

Published on Web 11/13/2007

Sila Morita-Baylis-Hillman Reaction of Cyclopropenes

Stepan Chuprakov, Denis A. Malyshev, Alexander Trofimov, and Vladimir Gevorgyan*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607

Received September 26, 2007; E-mail: vlad@uic.edu

The Morita—Baylis—Hillman (MBH) reaction¹ is an attractive and powerful tool for carbon—carbon bond formation (eq 1). This protocol involves amine- or phosphine-catalyzed coupling of Michael-acceptors with carbonyl compounds or their equivalents. Herein, we report the phosphine-catalyzed sila-MBH reaction of 1-silyl-cyclopropenes 1 with carbonyl compounds, which produces 1-(silyloxymethyl)cyclopropenes 2 in good to excellent yields (eq 2).

$$= \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{EWG} \\ \mathbf{H} \\ \mathsf{EWG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{SO}_2\mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{EVG} = \mathsf{CO}_2\mathsf{R}, \; \mathsf{CN}, \; \mathsf{COR}, \; \mathsf{C$$

Cyclopropenes² are the smallest unsaturated carbocycles, possessing a highly strained³ double bond, which readily undergoes a wide array of addition reactions.^{4,5} We hypothesized that 1-silyl-substituted cyclopropenes might be suitable substrates for a sila-MBH reaction on the basis of the following reasoning. It was thought that the high reactivity of the cyclopropene double bond, together with the ability of silyl group to stabilize α -carbanion,⁶ could allow for the formation of α -silylcyclopropyl anion i^7 upon addition of nucleophilic catalyst^{4a-d} to 1-silylcyclopropene 1 (eq 3). If long-lived, intermediate i may undergo an addition to carbonyl group to produce alkoxide ii, which was reasoned to be an ideal substrate for a subsequent 1,3-Brook rearrangement⁸/elimination cascade to furnish 1-(silyloxymethyl)cyclopropene 2 (eq 3).

To this end, we examined the reaction of 1-(trimethylsilyl)-cyclopropene-3,3-dicarboxylate **1a** with benzaldehyde in the presence of various nucleophilic catalysts (Table 1). We were pleased to find that in the presence of 25 mol % of DABCO **1a**, indeed, underwent the sila-MBH reaction to produce the expected cyclopropene **2a** in 42% yield (entry 1). Next, it was found that electronrich tris(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)phosphine (TTMPP) was more efficient than other N- and P-based catalysts (entries 1–7). However, the yield of **2a** produced in the presence of 25 mol % of TTMPP in DMF at ambient temperature remained moderate (entry 7). Change of temperature did not improve the reaction yield (entries 8–9). To our delight, it was found that reducing the catalyst loading, together with the use of 1,4-dioxane as a solvent, allowed for a dramatic increase in the yield of the *sila*-MBH reaction, producing **2a** in 79% yield (entry 15). 10

Naturally, with optimized conditions in hand, we examined the scope of this novel transformation with respect to the aldehyde component (Table 2). Gratifyingly, a wide selection of aryl and heteroaryl aldehydes (entries 1–7) reacted smoothly with **1a** to produce 1-(silyloxymethyl)cyclopropenes **2** in good yields. Ester, methoxy-, and halo-substituents were well tolerated in this transformation. Notably, cinnamyl aldehyde, a compound possessing

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

entry	catalyst ^b	mol %	solvent	temp	time, h	yield ^c , %
1	DABCO	25	DMF	rt	3	42
2	DBU	25	DMF	rt	3	0
3	DMAP	25	DMF	rt	3	28
4	$P(t-Bu)_3$	25	DMF	rt	3	5
5	PPh_3	25	DMF	rt	3	12
6	PCy_3	25	DMF	rt	3	5
7	TTMPP	25	DMF	rt	3	57
8	TTMPP	25	DMF	0°C	3	33
9	TTMPP	25	DMF	50 °C	3	42
10	TTMPP	5	DMF	rt	4.5	62
11	TTMPP	5	DMA	rt	4.5	62
12	TTMPP	5	DMSO	rt	4.5	64
13	TTMPP	5	1,4-dioxane	rt	4.5	68
14	TTMPP	5	THF	rt	4.5	66
15	TTMPP	1	1,4-dioxane ^d	rt	6	79

