

Inorganica Chimica Acta 246 (1996) 151-158



### A new cyclam with a triphenylphosphine-pendant and its metal complexes

Eiichi Kimura\*, Yorimitsu Kodama, Mitsuhiko Shionoya, Tohru Koike

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Medicine, Hiroshima University, Kasumi 1-2-3, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734, Japan

#### Abstract

A new triphenylphosphine-pendant cyclam (triphenylphosphine = TPP; cyclam = 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) was synthesized by reaction of an ethyl cinnamate derivative bearing a diphenylphosphine group at the *ortho* position of the phenyl group with 2,3,2-tetraamine (= 1,9-diamino-3,7-diazanonane) in refluxing MeOH, followed by BH<sub>3</sub> reduction. Triphenylphosphine oxide-pendant cyclam (triphenylphosphine oxide = TPPO) was derived by treatment of TPP-pendant cyclam with benzyl alcohol in CCl<sub>4</sub>. The TPPpendant cyclam formed stable 1:1 metal inclusion complexes with Ni<sup>II</sup> and Mn<sup>III</sup>. The TPPO-pendant cyclam yielded a 1:1 Ni<sup>II</sup> complex which was the best catalyst among the cyclam-Ni<sup>II</sup> and -Mn<sup>III</sup> complexes for epoxidation of *trans*-stilbene with NaClO in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Gold(I) reacted with TPP-pendant cyclam to yield a stable 1:1 complex, in which Au<sup>I</sup> binds only to the pendant phosphine. On the other hand, gold(III) reacted with TPP-pendant cyclam to give an Au<sup>III</sup>-inclusion complex with the pendant TPP coordination. The Au<sup>I</sup> complex reacted with [Au<sup>III</sup>(dien)Cl]<sup>2+</sup> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN to yield an Au<sup>II</sup>(out)-Au<sup>III</sup>(in-cyclam) mixed complex. The Ni<sup>II</sup> complex with TPPpendant cyclam reacted with Au<sup>I</sup>(PEt<sub>3</sub>)Cl in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, yielding a novel Au<sup>I</sup>(out)-Ni<sup>II</sup>(in-cyclam) binuclear complex.

Keywords: Triphenylphosphine-pendant cyclam; Nickel complexes; Gold complexes; Cyclam complexes; Epoxidation

#### 1. Introduction

Saturated macrocyclic polyamines are extremely useful and versatile ligands [1-3]. Recently we have developed a new synthetic route to new series of macrocyclic polyamines that are functionalized with C-tethered donors. Thus, we have introduced phenol- (1a) [4], pyridine- (1b) [4h,5], imidazole- (1c) [6], 3-hydroxypyridinependant (1d) [7] in cyclam (= 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) or its homologues, using a biosynthetic pathway of cyclic biogenic polyamine (such as spermine and spermidine) alkaloids (Scheme 1). All pendant functions interact with metal ions (e.g. Ni<sup>II</sup> [4b-f,5a,6a,7], Cu<sup>II</sup> [4d-f,6c,7], Zn<sup>II</sup> [4d,g,i,6c,7], Co<sup>II</sup> [4d,6a], Fe<sup>II</sup> [4a,e,f,5a], Mn<sup>III</sup> [6b], Au<sup>III</sup> [4h,5b], etc.), participating in coordination either as an axial donor [4-6] or an equatorial donor [4c,g,6a,c,7], depending on the size of the cyclam cavity (Scheme 2). The resulting complexes 2 are gifted with new properties leading to novel application, which has led to our conceptual development of intelligent molecules [2].

0020-1693/96/\$15.00 © 1996 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved *P11* \$0020-1693(96)05062-8 We now have extended our original biosynthetic method to the synthesis of a new triphenylphosphinependant cyclam 3. Our interest with 3 were (i) how triphenylphosphine (TPP) stabilizes the entrapped metals  $M_1$  (4) to favor the lower or higher oxidation states, (ii) whether TPP axially coordinates with metal ions  $M_2$  (5)



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



Scheme 3.

entrapped in the cyclam, (iii) whether soft metal ions  $M_1$  exclusively bind to TPP, and hard metal ions  $M_2$  (6) can subsequently go into the cyclam cavity (Scheme 3), and (iv) catalytic activity of Ni<sup>II</sup>- and Mn<sup>III</sup>-cyclam complexes in epoxidation of olefins [6b,8] can be improved with the intramolecular presence of TPP.

#### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. General information

All reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade. CH<sub>3</sub>CN was distilled over CaH<sub>2</sub> and stored in the dark. All aqueous solutions were prepared using deionized and distilled water. Tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>) was recrystallized from ethyl acetate. *trans*-Stilbene and *trans*-stilbene oxide were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry. [Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam]·(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was prepared by recrystallization of [Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam]·Cl<sub>2</sub> [9] from water in the presence of 10 times excess amount of NaClO<sub>4</sub>. [Mn<sup>III</sup>-cyclam·(ClO<sub>4</sub>)]·(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the reported method [10a].

