

Enantioselective Henry reaction catalyzed by trianglamine–Cu(OAc)₂ complex under solvent-free conditions

Koichi Tanaka*, Satoshi Hachiken

Department of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Faculty of Chemistry, Materials and Bioengineering & High-Tech Research Centre, Kansai University, Suita, Osaka 564-8680, Japan

Received 25 January 2008; revised 15 February 2008; accepted 21 February 2008

Available online 4 March 2008

Abstract

Chiral trianglamine–Cu(OAc)₂ complex was found to be an efficient catalyst for enantioselective Henry reaction between nitromethane and various aldehydes to provide β -hydroxynitroalkanes with high enantiomeric excesses under solvent-free conditions.
© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The Henry (nitroaldol) reaction is one of the versatile C–C bond forming reaction in organic synthesis for the formation of β -hydroxynitroalkanes.¹ Optically active β -hydroxynitroalkanes are useful intermediates in the synthesis of several pharmacologically important compounds, such as chloroamphenicol, ephedrine, propranolol, and sphingosine.² Recently, much attention has been paid for the development of catalytic asymmetric Henry reactions. For example, metal complexes with chiral ligands, such as BINOL–metal complex,³ Zn amino alcohol complex,⁴ Co salen complex,⁵ and some Cu chiral amine complexes⁶ have been developed. However, these catalysts still have some limitations such as moisture or air sensitivity, need for bases and low temperature, low enantioselectivity, and difficult preparation of the catalysts. As a part of our research on chiral recognition study of optically active trianglamines,⁷ we explored the potential application of their Cu(OAc)₂ complexes for enantioselective Henry reaction between nitromethane and aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes under solvent-free conditions.

A series of chiral trianglamines (**1–4**) were prepared by treating enantiomerically pure (*S,S*)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine with the corresponding dialdehydes followed by

NaBH₄ reduction of the intermediate triangelamines.⁸ Equimolar amounts of triangelamines (**1–4**) and Cu(OAc)₂ were stirred at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂, furnishing the desired chiral Cu complexes as pale green solids.⁹ The enantiomeric nature of (*S,S,S,S,S,S*)-(+)- and (*R,R,R,R,R,R*)-(–)-**1** and their complex with Cu(OAc)₂ were demonstrated by the CD spectra, which showed almost the mirror images of each other (Fig. 1).






Fig. 1. CD spectra of (a) (+)-**1**, (b) (–)-**1**, (c) (+)-**1**–Cu(OAc)₂ complex, and (d) (–)-**1**–Cu(OAc)₂ complex in EtOH.

* Corresponding author.


E-mail address: ktanaka@ipcku.kansai-u.ac.jp (K. Tanaka).




(S,S,S,S,S,S)-(+)-1



(S,S,S,S,S,S)-(+)-2



(S,S,S,S,S,S)-(+)-3




(S,S,S,S,S,S)-(+)-4

The complex of (S,S,S,S,S,S)-(+)-1 with Cu(OAc)₂ was evaluated as a catalyst (3 mol %) by using benzaldehyde (**5a**) and 10 equiv of nitromethane in an open air without using any solvents (Table 1). From the results of Table 1, best result in both enantioselectivity and reactivity was obtained when the reaction was carried out at 0 °C for 48 h using a catalyst loading of 3 mol %.¹⁰ We next explore the effectiveness of the size of the various ligands (1–4) for the catalyst.

Ligands 1 and 2 (Table 2, entries 1 and 2) gave good chemical yield and high enantioselectivity (82–86% ee), while both yield and enantioselectivity decreased using larger ligands in size 3 and 4 (Table 2, entries 3 and 4).

Table 1
Optimization of reaction conditions for the enantioselective Henry reaction of **5a** and MeNO₂ using 3 mol % of (+)-1–Cu(OAc)₂




Entry	Temp (°C)	Conv. ^a (%)	Yield ^a (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	25	93	54	42
2	10	97	76	73
3	0	96	78	82
4	–10	86	49	85

^a Determined by GC and ¹H NMR.

^b Determined by chiral HPLC.

