, CoCO], 203.9 [s, CoCO], 144–125 [m, Ph], 72.0 [s, br, PPh₂CHCHC(O)], 32.3 [m, br, PPh₂CHCHC(O)] and 18.2 [d, ²J(PC) 18 Hz, PPhMe₂]. FAB mass spectrum, *m*/*z* 798 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – *n*CO) (*n* = 1–3).

 Table 4
 X-Ray crystallographic and data processing parameters for 2b and 7a^a

| Complex | 2b | 7a |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Formula | C ₂₂ H ₂₈ Co ₂ O ₄ P ₂ S | CmH2cC0AOaPaS |
| M | 687.98 | 750.2 |
| Crystal size/mm | $0.38 \times 0.32 \times 0.23$ | $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.0$ |
| Temperature/K | 293(2) | 295(2) |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P2_1/n$ | <i>P</i> 1 (no. 2) |
| alÅ | 13.648(2) | 9.360(4) |
| b/Å | 16.213(3) | 10.433(5) |
| c/Å | 13.934(3) | 19.106(8) |
| a/° | 90 | 85.51(3) |
| βl° | 100.46(2) | 77.05(3) |
| y/° | 90 | 67.33(3) |
| V/Å ³ | 3032(1) | 1678(1) |
| Ζ | 4 | 2 |
| $D_c/\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.508 | 1.485 |
| F(000) | 1408 | 752 |
| μ (Mo-K α)/cm ⁻¹ | 12.3 | 12.42 |
| θ range/° | 3.00-25.00 | 2.50-22.50 |
| Limiting hkl indices | -15 to 15, 0-18, 0-16 | -10 to 2, -11 to 10, -20 to 20 |
| Reflections collected | 5782 | 5023 |
| Independent reflections | $2402 [I > 3\sigma(I)]$ | $4402 [R_{int} = 0.01]$ |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.000 and 0.658 | 1.000 and 0.916 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2402/0/352 | 5023/0/423 |
| GOF^{b} of fit on F^{2} | | 1.53 |
| Final R indices ^c | | |
| $I > 2\sigma(I)$ | $R = 0.0689, R' = 0.0682^{d}$ | $R_1 = 0.0501, R_w = 0.0782$ |
| All data | | $R_1 = 0.0625, R_w = 0.0853$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole/e Å ⁻³ | 0.743 and -0.852 | 1.13 and -1.01 |

^{*a*} Data common to all compounds: Mo-K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). ^{*b*} GOF = $[\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)/(n - p)]^{1/2}$ where n = number of reflections and p = total number of parameters. ^{*c*} $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma|F_o|$, $wR_2 = [\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\Sigma w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}$, $w^{-1} = [\sigma^2(F_o)^2 + (aP)^2 + bP]$, $P = [\max(F_o^2, 0) + 2(F_c^2)]/3$; $R = \Sigma(\Delta F)/\Sigma(F_o)$; $R' = [\Sigma w(\Delta F)^2/\Sigma w(F_o)^2]^{1/2}$; $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F)$. ^{*d*} $I > 3\sigma(I)$.

4.5 Thermolytic reactions of 4/5 with L

(i) 4a/5a with P(OMe)₃ (L). To a solution of $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2) \{\mu - \eta^{1}(S) : \eta^{1}(C) : \eta^{2}(C) - SPhC(O)CMeCH\}(CO)_{3}\{P(OMe)_{3}\}] 4a/$ 5a, (0.200 g, 0.29 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³) was added $P(OMe)_3$ (0.20 cm³, 1.70 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³). The solution was stirred at 383 K for 1 h and after removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the mixture was purified by TLC using hexane-ethyl acetate (10:1) as eluent. This gave in addition to a small amount of unreacted starting material, the green complexes $[Co_2(\mu$ -SPh){ μ - $\eta^1(P)$: $\eta^1(C)$: $\eta^2(C)$ -PPh₂-CHCMeC(O)}(CO)₂{P(OMe)₃}₂] 8a (0.136 g, 60%) and $[Co_2(\mu-SPh)\{\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2CMeCHC(O)\}(CO)_2-$ {P(OMe)₃}₂] 9a (0.027 g, 12%). Compound 8a: NMR (CDCl₃, 293 K): ¹³C(¹H composite pulse decoupled), δ 224.3 [s, PPh₂-CHCMeC(O)], 206.5 [s, CoCO], 203.2 [s, CoCO], 144-124 [m, Ph], 72.1 [d, ²J(PC) 26.7, PPh₂CHCMeC(O)], 51.8 [m, br, P(OMe)₃], 31.6 [d, ¹J(PC) 41.2 Hz, PPh₂CHCMeC(O)] and 15.1 [s, PPh₂CHCMeC(O)]. Anal. Calc. for C₃₀H₃₇Co₂O₉P₃S: C, 45.9; H, 4.7. Found: C, 45.9; H, 4.7%. FAB mass spectrum, m/z 784 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1-3). Compound **9a**: Anal Calc. for C40H41C02O3P3S: C, 59.1; H, 5.1. Found: C, 59.0; H, 5.1%. FAB mass spectrum, m/z 812 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1 - 3).