^a 0.1 mmol scale; 1 M concentration; rt = room temperature. ^b DABCO = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane; DBU = 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene; DMAP = 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; TTMPP = tris(2,4,6-trimethox-yphenyl)phosphine. ^c GC yield. ^d Reaction run using 2 M concentration, 0.5 mmol scale.

an activated double bond, reacted with 1a highly chemo- and regioselectively¹¹ to produce 1,4-diene 2h in good yield (entry 8). Remarkably, primary, secondary, and tertiary aliphatic aldehydes were equally efficient in sila-MBH reaction (entries 9-11), suggesting a low sensitivity of this reaction to steric hindrance at the α -position of aldehyde.

Next, we tested a series of unsymmetrically substituted 1-silyl-cyclopropenes 1b-e in the reaction with benzaldehyde (eq 4). Both 3-aryl and 3-silyl substrates, as well as tetrasubstituted cyclopropene, produced the corresponding sila-MBH products 2l-o in good to excellent yields, though as nearly equimolar mixtures of diastereomers. It was also found that the reaction was limited to cyclopropenes possessing electron-withdrawing groups at C-3. Presumably, this was required to decrease the energy of the cyclopropene's LUMO¹² for a more facile addition of nucleophilic catalyst.

Encouraged by the successful sila-MBH reaction of 1 with aldehydes, we then attempted the analogous reaction with activated ketones (eq 5). To our delight, we found that cyclopropene 1a

Table 2. Phosphine-Catalyzed Sila-MBH Reaction of 1-silylcyclopropene **1a**^a

 a Reaction conditions: 1a (0.5 mmol), aldehyde (1.0 mmol), TTMPP (0.005 mmol), dioxane (0.25 mL). b Isolated yield.

reacted smoothly with 1,2-dicarbonyl compound to form α -sily-loxyketone **2p**. Moreover, α,α,α -trifluoroacetophenone underwent reaction with **1a** to produce cyclopropene **2q** in reasonable yield (eq 5).¹³ It deserves mentioning that, prior to the development of this protocol, there were no direct approaches toward 1-(silyloxymethyl)cyclopropene-3-carboxylates **2**. Indeed, these synthetically

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{MeO}_2\text{C} \\ \text{SiMe}_3 \\ \text{1a} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{O} \\ \text{Ph} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{TTMPP} \\ \frac{1 \text{ mol \%}}{\text{dioxane, rt}} \\ \text{MeO}_2\text{C} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\ \text{Ph} \\ \text{2q: R = C(O)Ph, 59\%} \\ \text{R} \end{array} (5)$$

useful building blocks¹⁴ may not be obtained through the direct Rh(II)-catalyzed addition of diazocompounds to secondary propargyl alcohol derivatives, as this route is known to lead to the corresponding allenes.¹⁵ An alternative dianion approach¹⁶ requires hydrolysis of ester group and reesterification.

In summary, we developed a sila Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction of readily available ¹⁷ 1-silylcylopropene-3-carboxylates with aldehydes and activated ketones, involving a 1,3-Brook rearrangement/elimination sequence. This novel protocol allows for

the direct and efficient synthesis of 1-(silyloxymethyl)cyclopropenes, which are not easily available through existing methods. Further studies on expanding the scope⁹ and elucidation of the precise mechanism of sila-MBH reaction are underway in our laboratories.

