# Application of the hydrogenphosphonate approach in the synthesis of glycosyl phosphosugars linked through secondary hydroxyl groups\*

Andrey V. Nikolaev, Irena A. Ivanova, Vladimir N. Shibaev, and Nikolay K. Kochetkov $^{\dagger}$ 

N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Moscow (U.S.S.R.) (Received September 7th, 1989; accepted for publication, January 4th, 1990)

## ABSTRACT

The hydrogenphosphonate approach has been used in syntheses of methyl *a*-D-mannopyranoside 2-, 3-, and 4-(*a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), benzyl  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 2-(*a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), and methyl  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 4-(*a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate). Condensation of 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl- or 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-*a*-D-mannopyranosyl hydrogenphosphonate with suitable, partially acylated monohydroxy derivatives in the presence of Me<sub>3</sub>CCOCl, followed by oxidation of the resulting hydrogenphosphonate diesters with iodine, gave the *O*-protected phosphate diesters in yields of 67–87%. Deprotection then gave the glycosyl phosphosugars.

## INTRODUCTION

Glycosyl phosphosugars (3) are fragments of several glycoproteins<sup>1,2</sup> and yeast phosphoglycans<sup>3</sup>. They are also structural blocks of poly(glycosyl phosphates) which are present in the cell walls and capsules of numerous bacteria<sup>4</sup>. These biopolymers are composed of mono- or oligo-saccharide units linked with phosphate diester bridges through hemiacetal and alcohol hydroxyl groups of the neighbouring units; in many of the bacterial polymers, secondary hydroxyl groups are involved. The first chemical syntheses of glycosyl phosphosugars were achieved by the phosphate diester and phosphite triester methods<sup>5</sup>. The hydrogenphosphonate approach, initially suggested for the preparation of oligo- and poly-nucleotides<sup>6,7</sup>, has been used for the synthesis of  $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -linked glycosyl phosphosugars<sup>8-11</sup> and  $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -linked oligo(mannosyl phosphates)<sup>12,13</sup>. We now report the application of the hydrogenphosphonate method in the synthesis of glycosyl phosphosugars linked through secondary hydroxyl groups, which are not accessible readily by other methods<sup>5,14</sup>.

0008-6215/90/\$ 03.50 © 1990 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at EUROCARB V, the Vth European Carbohydrate Symposium, Prague, Czechoslovakia, August 21–25, 1989.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Author for correspondence.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The hydrogenphosphonate approach is based on the interaction of a glycosyl hydrogenphosphonate (1) with a partially protected monosaccharide  $(\rightarrow 2)$  followed by oxidation of the hydrogenphosphonate diesters (2) to give 3.

The  $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -,  $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -, and  $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -linked glycosyl phosphosugars 33-37 were synthesized via condensation of the a-D-mannopyranosyl hydrogenphosphonate derivatives 4 and 5 with partially acylated derivatives of a-D-mannopyranose (9-11) and  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranose (12 and 13) followed by oxidation and deprotection. The series of hydroxy derivatives chosen allowed a comparison of the reactivity of most of the possible types of secondary hydroxyl groups in hexopyranoses.

The glycosyl hydrogenphosphonates were prepared from the 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-substituted derivatives 6 (ref. 15) and 7. The latter was obtained (60%) by selective O-1-debenzoylation<sup>8</sup> of the perbenzoate 8 with dimethylamine in acctonitrile. The reaction of 6 or 7 with tri-imidazolylphosphine (prepared<sup>6</sup> from PCl<sub>3</sub>, imidazole, and Et<sub>3</sub>N and used *in situ*) in MeCN followed by hydrolysis at pH 8 gave 4 and 5, in almost quantitative yields, which were converted into the phosphate diesters without purification.

The n.m.r. data for 4 [<sup>31</sup>P,  $\delta$  1.56; <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  7.40 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>H,P</sub> 640 Hz)] were typical for hydrogenphosphonate derivatives<sup>16</sup>. Similar data were obtained for 5 [<sup>31</sup>P,  $\delta$  0.82; <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$ 7.13 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>H,P</sub> 638.6 Hz)]. Splitting of the signals for H-1, C-1, and C-2 due to coupling with P was also observed (see Experimental). The *a* configuration at C-1 followed from the characteristic chemical shifts of the signals for C-3 and C-5 (taking into account the effects of the benzyl and benzoyl groups) and was confirmed for 4 by the value (171 Hz) of J<sub>C-1,H-1</sub> which is typical for *a* derivatives.

The hydroxy compounds 9, 10, and 12 were synthesized from the 4,6-O-benzylidene derivatives 14 (ref. 17) 15 (ref. 18), and 22 (ref. 19). Each compound was acetylated and then treated with aqueous 70% acetic acid to give the diols 18, 19, and 24, respectively, benzoylation of which yielded the corresponding tribenzoates 20, 21, and 25. Selective O-deacetylation<sup>20</sup> by acidic methanolysis at 20° then gave the alcohols 9, 10, and 12, respectively, in overall yields of 53, 63, and 58%, respectively. The hydroxy derivatives 11 and 13 were obtained by selective benzoylation of methyl *a*-D-mannopyranoside<sup>21</sup> and methyl  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside<sup>22</sup>, respectively.

Condensation of the hydrogenphosphonates 4 and 5 severally with 9-13 was



accomplished in pyridine in the presence of trimethylacetyl chloride (2.5 equiv.). The resulting hydrogenphosphonate diesters were oxidized *in situ* with iodine (2 equiv.) in aqueous 98% pyridine in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N (5 equiv.) to give the *O*-protected glycosyl phosphosugars which were isolated by column chromatography on silica gel. The reaction of 4 and 11 was monitored by <sup>31</sup>P-n.m.r. spectroscopy. Immediately after mixing the reactants with Me<sub>3</sub>CCOCl, the signal ( $\delta$  0.28) of 4 was absent and major signals of hydrogenphosphonate diesters were observed at  $\delta$  8.03 and 8.21 (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>P,H</sub> 730 Hz) in the ratio 2.3:1. Several minor signals ( $\delta$  5.26, 5.63, 8.85, and 10.58, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>P,H</sub> 730 Hz) were also present, the total integrated intensity of which was ~20% of that of the major peaks. Treatment of the mixture with iodine caused a rapid change in the spectrum, with a new main signal of the phosphate diester 29 at  $\delta$  -1.98 and a few minor signals ( $\delta$  1.02 -7.78, -9.85, and -10.14). The ratio of the integrated intensities of the major signal and the sum of the minor signals was ~3.4:1, and 70% of 29 was isolated.