IR and UV spectra were respectively recorded on Shimadzu FTIR-4200 and Hitachi U-3200 spectrophotometers. Melting points were determined on a micro melting apparatus. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Merck Art. 5554 (silica gel) TLC plates. <sup>1</sup>H (400 MHz), <sup>13</sup>C (100 MHz), and <sup>31</sup>P (162 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL  $\alpha$ -400 spectrometer at 25.0 ± 5°C. 3-(Trimethylsilyl)-propionic-2,2,3,3- $d_4$  acid sodium salt (Merck) in D<sub>2</sub>O and tetramethylsilane (Merck) in organic solvent were used as internal references for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR measurements. A D<sub>2</sub>O solution of 80% phosphoric acid was used as an external reference for <sup>31</sup>P NMR measurements.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of triphenylphosphine-pendant cyclam: 5-(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)-1,4,8,11tetraazacyclotetradecane, **3** (see Scheme 4)

A toluene solution (270 ml) of 2-(diphenylphosphino)-

benzaldehyde (19.6 g, 67.5 mmol) [11] and (carbethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane (Wittig reagent) (23.5 g, 67.5 mmol) was heated at reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and crystallization from n-hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1) to obtain ethyl 3-(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)-2propenate 7 as colorless prisms (21.7 g, 60.3 mmol, 89% yield). m.p. =  $71.0-72.0^{\circ}$ C. IR (KBr pellet) 3056, 2986, 1709 (C=O), 1635 (C=C), 1458, 1436, 1314, 1265, 1181, 1092, 1028, 899, 730, 706 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.27  $(3H, t, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CCH}_3), 4.19 (2H, q, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz},$  $OCH_2C$ ), 6.25 (1H, dd, J = 15.7, 1.2 Hz, C = CHC), 6.94 (1H, ddd, J = 7.7, 4.2, 0.9 Hz, ArH), 7.24-7.38 (12H, m, m)ArH), 7.62 (1H, ddt, J = 7.7, 4.2, 0.6 Hz, ArH), 8.40 (1H, dd, J = 15.7, 4.4 Hz, ArCHC).

A MeOH solution (1.101) of 1,9-diamino-3,7diazanonane (2,3,2-tetraamine) (8) (9.66 g, 60.3 mmol) and 7 (21.7 g, 60.3 mmol) was heated under reflux for 20 days. After the mixture was evaporated to dryness, the residue was dissolved in 500 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and unreacted 8 was removed by extraction with 28% aqueous NH<sub>2</sub> (200 ml  $\times$  2). The water layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $(100 \text{ ml} \times 3)$ . After all organic layers were combined and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (100 ml) and a colorless powder precipitated. Crystallization from CH<sub>3</sub>CN yielded 7-(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)-5-oxo-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (9) as colorless needles (4.54 g, 9.57 mmol, 16% yield). m.p. = 188.0-189.0°C. IR (KBr pellet) 3430, 3299, 3054, 2924, 2911, 2820, 1645 (C=O), 1539, 1476, 1458, 1435, 1364, 1339, 1306, 1291, 1183, 1132, 1090, 1068, 1051, 1024, 891, 866, 842, 768, 744, 698, 503 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  1.68– 1.76 (2H, m, CCH<sub>2</sub>C), 2.30–2.86 (14H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>, COCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.20–3.28 (1H, m, CHNCO), 3.47–3.56 (1H, m, CHNCO), 4.66-4.82 (2H, br, ArCHN), 6.97-7.02 (1H, m, ArH), 7.17 (1H, dt, J = 2.5, 7.7 Hz, ArH), 7.21–7.39 (12H, m, ArH).

Monooxomacrocycle 9 (2.00 g, 4.21 mmol) was added to a THF solution (150 ml) of BH<sub>3</sub>-THF (150 mmol) at 0°C [7]. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then heated at 65°C for 1 day. After decomposition of excess amount of BH<sub>3</sub> with 6 M aqueous HCl at 0°C, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in water (100 ml) and washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml  $\times$  2). The aqueous layer pH was adjusted to 12 with 3 M NaOH and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml  $\times$  3). After all organic layers were combined and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was evaporated to dryness. Crystallization of the residue from CH<sub>3</sub>CN yielded 3 as colorless prisms (1.45 g, 3.15 mmol, 75% yield). m.p. 149.5-150.5°C. IR (KBr pellet) 3650, 3291, 3052, 2926, 2818, 1478, 1465, 1435, 1337, 1183, 1117, 1092, 1068, 1020, 999, 959, 912, 829, 797, 745, 698, 544, 503 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.58–1.63 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CAr), 1.67– 1.74 (2H, m, CCH<sub>2</sub>C), 2.20 (1H, ddd, J = 2.6, 9.5, 11.9 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.35–2.51 (3H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.55–2.82 (11H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.56–4.63 (1H, m, NCHAr), 6.87 (1H, ddd, J = 1.3, 4.4, 7.8 Hz, ArH(4)), 7.11 (1H, dt, J = 1.3, 7.4 Hz, ArH(3)), 7.24–7.37 (11H, m, ArH), 7.52–7.56 (1H, m, ArH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  29.6, 38.1, 46.7, 49.4, 49.6, 49.7, 49.8, 50.86, 50.92, 61.3 ( $J_{PC} = 23.5$  Hz), 126.0 ( $J_{PC} = 5.1$  Hz), 126.7, 128.46 ( $J_{PC} = 6.6$  Hz), 128.49 ( $J_{PC} = 7.3$  Hz), 128.6, 128.8, 129.2, 133.4, 133.9 ( $J_{PC} = 19.8$  Hz), 134.3 ( $J_{PC} = 19.8$  Hz), 135.2 ( $J_{PC} = 13.2$  Hz), 136.7 ( $J_{PC} = 10.3$  Hz), 137.0 ( $J_{PC} = 11.0$  Hz), 149.4 ( $J_{PC} = 22.0$  Hz); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –16.5. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>1</sub>·1/2H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 71.6; H, 8.2; N, 11.9. Found: C, 71.4; H, 8.1; N, 12.1%.

