Paper

Iodine-Catalyzed Oxidative Cross-Dehydrogenative Coupling of Quinoxalinones and Indoles: Synthesis of 3-(Indol-2-yl)guinoxalin-2-one under Mild and Ambient Conditions

Α

Medena Noikham **Tanakorn Kittikool** Sirilata Yotphan*

Center of Excellence for Innovation in Chemistry (PERCH-CIC), Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Rama VI Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand sirilata.yot@mahidol.ac.th



 $R^1 = H.$ Me. Ph R² = H, Me, Cl, alkoxy, hydroxy, COOMe 23 examples, 20-99% yield

Received: 25.01.2018 Accepted after revision: 09.03.2018 Published online: 04.04.2018 DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1609445; Art ID: ss-2018-f0047-op

Abstract A highly efficient iodine-catalyzed oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling reaction of quinoxalinones and indoles has been developed. Without the requirement of peroxide and acid, this reaction utilizes a catalytic amount of molecular iodine to facilitate the C-C bond formation under ambient air. This simple and easy-to-handle protocol represents an interesting synthetic alternative with a good scope and functional group compatibility.

Key words iodine, quinoxalinone, indole, oxidative coupling, metalfree

The construction of carbon-carbon (C-C) bonds from simple non-prefunctionalized organic precursors is a stateof-art transformation that offers substantial benefits to the scientific community as it enables the direct synthesis and assembly of valuable synthetic organic building blocks, biologically active compounds, and natural product scaffolds.¹ Over the past decade, a number of synthetically useful methods featuring a direct C-C bond formation via crossdehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction have been continuously reported and successfully applied to a wide variety of substrates.² These methods rely heavily on the use of transition-metal catalysts³ such as palladium,⁴ nickel,⁵ copper,⁶ or iron⁷ complexes. On the other hand, a metal-free catalytic oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling strategy without the need of expensive and toxic heavy metal catalysts are alternatively appealing. In particular, catalysis involving molecular iodine (I₂), hypervalent iodine, and iodide salts, has recently received considerable attention in modern synthetic chemistry because of the ease of handling, commercial availability, low toxicity, mild reactivity, and versatility of the reagents.⁸ During the past few years, several studies have demonstrated impressive catalytic activity of iodine in various oxidative transformations leading to the efficient formation of new C-C and carbon-heteroatom (C–X) bonds in organic compounds.⁹ Further exploration into this emerging iodine-catalyzed oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling chemistry could result in the development of a practical synthetic tool and enhance their application in many fields.

Ouinoxalin-2-ones are important classes of nitrogencontaining heterocycles found in many natural products and bioactive compounds.¹⁰ A number of quinoxalinones and their structurally related derivatives are known to display a broad spectrum of biological activities and have been extensively utilized in several areas ranging from drug discovery and medicinal chemistry to material science and agrochemistry.¹¹ Among them, 3-(indol-2-yl)quinoxalin-2ones have been identified as promising small molecules that show inhibitory activities toward the growth of human tumor cells.¹² Despite their potent pharmacological profile, only few synthetic protocols for 3-(indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2ones were found in literature. One of the direct route is a Brønsted acid (e.g., TFA or TfOH) promoted coupling reaction of guinoxalinones and indoles (Scheme 1, a).¹³ A photocatalytic oxidation in acidic medium is the other process to construct these 3-(indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2-ones (Scheme 1, b).¹⁴ Nonetheless, to overcome some drawbacks and limitations of these existing methods such as harsh reaction conditions and difficulty in handling as well as to increase their structural diversity and utilization, alternative methods to access these compounds efficiently under mild conditions are highly desirable.

Our research group has been interested in exploring a metal-free catalytic approach for the synthesis and modification of biologically active N-heterocycles.¹⁵ We have previously reported an expedient iodine-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling of indoles and azoles.¹⁶ With our continuing efforts towards the development of alternative catalytic

Jownloaded by: Kent State University. Copyrighted material.