The  $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - and  $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -linked mannosyl phosphomannose derivatives 26 (87%) and 28 (77%) were synthesized from 4 and the alcohols 9 and 10, respectively, by the above procedure. Likewise, reaction of 5 with the galactose derivatives 12 and 13 gave the phosphate diesters 30 (67%) and 31 (69%), respectively. To check whether different type of O-protecting groups in the hydrogenphosphonate derivative may influence the efficiency of the condensation, the reaction of 4 and 13 was performed. Since the reaction gave 72% of the diester 32, the reactivities of the hydrogenphosphonates 4 and 5 in the synthesis of glycosyl phosphosugars are similar.

Deprotection of the phosphate diesters 26, 28, and 29 was accomplished by hydrogenolysis (Pd-C) followed by deacylation (methanolic sodium methoxide). The



TA	BLE	I
----	-----	---

<sup>31</sup>P- and <sup>13</sup>C-n.m.r. data (D<sub>2</sub>O,  $\delta$  in p.p.m., J in Hz) for glycosyl phosphosugars 33–37 ( $J_{CP}$  values in brackets)

Atom	<b>33</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>34</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>35</b> <sup><i>a</i></sup>	<b>36</b> <sup>b</sup>	37
Р	-1.92	-1.85	-1.41	-1.61	-0.90
C-1	100.6	102.0	101.8	102.0d (8.7)	105.2
C-2	75.9d (6.0)	70.6	71.1	77.6d (5.1)	72.2
C-3	71.1d (6.5)	77.7d (5.8)	71.1	74.2br	73.6br
C-4	67.7	67.2d (6.2)	72.7d (5.5)	70.0	75.4d (5.9)
C-5	74.0	73.9	73.6d (7.4)	76.5	75.9br
C-6	62.1	62.3	62.0	62.2	62.1
C-1′	97.6d (5.4)	97.6d (6.0)	97.6d (5.5)	97.7d (5.5)	97.7d (5.5)
C-2′	71.7d (8.1)	(0.0) 71.9d (9.2)	71.6d (7.4)	71.8d (9.5)	71.7d (9.7)
C-3′	71.2	71.3	71.2	71.4	71.3
C-4′	67.9	67.9	67.7	67.9	67.7
C-5′	75.1	75.2	75.0	75.1	75.1
C-6'	62.1	62.3	62.0	62.3	62.1
OCH <sub>3</sub>	56.2	56.2	56.0		58.6

<sup>*a*</sup> Additional <sup>13</sup>C signals of Et<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup> were present at  $\delta$  47.9–48.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 9.4–9.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>*b*</sup> Additional <sup>13</sup>C signals for the benzyl group were present at  $\delta$  72.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 129.7, 129.8, 130.1, 138.7 (Ph).

use of sodium salts of the diesters was essential for complete hydrogenolysis. The glycosyl phosphosugars 33-35 were isolated by ion-exchange chromatography on Fractogel TSK DEAE (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> form) in yields of 58, 77, and 83%, respectively.

The use of dilute solutions of sodium methoxide, limited time of reaction, and lowered temperature of the O-debenzoylation procedure were necessary in order to avoid cleavage of the glycosyl phosphate linkage. Similar degradation was observed under conditions of alkaline hydrolysis<sup>14</sup>. Such cleavage was observed only during saponification of the  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -linked derivative 27 and 15% of methyl *a*-D-mannopyranoside 2-phosphate was isolated in addition to 33.

The benzoylated phosphate diesters 30 and 31 were deprotected with 0.05M sodium methoxide in methanol-1,4-dioxane (1°) to give 36 (88%) and 37 (75%), respectively.

The n.m.r. data confirmed the structures of the glycosyl phosphosugars 33-37 and the O-protected phosphate diesters 26 and 28-32. The <sup>31</sup>P-n.m.r. data (Tables I and III) are characteristic for phosphate diesters of this type<sup>8-13</sup>. The positions of the phosphate diester linkages were indicated by the signals of C-1' and C-X (X = 2 for 33 and 36, 3 for 34, and 4 for 35 and 37) in the <sup>13</sup>C-n.m.r. spectra of 33-37 (Table I). These

TABLE II

Atom	<b>33</b> <sup><i>a</i></sup>	<b>34</b> <sup>a</sup>	35ª	<b>36</b> <sup>b,c</sup>	37 <sup>b</sup>	
H-I	4.89d (J <sub>1.2</sub> 1.6)	4.74d (J <sub>12</sub> 1.7)	4.79d (J <sub>1.2</sub> 1.7)	4.64d (J <sub>1</sub> , 7.6)	4.34d (J <sub>12</sub> 8.1)	
H-2	4.31ddd (J <sub>2,P</sub> 8.0)	4.10dd $(J_{2,3}, 3.2)$	$3.98$ dd ( $J_{2,3}$ 3.3)	4.18dt $(J_{2,3} = J_{2,p} = 9.1)$	$3.53dd(J_{2,3} 10.0)$	
H-3	3.80dd (J <sub>2,3</sub> 3.1)	4.25ddd (J <sub>3,P</sub> 8.0)	3.94dd (J <sub>3,4</sub> 9.0)	3.85dd (J <sub>3,4</sub> 3.4)	3.70ddd $(J_{3,4} 3.1, J_{3,p} 1.5)$	
H-4	$3.65t (J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.5)$	$3.76t (J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.2)$	$4.22q (J_{4,5} = J_{4,5} = 9.0)$	4.01d	4.46dd (J <sub>4,P</sub> 9.6)	
H-5	3.57ddd (J <sub>5,6a</sub> 5.0)	3.63ddd $(J_{5,6a}$ 4.9)	$3.72 ddd (J_{5,6a})$ 5.6. $J_{co}(2,2)$			
H-6a H-6b	$3.73$ dd ( $J_{6a,6b}$ 11.0) $3.86$ dd ( $J_{5,6b}$ 2.2)	$3.82 dd (J_{6a,6b} 12)$ $3.87 dd (J_{6a,6b} 2.1)$	3.76–3.94m	3.70–3.94m	3.70–3.90m	
H-1′	5.43dd (J <sub>11</sub> , 7.5)	5.45dd (J, 7.6)	5.51dd $(J_{1/2}, 7.3)$	5.55dd (J <sub>11</sub> , 7.1)	5.46dd (J <sub>11 p</sub> 7.4)	
H-2′	3.98dd $(J_{1}, 2.0)$	$3.97 dd (J_{11}, 1.9)$	$4.04 dd(J_{1,2}^{1,1}, 2.0)$	4.06dd $(J_{1/2}, 2.0)$	4.01dd $(J_{1,2}, 1.9)$	
H-3′	$3.85 dd (J_{2'3'} 3.3)$	$3.87 dd(J_{23}, 3.1)$	$3.92 dd(J_{23}, 3.2)$	$3.91 dd(J_{23}, 3.2)$	$3.88dd (J_{2'3'} 3.2)$	
H-4′	$3.65t (J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.5)$	3.66t $(J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.5)$	3.73t $(J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.5)$	3.73t $(J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.5)$	3.68t $(J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.6)$	
H-5',6a', 6b'	3.69–3.82m	3.66–3.84m	3.76-3.94m	3.70-3.94m	3.70–3.90m	
OCH <sub>3</sub>	3.38s	3.38s	3.43s	_	3.57s	