# 2.3. Synthesis of triphenylphosphine oxide-pendant cyclam: 5-(2-diphenylphosphinylphenyl)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane, **10**

A CCl<sub>4</sub> solution (50 ml) of benzyl alcohol (35 ml) and 3 (500 mg, 1.09 mmol) was heated at reflux for 12 h. After the mixture was evaporated to dryness, the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ MeOH/28% aqueous NH<sub>3</sub>, 10:4:1) and crystallization from CH<sub>3</sub>CN yielded 10 as colorless prisms (388 mg, 0.76 mmol, 75% yield). m.p. 174.0-175.0°C. IR (KBr pellet) 3335, 3055, 2926, 2876, 2811, 1482, 1466, 1437, 1364, 1190 (P=O), 1119, 756, 721, 698, 532 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.49–1.59 (1H, m, CHCAr), 1.64–1.71 (2H, m, CCH<sub>2</sub>C), 1.80-1.93 (2H, m, CHCAr, NCH), 2.12-2.18 (1H, m, NCH), 2.37-2.77 (12H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.53-4.70 (1H, m, NCHAr), 7.00 (1H, ddd, J = 1.1, 7.7, 14.3 Hz, ArH(4)), 7.15 (1H, ddt, J = 1.3, 2.6, 7.5 Hz, ArH(3)), 7.43-7.56 (7H, m, ArH), 7.64-7.81 (4H, m, ArH), 7.77-7.81 (1H, m, ArH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  29.4, 38.7, 46.3, 49.0, 49.1, 49.39, 49.44, 50.1, 50.4, 60.8 ( $J_{PC} = 5.1 \text{ Hz}$ ), 125.8 ( $J_{PC}$  = 13.2 Hz), 127.7 ( $J_{PC}$  = 10.3 Hz), 128.47 ( $J_{PC}$  = 11.7 Hz), 128.49 ( $J_{PC}$  = 12.5 Hz), 130.7 ( $J_{PC}$  = 102.0 Hz), 131.7 ( $J_{PC} = 2.9 \text{ Hz}$ ), 131.8 ( $J_{PC} = 2.2 \text{ Hz}$ ), 131.9 ( $J_{PC} =$ 9.5 Hz), 132.1 ( $J_{PC} = 9.5$  Hz), 132.4 ( $J_{PC} = 2.2$  Hz), 133.3  $(J_{PC} = 7.2 \text{ Hz}), 133.3 \quad (J_{PC} = 104.2 \text{ Hz}), 133.4 \quad (J_{PC} = 104.2 \text{ Hz}), 134.2 \quad$ 102.0 Hz), 151.4 ( $J_{PC} = 8.1 \text{ Hz}$ ); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 31.2. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>1</sub>P<sub>1</sub>·1/2CH<sub>3</sub>CN: C, 70.1; H, 7.8; N, 12.7. Found: C, 69.7; H, 7.9; N, 12.5%.

#### 2.4. Synthesis of Ni<sup>II</sup> complex with 3, 11

A MeOH solution (5 ml) of **3** (92.1 mg, 0.20 mmol) and Ni<sup>II</sup>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (76.7 mg, 0.2 mmol) was heated at reflux for 30 min. Orange powder precipitated at room temperature. Crystallization of the powder from MeOH yielded **11**·(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> as orange prisms (95.1 mg, 0.13 mmol, 65% yield). IR (KBr pellet) 3202, 2928, 2876, 1468, 1435, 1310, 1120, 1091, 1024, 743, 702, 627, 504 cm<sup>-1</sup>. *Anal.* Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>1</sub>: C, 46.8; H, 5.2; N, 7.8. Found: C, 47.0; H, 5.2; N, 7.8%.

#### 2.5. Synthesis of Ni<sup>II</sup> complex with 10, 13

A MeOH solution (5 ml) of **10** (23.8 mg, 0.05 mmol) and Ni<sup>II</sup>Cl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (18.3 mg, 0.05 mmol) was heated at reflux in MeOH (5 ml) for 30 min. After addition of 10 times excess amount of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>, **13**·ClO<sub>4</sub>·PF<sub>6</sub> was obtained as orange prisms (22.4 mg, 0.03 mmol, 60% yield). IR (KBr pellet) 3256, 2998, 2870, 1456, 1439, 1179, 1121, 843, 768, 750, 723, 696, 623, 559, 545 cm<sup>-1.</sup> Anal. Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>1</sub>Ni<sub>1</sub>·CH<sub>3</sub>OH: C, 42.9; H, 5.1; N, 6.9. Found: C, 43.2; H, 5.3; N, 6.9%.

#### 2.6. Synthesis of Mn<sup>III</sup> complex with 3, 14

A MeOH solution (25 ml) of **3** (100 mg, 0.22 mmol) and  $Mn^{III}(AcO)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$  (38.4 mg, 0.14 mmol) was heated under reflux for 10 min. After the solution was evaporated to dryness, conc. HCl aqueous solution (5 ml) was added to the residue to yield a green powder that was recrystallized from MeOH to yield **14**·Cl<sub>3</sub> as yellow needles (63.2 mg, 0.10 mmol, 71% yield). IR (KBr pellet) 3142, 2942, 2853, 1474, 1458, 1435, 1113, 1092, 1051, 1032, 1017, 1001, 883, 800, 763, 757, 752, 701, 511, 486 cm<sup>-1</sup>. *Anal.* Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>Mn<sub>1</sub>: C, 54.1; H, 6.0; N, 9.1. Found: C, 54.2; H, 6.0; N, 9.1%.

#### 2.7. Synthesis of Au<sup>1</sup> complex with 3, 17

To a toluene solution (7 ml) of **3** (92.1 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added an EtOH solution (1 ml) of Au<sup>I</sup>(Et<sub>3</sub>P)Cl (70.1 mg, 0.2 mmol) [12] at room temperature. After stirring for 5 h, the mixture was evaporated to dryness. The residue was crystallized from toluene to yield **17** as colorless needles (52.2 mg, 0.075 mmol, 38% yield). IR (KBr pellet) 3271, 2920, 2886, 2793, 2731, 1480, 1464, 1437, 1335, 1184, 1125, 1101, 752, 694, 550, 507 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.48–1.84 (4H, m, CCH<sub>2</sub>C), 2.07–2.16 (2H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.40–2.82 (12H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.77–4.83 (1H, m, NCHAr), 6.78 (1H, ddd, J = 1.2, 7.8, 12.3 Hz, ArH(4)), 7.17 (1H, tt, J = 1.6, 7.6 Hz, ArH(3)), 7.44–7.63 (11H, m, ArH), 7.79–7.83 (1H, m, ArH); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  25.4. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Cl<sub>1</sub>Au<sub>1</sub>: C, 48.5; H, 5.4; N, 8.1. Found: C, 48.6; H, 5.4; N, 8.0%.

