

Iodo Meyer–Schuster Rearrangement of 3-Alkoxy-2-yn-1-ols for β -Mono (Exclusively Z-Selective)-/Disubstituted α -Iodo- α,β -Unsaturated Esters


Surendra Puri,[†] Nuligonda Thirupathi,[†] and Maddi Sridhar Reddy^{*,†,‡}

[†]Medicinal & Process Chemistry Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, BS-10/1, Sector 10, Jankipuram Extension, Sitapur Road, P.O. Box 173, Lucknow 226031, India

[‡]Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, New Delhi 110001, India

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We herein present the iodo Meyer–Schuster rearrangement of 3-alkoxypropargyl alcohols for α -iodo- α,β -unsaturated esters using iodine or NIS in dichloromethane at ambient temperature. Substrates prepared from both aldehydes and ketones are found to be equally good feedstock for the reaction to produce β -mono- and -disubstituted products. Irrespective of the substitution, substrates prepared from aldehydes gave Z-isomers exclusively.




Meyer–Schuster rearrangement offers an efficient approach for the construction of a variety of highly substituted (di-, tri-, and tetra-) conjugated carbonyl compounds and α,β -unsaturated esters.^{1,2} Given the catalytic nature of the reaction, this has become a versatile alternative to the classical aldol condensation and Wittig reaction while largely expanding the use of readily available propargyl alcohols. A number of metal systems along with several Bronsted acids have been identified for the successful execution of these transformations. In the same line, Zhang et al. reported (Scheme 1, eq 2) an iodo Meyer–


propargyl alcohols) was reported by Wang and Chen⁴ for the α -iodo- α,β -unsaturated aldehydes, by using iodide (aqueous HI in toluene) rather than an iodonium ion through a different pathway of involving iodoallene intermediates and their oxygen-mediated oxidation. The Meyer–Schuster rearrangement is yet to be extended to the alkoxy propargyl alcohols for the synthesis of α -iodo-conjugated esters which are valuable subunits for the stereodefined entry to highly substituted olefins through modification of the ester functional group and by the metal catalyzed coupling reactions on the vinyl iodide unit. Filling this gap, and as part of our ongoing program of unveiling the new reactions of functionalized alkynes through electrophilic activation,⁵ we herein report the synthesis of α -iodo- α,β -unsaturated esters with both β -mono- and -disubstitution. This was achieved using only I₂ or NIS as reagents with the exclusion of any assistance of metal catalysts, and under very mild conditions.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of α -Halo Conjugated Carbonyls


ref 4 (Wang et al, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 72, 4993)



ref 3 (Zhang et al, *Org. Lett.* 2009, 11, 3646)



this work



Schuster rearrangement³ through a novel concept for the general synthesis of α -iodo- α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds where an Au catalyst was needed for the alkyne activation followed by Au–I exchange, a Mo catalyst for the hydroxyl migration, and a phosphine catalyst to suppress the byproducts. Prior to that, a similar transformation (with a restriction to aryl and terminal

Although the synthesis of α -halo-conjugated esters through a halogenated Wittig reaction and some other approaches are reported in the literature,⁶ the protocols were mostly restricted to aldehydes as substrates or for the bromoadducts. And the outcome of the reactions often seriously suffered from the formation of mixture of diastereomers, leaving its general synthesis still a formidable challenge to synthetic chemists. The method we present here is not only equally applicable for the substrates from both aldehydes and ketones but also highly stereoselective (Z-only) for the β -monosubstituted adducts.