## <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data (D<sub>2</sub>O, $\delta$ in p.p.m., J in Hz) for the glycosyl phosphosugars 33–37

" Sodium salts. <sup>b</sup> Ammonium salts. <sup>c</sup> Additional signals of benzyl group at  $\delta$  4.87 and 5.02 (2 d, 2 H, J 11.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.41–7.62 (m, 5 H, Ph).

## TABLE III

<sup>31</sup>P- and <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  in p.p.m., J in Hz) for O-protected phosphate diesters 26<sup>a</sup> and 28-32<sup>a</sup>

26	28	29	30	31	32
-2.51	-2.88	-2.85	-3.18	- 3.03	2.15
5.24d $(J_{1,2}$	4.92d $(J_{1,2}$	4.89d $(J_{1,2}$	4.80d $(J_{1,2}$	4.66d $(J_{1,2}$	4.55d $(J_{1,2}$
4.83ddd	5.76dd	5.61dd	4.98dt	5.78dd	5.68dd
$(J_{2,3}, 5.2, J_{2,P}, 8.4)$	(J <sub>2,3</sub> 5.1)	( <b>J</b> <sub>2,3</sub> 5.5)	$(J_{2,3} = J_{2,P} = 9,9)$	(J <sub>2,3</sub> 10.1)	(J <sub>2,3</sub> 10.5)
5.69ddd	5.13ddd	5.71dd	5.43dd	5.40dd	5.42ddd (J <sub>3,4</sub>
$(J_{3,P} 2.3)$ 5.83t $(J_{3,4} =$	$(J_{3,P} \ 8.5)$ 5.89t $(J_{3,4} =$	$(J_{3,4}, 9.8)$ 5.10q $(J_{4,5} =$	(J <sub>3,4</sub> 5.6) 5.88dd	(J <sub>3,4</sub> 2.9) 5.20dd	3.0, J <sub>3,P</sub> 0.9) 5.09dd
$J_{4,5} = 10.0$ ) 4.33ddd	$J_{4,5} = 10.0$ ) 4.22ddd	$J_{4,\rm P} = 9.8$ ) 4.17ddd	(J <sub>4.5</sub> 1.0) 4.11dt	(J <sub>4,P</sub> 10.3) 4.17dd	(J <sub>4,P</sub> 10.6) 4.05m
$(J_{5,6a}, 3.5, J_{5,6b}, 5.8)$	(J <sub>5,6a</sub> 4.8, J <sub>5,6b</sub> 2.5)	$(J_{5,6a} 5.6, J_{5,6b} 2.0)$	$(J_{5,6a} \ 6.1, J_{5,6b} \ 6.1)$	$(J_{5,6a}, 4.7, J_{5,6b}, 7.6)$	$(J_{5,6a}, 4.8, J_{5,6b}, 7.5)$
4.44-4.56m	4.42dd, 4.58dd	4.67dd, 4.98dd	4.38dd, 4.64dd	4.85dd, 4.98dd	4.66dd, 4.83dd
5.77dd	(J <sub>6a,6b</sub> 12.0) 5.65dd	(J <sub>68,6b</sub> 12.0) 5.64dd	(J <sub>6a,6b</sub> 11.2) 5.66dd	(J <sub>6a,6b</sub> 11.6) 5.85dd	(J <sub>68,6b</sub> 11.5) 5.82dd
(J <sub>1',P</sub> 7.6) 4.02dd	(J <sub>1',P</sub> 7.6) 3.98t	(J <sub>1'.P</sub> 7.4) 3.86–3.98m	(J <sub>1',P</sub> 7.8) 5.79dd	(J <sub>1',P</sub> 7.5) 5.82dd	(J <sub>1',P</sub> 7.8) 4.12t
$(J_{1',2'} 1.9, J_{2',3'} 2.5)$	$(J_{1',2'} = J_{2',3'} = 1.7)$	(J <sub>1',2'</sub> 1.5)	(J <sub>1',2'</sub> 2.0)	(J <sub>1',2'</sub> 1.8)	$(J_{1',2'} = J_{2',3'})$ = 2.1)
	26 -2.51 5.24d $(J_{1,2})$ 1.7) 4.83ddd $(J_{2,3}, 3.2, J_{2,P}, 8.4)$ 5.69ddd $(J_{3,P}, 2.3)$ 5.83t $(J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.0)$ 4.33ddd $(J_{5,6a}, 3.5, J_{5,6b}, 5.8)$ 4.44-4.56m 5.77dd $(J_{1,P}, 7.6)$ 4.02dd $(J_{1,2}, 1.9, J_{2,3}, 2.5)$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

