

Published on Web 08/07/2004

Preparation of Stable Alkyl Complexes of Ni(I) and Their One-Electron Oxidation to Ni(II) Complex Cations

Kristina D. Kitiachvili, Daniel J. Mindiola,[†] and Gregory L. Hillhouse*

Searle Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Received May 19, 2004; E-mail: g-hillhouse@uchicago.edu

The organometallic chemistry of nickel is rich and varied¹ and includes numerous significant industrial homogeneous catalytic processes,^{2–4} and organonickel intermediates are also implicated in the action of several enzymes.⁵ These transformations usually involve intermediates with nickel in the +2 or 0 oxidation state, with Ni(I) and Ni(III) intermediates occasionally observed or postulated. In this light, we note that there is a paucity of molecular complexes of Ni(I) possessing carbyl ligands. Several complexes of the type $(C_5H_5)NiL_2$ (L = neutral N- or P-donor ligands) featuring π -cyclopentadienyl ligands are known,⁶ but threecoordinate (PPh₃)Ni[$(C,N:\eta^2$ -C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂-2-C₅H₄N)] is the lone example of a Ni(I) derivative containing a σ -bonded carbyl ligand.⁷

We have previously reported that the Ni(I) chloride [(dtbpe)-NiCl]₂ (1; dtbpe = 1,2-bis(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)ethane) is a good precursor to a family of three-coordinate, heteroatom-substituted Ni(I) derivatives via salt-metathesis reactions.⁸ Furthermore, the Ni(I) amido and phosphido complexes (dtbpe)Ni[NH(2,6-^{*i*}Pr₂C₆H₃)] and (dtbpe)Ni[PH(2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃)] can be converted, by oneelectron oxidation followed by deprotonation, to the corresponding nickel imido and phosphinidene complexes (dtbpe)Ni=NR and (dtbpe)Ni=PR.⁹ We were intrigued by the possibility of preparing related Ni(I) alkyl complexes and exploring their potential use as synthons to carbene complexes, (dtbpe)Ni=CR₂.

Reaction of cold (-35 °C) diethyl ether solutions of **1** with neopentyllithium (1 equiv/Ni) affords the paramagnetic Ni(I) alkyl complex (dtbpe)Ni(CH₂CMe₃) (**2**) as orange crystals in 71% isolated yield (eq 1).¹⁰ Yellow (dtbpe)Ni(CH₂SiMe₃) (**3**) and orange (dtbpe)-Ni(CH₂CMe₂Ph) (**4**) were analogously prepared in 72 and 76% yields, respectively.¹⁰



Complexes 2–4 have been characterized by elemental analysis, magnetic moment measurements, and ¹H NMR and EPR spectroscopy.¹⁰ The solid-state structure of **2**, determined crystallographically, reveals a planar, three-coordinate nickel and a typical Ni–C single-bond distance (1.982(3) Å; Figure 1).¹¹ The neopentyl ligand is unsymmetrically disposed with respect to the dtbpe ligand, with the Ni–C(71) bond displaced 23.4° from the P(1)–Ni–P(2) bisector. Solutions of **2** (22 °C, C₆D₆) exhibit $\mu_{eff} = 2.18\mu_B$ consistent with a one-electron paramagnet.^{8,9} Although the ambienttemperature solution EPR spectrum of **2** shows an isotropic triplet due to coupling to the 2 ³¹P nuclei ($g_{iso} = 2.1196$, $A_{iso} = 70$ G), 10



Figure 1. Perspective view of the molecular structure of **2** (only the H-atoms on C(71) are shown). Selected metrical parameters: Ni-C(71) = 1.982(3) Å, Ni-P(1) = 2.2101(11) Å, Ni-P(2) = 2.2080(11) Å, $P(1)-Ni-P(2) = 91.02(3)^{\circ}$, $P(1)-Ni-C(71) = 110.97(8)^{\circ}$, $P(2)-Ni-C(71) = 157.82(8)^{\circ}$, $Ni-C(71)-C(7) = 118.43(17)^{\circ}$.