(ii) 4b/5b with PPhMe₂ (L). As above in (i) using 4b/5b (0.200 g, 0.28 mmol) and PPhMe₂ (0.20 cm³, 1.40 mmol). Elution with hexane-dichloromethane (2:1) gave green 8b (0.127 g, 56%) and 9b (0.180 g, 8%). Compound 8b: FAB mass spectrum, m/z 784 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1–3). Compound 9b: FAB mass spectrum, m/z 812 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – nCO) (n = 1–3).

4.6 Thermolytic reactions of 6 with L

(i) 6a with P(OMe)₃ (L). To a solution of $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2)-{\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CHCMe}(CO)_3{P(OMe)_3}]$ 6a (0.200 g, 0.29 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³) was added P(OMe)₃ (0.20 cm³, 1.70 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³). The solution was

stirred at 383 K for 1 h and after removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the mixture was purified by TLC using hexane–ethyl acetate (10:1) as eluent. This gave in addition to a small amount of unreacted starting material, the green complex $[Co_2(\mu$ -SPh){ μ - η ¹(P): η ¹(C): η ²(C)-PPh₂CHCMeC(O)}(CO)₂-{P(OMe)_3}_2] **8a** (0.136 g, 60%) and $[Co_2(\mu$ -SPh){ μ - η ¹(P): η ¹(C): η ²(C)-PPh₂CMeCHC(O)}(CO)₂{P(OMe)_3}_2] **9a** (0.027 g, 12%).

(ii) 6b with PPhMe₂. As above in (i) using 6b (0.200 g, 0.28 mmol) and PPhMe₂ (0.20 cm³, 1.40 mmol). Elution with hexane-dichloromethane (2:1) gave green 8b (0.127 g, 56%) and 9b (0.180 g, 8%).

4.7 Thermolytic reaction of 2a/3a with PPh₃

Triphenylphosphine (0.050 g, 0.19 mmol) was added to $[Co_2(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\eta^1(S):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-SPhC(O)CHCH}(CO)_{3^-}$ {P(OMe)_3}] **2a/3a** (0.100 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (50 cm³) and the reaction mixture stirred for 1 h at 383 K. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the mixture purified by preparative TLC using hexane–ethyl acetate (10:1) as eluent to yield the green complex $[Co_2(\mu-SPh){\mu-\eta^1(P):\eta^1(C):\eta^2(C)-PPh_2CHCHC(O)}(CO)_2{P(OMe)_3}(PPh_3)]$ **10** (0.105 g, 78%). Compound **10**: Anal. Calc. for C₄₄H₄₁Co₂O₆P₃S: C, 58.15; H, 4.51. Found: C, 58.08; H, 4.53%. FAB mass spectrum, *m/z* 908 (M⁺) and (M⁺ – *n*CO) (*n* = 1–3).

4.8 Crystal structure analysis of complexes 2b and 7a

Suitable single crystals were mounted on glass fibres with epoxy-resin, and transferred to an X-ray diffractometer. Details of the data-collection procedures, structure solution and refinements are presented in Table 4.

X-Ray intensity data for **2b** were collected on a Philips PW1100 four-circle diffractometer. Lorentz-polarisation and absorption corrections were applied to the data of all the compounds. The positions of the metal atoms in **2b** were deduced from Patterson syntheses. The remaining nonhydrogen atoms and H(1) and H(2) were revealed from subsequent difference-Fourier syntheses. Refinement was based on F.³⁸ The phenyl rings were constrained to refine as rigid hexagons. The positions of the hydrogen atoms on C(1) and C(2)were obtained from a difference-Fourier map based on low angle data ($\theta < 20^{\circ}$), and were included without refinement. The remaining hydrogen atoms were placed in idealised positions; all hydrogen atoms were assigned displacement parameters of 0.1 Å². After initial refinement with isotropic displacement parameters empirical absorption corrections³⁹ were applied to the data. All non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic displacement parameters in the final cycles of full-matrix leastsquares refinement.

X-Ray intensity data for 7a were measured on a Siemens R3m/V diffractometer. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by blocked full-matrix least-squares analysis; 40 phenyl hydrogens were placed in idealised positions and allowed to ride on the relevant carbon atoms. Positional disorder was detected for four oxygens of the trimethylphosphite groups with 43 and 57% of occupancy, respectively; only one of them was studied isotropically.

CCDC reference number 186/2284 for 2b and code KumKei for 7a (see ref. 28).

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the EPSRC (G. E. P. and G. A. S.) for financial support.