#### 2.8. Synthesis of Au<sup>III</sup> complex with 3, 18

To a suspension of  $Au^{III}(dien)Cl_3$  [13] (40.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (15 ml) was added a MeOH solution (3 ml) of **3** (46.0 mg, 0.1 mmol). After stirring for 3 h,  $Au^{III}(dien)Cl_3$  was dissolved in the solution, the solution color changed to yellow. After the mixture was evaporated to dryness, the residue was dissolved in MeOH (1 ml). To the solution was added 60% aqueous HClO<sub>4</sub> (1 ml) to yield a yellow powder. The powder was crystallized from MeOH to obtain **18**·Cl·(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> as yellow prisms (71.7 mg, 0.078 mmol, 78% yield). IR (KBr

pellet) 3291, 3017, 2957, 1456, 1437, 1120, 872, 763, 709, 694, 625, 546 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  1.66–1.96 (4H, m, CCH<sub>2</sub>C), 2.22–2.38 (2H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.52–3.16 (12H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.56–4.64 (1H, m, NCHAr), 6.76–6.82 (1H, m, ArH), 7.42–7.78 (13H, m, ArH); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  32.6. *Anal.* Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>Au<sub>1</sub>· CH<sub>3</sub>OH: C, 37.7; H, 4.5; N, 6.1. Found: C, 37.6; H, 4.7; N, 6.1.

#### 2.9. Synthesis of Au<sup>I</sup>-Au<sup>III</sup> complex with 3, 20

To a suspension of Au<sup>III</sup>(dien)Cl<sub>2</sub> (29.3 mg, 0.072 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (8 ml) was added a MeOH solution (1 ml) of 17 (50.0 mg, 0.072 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 2 h, Au<sup>III</sup>(dien)Cl<sub>3</sub> was dissolved and the solution color changed to yellow. After the mixture was evaporated to dryness, the residue was dissolved in MeOH (1 ml). To the solution was added 1 M aqueous HClO<sub>4</sub> (1 ml) to yield an orange power that crystallized from MeOH/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1:1) to obtain  $20 \cdot \text{Cl}_2 \cdot (\text{ClO}_4)_3$  as orange prisms (23.3 mg, 0.011 mmol, 31% yield). IR (KBr pellet) 3058, 2926, 2851, 1456, 1437, 1101, 1001, 756, 710, 694, 545, 513 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.45–2.22 (8H, m, CCH<sub>2</sub>C), 2.15-2.25 (4H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.60-3.45 (24H, m, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.57-4.63 (2H, m, NCHAr), 6.73-6.78 (2H, m, ArH(4)), 7.05-7.10 (2H, m, ArH(3)), 7.40-7.85 (24H, m, ArH). Anal. Calc. for C<sub>56</sub>H<sub>72</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>12</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>5</sub>Au<sub>3</sub>: C, 35.8; H, 4.0; N, 6.0. Found: C, 35.7; H, 4.4; N, 5.9%.

#### 2.10. Synthesis of Au<sup>I</sup>-Ni<sup>II</sup> complex with 3, 21

A MeOH solution (10 ml) of **3** (35.9 mg, 0.05 mmol) and Au<sup>1</sup>(Et<sub>3</sub>P)Cl (17.6 mg, 0.05 mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After the mixture was evaporated to dryness, the residue was dissolved in MeOH (3 ml), and diethyl ether (5 ml) was added to the solution to yield a purple powder that was crystallized from H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH (1:1) to yield **21**·Cl<sub>2</sub>·ClO<sub>4</sub> as orange prisms (18.3 mg, 0.021 mmol, 43% yield). IR (KBr pellet) 3225, 2953, 2868, 1460, 1437, 1144, 1090, 997, 754, 696, 627, 545, 509 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>1</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>1</sub>Au<sub>1</sub>· CH<sub>3</sub>OH: C, 37.9; H, 4.5; N, 6.1. Found: C, 37.5; H, 4.5; N, 6.1%.

## 2.11. Catalytic oxygenation of trans-stilbene with $Ni^{II}$ or $Mn^{III}$ complex

A CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (0.4 ml) of *trans*-stilbene (0.16 mmol), catalyst (4  $\mu$ mol; 11, Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam complex 12, 13, 14, and Mn<sup>III</sup>-cyclam complex 16), and benzyl tri*n*-butyl ammonium chloride (6  $\mu$ mol, phase-transfer agent) was stirred vigorously with 0.74 M NaClO (Antiformin, pH 12.7, 0.8 ml) at 25°C for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with 10% aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (5 ml) and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 ml × 3). After all organic layer was combined and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solution was diluted to 10 ml with ethyl acetate and analyzed. Identification of reaction products was performed by conjunction of reaction mixtures with standards onto HPLC column. HPLC analysis was performed with a  $5\,\mu$ m Lichrospher Si60 column (250 ×  $\phi$  4 mm) eluted with n-hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) at 1.5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> with detector at 258 nm. A Shimadzu SPD-6A UV spectrophotometric detector and a Shimadzu C-R6A Chromato PAC were used. The retention time for *trans*-stilbene, *trans*stilbene oxide, and benzaldehyde is 4.4, 6.3, and 7.8 min, respectively. *cis*-Stilbene oxide (5.0 min) was not detected. The results are summarized in Table 3.