Scheme 1



K data in glassy toluene reveal a well-resolved rhombic spectrum and indicate that the inequivalency of the ³¹P nuclei in the crystal is maintained in solution.¹⁰

A cyclic voltammogram of **2** (THF/TBAH) shows a reversible Ni(I)/Ni(II) couple at $E_{1/2} = -1.25$ V (vs Fc/Fc⁺), and as suggested by this potential, ferrocenium salts effect the oxidation of **2**–**4** to give the corresponding complex cations [(dtbpe)Ni(CH₂CMe₃)⁺] (**5**), [(dtbpe)Ni(CH₂SiMe₃)⁺] (**6**), and [(dtbpe)Ni(CH₂CMe₂Ph)⁺] (**7**) as red-brown [PF₆⁻] or [BAr^{F₄-}] salts in 52–82% isolated yield (Scheme 1). Characterization of these unusual cationic d⁸ alkyl derivatives followed from ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR and IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction.¹⁰

The electron-deficient alkyl cations **5**–**7** (formally 14-e species) are stabilized by secondary interactions between the alkyl ligands and Ni. The structures of **5**[**PF**₆⁻] and **6**[**PF**₆⁻] show square-planar Ni engaged in γ -C-H agostic interactions with a methyl group of the alkyl (for **6**[**PF**₆⁻], Ni-C(71) = 2.150(8), Ni-C(73) = 2.300-(8) Å; Figure 2).¹² The structure of **7** exhibits an interaction between Ni and the *ipso*-C of the phenyl group of the neophyl ligand (Ni-C(71) = 1.954(3), Ni-C(751) = 2.478(4) Å; Figure 2). There are no significant cation-anion interactions. Similar *ipso*-C interactions

[†] Current address: Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405.



Figure 2. Views (left to right) of the molecular structures of the complex cations of 6[PF₆-], 7[BArF₄-], and neutral 9 (H-atoms omitted for clarity).

with Pd have been characterized by Carmona,13 and Spencer has reported the structure of [(dtbpe)Ni(CH₂CH₃)][BF₄] to have a β -C-H agostic interaction involving Ni and the ethyl ligand.^{11c}

Cations 5-7 are highly fluxional on the NMR time scale. Even at -90 °C (CD₂Cl₂), all nine CH₃ protons of the neopentyl ligand of 5 appear equivalent (singlet), suggesting that the γ -agostic interaction is weak and the rotation of the tert-Bu group rapid.14 The barrier to a higher-energy dynamic process that equates the P'Bu₂ substituents of the dtbpe ligand (e.g., the windshield-wiper movement of the alkyl group across the pseudo C_2 -axis) has been determined for 5 and 7 by VT NMR methods and is \sim 13.0 kcal/ mol for both complexes.¹⁵

Reaction of 5-7 with NaN(TMS)₂ results in deprotonation of a γ -CH₃ group to give the metallacyclobutane complexes 8–10 (Scheme 1) as yellow crystalline solids in good yields. The solidstate structure of 9 (Figure 2) features square-planar Ni(II) and a puckered (138.6°) metallacyclobutane moiety. NMR data indicate analogous structures for 8 and 10. Whitesides has reported that related Pt metallacyclobutanes, $(PR_3)_2Pt(CH_2CMe_2CH_2)$ (R = Cy, ⁱPr), undergo reductive elimination to give 1,1-dimethylcyclopropane.¹⁶ While solutions of **9** are stable at 140 °C with respect to cyclopropane elimination, benzene solutions of 8 and 10 quantitatively eliminate the cyclopropanes 11 and 12 at ambient temperature, as determined by NMR and GS-MS analysis, with formation of [(dtbpe)Ni]₂(C₆D₆) (eq 2).^{10,17}

8 or 10
$$\xrightarrow{22^{\circ}C}_{C_6D_6}$$
 or \bigwedge^{Ph} + [(dtbpe)Ni]₂(C₆D₆) (2)

In summary, we have prepared several three-coordinate, monomeric Ni(I) alkyl complexes (2-4). While thermally robust, they undergo mild one-electron oxidation to give the corresponding Ni-(II) complex cations (5-7). In contrast to cationic amido and phosphido analogues that undergo α -deprotonation to afford imido and phosphinidene derivatives, deprotonation of 5-7 occurs at a γ -CH₃ group to give metallacyclobutane products (8–10), not (dtbpe)Ni=CHR. Reactivity studies of these unusual complexes are underway.