T	AB	LE	ш	(continued	)
---	----	----	---	------------	---

Atom	26	28	29	30	31	32
H-3'				5.90dd (J <sub>2',3'</sub> 3.1	5.98 dd (J <sub>2',3'</sub> 3.1)	
H-4′	3.884.00m	3.95–4.07m	3.86–3.98m	$(J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'})$ 6.10t = 10.0	6.18t $(J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'})$ - 10.0	3.89–4.00m
H-5′				4.63m	4.73–4.84m	
H-6a'	3.63dd	3.67d J	3.62dd	4.31dd	4.44dd	3.71dd
	$(J_{5',6a'} 1.5, J_{6a',6b'} 11.0)$	$(J_{6a',6b'} \ 11.0)$	$(J_{5',6a'} 1.1, J_{6a',6b'} 11.0)$	$(J_{5',6a'} 3.3, (J_{5a',6b'} 12.5))$	$(J_{5',6a'} 2.5, J_{5a',6b'} 12.5)$	$(J_{5',6a'} 2.1, J_{6a',6b'} 11.0)$
H-6b'	3.72dd	3.78dd	3.71dd	4.55dd	4.73–4.84m	3.77dd
	(J <sub>5',6b'</sub> 3.9)	$(J_{5',6b'} 2.4)$	$(J_{5',6b'} 3.2)$	(J <sub>5',6b'</sub> 2.4)		(J <sub>5',6b'</sub> 4.3)
OCH <sub>3</sub>	3.45s	3.42s	3.45s		3.50s	3.46s
CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	4.41d, 4.56d	4.44d, 4.62d	4.41d, 4.56d	4.90d, 5.07d		4.42d, 4.62d
	(J 12.0)	(J 12.0)	( <i>J</i> 12.0)	(J 12.0)		(J 11.5)
	4.43d, 4.49d	4.55d, 4.64d	4.42d, 4.49d			4.45d, 4.57d
	(J 11.8)	( <i>J</i> 12.0)	(J 12.1)			(J 11.5)
	4.50d, 4.87d	4.56d, 4.92d	4.49d, 4.84d			4.53d, 4.89d
	(J 11.0)	(J 11.0)	(J 11.1)			(J 11.2)
	4.71s (2 H)	4.58s (2 H)	4.57d, 4.63d (J 12.5)			4.71s (2 H)

<sup>a</sup> Additional signals of Et<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup> (δ 1.00–1.35t and 2.66–3.06q) and of Ph (7.00–7.60 and 7.85–8.20).

signals were doublets due to coupling with P and were shifted downfield. Signals of some neighbouring atoms (namely, C-2' for 33–37, C-3 for 33, C-4 for 34, C-5 for 35, and C-1 for 36) also appeared as doublets with  ${}^{3}J_{C,P} > {}^{2}J_{C,P}$ . In the <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. spectra of the phosphate diesters 26 and 28–37, H-1' and H-X were also coupled to P and the signals were shifted downfield (Tables II and III). The *a* configurations of the glycosyl phosphate linkages in 33–37 were elucidated by the chemical shifts of the signals for C-3' and C-5' which were typical for *a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate<sup>23</sup>.

The above results illustrate the high efficiency of the hydrogenphosphonate approach for the synthesis of glycosyl phosphosugars. Both equatorial and axial secondary hydroxyl groups of monosaccharides react smoothly under standard conditions, and O-benzylated (4) and O-benzoylated (5) mannosyl hydrogenphosphonate derivatives show similar reactivities. The glycosyl phosphosugars synthesized contained  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -,  $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -, and  $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -phosphate diester linkages and may be regarded as models for fragments of natural poly(glycosyl phosphates).

## EXPERIMENTAL

Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO DIP-360 polarimeter. Melting points were determined with a Kofler apparatus and are uncorrected. N.m.r. spectra





(<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>31</sup>P at 250, 75, and 121.5 MHz, respectively) were recorded with Bruker WM-250 and AM-300 spectrometers for solutions in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or D<sub>2</sub>O. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ in p.p.m.) are given relative to those for Me<sub>4</sub>Si (for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) and external aqueous 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (for <sup>31</sup>P). T.l.c. was performed on Kieselgel 60  $F_{254}$  (Merck). using A, benzene-acetone (95:5); B, chloroform-methanol (8:2); C, chloroform-methanol (95:5); D, dichloromethane-methanol (95:5); E, dichloromethane-methanol (9:1); F, dichloromethane-methanol (3:1); and G, 2-propanol-water (5:1); with detection under u.v. light or by charring with sulfuric acid. Column chromatography was performed on Silicagel L 40/100 (Chemapol, C.S.S.R.) and Silpearl (Sklarny Kavalier, C.S.S.R.). Ion-exchange chromatography was accomplished on a column ( $18 \times 1$  cm) of Fractogel TSK DEAE-650 (S) (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> form (Merck) by elution at 1 mL. min<sup>-1</sup> with a linear gradient of water  $\rightarrow 0.5M$  Et<sub>3</sub>NHHCO<sub>3</sub> (TEAB) for 33-35 and of water  $\rightarrow 0.5M$ NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> for 36 and 37. Solutions were concentrated in vacuo at  $<40^{\circ}$ . Acetonitrile was distilled twice from CaH<sub>2</sub> and stored over molecular sieves 4 Å. Pyridine was distilled in sequence from NaOH, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. CaH<sub>2</sub>, and 1-naphthyl isocyanate and stored over molecular sieves 4 Å.

2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -D-mannopyranose (7). — Dimethylamine (0.67 mL, 10.12 mmol) was added to a solution of the pentabenzoate **8** (ref. 24) (1 g) in MeCN (8 mL) at  $-20^{\circ}$ , and the mixture was kept at  $20^{\circ}$ . The reaction was monitored by t.l.c. (solvent A). After 5 h, the mixture was concentrated to dryness and acetonitrile was evaporated from the residue. Column chromatography (solvent A) of the residue followed by crystallization (ether-hexane) gave 7 (0.52 g, 60%), m.p.  $181-182^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{p}^{26}$  -86° (c 1.28, chloroform);  $R_{\rm F}$  0.20 (solvent A); lit.<sup>25</sup> m.p.  $181^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{p} - 81^{\circ}$ .

