Bowl-Shaped *C*₃-Symmetric Receptor with Concave Phosphine Oxide with a Remarkable Selectivity for Asparagine Derivatives

Kwan Hee Lee,[†] Dong Hoon Lee,[†] Sungu Hwang,[†] One Sun Lee,[†] Doo Soo Chung,[†] and Jong-In Hong^{*,†,‡}

School of Chemistry, College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea, and Center for Molecular Design and Synthesis, KAIST, Daejon 305-701, Korea

jihong@plaza.snu.ac.kr

Received January 30, 2003

ORGANIC LETTERS 2003 Vol. 5, No. 9 1431–1433





1a-D-Asn-NHPr-CF₃COO⁻ Complex

A bowl-shaped C_3 -symmetric receptor (1a) that has a phophine oxide functionality in the interior of a "molecular bowl" shows remarkable selectivity for Asn derivatives.

Construction of host molecules possessing a rigidly defined cavity with a concave functionality is of great interest.^{1,2} Incorporation of an inwardly pointing functionality into the cavity of a bowl-shaped receptor³ is reminiscent of the active site of enzymes. If a functional group is embedded in an appropriately sized cavity-shaped molecular host with a rigid framework, the cavity will function as a reaction site or binding site with unique properties.

A previous C_3 -symmetric receptor synthesized in our group had a hydrophobic binding cavity with a potential preference for binding lipophilic residues.⁴ We expected that introduction of a H-bonding polar functionality within the binding cavity would alter the binding affinity and selectivity toward H-bonding guests compared to hosts without a polar group within the cavity.⁵ To introduce a concave functionality into

[†] Seoul National University.

[‡] Center for Molecular Design and Synthesis.

 ^{(1) (}a) Goto, K.; Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
 1995, 34, 1124. (b) Goto, K.; Tokitoh, N.; Okazaki, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1124. (c) Lüning, U. Top. Curr. Chem. 1995, 175, 57.

^{(2) (}a) Chavez, F. A.; Que, L., Jr.; Tolman, W. B. Chem. Commun. 2001, 111.
(b) Kennan, A. J.; Whitlock, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 3027.
(c) Sheridan, R. E.; Whitlock, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7120

^{(3) (}a) Kemp, D. S.; McNamara, P. E. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5834.
(b) Hong, J.-I.; Namgoong, S. K.; Bernardi, A.; Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5111. (c) Yoon, S. S.; Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 832. (d) Still, W. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 155-163. (e) Ballester, P.; Shivanyuk, A.; Far, A. R.; Rebek, J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14014-14016. (f) Grynszpan, F.; Aleksiuk, O.; Biali, S. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 13. (g) Shevchenko, I.; Zhang, H.; Lattman, M. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 5405.

⁽⁴⁾ Kim, T. W.; Hong, J.-I. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *16*, 781. (5) Carrasco, M. R.; Still, W. C. *Chem. Biol.* **1995**, *2*, 205.

the cavity, we designed a C_3 -symmetric receptor (1a,b) with a phosphine oxide moiety at the bottom of the bowl. A CPK model of the designed receptor indicates that P=O is directed either inside or outside the cavity. Composed of a binding cavity with a H-bonding functionality, and H-bond donor and acceptor functionalities on the periphery of the surrounding wall of the bowl-shaped host, 1a (or 1b) is expected to show enantioselectivity and residue selectivity in the binding of amino acid derivatives and small peptides. Herein we report a remarkable residue selectivity for Asn alkyl amide against Gln, Glu, and Asp derivatives with quite similar H-bond donor/acceptor geometries in polar media.



The syntheses of **1a** and **1b** began with trialkylation of tris-(chloromethyl)phosphine oxide⁶ with dimethyl 5-mercaptoisophthalate. An intermolecular macrolactamization between hexakis(pentafluorophenyl ester) and (1R,2R)-diaminocyclohexane provided **1a** and **1b** in 47 and 5% yields, respectively.

³¹P NMR spectroscopy was used in order to show the direction of phosphine oxide at the bottom of receptors. Compounds 1a and 1b were shown to have P=O inside the cavity and outside the cavity, respectively, which was elucidated through the changes of the complexation-induced ³¹P chemical shift between **1a** (or **1b**) and NH_4^+ , Ph_2SnCl_2 , and $tBuNH_3^+$. Ph₂SnCl₂ and $tBuNH_3^+$ are expected to act as bulky Lewis acids⁷ and thus form 1:1 complex with phosphine oxide outside the cavity. Addition of excess $tBuNH_3^+$ to a ~10:1 mixture of **1a** and **1b** resulted in a large downfield shift ($\Delta \delta = 5.5$ ppm) of ³¹P resonance for **1b** and a small downfield shift ($\Delta \delta = 0.5$ ppm) for **1a**. Addition of excess Ph₂SnCl₂ to 1a in 10:1 (v/v) CDCl₃/CD₃OD caused a small downfield shift of the ³¹P NMR signal. Successive addition of an NH_4^+ solution to the mixture of 1a and Ph₂SnCl₂ gave rise to a large downfield shift ($\Delta \delta = 4.2$ ppm) of the ³¹P NMR signal. Therefore, the result implies that the