Initially we selected a known substrate **1a**⁷ for optimization studies. With the experience of activating the ethoxy alkynes using I₂ without the assistance of any metal catalyst,^{5c} we treated **1a** with I₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (Table 1, entry 1). Unfortunately, it

Received: July 28, 2014

Published: September 26, 2014

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

entry	substrate	reagent	base	solvent	temp/time (h)	product (ratio) ^c	yield ^b
1	1a	I ₂	—	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/0.5	2a + 3 (3:2)	68%
2	1a	I ₂	—	CH ₂ Cl ₂	0 °C/1.5	2a + 3 (6:1)	62%
3	1a	I ₂	—	DCE	rt/0.5	2a + 3 (2.3:1)	65%
4	1a	I ₂	—	THF	rt/0.5	2a + 3 (7:1)	58%
5	1a	I ₂	—	toluene	rt/0.5	2a + 3 (2:1)	55%
6	1a	I ₂	NaHCO ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/0.5	2a + 3 (3:1)	60%
7	1a	I ₂	Na ₂ CO ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/0.5	2a + 3 (3:1)	60%
8	1a	I ₂	K ₂ CO ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/0.5	2a (E/Z)	59%
9	1a	NIS	—	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/1	2a (E/Z)	65%
10	1a	NIS	—	DCE	rt/1	2a (E/Z)	63%
11	1a	I ₂	TEA	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/12	—	—
12	1a	I ₂	Py	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/12	—	—
13	1a	I ₂	K ₂ CO ₃	toluene	rt/5	2a	65%
14	5a	I ₂	—	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/5	6a	75%
15	5a	NIS	—	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt/10	6a	70%

^a2 mmol of reagent were added to 1 mmol of substrate in solvent (0.25 M). ^bIsolated yield. ^cRatio of the products was calculated from ¹H NMR.

produced an inseparable mixture of required product **2a** and non-iodo Meyer–Schuster product **3**. The fate of the reaction did not change with the variation in temperature (0 °C, entry 2) and solvent (DCE, THF or toluene, entries 3–5) or by the addition of the base NaHCO₃ or Na₂CO₃ (entries 6–7).


We reasoned that the high reactivity of ethoxyalkyne makes it sensitive to the acidic nature of the reaction medium and as a result led to proton assisted Meyer–Schuster rearrangement. Use of K₂CO₃ with I₂ (entry 8) or NIS in place of I₂ (entries 9 and 10) suppressed the formation of byproduct **3**, but the required product **2a** was formed as an inseparable mixture of E/Z isomers. Strangely, organic bases TEA and Py (entries 11 and 12) produced an unidentified intermediate which slowly converted to the product during the purification, making the approach unreliable. Finally, to our pleasure, use of K₂CO₃ as a base with I₂ in nonpolar solvent, toluene, cleanly afforded **2a** as a single isolable product in 65% yield.

Meanwhile, we worked with phenoxy propargyl alcohol **5a** (prepared by the addition of phenoxy acetylide⁸ to benzaldehyde) instead of **1a** for the following reasons: (1) the reactivity of phenoxyalkyne is relatively less due to the sharing of oxygen's lone pair electrons between the alkyne and phenyl ring, and hence it may not undergo undesired Meyer–Schuster rearrangement; (2) the shelf life of **5** is very high compared to **2** which slowly forms **3** on standing; (3) although commercially available, ethoxyacetylene is expensive whereas phenoxy dichloroethene **4** (equivalent of phenoxy acetylene) can be synthesized in large scale (more than 100 g) from cheaply available trichloroethene and phenol.

Realizing our conception, the reaction of **5a** with I₂ occurred very selectively at rt to afford the required product **6a** as a sole outcome in 75% yield while no undesired Meyer–Schuster product (**7**) was detected (entry 14). Delightedly, **6a** was found to be formed exclusively as a Z-isomer (*vide infra*, Scheme 5). NIS in CH₂Cl₂ also worked well for the transformation but with a prolonged reaction time (entry 15, 70% **6a**).