Triethylammonium 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-a-D-mannopyranosyl hydrogenphosphonate (4). — To a stirred solution of imidazole (194 mg, 2.86 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) at 0° was added PCl<sub>3</sub> (0.076 mL, 0.866 mmol) followed by triethylamine (0.42 mL, 3.02 mmol). Stirring was continued for 15 min, and a solution of 6 (ref. 15) (108 mg, 0.2 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) was added dropwise during 30 min at 0°. The mixture was stirred at 20° for 5-10 min then quenched with M TEAB (pH 8, 1.4 mL), and the clear solution was stirred for 15 min, and evaporated. Pyridine-triethylamine (4:1) was evaporated from the residue, a solution of which in chloroform (70 mL) was washed with ice-cold water  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$  and M TEAB  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ , dried by filtration through cotton, and concentrated. The residue was dried in vacuo, to yield the syrupy homogeneous 4 (140 mg, 100%),  $[a]_{p}^{20} + 14^{\circ}$  (c 2, chloroform);  $R_{F} 0$  (solvent A), 0.35 (solvent B). N.m.r. data:  ${}^{1}$ H (C<sub>z</sub>D<sub>z</sub>),  $\delta$ 0.73 (t, 9 H, J7.2 Hz, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.27 (q, 6 H, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.68  $(dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} 1.5, J_{6a,6b} 11.1Hz, H-6a), 3.78 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6b} 4.1Hz, H-6b), 4.04 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3})$ 2.5 Hz, H-2), 4.18-4.32 (m, 3 H, H-3,4,5), 4.35 and 4.50 (2 d, 2 H, J 12.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.41 (s, 2 H, CH,Ph), 4.51 and 4.92 (2 d, 2 H, J 11.2 Hz, CH,Ph), 4.63 and 4.69 (2 d, 2 H, J 12.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.15 (dd, 1 H, J<sub>1,2</sub>1.85, J<sub>1,P</sub>8.1 Hz, H-1), 6.92–7.38 (m, 20 H, 4 Ph), 7.40 (d, 1 H,  ${}^{1}J_{HP}$  640 Hz, HP);  ${}^{13}C$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$  8.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 45.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.6 (C-6), 72.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 72.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.3 (C-5), 73.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 74.9 (C-4), 75.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 75.5 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> ~7 Hz, C-2), 79.7 (C-3), 93.7 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{CP}$  ~5,  $J_{C_{1}H_{-1}}$  171 Hz, C-1), 127.5–128.4, 138.9  $(C_{s}H_{s})$ ; <sup>31</sup>P,  $\delta$  1.56 (in CDCl<sub>2</sub>); 0.28 (in C<sub>s</sub>H<sub>s</sub>N).

Triethylammonium 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranosyl hydrogenphosphonate (5). — Obtained from 7 (66 mg, 0.11 mmol), as described for 4, 5 was obtained as a chromatographically homogeneous syrup (83 mg, 100%),  $[a]_{D}^{27} - 49^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform);  $R_{\rm F}$  0 (solvent A), 0.45 (solvent B). N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  1.35 (t, 9 H, J 8.2 Hz, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.10 (q, 6 H, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.45 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  2.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.71 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.3 Hz, H-6b), 4.76 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  3.3 Hz, H-5), 5.77 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.2 Hz, H-2), 5.86 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.9,  $J_{1,P}$  8.7 Hz, H-1), 6.01 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 6.16 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.2$  Hz, H-4), 7.13 (d, 1 H, <sup>1</sup> $_{H,P}$  638.6 Hz, HP), 7.20–7.60 and 7.75–8.15 (m, 20 H, 4 Ph); <sup>13</sup>C,  $\delta$  8.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 45.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 62.7 (C-6), 66.8 (C-4), 69.7 (C-3), 70.2 (C-5), 70.9 (d, <sup>3</sup> $_{J,C,P}$  6.3 Hz, C-2), 92.9 (d, <sup>2</sup> $_{J,C,P}$  3.9 Hz, C-1), 128.2–128.5 and 132.9–133.3 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 165.3, 165.4, and 166.1 (CO); <sup>31</sup>P,  $\delta$  0.82.

*Methyl 2-O-acetyl-3-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside* (18). — A solution of 14 (ref. 17) (1.33 g) in pyridine (10 mL) and acetic anhydride (5 mL) was kept for 16 h at 20°, then concentrated, and toluene (3 × 10 mL) was evaporated from the residue to yield 16 as a syrup. <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.17 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.46 (s, 3 H, MeO), 3.91 (t, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a} = J_{6a,6b} = 10.0$  Hz, H-6a), 4.04 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{4,5}$  9.2 Hz, H-5), 4.23 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  10.3 Hz, H-4), 4.35 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  4.3 Hz, H-6b), 4.75 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.7 Hz, H-1), 5.49 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.6, H-2), 5.64 (s, 1 H, CHPh), 5.68 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 7.25–7.60 and 7.95–8.05 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph).

A solution of the syrup in aqueous 70% AcOH (30 mL) was kept at 70° for 3 h and then concentrated, and toluene (3 × 10 mL) was evaporated from the residue. Column chromatography (chloroform–methanol,  $0\rightarrow 5\%$  of MeOH) gave **18** (1.01 g, 90%), as a solid,  $[a]_{D}^{28} + 47^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_{F}$  0.26 (solvent C). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.16 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.43 (s, 3 H, MeO), 3.79 (dt, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a} = J_{5,6b} = 3.9$  Hz, H-5), 3.92 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.0 Hz, H-6a), 3.97 (dd, 1 H, H-6b), 4.16 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.7$  Hz, H-4), 4.75 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.9 Hz, H-1), 5.37 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.5 Hz, H-2), 5.44 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 7.40–7.65 and 7.95–8.05 (m, 5 H, Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: C, 56.46; H, 5.92. Found: C, 56.44; H, 5.90.

Methyl 2-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside (20). — Benzoyl chloride (0.76 mL) was added to a solution of 18 (1 g) in pyridine (10 mL), the mixture was kept at 20° for 16 h, then concentrated. A solution of the residue in chloroform (150 mL) was washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogencarbonate (2 × 50 mL) and water (2 × 50 mL), dried, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (benzene–acetone, 0  $\rightarrow$  10% of acetone) of the residue gave 20 (1.38 g, 78%), as a solid,  $[a]_{p}^{28} + 9^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.50 (solvent A). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.15 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.51 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.37 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  5.3 Hz, H-5), 4.50 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.63 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  3.0 Hz, H-6b), 4.86 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.8 Hz, H-1), 5.47 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.2 Hz, H-2), 5.79 (d, 1 H, H-3), 5.91 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.1$  Hz, H-4), 7.30–8.20 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>10</sub>: C, 65.69; H, 5.14. Found: C, 66.12; H, 4.86.

*Methyl* 3,4,6-tri-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside (9). — Acetyl chloride (1.2 mL) was added dropwise to methanol (30 mL) at 0°, **20** (1.3 g) was dissolved in the mixture, which was kept for 16 h at 20° and then concentrated, and toluene (3 × 5 mL) was evaporated from the residue. Column chromatography (as described for **20**) then gave **9** (0.89 g, 75%), as a solid,  $[a]_{\rm b}^{27} + 21^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.30 (solvent A); lit.<sup>26</sup>  $[a]_{\rm b}^{23} + 32^{\circ}$  (chloroform). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.30 (bs, 1 H, OH), 3.53 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.33 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.2 Hz, H-2), 4.35 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  5.4 Hz, H-5), 4.50 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.61 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  3.0 Hz, H-6b), 4.90 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.7 Hz, H-1), 5.68 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 5.94 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.0$  Hz, H-4), 7.30–7.60 and 7.90–8.10 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph).