#### 2.12. Electrochemical measurement

Cyclic voltammetry in CH<sub>3</sub>CN or H<sub>2</sub>O was carried out using a Yanaco P-1100 polarographic analyzer. A threeelectrode system was employed. In H<sub>2</sub>O, either a glassy carbon, hanging mercury electrode, or gold electrode were used as the working electrode, along with a Pt-wire coil, or glassy carbon as the auxiliary electrode and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode (0.2412 V versus NHE). In CH<sub>3</sub>CN, a glassy carbon electrode was used as the working electrode, along with a glassy carbon as the auxiliary electrode and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode. The reference electrode was separated from the bulk of the solution by a glass frit and was immersed in an CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution containing 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>. All experiments were carried out at  $25.0 \pm 0.1$  °C under Ar. Potentials are reported versus SCE, the scan rates were 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for cyclic voltammetry in H<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>3</sub>CN, respectively. Experimental errors were within ±10 mV.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. New ligands 3 and 10

The synthetic route to the target ligand 3 involved an condensation of unsaturated ester 7 and a tetraamine 8, as



Scheme 4.

shown in Scheme 4. Compound 3 was obtained as prismatic crystals. Unlike any previous homologous pendant cyclams, 3 is more soluble in organic solvents such as CHCl<sub>3</sub> than in water. The triphenylphosphine oxidependant cyclam 10 was synthesized from the reaction of 3 with equimolar benzyl alcohol in refluxing CCl<sub>4</sub> in 75% yield. Triphenylphosphine oxide (TPPO) was confirmed by strong IR stretching frequency at 1190 cm<sup>-1</sup> (in KBr pellet), characteristic of  $\nu_{P=O}$  [14].

#### 3.2. Interaction of Ni<sup>II</sup> with 3

Treatment of 3 with equimolar  $Ni^{II}(ClO_4)_2$  in MeOH for 30 min at reflux temperature and concentration of the solvent precipitated orange prisms 11. This metal complex 11 fits to the 1:1 Ni<sup>II</sup>-3 complex formula as  $2ClO_4$ salt. We assigned the Ni<sup>II</sup> complex to the non-interacting triphenylphosphine (TPP) structure 11 (mostly fourcoordinate, diamagnetic Nill) from UV-visible absorption  $(\lambda_{\max} 450 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon 60 \text{ in MeOH})$  and electrochemical redox potentials. Earlier, all the other pendant cyclams 1a-d vielded only pink (paramagnetic)  $Ni^{II}$  complexes 2a-d, which were shown by X-ray analysis to be axially coordinated with the pendants [4b,c,5a,6a,7]. Ni<sup>II</sup>-(pendant-free) cyclam complex 12 is orange ( $\lambda_{max}$  452 nm,  $\varepsilon$  57 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) in MeOH, indicating a diamagnetic Ni<sup>II</sup> complex form, although the equilibrium of four-coordinate diamagnetic  $\neq$  six-coordinate paramagnetic Ni<sup>II</sup> exists depending on the conditions [15]. Electrochemical redox potential on cyclic voltammograms (CV) was -1.52 versus saturated calomel electrode (SCE) for Ni<sup>II</sup>/Ni<sup>I</sup> (at hanging mercury electrode) and +0.52 for Ni<sup>II</sup>/Ni<sup>III</sup> (at glassy carbon electrode) for 11 (Table 1) with I = 1.5(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) at 25°C in H<sub>2</sub>O (scan rate 500 V s<sup>-1</sup>). For reference, 12 showed almost the same  $E_{1/2}$  values of -1.56 V and +0.50 V, respectively, under the same conditions. In the previous 5-coordinate Ni<sup>II</sup> complexes with

Table 1 Redox properties of the Ni<sup>II</sup> and Mn<sup>III</sup> complexes

Complex		$E_{1/2}$ (V versus SCE)
Ni <sup>II</sup> complex <sup>a</sup> 11 13 12	Ni <sup>II</sup> /Ni <sup>III</sup> ( $\Delta E_{p}$ , mV) <sup>b</sup> +0.53 (58) +0.54 (55) +0.50	Ni <sup>I</sup> /Ni <sup>II</sup> ( $\Delta E_{p}$ , mV) <sup>c</sup> -1.52 (28) -1.55 (73) -1.56
Mn <sup>III</sup> complex <sup>d</sup> 14 16 <sup>e</sup> 15 <sup>e</sup>	Mn <sup>III</sup> /Mn <sup>II</sup> 0.12 0.04 +0.09	

<sup>a</sup>At 25°C with I = 1.5 (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) in H<sub>2</sub>O.

<sup>b</sup>At glassy carbon electrode (scan rate 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup>).

<sup>c</sup>At hanging mercury electrode (scan rate 500 mV  $s^{-1}$ )

<sup>d</sup>At 25°C with I = 0.1 (NaClO<sub>4</sub>) in H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 3.6) at gold electrode. <sup>e</sup>From Ref. [6b]. the pendant donors axially coordinated, the Ni<sup>I</sup> state was less stabilized; i.e. the  $E_{1/2}$  for Ni<sup>II</sup>/Ni<sup>I</sup> < -1.6 V.

#### 3.3. Interaction of Ni<sup>II</sup> with 10

Refluxing 10 with equimolar Ni<sup>II</sup>Cl<sub>2</sub> in MeOH for 30 min, followed by addition of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> precipitated orange prisms 13. We again assigned the four-coordinate, uncoordinating TPPO structure 13, as suggested by the similar electrochemical redox behaviors (Table 1) and UV-visible absorption ( $\lambda_{max}$  455 nm,  $\varepsilon$  90 in MeOH) to those of 12. The  $\nu_{P=O}$  occurs at 1179 cm<sup>-1</sup> (in KBr pellet), almost the same wave number as with the free ligand.