۸

R

methods to prepare and assemble various N-heterocyclic compounds, we envisioned that an iodine-catalyzed oxidative coupling of quinoxalinones and indoles should be feasible and provide a practical and environmentally friendly synthetic option due to the existing literature precedent on the iodine-catalyzed/mediated functionalization at the C2 or C3 positions of indole substrates.¹⁷ In addition. Gupta and co-workers have recently reported the iodine-catalyzed C-N bond formation for the synthesis of 3-aminoquinoxalinones from quinoxalinones and amines using tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) as the external oxidant.¹⁸ Herein, we disclose a convenient iodine-catalyzed oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling reaction between quinoxalinones and indoles in the absence of peroxide oxidant. This metaland acid-free iodine-catalyzed protocol offers a simple approach to access 3-(indol-3-vl)quinoxalin-2-ones at room temperature under mild and ambient air conditions.

We initiated our study by examining the reaction of quinoxalinone (1a) and 1-methylindole (2a) in the presence of molecular iodine and hydrogen peroxide in methanol at room temperature for 8 hours under an air atmosphere. To our delight, the product **3a** was obtained in 78% yield (Table 1, entry 1) and the dehydrogenative cross-coupling reaction took place exclusively at the C3 position of indole. Replacing H₂O₂ by DTBP (di-tert-butyl peroxide) or TBHP (tert-butyl hydroperoxide) oxidant, excellent yield of 3a was observed (entries 2 and 3). Surprisingly, as the oxidant was omitted from the reaction, the coupling product was successfully obtained in excellent quantity in methanol as well (entry 4, 97% isolated yield), suggesting that this reaction can be simply conducted under peroxide-free conditions. We further tested this metal-free reaction in different reaction media; however, methanol was found to be the most suitable solvent. Other solvents resulted in a decrease in yields (entries 5-11). Meanwhile, when using iodide salt such as KI, TBAI

Table 1 Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a



^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.25 mmol), **2a** (0.27 mmol, 1.1 equiv), catalyst (0.025 mmol, 10 mol%), oxidant (0.5 mmol, 2 equiv), solvent (0.5 mL), 8 h, r.t. under air.

^b GC yield. ^c Isolated yield. Downloaded by: Kent State University. Copyrighted material.

-

(tetrabutylammonium iodide), or NH₄I as catalyst, no reaction was detected (entries 12–14). On the other hand, electrophilic iodine species such as ICl (iodine monochloride) or NIS (*N*-iodosuccinimide) gave the products in moderate amounts (entries 15, 16), indicating that the nature of iodine source is crucial, and molecular iodine was the most effective catalyst for this reaction. Without iodine catalyst, no reaction was observed (entry 17). This outcome also underlined the necessity of I₂ catalyst in this transformation. Overall, the optimized conditions for the iodine-catalyzed oxidative coupling reaction of quinoxalinone and indole was established as 1 equivalent of quinoxalinone, 1.1 equivalents of indole substrate, 10 mol% of I_2 in methanol at room temperature for 8 hours under air (Table 1, entry 4).

To test the generality of this reaction, the scope and limitation of this reaction was determined under the established conditions. The iodine-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling of quinoxalinone **1a** and several indoles were tested, and the results are summarized in Scheme 2. Similar to 1-methylindole (**2a**), the NH-indole substrate underwent this catalytic transformation effectively and provided the coupling product **3b** in excellent yield. Pleasingly, the pres-



С

Scheme 2 Substrate scope. *Reagents and conditions*: 1 (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), 2 (0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv), I₂ (0.05 mmol, 10 mol%), CH₃OH (1 mL), r.t., 8 h, under ambient air. Isolated yields after chromatography are shown. Yields in parentheses are from using I₂ (0.25 mmol, 50 mol%) at 60 °C, 8 h, under ambient air.

ence of a chloro group at the C5 position of NH-indole has no effect to interrupt the reaction (**3c**). Likewise, reactions of indole substrates bearing electron-rich alkoxy and hydroxyl groups proceeded smoothly and gave the desired products **3d–i** in moderate to very high yields, while the indole substrates bearing a moderate electron-deactivating ester group substituted at the C5 and C6 positions delivered products **3j** and **3k** in small to modest amounts. Nevertheless, other electron-deficient indoles such as 5-nitro- and 5cyanoindole substrates as well as 1-acetylindole did not react under the optimal conditions. These results indicated that electronic variations from substituents have a dramatic impact on the efficiency of the reaction.