With optimal reaction conditions (I₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt) in hand, the scope and limitations of this reaction were then investigated. As is evident from Scheme 2, the iodo Meyer–Schuster rearrangement was found to be quite effective over a wide range of phenoxy alcohols. Similar to **5a**, halo (Br, Cl, F) substituted substrates **5b–e** afforded, upon exposure to I₂ in CH₂Cl₂, the corresponding products **6b–e** in 70–85% yields. Substrates

Scheme 2. Iodo Meyer Schuster Rearrangement of Substrates 1 and 5 Prepared from Aldehydes




^a1 mmol of **1** or **5** in 4 mL of solvent (toluene for **1** and CH₂Cl₂ for **5**) was added to 2 mmol of I₂ (and 2 equiv of K₂CO₃ in the case of **1**) at rt, and the contents were stirred until completion (TLC). ^bIsolated yields.

5f–h with an electron-withdrawing nitro group also gave an excellent outcome (6f–h in 75–80% yields) while their electron-rich counterpart 5i resulted in moderate yield (65%) of the product 6i. Pleasingly, heteroaryl substituted adducts 6j and 6k were also obtained in good yields (66–73%) under the standard conditions.

Remarkably, the reaction on alkyl substituted substrates 5l–n also suffered neither selectivity issues producing exclusively Z-isomers nor low conversion rates which was expected due to relatively tough C–OH bond cleavage compared to that of benzyl alcohol counterparts 5a–k. Thus, the products 6l–n were obtained in 70–73% yields. The result indicated that the total Z-selectivity is only because of steric hindrance and not due to conjugation factors. Notably, skipped olefinic product 6o was also smoothly obtained in 75% yield. Additionally, ethyl counterparts (2a–f) of a few of the products were synthesized from corresponding ethoxypropargyl alcohols (1a–f), in their standardized conditions (I_2 , K_2CO_3 , toluene, rt) to expand the scope of the approach. The yields of the phenoxy conjugated esters (6a–o, 65–85%) were higher than those of ethyl conjugated esters (2a–f, 60–75%).

Moving forward, we chose substrates prepared from ketones which would give β -disubstituted adducts. Initially, when we subjected the substrates 8a–c (Scheme 3), synthesized from aliphatic ketones, to I_2 in CH_2Cl_2 (rt or 0 °C), the eliminated products 9a–c (respectively) were formed instantly and

Scheme 3. Iodo Meyer Schuster Rearrangement of Substrates 8 Prepared from Ketones^{a,b}



^a2 mmol of NIS were added to 1 mmol of 8 in 4 mL of CH_2Cl_2 at rt (0 °C for 8m–o) and stirred the contents at rt. ^bIsolated yields. ^cThe ratio was determined by 1H NMR.


exclusively. While assisted by an acidic atmosphere created by I_2 , the presence of an adjacent aliphatic proton to tertiary alcohol tempted the substrate to take an alternative pathway of elimination before allowing the expected iodo Meyer–Schuster rearrangement. Use of a base also did not change the course of the reaction.

We then switched to the alternative nonacidic reagent, NIS (which was proved to be almost equally effective as is evident from Table 1), for the transformation. Pleasingly, the expected transformation of 8a to 10a occurred very smoothly (80%) with 2 equiv of NIS in CH_2Cl_2 at rt.

Similarly, substrates 8b–d, prepared from other cyclic ketones, also underwent the title transformation to afford the corresponding products (8b–d) in excellent yields (63–80%). The sterically hindered substrates 8e and 8f, prepared from adamantanone and dicyclohexyl ketone respectively, also smoothly reacted under the optimized conditions to give the corresponding products (10e and 10f) in 70–88% yields. Further, substrates from acyclic ketones also were indiscriminately converted to the corresponding β -disubstituted conjugated esters 10g–j in 60–90% yields. Of note is that the substrates with less acidic 3°-H adjacent to the *tert*-hydroxyl group (8e–f, 8j, and 8l) were relatively more productive. Substrates from unsymmetrical aliphatic ketones (8k–8l) afforded the mixture of diastereomers with the ratio changing along with the steric bias. Significantly, diphenyl substituted adduct 10m was obtained from 8m in 58% yield, at relatively lower temperatures (0 °C–rt) which was necessary to avoid some decomposition. Likewise, halosubstituted diphenyl adducts 10n–o were also obtained, without any obstruction, in moderate yields of 55–62%. Next, mixed ketone (aryl-alkyl) based substrates 8p–r also reacted smoothly and provided the corresponding products 10p–r, as a diastereomeric mixture, in moderate yields of 60–65%.