Table 2
Enantioselective Henry reaction of MeNO₂ and aromatic aldehydes under solvent-free conditions



Entry	Ar	L	Conv. ^a (%)	Yield ^a (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	Ph	(+)-1	96	78	82
2	Ph	(+)-2	91	62	86
3	Ph	(+)-3	90	40	79
4	Ph	(+)-4	87	15	58
5	2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-1	93	48	56
6	2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-2	97	75	74
7	2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-3	97	84	72
8	2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-4	95	82	63
9	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-1	86	65	62
10	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-2	88	66	77
11	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-3	79	74	39
12	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	(+)-4	75	75	16
13	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	(+)-1	82	48	73
14	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	(+)-2	67	39	87
15	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	(+)-3	77	53	63
16	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	(+)-4	74	45	46
17	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	(+)-1	65	52	84
18	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	(+)-2	57	55	79
19	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	(+)-3	69	56	83
20	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	(+)-4	48	38	75

^a Determined by GC and ¹H NMR.

^b Determined by chiral HPLC.

To explore the generality of this method, we examined wide variety of aromatic aldehydes listed in Table 1 under the optimal conditions in the presence of Cu(OAc)₂ complex with chiral ligands (1–4). In all the cases, the reactions proceeded smoothly to give the desired products. The presence of either electron-withdrawing (entries 5–16) or electron-donating (entries 17–20) substitution at the *para*- or *ortho*-positions of the aromatic ring of the aldehydes was well tolerated and furnished the corresponding nitroaldol products in moderate to good yields with high enantioselectivities (Table 2).

More interestingly, aliphatic (cyclic, linear, and branched) aldehydes were smoothly converted to nitroaldols in good yields with excellent enantioselectivity (83–93% ee) especially using ligand 1 (Table 3, entries 1, 5, 9, 13, 17,

21, 25, and 29). For example, the reaction of 1-octanal provided the corresponding nitroaldol (8b) in 74% yield with 93% ee. Pivaldehyde was also applicable in the Henry reaction, providing the corresponding nitroaldol (8f) with 90% ee in 88% yield (entry 21).

It is noteworthy that the products were obtained in the (*R*)-form in all the reactions examined in Tables 1–3, showing the nucleophilic addition of nitromethane at the *si*-face of the aldehyde. The mechanistic details of the reaction are still under investigation.

In conclusion, the Cu(OAc)₂ complex with chiral tri-anglamine was found to be efficient for the catalytic enantioselective Henry reaction under solvent-free conditions. It showed broad substrate applicability, good product yield, and high enantioselectivity under the mild and solvent-free conditions. Further studies using this catalytic system in environmentally friendly asymmetric transformation are underway.

Table 3

Enantioselective Henry reaction of MeNO₂ and aliphatic aldehydes under solvent-free conditions

$\text{R-CHO} + \text{MeNO}_2 \xrightarrow[\text{solvent-free}]{\text{L} \cdot \text{Cu(OAc)}_2 \text{ (3 mol \%)} \quad 0^\circ\text{C, 48 h}} \text{R-CH(OH)-CH}_2\text{NO}_2$ 7 a: R = Cyclohexyl b: R = <i>n</i>-C₇H₁₅ c: R = <i>n</i>-C₆H₁₃ d: R = <i>n</i>-C₅H₁₁ e: R = <i>n</i>-C₄H₉ f: R = <i>t</i>-C₄H₉ g: R = <i>i</i>-C₃H₇ h: R = C₂H₅ i: R = CH₃					
Entry	R	L	Conv. ^a (%)	Yield ^a (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	Cyclohexyl	(+)-1	57	57	92
2	Cyclohexyl	(+)-2	75	63	81
3	Cyclohexyl	(+)-3	60	25	80
4	Cyclohexyl	(+)-4	53	36	71
5	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅	(+)-1	95	74	93
6	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅	(+)-2	97	22	80
7	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅	(+)-3	89	49	89
8	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅	(+)-4	90	68	80
9	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃	(+)-1	97	60	91
10	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃	(+)-2	85	34	83
11	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃	(+)-3	97	17	83
12	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃	(+)-4	89	35	75
13	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	(+)-1	— ^c	73	88
14	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	(+)-2	—	82	78
15	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	(+)-3	—	32	82
16	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	(+)-4	—	46	70
17	<i>n</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-1	—	88	89
18	<i>n</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-2	—	48	83
19	<i>n</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-3	—	46	83
20	<i>n</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-4	—	61	73
21	<i>t</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-1	—	88	90
22	<i>t</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-2	—	12	93
23	<i>t</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-3	—	7	88
24	<i>t</i> -C ₄ H ₉	(+)-4	—	13	76
25	<i>i</i> -C ₃ H ₇	(+)-1	—	67	83
26	<i>i</i> -C ₃ H ₇	(+)-2	—	56	81
27	<i>i</i> -C ₃ H ₇	(+)-3	—	49	81
28	<i>i</i> -C ₃ H ₇	(+)-4	—	43	63
29	C ₂ H ₅	(+)-1	—	83	84
30	C ₂ H ₅	(+)-2	—	72	79
31	C ₂ H ₅	(+)-3	—	12	78
32	C ₂ H ₅	(+)-4	—	43	66

^a Determined by GC and ¹H NMR.