#### 3.4. Interaction of Mn<sup>III</sup> with 3

Ligand 3 and one equivalent of Mn<sup>III</sup>(OAc)<sub>3</sub> were heated at reflux in MeOH for 10 min. After addition of a small amount of conc. HCl aqueous solution to the resulting solution, yellow needles 14 were obtained ( $\lambda_{max}$ 355 nm,  $\varepsilon$  2100 (sh) in H<sub>2</sub>O, pH 3.5). In our previous Xray study, the imidazole pendant cyclam 2c formed a green-colored, 6-coordinate Mn<sup>III</sup> complex 15 with the imidazole N and Cl<sup>-</sup> coordinating from axial sites ( $\lambda_{max}$ ) 350 nm,  $\varepsilon$  1600 (sh) in H<sub>2</sub>O) [6b]. For another reference, the pendant-free cyclam complex 16 (six-coordinate with two axial water) [10] showed  $\lambda_{max}$  340 nm ( $\epsilon$  2300 (sh)) in H<sub>2</sub>O (green color). The  $E_{1/2}$  values for Mn<sup>III</sup>/Mn<sup>II</sup> on CV of 15 and 16 at gold electrode were respectively +0.09 V and -0.04 V versus SCE with I = 0.1 (NaClO<sub>4</sub>) at 25°C in H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 3.6, scan rate = 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1) [6b]. It was therefore concluded that the imidazole axial ligation gives little contribution to the stabilization of the Mn<sup>II</sup> state with respect to Mn<sup>III</sup>. Under the same conditions, CV of 14 showed a quasi-reversible Mn<sup>III</sup>/ Mn<sup>II</sup> couple at  $E_{1/2}$  -0.12 V, implying practically little stabilization of Mn<sup>III</sup>.



3.5. Interaction of  $Au^{I}$  with 3

Stirring 3 with Au<sup>I</sup>(Et<sub>3</sub>P)Cl in toluene for 10 min yielded 17 as colorless prisms. The evidence for Au<sup>I</sup> (out) structure 17 comes from the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR measurements, where the TPP proton signals move from  $\delta$  7.2-

Table 2 Reduction properties of the  $Au^{III}$  or  $Au^{I}$  complexes in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 25°C<sup>a</sup>

Complex	Peak potential (V versus SCE)			
	$\overline{Au^{III} \rightarrow Au^{II}}$	$Au^{II} \rightarrow Au^{I}$	$Au^{I} \rightarrow Au^{0}$	
17	b	_b	-1.89	
18	-1.28	-1.42	-1.89	
19	-0.16	-0.62	-0.98	
20	-0.26	-0.72	-1.26, -1.80	

<sup>a</sup>With 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> at glassy carbon electrode. <sup>b</sup>No observed.

7.4 (for 3) to  $\delta$  7.4–7.6 (for 17) and the <sup>31</sup>P signal moves from  $\delta$  –16.5 to  $\delta$  25.4, while the methylenes on the cyclam undergo no shifts upon Au<sup>I</sup> complexation. CV of 17 (Table 2) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (25 °C, I = 0.1 (n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>)) showed an irreversible reduction peak at –1.89 V versus SCE for the Au<sup>I</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>0</sup> at glassy carbon electrode (scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>). It is similar to the value of Au<sup>I</sup>(TPP)CI (–1.86 V) under the same conditions.



#### 3.6. Interaction of Au<sup>III</sup> with 3

Upon treatment of 3 with [Au<sup>III</sup>(dien)Cl]Cl<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/MeOH (5:1) at room temperature for 3 h, smooth ligand replacement took place, to yield a yellow Au<sup>III</sup>(in cyclam) complex 18, which was recrystallized from MeOH and isolated as Cl·(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> salt. We tentatively assigned the Au<sup>III</sup> complex to the folded cyclam and coordinating TPP structure 18 from the following results. The proton and <sup>31</sup>P NMR measurements showed the multiplet of the TPP protons shifting from  $\delta$  7.2–74 (for 3) to  $\delta$  7.4–7.8 (for 18) and <sup>31</sup>P shifting from  $\delta$  –16.5 to  $\delta$  32.6. Earlier, the square-planar Au<sup>III</sup>-cyclam complex 19 was shown to have a CT absorption band (N<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>III</sup>) at  $\lambda_{max}$ 382 nm ( $\varepsilon$  1907) in DMF [4h]. Since the present Au<sup>III</sup> complex 18 possesses a much weaker CT band at  $\lambda_{max}$ 391 nm ( $\varepsilon$  182) in the same solvent, it is suggested that the interaction between Au<sup>III</sup> and the nitrogen donors of cyclam is weaker, leading to a postulated less strained cyclam cis configuration. CV of 18 (Table 2) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (25°C, 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>) showed irreversible reduction peaks at -1.28, -1.42 and -1.89 V versus SCE for Au<sup>III</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>II</sup>, Au<sup>II</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>I</sup> and Au<sup>I</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>0</sup> at glassy carbon electrode (scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, that were very different from the values with 19 (-0.16, -0.62 and -0.98 V, respectively), but 18 showed the same value for  $Au^{I} \rightarrow Au^{0}$  as 17. It is supposed that the  $Au^{III}$  in 18 was greatly stabilized with respect to Au<sup>III</sup> in 19, but upon reduction to  $Au^{l}$ , demetallation of 18 was observed, leading to 17.