A plausible mechanism for the title reaction is depicted in Scheme 4. Accordingly, activation of electron-rich alkyne (A) by


Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanism



an electrophilic iodine reagent might lead to iodoketene oxonium ion B which would instantly undergo intramolecular hydroxyl attack to give four membered intermediate C. We exclude concerted conversion of A to C reasoning that the hydroxyl group and β -carbon of the alkyne are too long to react unlike in the case of B in which the reactive centers fall in closer proximity. The strained ring in C will then undergo slow cleavage to deliver the thermodynamic (less sterically hindered Z-isomer) product 2 or 6 or 10.

Next, we performed some reactions on products 6a, 6m, and 10m to prove the geometry of the product as well as to extend the importance of the method to the stereodefined synthesis of multiply substituted olefins (Scheme 5). Thus, the reduction of 6a and 6m with DIBAL-H in CH_2Cl_2 produced a known conjugated compound 11a,⁹ providing proof for the Z-geometry

Scheme 5. Utilizations of Functional Groups of α -Iodo Conjugated Ester 6 or 10



of the substrate, and the unknown nonconjugated **11b**. The NOESY spectroscopy of both **11a** and **11b** supported the *Z*-geometry. In fact, ethyl esters **2a–f** were known¹⁰ in the literature and spectral data comparison unambiguously established the *Z*-geometry. Next, Suzuki coupling of **5a** with methylenedioxy phenyl boronic acid **12** in the presence of catalytic Pd(0) and 2 equiv of Cs₂CO₃ produced **13** in 59% yield whereas Sonogashira coupling of **6a** and **10m** with phenylacetylene with the assistance of catalytic Pd(II) yielded trisubstituted olefin **14a** and tetrasubstituted olefin **14b** respectively in 55–66% yields.

In summary, an efficient synthesis of linear α -iodo- α,β -unsaturated esters from readily accessible propargylic alcohols is described. This reaction works well not only with substrates derived from aldehydes but also with those from ketones to produce a highly substituted olefin center. *Z*-isomers were exclusively obtained in the case of substrates prepared from aldehydes. Some functional group transformations are performed on few of the products to offer a stereodefined entry to selective tri- and tetrasubstituted olefins.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details and copies of ¹H and ¹³C spectra for compounds **2a–f**, **5a–o**, **6a–o**, **8a–r**, **9a–b**, **10a–r**, **11a–b**, **13**, and **14a–b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: msreddy@cdri.res.in; sridharreddymaddi@yahoo.com.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.P. and N.T. thank CSIR for the fellowships. We thank SAIF division CSIR-CDRI for the analytical support. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by CSIR-Network project 'BSC0104' (CSIR-CDRI-SPLenDID) and by DST under 'Fast Track Proposal Scheme for Young Scientists' (Project No. SB/FT/CS-102/2012). CDRI Communication No. 8800.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For some recent reviews, see: (a) Zhu, Y.; Sun, L.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 1911–1925. (b) Cadierno, V.; Crochet, P.; García-Garrido, S. E.; Gimeno, J. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 4015–4031. (c) Engel, D. A.; Dudley, G. B. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, *7*, 4149–4158.