References

- 1 M. Rakowski Dubois, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1.
- 2 O. Weisser and S. Landa, Sulphide Catalysts, Their Properties and Applications, Pergamon Press, New York, 1973.
- 3 B. C. Gates, J. R. Katzer and G. C. A. Schmit, Chemistry of Catalytic Processes, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979, p. 390.
- 4 R. R. Chianelli, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 1984, 26, 361. 5 C. N. Satterfield, Heterogeneous Catalysis in Industrial Practice,
- McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991, p. 378. 6 H. Topsøe, B. S. Clausen and F. E. Massoth, Hydrotreating
- Catalysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. 7 C. Blonski, A. W. Myers, M. Palmer, S. Harris and W. D. Jones, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 3819.
- 8 J. Chen, L. M. Daniels and R. J. Angelici, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 199.
- 9 J. Chen, L. M. Daniels and R. J. Angelici, Polyhedron, 1990, 9, 1883.
- 10 H. E. Selnau and J. S. Merola, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 1583.
- 11 C. Bianchini, A. Meli, M. Peruzzini, F. Vizza, P. Frediani, V. Herrera and R. A. Sanchez-Delgado, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 7505.
- 12 I. E. Buys, L. D. Field, R. W. Hambley and A. E. D. McQueen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem Commun., 1994, 557.
- 13 J. J. Garcia, B. E. Mann, H. Adams, N. A. Bailey and P. M. Maitlis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 2179.
- 14 R. M. Chin and W. D. Jones, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 2698.

- 15 W. D. Jones and R. M. Chin, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 472, 311.
- 16 S. Luo, A. E. Skaugset, T. B. Rauchfuss and S. R. Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1732.
- 17 A. E. Ogilvy, M. Draganjac, T. B. Rauchfuss and S. R. Wilson, Organometallics, 1988, 7, 1171.
- 18 W. D. Jones, D. A. Vicic, M. Chin, J. H. Roache and A. W. Myers, Polyhedron, 1997, 16, 3115.
- 19 A. J. M. Caffyn, M. J. Mays, G. A. Solan, D. Braga, P. Sabatino, G. Conole, M. McPartlin and H. R. Powell, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 3103.
- 20 A. J. M. Caffyn, M. J. Mays, G. A. Solan, D. Braga, P. Sabatino, A. Tiripicchio and M. Tiripicchio-Camellini, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 1876.
- 21 A. J. Edwards, A. Martín, M. J. Mays, D. Nazar, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 355.
- 22 A. J. M. Caffyn, M. J. Mays, G. A. Solan, G. Conole and A. Tiripicchio, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 2345.
- 23 A. J. M. Caffyn, A. Martín, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 609.
- 24 A. Martín, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 1789.
- 25 A. Martín, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 1789; S. L. Ingham, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby, G. A. Solan, B. V. Sundavadra, G. Conole and M. Kessler, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 3607.
- 26 J. D. King, M. J. Mays, G. E. Pateman, P. R. Raithby, M. A. Rennie, G. A. Solan, N. Choi, G. Conole and M. McPartlin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 4447.
- 27 G. Conole, M. Kessler, G. E. Pateman, M. J. Mays and G. A. Solan, Polyhedron, 1998, 17, 2993.
- 28 A. J. Edwards, A. Martín, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby and G. A. Solan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 1416.
- 29 A. J. Carty, Adv. Chem. Ser., 1982, 196, 163; A. J. Carty, Pure Appl. Chem., 1982, 54, 113.
- 30 Complex 10: monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 20.316(4), b =12.918(3), c = 18.011(4) Å; $\beta = 116.28(3)^\circ$; V = 4238(2) Å³. 31 R. Regragui, P. H. Dixneuf, N. J. Taylor and A. J. Carty,
- Organometallics, 1990, 9, 2234; R. Regragui, P. H. Dixneuf, N. J. Taylor and A. J. Carty, Organometallics, 1984, 3, 814; J. D. King, M. J. Mays, C.-Y. Mo, P. R. Raithby, M. A. Rennie, G. A. Solan, T. Adatia and G. Conole, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, **601**. 271.
- 32 S. R. Finnimore, S. A. R. Knox and G. E. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1982, 1783.
- 33 A. F. Dyke, S. A. R. Knox, M. J. Morris and P. J. Naish, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1983, 1417.
- 34 R. S. Dickson, G. S. Evans and G. D. Fallon, Aust. J. Chem., 1985, 38, 273
- 35 R. Rumin, K. Guernou, F. Y. Petillon and K. W. Muir, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 1381.
- 36 A. J. Deeming, S. N. Jayasuriya, A. A. Arce and Y. De Sanctis, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 786.
- 37 W. L. F. Armarego, D. R. Perrin and D. D. Perrin, Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, Butterworth Heinemann, 4th edn., 1996.
- 38 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX76, program for crystal structure determination, University of Cambridge, 1976.
- 39 N. Walker and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr., Sect A., 1968, 24, 158.
- 40 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL 86 PLUS, program for the solution of crystal structures, University of Göttinghen, 1986.