#### 3.7. Au<sup>III</sup>-Au<sup>I</sup> complex with 3

Refluxing the Au<sup>I</sup> complex 17 with [Au<sup>III</sup>(dien)Cl]Cl<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN for 2 days produced an Au<sup>I</sup>(out)-Au<sup>III</sup>(in cyclam) mixed complex 20 (as Cl<sub>2</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> salt) as orange prisms. The structural assignment is based on elemental analysis (C, H, N) and proton NMR (the N-CH<sub>2</sub> signals for cyclam shift from  $\delta$  2.4–2.8 for 17 to  $\delta$  2.6–3.1 for 20 as found for 19 [4e]; no such shift is observed for the Ph<sub>3</sub>P multiplet). The UV spectroscopic measurements of 20 in DMF showed  $\lambda_{max}$  387 nm ( $\varepsilon$  3722) to be compared with  $\lambda_{max}$  382 nm ( $\epsilon$  1907) for **19** in the same solvent, where the easy deprotonation of the cyclam NH occurred (the p $K_a$  value of 5.4 for 19 in H<sub>2</sub>O with I = 0.1 (NaClO<sub>4</sub>) at 25°C) [4h]. CV of 20 (Table 2) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (25°C, 0.1 M n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>) showed irreversible reduction peaks at -0.26, -0.72, -1.26 and -1.80 V versus SCE for Au<sup>III</sup>  $\rightarrow$ Au<sup>II</sup>, Au<sup>II</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>I</sup>, Au<sup>I</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Au<sup>0</sup>(in cyclam), and Au<sup>I</sup>  $\rightarrow$ Au<sup>0</sup>(out cyclam) at glassy carbon electrode (scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>), which were similar to the combined values for 19 and 17.



#### 3.8. $Ni^{II}$ -Au<sup>I</sup> complex with 3

The orange Ni<sup>II</sup> complex 11 was reacted with Au<sup>I</sup>(Et<sub>3</sub>P)Cl in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, yielding an Au<sup>I</sup>(out)-Ni<sup>II</sup>(in cyclam) complex 21. The structure assignment is based on elemental analysis (C, H, N) and the following data. The UV spectroscopic measurement in MeOH showed  $\lambda_{max}$  460 nm ( $\varepsilon$  50), almost the same for 11 ( $\lambda_{max}$  450 nm,  $\varepsilon$  60). CV of 21 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (25°C, I = 0.1 (n-Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub>)) showed a quasi-reversible redox wave at  $E_{1/2}$  +1.27 V versus SCE for Ni<sup>II</sup>/Ni<sup>III</sup> couple and an irreversible reduction peak at -1.56 V for Ni<sup>II</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Ni<sup>I</sup> at glassy carbon electrode (scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>). For comparison, unsubstituted Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam 12 showed a quasi-reversible redox wave at  $E_{1/2}$  +1.16 V and an irreversible peak at -1.50 V, respectively, under the same condition. According to

these results, it is considered that  $Au^{I}$  and  $Ni^{II}$  have little interaction in 21.



### 3.9. Catalytic oxygenation of trans-stilbene with Ni<sup>II</sup> (11,12,13) and Mn<sup>III</sup> complexes (14,16)

Like the previous reaction conditions [8a], the catalytic oxygenation of *trans*-stilbene was carried out under uniform conditions with 11-14 and 16 at  $25^{\circ}$ C (see Section 2).

$$\frac{[catalyst]}{Ph} \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph Optimizer Ph Optimizer Ph} Ph Optimizer Ph Op$$

The results (Table 3) showed that the Ni<sup>II</sup>-TPPOpendant cyclam complex 13 was the most efficient catalyst for the oxygen atom transfer reaction from NaClO to the substrate *trans*-stilbene and also the most selective catalyst for the epoxidation among the cyclam complexes tested. The TPP-cyclam in 11 was first converted into the phosphine oxide to yield 13, as demonstrated on TLC. In 1987, Kochi reported that in norbornene (1 mmol) oxygenation with Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam 12 (0.01 mmol) and iodosylbenzene (0.03 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 ml) at 25°C for 2 h, addition of 2 equiv. of triethylphosphine oxide increased the epoxide yield from 24 to 42% (based on iodobenzene formed) [8b]. In order to check the intermolecular TPPO

Table 3

Catalytic oxidation of trans-stilbene with NiII and MnIII cyclam complexes  $^{\rm a}$ 

Cyclam complex	Turnover for product <sup>b</sup>				
	trans-epoxide (A)	PhCHO (B)	A/B		
Ni <sup>II</sup> complex	<u> </u>				
11 (TPP-pendant)	16.2	1.6	10.1		
13 (TPPO-pendant)	17.1	1.7	10.1		
12 (free) <sup>c</sup>	11.2	2.3	4.9		
<b>12</b> + TPPO (10 equiv.)	12.1	1.4	8.6		
Mn <sup>III</sup> complex					
14 (TPP-pendant)	10.4	1.8	5.8		
16 (free)	6.0	1.3	4.6		

<sup>a</sup>0.16 mmol of *trans*-stilbene,  $4\mu$ mol of the cyclam complex, and  $6\mu$ mol of benzyl tri-n-butyl ammonium chloride (phase-transfer agent) in 0.4 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 0.8 ml of 0.74 M NaClO (Antiformin, pH 12.7) at 25°C for 1 h.

<sup>b</sup>Mole of product/mole of catalyst in 1 h. Other minor unidentified products were also detected.