major product **1a** has the P=O moiety within the cavity. Furthermore, **1a** showed a less polar character on the TLC ($R_f = 0.4$, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 10:1, v/v, compared to $R_f = 0.3$ of **1b**), indicating that the P=O inside the cavity of **1a** is more shielded from the solvent environment. The lowest-energy structure of the macrotricyclic compound also reveals that P=O is pointing into the cavity.⁸

NMR titration experiments of **1a** (or **1b**) with various N-dodecylamide amino acid derivatives were performed in CDCl₃/CD₃OD (10:1, v/v) solution (Table 1). With Boc-

Table 1.	Binding Constants of 1a and 1b with Various				
Ammonium Guests ^a					

	guest ^b	Н	$K_{\rm a}$ (M ⁻¹)	esc
1	D,L-Val-NHR ^{d}	1a	400 (d), 80 (l)	83:17
2	D,L-Phe-NHR	1a	1000 (d), 170 (l)	85:15
3	D,L-Ser-NHR	1a	1800 (d), 1500 (l)	55:45
4	N-Boc-d-Val-NHR	1a	nc ^e	
5	D,L-Thr-NHR	1a	2250 (d), 1050 (l)	68:32
6	D,L-Asn-NHR	1a	45000 (d), 12000 (l)	79:21
7	D,L-Asn-(β-NHMe)-NHR	1a	3100 (d), 2000 (l)	61:39
8	D,L-Asn-NHR	1b	2050 (d), 1650 (l)	55:45
9	d,l-Asp-NHR	1a	5000 (d), 1600 (l)	76:24
10	D,L-GIn-NHR	1a	3000 (d), 2000 (l)	60:40
11	D,L-Glu-NHR	1a	5200 (d), 700 (l)	88:12

^{*a*} Measured by ¹H NMR titration in CDCl₃/CD₃OD (10:1, v/v) at 25 °C. ^{*b*} Guests were used as their trifluoroacetate salts. ^{*c*} Es (enantioselectivity) = $K_a(D)/K_a(L)$. ^{*d*} R = docecyl. ^{*e*} No complexation detected.

protected, N-alkylamide amino acid derivatives, no complexation was detected (entry 4), which means that the ammonium group is crucial for the binding through the charged H-bonding interaction. N-Alkylamide amino acid derivatives with a lipophilic side chain show weaker binding affinity compared to those with a hydrophilic side chain (entries 1 and 2 vs 3 and 5-11). In particular, Asp, Asn, Glu, and Gln derivatives with stronger side chain H-bond donors exhibited better binding affinity compared to those with a weaker H-bond donor in the side chain (entries 6-11). It turns out that the D-isomer always binds preferentially. Compound **1b** shows more than a 20-fold decrease in binding affinity to D-Asn-NHR, which clearly indicates that outwardly directed P=O of 1b cannot be involved in H-bond interaction with the guest. Job analysis for the complex between 1a and D-Asn-NHR confirmed a 1:1 stoichiometry. ³¹P resonance of **1a** moves downfield upon addition of Asn-NHR. The saturation binding curve from the ³¹P NMR titration of 1a with Asn-NHR implies that P=O in the cavity of 1a interacts with one of the H-bond donors of the guest. The highest affinity was found for Asn derivatives (entry 6). In comparison, Gln derivatives with the same H-bond donor and acceptor geometry except for an additional methylene on the side chain showed far reduced binding affinity to 1a (entry 10). Surprisingly, Asp derivatives with a stronger H-bond donor (carboxylic acid group) in place of the amide group of Asn showed a dramatic decrease in binding constants (entry 9). This is remarkable in that subtle

⁽⁶⁾ Hoffmann, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1921, 43, 1684, 2995.

^{(7) (}a) Whitlock, B. J.; Whitlock, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 3910–3915. (b) Mullins, P. Can. J. Chem. **1971**, 49, 2719.