*Methyl* 3-O-*acetyl*-2-O-*benzoyl*-a-D-*mannopyranoside* (19). — This compound was obtained from 15 (ref. 18) (1.6 g) by acetylation [ $\rightarrow$ 17; <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 2.00 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.47 (s, 3 H, MeO), 3.92 (t, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6a} = J_{5,6b} = 10.0$  Hz, H-6a), 4.04 (dt, 1 H,  $J_{4,5}$  10.0 Hz, H-5), 4.17 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  11.0 Hz, H-4), 4.36 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  4.0 Hz, H-6b), 4.84 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.6 Hz, H-1), 5.51 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.4 Hz, H-3), 5.61 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 5.64 (s, 1 H, CHPh), 7.30–7.70 and 8.08–8.16 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph)] and then acid hydrolysis as described for 18. Compound 19 (1.08 g, 79%) was isolated as a solid,  $[a]_{D}^{30}$  – 65° (c 0.2, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.26 (solvent C). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.05 (s, 3 H, Ac), 2.17 (t, 1 H,  $J_{6,0H}$  6.4 Hz, HO-6), 2.57 (d, 1 H,  $J_{4,0H}$  5.0, HO-4), 3.45 (s, 3 H, MeO), 3.78 (dt, 1 H,  $J_{5,6}$  3.6 Hz, H-5), 3.95 (dd, 2 H, H-6a,6b), 4.19 (dt, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.0$  Hz, H-4), 4.83 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.8 Hz, H-1), 5.30 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.4 Hz, H-3), 5.48 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 7.40–7.65 and 8.02–8.10 (m, 5 H, Ph).

*Methyl* 2,4,6-tri-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside (10). — The diol 19 (1.08 g) was benzoylated under standard conditions to give 21 as a solid,  $[a]_{D}^{29}$  –9.5° (c 1.8, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.52 (solvent A).<sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.90 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.52 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.34 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  4.5 Hz, H-5), 4.45 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.2 Hz, H-6a), 4.70 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  2.6 Hz, H-6b), 4.94 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.9 Hz, H-1), 5.58 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.5 Hz, H-2), 5.72 (dd,

1 H, H-3), 5.92 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.0$  Hz, H-4), 7.35–7.70 and 7.95–8.27 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>10</sub>: C, 65.69; H, 5.14. Found: C, 65.95; H, 5.30.

Treatment of **21** with HCl–MeOH (from 3 mL of AcCl and 75 mL of MeOH), as described for **9**, gave **10** (1.43 g, 80%), as a solid,  $[\alpha]_{D}^{29} + 4.9^{\circ}$  (*c* 0.96, chloroform),  $R_{F}$  0.30 (solvent *A*). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.50 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.31 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  4.7 Hz, H-5), 4.42 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.4 Hz, H-3), 4.48 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.70 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  2.5 Hz, H-6b), 4.97 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.8 Hz, H-1), 5.44 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 5.71 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 10.0$  Hz, H-4), 7.35–7.67 and 8.02–8.12 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>9</sub>: C, 66.39; H, 5.17. Found: C, 66.36; H, 4.95.

*Methyl* 2,3,6-tri-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside (11). — Obtained as described earlier <sup>21</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.07 (bs, 1 H, OH), 3.50 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.11 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  2.1 Hz, H-5), 4.29 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.7$  Hz, H-4), 4.66 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.2 Hz, H-6a), 4.91 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  3.9 Hz, H-6b), 4.94 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.5 Hz, H-1), 5.62 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 5.64 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.2 Hz, H-3), 7.27–7.67 and 7.90–8.20 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph).

Benzyl 2-O-acetyl-3-O-benzoyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-β-D-galactopyranoside (23). — Compound 22 (ref. 19) (0.96 g) was acetylated under standard conditions to give 23 (0.83 g, 81%), m.p. 204–205° (from heptane),  $[a]_{D}^{29} + 36°$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_F$  0.54 (solvent A). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.99 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.61 (b, 1 H, H-5), 4.13 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a}$  1.8,  $J_{6a,6b}$  12.5 Hz, H-6a), 4.43 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{5,6b}$  1.5 Hz, H-6b), 4.55 (d, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  3.6 Hz, H-4), 4.66 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  7.9 Hz, H-1), 4.71 (d, 1 H, J 12.3 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.99 (d, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.16 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  10.3 Hz, H-3), 5.54 (s, 1 H, CHPh), 5.70 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 7.30–7.70 and 8.10 (m and d, 15 H, 3 Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: C, 69.04; H, 5.59. Found: C, 68.99; H, 5.68.

Benzyl 2-O-acetyl-3-O-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (24). — A solution of 23 (0.83 g) in aqueous 70% AcOH (100 mL) was kept at 70°, then concentrated, and toluene (3 × 10 mL) was evaporated from the residue. Column chromatography (solvent C) then gave 24 (0.64 g, 92%), as a syrup,  $[a]_{p}^{29} + 38^{\circ}$  (c 0.56, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.15 (solvent C). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub> + CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  1.86 (s, 3 H, Ac), 3.56 (t, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a} = J_{5,6b} = 5.8$  Hz, H-5), 3.74 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  11.9 Hz, H-6a), 3.80 (dd, 1 H, H-6b), 4.19 (d, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  3.4 Hz, H-4), 4.53 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  7.8 Hz, H-1), 4.60 (d, 1 H, J 12.3, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.84 (d, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 4.95 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  10.6 Hz, H-3), 5.45 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 7.20–7.55 and 7.94 (m and d, 10 H, 2 Ph).