Acknowledgment. The financial support of National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-0710749) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) For recent reviews, see: (a) Masson, G.; Housseman, C.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2. (b) Shi, Y.-L.; Shi, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 18, 2905. (c) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, A. J.; Satyanarayana, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 811. For recent advances, see: (d) Jellerichs, B. G.; Kong, J.-R.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7758. (e) Wang, L.-C.; Luis, A. L.; Agapiou, K.; Jang, H.-Y.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2402. (f) Frank, S. A.; Mergott, D. J.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16778. (h) Krafft, M. E.; Haxell, T. F. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10168.
- For recent reviews, see: (a) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117. (b) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Synthesis 2006, 1221. (c) Fox, J. M.; Yan, N. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 719.
- (3) For discussion on the strain energy in small rings, see for example: Back, R. D.; Dmitrenko, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 4444.
 (4) For noncatalyzed additions of N-, O-, S-, and Se-nucleophiles to
- (4) For noncatalyzed additions of N-, O-, S-, and Se-nucleophiles to cyclopropens, see: (a) Martinez-Grau, A.; Blasco, J. M.; Ferrito, R.; Espinosa, J. F.; Mantecon, S.; Vaquero, J. J. Arkivoc 2005, 9, 394. (b) Padwa, A.; Wannamaker, M. W.; Dyszlewski, A. D. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4760. (c) Sander, V.; Weyerstahl, P. Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 3879. (d) Franck-Neumann, M.; Miesch, M.; Kempf, H. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 2933. (e) For transition-metal-catalyzed additions of organometalic reagents to cyclopropenes, see: (e) Liao, L.; Fox, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14322. (f) Liao, L.; Zhang, F.; Yan, N.; Golen, J. A.; Fox, J. M. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 1803. (g) Liu, X.; Fox, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5600. (h) Simaan, S.; Marek, I. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2569. (i) Araki, S.; Shiraki, F.; Tanaka, T.; Nakano, H.; Subburaj, K.; Yamamura, H.; Kawai, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2784. (j) Stoll, A. T.; Negishi, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5671. (k) Padwa, A.; Krumpe, K. E.; Terry, L. W.; Wannamaker, M. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1635. For transition-metal-catalyzed hydrometallations of cyclopropenes, see: (l) Rubina, M.; Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11566. (m) Rubina, M.; Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7198. (n) Rubina, M.; Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3688. (o) Baldwin, J. E.; Villarica, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 186. (p) Gajewski, J. J.; Olson, L. P.; Willcott, M. R., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 299. (q) Lou, Y.; Horikawa, M.; Kloster, R. A.; Hawryluk, N. A.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8916. (r) Trofimov, A.; Rubina, M.; Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8910.
- (5) For transition-metal-catalyzed C-H coupling reactions of cyclopropenes, see: (a) Yin, J.; Chisholm, J. D. Chem. Commun. 2006, 632. (b) Chuprakov, S.; Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3714.
- (6) For review, see: Brook, M. A. Silicon in Organic, Organometallic, and Polymer Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2000. See also: (b) Peterson, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 780. (c) Itami, K.; Kamei, T.; Mitsudo, K.; Nokami, T.; Yoshida, J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3970.
- (7) For generation of cyclopropyl anions and their additions to carbonyl group, see: Paquette, L. A.; Blankenship, C.; Wells, G. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6442.
- (8) For review, see: Moser, W. H. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2065.
- (9) Simple vinylsilane, α-silyl acrylate, as well as non-silylated cyclopropenes, did not undergo this transformation. In contrast, a-silyl enones produced MBH product in moderate yield. Further studies of this transformation are underway in our laboratories.
- (10) It was found that TTMPP causes notable decomposition of 1a. Thus, lower catalyst loading allowed for higher material balance. See Supporting Information for details and full optimization data.
- (11) For 1,4-addition to α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds in MBH reaction, see: (a) McIntosh, J. M.; Khalil, H.; Pillon, D. W. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3436. (b) Jenner, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3091. (c) Jih, R. H.; Hakimalahi, G. H.; Chou, C. T. Tetrahedron, Lett. 1902, 33, 6460.
- Hakimelahi, G. H.; Chou, C.-T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, *33*, 6469.
 (12) For discussion on the effect of substituents at C-3 of cyclopropenes on HOMO and LUMO energies, see: Diev, V. V.; Kostikov, R. R.; Gleiter, R.; Molchanov, A. P. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71*, 4066.
- (13) Attempts to employ acyl halides as electrophiles in this reaction were unsuccessful.
- (14) (a) Simaan, S.; Masarwa, A.; Bertus, P.; Marek, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3963. (b) Yang, Z.; Xie, X.; Fox, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3960.
- (15) Moniz, G. A.; Wood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5095.
- (16) Liao, L.; Yan, N.; Fox, J. M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4937.
- (17) (a) Rubin, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Synthesis 2004, 796. (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Lee, G. H. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1233.

JA077437S