^b Determined by chiral HPLC.

^c Not determined.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by ‘High-Tech Research Center’ Project for Private Universities: matching fund subsidy from MEXT (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology), 2005–2009.

References and notes

- (a) Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Pergamon: New York, 1991; (b) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Mielgo, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5442; (c) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Laso, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 2561.
- (a) Lednicer, D. A.; Mitscher, L. A. *The Organic Chemistry of Drug Synthesis*; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1975; (b) Trost, B. M.; Yeh, V. S. C.; Ito, H.; Bremeyer, N. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2621; (c) Koskinen, P. M.; Koskinen, M. P. *Synthesis* **1998**, 1075; (d) Sasai, H.; Itoh, N.; Suzuki, T.; Shibasaki, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *34*, 855; (e) Boruwa, J.; Gogoi, N.; Saikia, P. P.; Barua, N. C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2006**, *17*, 3315.
- (a) Sasai, H.; Suzuki, T.; Arai, S.; Arai, T.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 4418; (b) Shibasaki, M.; Yoshikawa, N. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2187; (c) Saa, J. M.; Tur, F.; Gonzalez, J.; Vega, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 771.
- (a) Trost, B. M.; Yeh, V. S. C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 861; (b) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Laso, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3881; (c) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Halder, A.; Laso, A.; López, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 117.
- (a) Kogami, Y.; Nakajima, T.; Ashizawa, T.; Kezuka, S.; Ikeno, T.; Yamada, T. *Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *33*, 614; (b) Kogami, Y.; Nakajima, T.; Ikeno, T.; Yamada, T. *Synthesis* **2004**, *12*, 1947.
- (a) Christensen, C.; Juhl, K.; Jorgensen, K. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2222; (b) Christensen, C.; Juhl, K.; Hazell, R. G.; Jorgensen, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 4875; (c) Evans, D. A.; Seidel, D.; Rueping, M.; Lam, H. W.; Shaw, J. T.; Downey, C. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 12692; (d) Du, D. M.; Lu, S. F.; Fang, T.; Xu, J. J. *Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3712; (e) Maheswaran, H.; Prasant, K. L.; Krishna, G. G.; Ravikumar, K.; Sridhar, B.; Kantam, M. L. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 4066; (f) Bandini, M.; Piccinelli, F.; Tommasi, S.; Umani-Ronchi, A.; Ventrici, C. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 616; (g) Blay, G.; Climent, E.; Fernandez, I.; Olmos, H.; Pedro, J. R. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2007**, *18*, 1603; (h) Ma, K.; You, J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 1863; (i) Arai, T.; Watanabe, M.; Yanagisawa, A. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3595.

7. Tanaka, K.; Fukuda, N.; Fujiwara, T. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2007**, *18*, 2657.
8. (a) Gawronski, J.; Kolbon, H.; Katrusisk, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5768; (b) Kwit, M.; Skornet, P.; Klbon, H.; Gawronski, J. *Chirality* **2005**, *17*, 93.
9. *Synthesis of trianglamine–Cu(OAc)₂ complex*: Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (0.03 g, 0.15 mmol) was added to a solution of ligand **1** (0.1 g, 0.15 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 ml) and the mixture stirred for 4 h. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product obtained was washed with dry Et₂O (40 ml), and the pale blue solid was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum. 0.074 g (60% yield); mp 175 °C (decomposition); IR (Nujol): 3107, 1573, 1378 cm^{−1}.
10. *Representative enantioselective Henry reaction (Table 2, entry 1)*: A mixture of nitromethane (0.57 g, 9.4 mmol), (+)-**1**–Cu(OAc)₂ complex (0.023 g, 0.028 mmol), and benzaldehyde (0.1 g, 0.94 mmol) was stirred at 0 °C for 48 h. Then, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed on a short silica gel to give nitoalkanol (*R*)-**6a**^{6f} (0.13 g, 78% yield) in 82% ee. The optical purity was determined by HPLC (Chiralcel OD, Detection: UV 254 nm; eluent: *n*-hexane–2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate: 1.0 ml/min; *t*_R = 14.0 min; *t*_S = 16.9 min).