(2) For some selected recent papers, see: (a) Yu, Y.; Yang, W.; Pfalterer, D.; Hashmi, A. S. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1144–1147. (b) Onishi, Y.; Nishimoto, Y.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 1176–1179. (c) Xiong, Y.-P.; Wu, M.-Y.; Zhang, X.-Y.; Ma, C.-L.; Huang, L.; Zhao, L.-J.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 1000–1003. (d) Jiao, N.; Huang, X. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 4324–4328. (e) Collins, B. S. L.; Suero, M. G.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 5799–5802. (f) Pennell, M. N.; Turner, P. G.; Sheppard, T. D. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 4748–4758. (g) Mattia, E.; Porta, A.; Merlini, V.; Zanoni, G.; Vidari, G. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 11894–11898. (h) Trost, B. M.; Luan, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 1706–1709. (i) Trost, B. M.; Luan, X.; Miller, Y. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 12824–12833. (j) Zheng, H.; Lejkowski, M.; Hall, D. G. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1305–1310. (k) Merlini, V.; Gaillard, S.; Porta, A.; Zanoni, G.; Vidari, G.; Nolan, S. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2011**, *52*, 1124–1127. (l) Wang, D.; Ye, X.; Shi, X. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 2088–2091. (m) Zhang, G.; Peng, Y.; Cui, L.; Zhang, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3112–3115. (n) Egi, M.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Fujiwara, N.; Akai, S. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1867–1870. (o) Nishizawa, M.; Hirakawa, H.; Nakagawa, Y.; Yamamoto, H.; Namba, K.; Imagawa, H. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5577–5580. (p) Yu, M.; Li, G.; Wang, S.; Zhang, L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 871–875. (3) (a) Ye, L.; Zhang, L. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3646–3649. (b) Yu, M.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, L. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 2147–2150. (4) Chen, S.; Wang, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 4993–4996. (5) (a) Thirupathi, N.; Babu, M. H.; Dwivedi, V.; Reddy, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 2908–2911. (b) Kumar, Y. K.; Kumar, G. R.; Reddy, M. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 823–828. (c) Thirupathi, N.; Kumar, Y. K.; Kant, R.; Reddy, M. S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 1823–1834. (d) Kumar, G. R.; Kumar, Y. K.; Kant, R.; Reddy, M. S. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *10*, 1255–1260. (e) Reddy, M. S.; Thirupathi, N.; Babu, M. H.; Puri, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 5878–5888. (f) Reddy, M. S.; Thirupathi, N.; Babu, M. H. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *9*, 180–184. (g) Reddy, M. S.; Kumar, Y. K.; Thirupathi, N. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 824–827. (h) Reddy, M. S.; Thirupathi, N.; Babu, M. H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 5803–5809. (i) Reddy, M. S.; Thirupathi, N.; Kumar, Y. K. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2*, 3986–3992. (6) (a) Augustine, J. K.; Bombrun, A.; Venkatachaliah, S.; Jothi, A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 8065–8072. (b) Kim, J.-G.; Kang, D. H.; Jang, D. O. *Synlett* **2008**, 443–447. (c) Olpp, T.; Bruckner, R. *Synthesis* **2004**, 2135–2152. (d) Zhang, X.; Zhong, P.; Chen, F. *Synth. Commun.* **2004**, *34*, 1729–1736. (e) Karama, U.; Al-Othman, Z.; Al-Majid, A.; Almansour, A. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 3276–3280. (f) Verardo, G.; Geatti, P.; Gambi, A. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *22*, 24–30. (g) Concellon, J. M.; Rodriguez-Solla, H.; Diaz, P. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, *6*, 2934–2940. (h) Qing, F.-L.; Zhang, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 5929–5931. (7) Engel, D. A.; Dudley, G. B. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4027–4029. (8) (a) Egi, M.; Ota, Y.; Nishimura, Y.; Shimizu, K.; Azechi, K.; Akai, S. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 4150–4153. (b) Graf, K.; Ruhl, C. L.; Rudolph, M.; Rominger, F.; Hashmi, A. S. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12727–12731. (9) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Haffner, T.; Rudolph, M.; Rominger, F. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 667–671. (10) (a) Augustine, J. K.; Bombrun, A.; Venkatachaliah, S.; Jothi, A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 8065–8072. (b) Moreau, X.; Campagne, J.-M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 5346–5350. (c) Roy, S.; Anoop, A.; Biradha, K.; Basak, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8316–8319. (d) Karama, S. *Synth. Commun.* **2010**, *40*, 3447–3451.