^{(8) (}a) Mohamadi, F.; Richards, N. G. J.; Guida, W. C.; Liskamp, R.; Lipton, M.; Caufield, C.; Chang, G.; Hendrickson, T.; Still, W. C. J. Comput. Chem. **1990**, 11, 440. (b) Missing force field parameters for a phosphine oxide group in the original MacroModel AMBER* parameter set were generated by performing quantum mechanical calculations (ab initio HF/ $6-31G^{**}$) for model molecules (Supporting Information). (c) Conformational search for the complex between **1a** and D- or L-Asn-NHPr was performed by keeping a constrained H-bond between β -amide protons of the Asn guest and P=O during the simulation (Supporting Information).

changes in H-bond functionalities and lengths of the side chain of the guest caused dramatic effects on the binding affinity. We were particularly interested in which part of the Asn guest points into the cavity to interact with P=O. ¹H NMR titration of the Asn guest with **1a** in 10% CD₃OH in CDCl₃ revealed that the primary amide proton resonances on the side chain of Asn moved upfield (L-Asn, $\Delta\delta$ of the syn amide proton = -0.73 ppm and $\Delta\delta$ of the anti amide proton = -0.51 ppm; D-Asn, $\Delta\delta$ of the syn amide proton = -0.47 ppm and $\Delta\delta$ of the anti amide proton = -0.43 ppm, upon addition of 3 equiv of **1a** to the guest), which suggests that the primary amide group is located near the shielding faces of three aromatics (Figure 1).



Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectra of (a) **1a**, (b) complex upon addition of 3 equiv of **1a** to L-Asn-NHR, and (c) L-Asn-NHR in 10% CD₃OH in CDCl₃ (H_a, α -amide proton; H_b, β -syn amide proton; H_c, β -anti amide proton; H_d, chiral methine proton). R = dodecyl.

Since the primary amide proton resonances experience an upfield shift, we believe that it participates in an H-bond with P=O inside the cavity. Indeed, Asn-(β -NHMe)-NHR shows much weaker affinity, indicating the absence of an H-bonding interaction of the β -amide proton of the guest with P=O of **1a** (entry 7). Other amide protons of **1a** and the Asn guest display downfield shifts, indicating intermolecular H-bonding interaction outside the cavity upon complexation. Additional support comes from intermolecular NOE experiments that indicate contacts between the primary amide protons of Asn and the three aromatic protons of **1a**. The sensitivity of binding to steric and electronic effects of the side chain of the guest is compatible with a complex in which H-bond donors in the side chain are buried within the binding cavity to interact with P=O.

Although we do not know the exact structural origin of the chiral discrimination between **1a**/D-Asn-NHR and **1a**/L-Asn-NHR, van't Hoff plots between **1a** and D- or L-Asn-NHR in CD₃OD/CDCl₃ (1:4, v/v) provided thermodynamic parameters controlling the enantioselective complexation process: the complexation process is driven by favorable enthalpy changes with a negative contribution by entropy changes (L-Asn-NHR, $\Delta H^{\circ} = -14.8$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S^{\circ} =$ -37.4 cal mol⁻¹ degree⁻¹; D-Asn-NHR, $\Delta H^{\circ} = -9.6$ kcal/ mol and $\Delta S^{\circ} = -19.7$ cal mol⁻¹ degree⁻¹). The fact that binding to D-Asn-NHR is enthalpically less favorable and entropically more favorable compared to L-Asn-NHR suggests that the structural changes in **1a** and D-Asn-NHR are less pronounced in the process of complexation in comparison with those in **1a** and L-Asn-NHR.

We need to answer why Asp derivatives with a stronger H-bond donor in the side chain show much weaker binding affinity compared to Asn guests. The energy-minimized structures of D-Asn-NHPr⁺CF₃COO⁻ and D-Asp-NHPr⁺CF₃-COO⁻ revealed exactly the same H-bonding patterns and H-bond donor/acceptor geometries (Figure 2).⁸ The only



Figure 2. Lowest-energy structures for D-Asp-NHPr⁺CF₃COO⁻ (left) and D-Asn-NHPr⁺CF₃COO⁻ (right). H-bonds are shown as dotted lines. Dotted circle in yellow indicates the β -anti amide proton participating in the H-bond with P=O of **1a**.

difference comes from the side chain geometry. As shown in the energy-minimized structures of the complexes, the anti amide proton in the side chain of Asn participates in a H-bond with P=O (Supporting Information). However, in the case of Asp derivatives, a β carboxylic acid proton should be syn to the carboxyl oxygen and therefore hardly involved in the H-bond with P=O. We believe this is the main reason for the reduced affinity of the Asp guest to **1a**.

In conclusion, we have developed a bowl-shaped C_3 -symmetric receptor (1a) that has a phophine oxide functionality in the interior of a molecular bowl. Remarkable selectivity for Asn derivatives turns out to be due to the participation of P=O in cooperative H-bonding with the guest inside the cavity of 1a and subtle differences in the intermolecular H-bond mode within the cavity.

Acknowledgment. Support of this work by the KOSEF (Grant R02-2002-000-00137-0) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic, spectral, NMR titration, Job plot, van't Hoff plot, and computational modeling details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL034168B