Acknowledgment. G.L.H. thanks the National Science Foundation for financial support (CHE-0244239). D.J.M. acknowledges postdoctoral fellowship support from the Ford Foundation and the NIH. ESR acquisition and simulation assistance from Prof. Karsten Meyer, Cora MacBeth, David Jenkins, and Rory Waterman is appreciated.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and spectroscopic details (PDF, CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Jolly, P. W.; Wilke, G. The Organic Chemistry of Nickel; Academic (1)Press: New York, 1974. (b) Hegedus, L. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 204, 199-307.
- (a) Tolman, C. A.; McKinley, R. J.; Seidel, W. C.; Druliner, J. D.; Stevens,
 W. R. Adv. Catal. 1985, 33, 1–46. (b) Seidel, W. C.; Tolman, C. A.
 Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1983, 415, 201–221.
- (3) (a) Keim, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 235-244. (b) Vogt, (a) Wilke, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 27, 185–244.
 (b) Green, Wilke, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 185–206.
 (b) Green, Nature 1998, 1998, 27, 185–206.
 (c) Green, Nature 2008,
- M. L. H.; Munakata, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 269-272.
- (5) (a) Mulrooney, S. B.; Hausinger, R. P. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2003, 27, (a) Hullobler, S. B., Hadsligel, K. T. P. Ens Microbiol. Rev. 2005, 27, 239–261. (b) Svetlitchnyi, V.; Dobbek, H.; Meyer-Klaucke, W.; Meins, T.; Thiele, B.; Romer, P.; Huber, R.; Meyer, O. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 101, 446–451. (c) Dobbek, H.; Svetlitchnyi, V.; Gremer, L.; Huber, R.; Meyer, O. Science 2001, 293, 1281–1285. (d) Drennan, C. L.; Heo, J.; Sintchack, M. D.; Schreiter, E.; Ludden, P. W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 021 (2022) 11072. (d) Drennan, D. McG. Science 2011, 293, 1281–1285. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2001, 98, 11973-11978. (e) Hausinger, R. P. Nat. Struct. Biol. 2003, 10, 234-236. (f) Webster, C. E.; Darensbourg, M. Y.; Lindahl, P. A.; Hall, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3410-3411. (g) Volbeda, A.; Fontecilla-Camps, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2003, 4030- R. Tontechnik, S. C. St. Chin, Solin, Superson, J. Standar, J. 2005, August 4038. (h) Ermler, U.; Grabarse, W.; Shima, S.; Goubeaud, M.; Thauer, R. K. Science 1997, 278, 1457–1462. (i) Craft, J. L.; Horng, Y.-C., Ragsdale, S. W.; Brunold, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4068-4069
- (6)(a) Uhlig, E.; Walther, H. Z. Chem. 1971, 11, 23-24. (b) Uhlig, E.; (a) Oling, L., Walther, H. Z. Anore, Allg. Chem. 1974, 409, 89–96. (c) Overbosch, P.; van Koten, G.; Spek, A. L.; Roelofsen, G.; Duisenberg, A. J. M. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 3908-3913. (d) Barefield, E. K.; Krost, D. A.; Edwards, D. S.; Van Derveer, D. G.; Trytko, R. L.; O'Rear, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981. 103. 6219-6222
- (7) Eaborn, C.; Hill, M. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 2000, 691–692.
 (8) Mindiola, D. J.; Waterman, R.; Jenkins, D. M.; Hillhouse, G. L. Inorg.
- Chim. Acta 2003, 345, 299-308.
- (a) Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4623-4624. (b) Melenkivitz, R.; Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3846-3847.
- (10) See Supporting Information for complete experimental, spectroscopic, and crystallographic details.
- (11) (a) Jolly, P. W.; Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 6, pp 37–100. (b) Bochmann, M.; Hawkins, I.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Short, R. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 4, 1213-1219. (c) Conroy-Lewis, F. M.; Mole. L.; Redhouse, A. D.; Litster, S. A.; Spencer, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1601-1603.
- (12) The 2 \hat{Ni} -C distances in 6[PF₆⁻] represent a disordered average of the Ni-CH₂- and γ -CH₃ group. We did not attempt to model this disorder. The structure of $5[PF_6^-]$ displayed conformational disorder of the 'Bu groups of the dtbpe ligand and the CH3 groups of the neopentyl ligand, resulting in a poor-quality structure.
- (13) (a) Campora, J.; Gutierrez-Puebla, E.; Lopez, J. A.; Monge, A.; Palma, (a) Campora, D.; Catronora, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3641–
 3644. (b) Campora, J.; Lopez, J. A.; Palma, P.; Valerga, P.; Spillner, E.; Carmona, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 147–151.
- (14) Similar γ-agostic neopentyl interaction in a Rh(I) complex has been reported. Urtel, H.; Meier, C.; Eisentrager, F.; Rominger, F.; Joschek, J. P.; Hofmann, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 781-784.
- (15) (a) Gutowsky, H. S.; Holm, C. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1956, 25, 1228-1234. (b) Kurland, R. J.; Rubin, M. B.; Wise, W. B. J. Chem. Phys. 1964, 40, 2426-2431.
- (16) DiCosimo, R.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3601-3607
- (17) Bach, I.; Porschke, K.-R.; Goddard, R.; Kopiske, C.; Kruger, C.; Rufinska, A.; Seevogel, K. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4959-4966

JA047052Z