Benzyl 2-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (25). — Obtained from 24 (0.6 g) by the standard benzoylation followed by column chromatography (benzene-acetone, 99:1), 25 (0.88 g, 97%) was isolated as a solid,  $[a]_{D}^{29}$  + 34.5° (c 1.5, chloroform),  $R_{F}$  0.60 (solvent A). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.97 (s, 3 H, Ac), 4.24 (t, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a} = J_{5,6b} = 6.6$  Hz, H-5), 4.42 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  11.6 Hz, H-6a), 4.70 (dd, 1 H, H-6b), 4.72 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  7.9 Hz, H-1), 4.74 (d, 1 H, J 12.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.00 (d, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.39 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  3.7 Hz, H-3), 5.61 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  10.5 Hz, H-2), 5.94 (d, 1 H, H-4), 7.25–7.65 and 7.83–8.09 (m, 20 H, 4 Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>10</sub>: C, 69.22; H, 5.16. Found: C, 69.32; H, 5.26. Benzyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (12). — Compound 25 (0.82 g) was treated with HCl–MeOH (obtained from 2 mL of AcCl and 50 mL of MeOH), as described for **9**, to give **12** (0.65 g, 85%), as a solid,  $[a]_{b}^{26} - 13^{\circ}$  (c 0.8 chloroform),  $R_{F}$  0.35 (solvent A). <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.19 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  10.2 Hz, H-2), 4.24 (t, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a} = J_{5,6b} = 6.6$  Hz, H-5), 4.41 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  11.2 Hz, H-6a), 4.63 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  7.8 Hz, H-1), 4.69 (dd, 1 H, H-6b), 4.75 (d, 1 H, J11.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.04 (d, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.39 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  3.5 Hz, H-3), 5.91 (d, 1 H, H-4), 7.25–7.70 and 7.84–8.09 (m, 20 H, 4 Ph).

Anal. Calc. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>9</sub>: C, 70.09; H, 5.19. Found: C, 70.08; H, 5.37.

*Methyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside* (13). — Obtained as described earlier<sup>22</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.56 (s, 3 H, MeO), 4.09 (t, 1 H,  $J_{5,6a} = J_{5,6b} = 6.7$  Hz, H-5), 4.36 (d, 1 H,  $J_{3,4}$  3.1 Hz, H-4), 4.62 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{6a,6b}$  11.2 Hz, H-6a), 4.67 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  8.0 Hz, H-1), 4.72 (dd, 1 H, H-6b), 5.37 (dd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  10.3 Hz, H-3), 5.78 (dd, 1 H, H-2), 7.27–7.65 and 7.95–8.10 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph).

Synthesis of the O-protected phosphate diesters (26, 28–32). — A mixture of the glycosyl hydrogenphosphonate derivative (0.11 mmol) and hydroxylic component (0.10 mmol) was dried by evaporation of pyridine ( $3 \times 1 \text{ mL}$ ) therefrom. The residue was dissolved in the same solvent (0.5 mL), trimethylacetyl chloride (0.25 mmol) was added, the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 20°, and then triethylamine (0.50 mmol) and a freshly prepared solution of iodine (0.20 mmol) in pyridine–water (98:2, 1 mL) were added. After 15 min. chloroform (50 mL) was added, the organic layer was successively washed with cold M aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 25 \text{ mL}$ ) and cold M TEAB ( $2 \times 25 \text{ mL}$ ), dried by filtration through cotton, and concentrated. Column chromatography (dichloromethane–methanol,  $1.6 \rightarrow 16\%$  of MeOH) of the residue gave the protected phosphate diester derivative. For the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>31</sup>P-n.m.r. data, see Table III. The following compounds were prepared in this manner.

Methyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-*a*-D-mannopyranoside 2-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-*a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (**26**), obtained from **4** (112 mg, 0.16 mmol) and **9** (76 mg, 0.15 mmol), was a solid (160 mg, 87%),  $[a]_{D}^{20} - 5.8^{\circ}$  (*c* 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.45 (solvent *D*).

Methyl 2,4,6-tri-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside 3-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate) triethylammonium salt (28), obtained from 4 (112 mg, 0.16 mmol) and 10 (76 mg, 0.15 mmol), was a solid (139 mg, 77%),  $[a]_{D}^{21} - 3^{\circ}$  (c 1.1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.45 (solvent D).

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzoyl-a-D-mannopyranoside 4-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (29), obtained from 4 (80 mg), 0.11 mmol) and 11 (50 mg, 0.10 mmol), was a solid (84 mg, 70%),  $[a]_{D}^{29} - 11.3^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.45 (solvent D).

Benzyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 2-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-*a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (**30**), obtained from **5** (83 mg, 0.11 mmol) and **12** (58 mg, 0.10 mmol), was a solid (90 mg, 67%),  $[a]_{D}^{25} + 9^{\circ}$  (*c* 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.55 (solvent *E*).

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 4-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzoyl-*a*-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate) triethylammonium salt (31), obtained from 5 (83 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 13 (51 mg, 0.10 mmol), was a solid (88 mg, 69%),  $[a]_{D}^{23} + 11.5^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.40 (solvent *E*).

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 4-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (**32**), obtained from **4** (112 mg, 0.16 mmol) and **13** (76 mg, 0.15 mmol), was a solid (130 mg, 72%),  $[a]_{b}^{27} + 28^{\circ}$  (c 1, chloroform),  $R_{\rm E}$  0.50 (solvent *E*).

Methyl a-D-mannopyranoside 2-(a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (33). - A solution of 26 (160 mg) in methanol - 1,4-dioxane (2:1) was treated with Dowex 50W-X4 (Na<sup>+</sup>) resin for 2 h at 20°, then filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. A solution of the residue in methanol-tetrahydrofuran (2:1) was hydrogenolysed over 10% Pd–C for 5–10 h at 20°. The reaction was monitored by t.l.c. (solvent F,  $R_{\rm F}$  0.15 for 27). The mixture was filtered and concentrated to dryness, and the residue was treated with 0.1 M MeONa in MeOH (6 mL) at 1°. The reaction was monitored by t.l.c. (solvent G). After 2.5 h, the mixture was deionized with KU-2 ( $H^+$ ) resin, filtered, immediately neutralized with triethylamine, and concentrated to dryness. Ion-exchange chromatography of the residue (see general part of Experimental) gave 33 (40 mg, 58%) as a solid,  $[a]_{p}^{27}$  +35.5° (c 1, water),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.30 (solvent G). For the <sup>13</sup>C-, <sup>31</sup>P-, and <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data, see Tables I and II. Also obtained was methyl a-D-mannopyranoside 2-phosphate triethylammonium salt (7.5 mg, 15%),  $[a]_{p}^{27}$  +23.4° (c 0.7, methanol),  $R_{F}$  0.15 (solvent G). N.m.r. data (D<sub>2</sub>O): <sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  1.25 (t, 9 H, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.12 (q, 6 H, 3 CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.40 (s, 3 H, MeO), 3.62 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{5.6a}$  5.0 Hz, H-5), 3.70 (t, 1 H,  $J_{3.4} = J_{4.5} = 9.0$  Hz, H-4), 3.77 (dd, 1 H, J<sub>6a,6b</sub> 12.0 Hz, H-6a), 3.82 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 3.89 (dd, 1 H, J<sub>5,6b</sub> 2.1 Hz, H-6b), 4.33 (ddd, 1 H,  $J_{2,3}$  3.1,  $J_{2,P}$  8.6 Hz, H-2), 4.94 (d, 1 H,  $J_{1,2}$  1.7 Hz, H-1); <sup>31</sup>P,  $\delta$  0.88 (at pD 6, for mono-anion), 4.87 (at pD 9, for di-anion).