<sup>c</sup>In ref 8a, the total yield of *trans*-epoxide was 4.7% (0.33 mol/mol of **12**) the reaction time 6 h, using the same ratio reaction mixture.

effect, we added 10 equiv. of TPPO to the Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam 12 under the present conditions and we indeed observed an increase in the yield and selectivity of epoxide formation over product (entry 4 in Table 3). However, our intramolecular TPPO of 13 is more efficient due to the proximate TPPO effect and probably higher solubility in  $CH_2Cl_2$  than the pendantless complex 12 [16]. In another experiment, with 5 equiv. of 13 (against the substrate) or Ni<sup>II</sup>-cyclam and TPPO, but without NaClO, no epoxide product was detected on HPLC, implying that the oxygen to be transferred to stilbene did not come from TPPO, but came from NaClO. We tentatively postulate an oxenoid intermediate, (TPPO-cyclam) Ni<sup>IV</sup>=O species, like the previously proposed (cyclam) Ni<sup>IV</sup>=O [8b,c].

$$Ni^{ll}L^{2*} + CIO^{-} \longrightarrow O=Ni^{lv}L^{4*} + Ci^{-}$$

$$O=Ni^{lv}L^{4*} + Ph \underbrace{Ph}_{Ph} \xrightarrow{Ph} Ph \underbrace{O}_{Ph} + Ni^{ll}L^{2*}, etc.$$

#### 4. Conclusions

New macrocyclic tetraamine ligands, triphenylphosphine-pendant and triphenylphosphine oxide-pendant cyclams, were synthesized. They formed stable 1:1 complexes with Ni<sup>II</sup>, Au<sup>III</sup>, and Mn<sup>III</sup>. The triphenylphosphine-pendant may (in the case of Au<sup>III</sup> and Mn<sup>III</sup>) or may not (in the case of Ni<sup>II</sup>) bind to the cyclam-incorporated metal ions. Little effects of the triphenylphosphine-pendant on the metal redox properties were seen. Gold(I) ion interacted only with the triphenylphosphine pendant. To the resulting complex, Ni<sup>II</sup> or Au<sup>III</sup> further interacted only with the cyclam moiety to yield each hetero dimetallic complexes. The Ni<sup>II</sup> complex with the triphenylphosphine oxide-pendant was the most effective catalyst for epoxidation of *trans*-stilbene with NaClO as an oxygenation source.

#### Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture in Japan for financial support by a Grant-in Aid for Science Research (A) (No. 04403024) for E.K. NMR instruments in the Research Center for Molecular Medicine (RCMM) in Hiroshima University were used.

#### References

- [1] E. Kimura, in, M. Hiraoka, (ed.), *Studies in Organic Chemistry*, Vol. 45, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1992, p. 381.
- [2] E. Kimura, Tetrahedron, 48 (1992) 6175.
- [3] E. Kimura, in, S.R. Cooper (ed.), Crown Compounds Toward Future Applications, VCH, New York, 1992, p. 81.
- [4] (a) E. Kimura, T. Koike and M. Takahashi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1985) 385; (b) Y. Iitaka, T. Koike and E. Kimura, Inorg. Chem., 25 (1986) 402; (c) E. Kimura, T. Koike, K. Uenishi and R.B. Davidson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1986) 1110; (d) E. Kimura, M. Yamaoka, M. Morioka and T. Koike, Inorg.

Chem., 25 (1986) 3883; (e) E. Kimura, T. Koike, K. Uenishi, M. Hediger, M. Kuramoto, S. Joko, Y. Arai, M. Kodama and Y. Iitaka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 26 (1987) 2975; (f) E. Kimura, S. Joko, T. Koike and M. Kodama, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109 (1987) 5528; (g) E. Kimura, T. Koike and T. Toriumi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 27 (1988) 3687; (h) E. Kimura, Y. Kurogi and M. Takahashi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 30 (1991) 4117; (i) E. Kimura, H. Kurosaki, T. Koike and K. Toriumi, J. Inclusion Phenom., 12 (1992) 377.

- [5] (a) E. Kimura, T. Koike, H. Nada and Y. Iitaka, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1986) 1322; (b) E. Kimura, Y. Kurogi, T. Koike, M. Shionoya and Y. Iitaka, J. Coord. Chem., 28 (1993) 33.
- [6] (a) E. Kimura, M. Shionoya, T. Mita and Y. Iitaka, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1987) 1712; (b) E. Kimura, M. Shionoya, T. Yamauchi and M. Shiro, Chem. Lett., (1991) 1217; (c) E. Kimura, Y. Kurogi, M. Shionoya and M. Shiro, Inorg. Chem., 30 (1991) 8935.
- [7] E. Kimura, Y. Kotake, T. Koike, M. Shionoya and M. Shiro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 29 (1990) 4991.
- [8] (a) H. Yoon and C.J. Burrows, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 4087; (b) J.D. Koola and J.K. Kochi, Inorg. Chem., 26 (1987)

908; (c) J.F. Kinneary, J.S. Albert and C. Burrows, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 6124.

- [9] B. Bosnich, M.L. Tobe and G.A. Webb, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4 (1965) 1109.
- [10] (a) P.K. Chan and C.K. Poon, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1976) 858; (b) T.W. Hambly, G.A. Lawrance, D.F. Sangster and C.B. Ward, Aust. J. Chem., 40 (1987) 883.
- [11] J.E. Hoots, T.B. Rauchfuss and D.A. Warobleski, *Inorg. Synth.*, 21 (1982) 175.
- [12] H. Schmidbaur and A. Shiotani, Chem. Ber., 104 (1971) 2821.
- [13] (a) W.H. Baddley, F. Basolo, H.B. Gray, C. Nölting and A. Pöi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2 (1963) 921; (b) G. Nardin, L. Randaccio, G. Annibale, G. Natile and B. Pitteri, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1980) 220.
- [14] K. Nakanishi, P.H. Solomon and N. Furutachi, in, J. Kotachi (ed.), Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy, Nankodo, Tokyo, 1978, p. 55.
- [15] A. Anichini, L. Fabbrizzi and P. Paoletti, *Inorg. Chem. Acta*, 24 (1977) L21.
- [16] T.R. Wagler, Y. Fang and C.J. Burrows, J. Org. Chem., 54 (1989) 1584.