Methyl a-D-mannopyranoside 3-(a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (34). — The phosphate diester 28 (70 mg) was deprotected and isolated as described for the synthesis of 33 (but with saponification for 22 h, at 1°), to give 34 (23 mg, 77%), as a solid,  $[a]_{p}^{27} + 52^{\circ}$  (c 1.15, water),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.30 (solvent G). For the <sup>13</sup>C-, <sup>31</sup>P-, and <sup>1</sup>H-N.m.r. data, see Tables I and II.

Methyl a-D-mannopyranoside 4-(a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), triethylammonium salt (35). — The phosphate diester 29 (70 mg) was deprotected and isolated as described for the synthesis of 33 (saponification with 0.05M MeONa in MeOH for 1.5 h at 20°), to give 35 (25 mg, 83%) as a solid,  $[a]_p^{20} + 72^\circ$  (c 0.96, methanol),  $R_F 0.30$  (solvent G); lit.<sup>14</sup>  $[a]_p^{20} + 75.7^\circ$  for the cyclohexylammonium salt. For the <sup>13</sup>C-, <sup>31</sup>P-, and <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data, see Tables I and II.

Benzyl  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 2-(a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), ammonium salt (36). — A mixture of 30 (90 mg) in 1,4-dioxane (3 mL) and 0.1M MeONa in MeOH (3 mL) was kept for 4 h at 1°. The reaction was monitored by t.l.c. (solvent G). The mixture was deionized as described for 33, to give 36 (31 mg, 88%), isolated by ion-exchange chromatography (see general part of Experimental) as a solid,  $[a]_{D}^{28} + 14^{\circ}$  (c 1, water),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.40 ( (solvent G). For the <sup>13</sup>C-, <sup>31</sup>P-, and <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data, see Tables I and II.

Methyl  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside 4-(a-D-mannopyranosyl phosphate), ammonium salt (37). — A solution of 31 (70 mg) in 0.05M MeONa in MeOH – 1,4-dioxane (3:1, 4 mL) was kept for 30 h at 1°. The reaction was monitored by t.l.c. (solvent G). The

mixture was deionized, as described for 33, to give 37 (19 mg, 75%) isolated by ion-exchange chromatography as a solid,  $[a]_{D}^{28} + 31.4^{\circ}$  (c 1, water),  $R_{\rm F}$  0.30 (solvent G). For the <sup>13</sup>C-, <sup>31</sup>P-, and <sup>1</sup>H-n.m.r. data, see Tables I and II.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. A. S. Shashkov and Mr. A. V. Ignatenko for recording the n.m.r. spectra.

### REFERENCES

- 1 K. von Figura and A. Hasilik, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 55 (1986) 167-194.
- 2 R. B. Marchase, L. A. Koro, C. M. Kelly, and D. R. McClay, Cell., 28 (1982) 813-820.
- 3 E. Barreto-Bergter and P. A. J. Gorin, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 41 (1983) 67-103.
- 4 L. Kenne and B. Lindberg, in G. O. Aspinall (Ed.), *The Polysaccharides*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 287-363.
- 5 J. Thiem and M. Franzkowiak, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 8 (1989) 1-28.
- 6 P. J. Garegg, T. Regberg, J. Stawinski, and R. Stromberg, Chem. Scr., 25 (1986) 59-62.
- 7 B. C. Froehler and M. D. Matteucci, Tetrahedron Lett., 27 (1986) 469-472.
- 8 P. Westerduin, G. H. Veeneman, G. A. van der Marel, and J. H. van Boom, Tetrahedron Lett., 27 (1986) 6271-6274.
- 9 A. V. Nikolaev, V. N. Shibaev, and N. K. Kochetkov, Bioorg. Khim., 13 (1987) 1591-1593.
- 10 A. V. Nikolaev, E. V. Ryabtseva, V. N. Shibaev, and N. K. Kochetkov, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 15 (1989) 710-711.
- 11 G. I. Eliseyeva, A. V. Nikolaev, V. N. Shibaev, and N. K. Kochetkov, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 15 (1989) 1140-1143.
- 12 A. V. Nikolaev, N. S. Utkina, V. N. Shibaev, A. V. Ignatenko, and N. K. Kochetkov, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 14 (1988) 555–557.
- 13 A. V. Nikolaev, N. S. Utkina, V. N. Shibaev, A. V. Ignatenko, and N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res., 187 (1989) c1-c5.
- 14 T. N. Cawley and R. Letters, Carbohydr. Res., 19 (1971) 373-382.
- 15 S. Koto, N. Morishima, Y. Mijata, and S. Zen, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 49 (1976) 2639-2640.
- 16 I. F. Brazier, D. Honalla, M. Loenig, and R. Wolf, Top. Phosphorus Chem., 8 (1976) 99-192.
- 17 E. R. Seymour, Carbohydr. Res., 34 (1974) 65-70.
- 18 S. A. Abbas and A. H. Haines, Carbohydr. Res., 39 (1975) 358-363.
- 19 G. J. F. Chittenden, Carbohydr. Res., 16 (1971) 495-496.
- 20 N. E. Byramova, M. V. Ovchinnikov, L. V. Backinowsky, and N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res., 124 (1983) c8-c11.
- 21 I. M. Williams and A. C. Richardson, Tetrahedron, 23 (1967) 1369-1378.
- 22 P. J. Garegg and S. Oscarson, Carbohydr. Res., 137 (1985) 270-275.
- 23 J. V. O'Conner, H. A. Nunez, and R. Barker, Biochemistry, 18 (1979) 500-507.
- 24 R. K. Ness, H. G. Fletcher, Jr., and C. S. Hudson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72 (1950) 2200-2204.
- 25 J. Fiandor, M. T. Garcia-Lopez, F. G. de las Heras, and P. P. Mendez-Castrillon, Synthesis, (1985) 1121-1123.
- 26 Y. Ishido, N. Sakairi, M. Sekiya, and N. Nakazaki, Carbohydr. Res., 97 (1981) 51-79.