Meanwhile, in the case of C2-substituted indole substrates, slightly low to moderate yields of coupling products **31** and **3m** were obtained, suggesting that the steric hindrance from the substituent at C2 position of indole is likely to interfere with the product formation. We next turned our attention toward the reaction of pyrrole substrates. The iodine-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling reaction with quinoxalinone was feasible for both N-substituted pyrrole and NH-pyrrole (**3n-3q**), though low to fair quantities of products were isolated.

To improve the yields of selected compounds, a higher catalytic loading of I_2 (such as 50 mol%) was employed and the reaction was also carried out at elevated temperature (60 °C); thus the coupling products such as **3j**, **3o**, and **3q** were isolated in higher quantities (Scheme 2, yields in parentheses). Unfortunately, the electron-deficient indoles such as 5-nitroindole, 5-cyanoindole and 1-acetylindole

were not converted into the expected coupling products despite increasing catalyst loading or heating at higher reaction temperature for a prolonged reaction period.

The reactions of selected quinoxalinone substrates were also evaluated.^{19,20} Various N-substituted quinoxalinones with alkyl groups such as *N*-ethyl-, *N*-pentyl-, and *N*-benzyl-protected derivatives are compatible and the products **3r–u** were isolated in good to excellent yields. Thus, these results implied that the amide hydrogen should not be directly involved in the iodine-catalyzed oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling process. In addition, *N*-allyl- and *N*ethoxycarbonylmethylquinoxalinones are found to be viable substrates under this transformation. The reactions of these quinoxalinones proceeded readily and yielded the products **3v** and **3w** in high amounts under the optimal conditions. No side reaction at alkene or ester moieties was observed.

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, control experiments were conducted (Scheme 3). The reaction between quinoxalinone **1a** and indole **2a** was carried out under standard conditions in the presence of BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene) as a radical scavenger; however, there was no significant change in product yield (Scheme 3, a). The reaction was also performed under dark conditions, and noticeably high yield of product **3a** was attained (Scheme 3, b). This outcome is indeed different from what was reported by Itoh using molecular iodine-catalyzed oxidative coupling reaction under visible light irradiation.²¹ Therefore, based on these observations, our present transformation should proceed via a non-radical pathway and visible light



© Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York – Synthesis 2018, 50, A–J

Ε

irradiation is unlikely to involve in the reaction process. Furthermore, when conducting this present iodine-catalyzed reaction under inert atmosphere of argon, dramatically lower yield of the coupling product was observed. Thus, it is possible that oxidant is required for this iodinecatalyzed cross-dehydrogenative coupling reaction and molecular oxygen (O_2) in air is sufficient for regenerating I_2 to resume a catalytic cycle. To confirm this hypothesis, the reaction was conducted under O_2 atmosphere and 85% yield of the expected product was obtained in 2 hours (Scheme 3, c), indicating the possibility of O_2 in the air in assisting the catalytic transformation.

On the basis of our observations and relevant literature,^{16,18,22} a plausible mechanism is proposed as shown in Scheme 4. An initial reaction between molecular iodine or electrophilic iodine source and quinoxalinone could lead to the formation of the intermediate **I**. Then, a nucleophilic attack of this intermediate **I** by indole will generate the intermediate **II**. Subsequent deprotonation, elimination, and oxidation by O₂ (in air)²³ would reproduce the iodine to repeat the catalytic cycle and give the corresponding 3-(indol-2yl)quinoxalin-2-one under ambient conditions.

Lastly, the scalability of the reaction was confirmed by conducting a gram-scale reaction (10 mmol scale) between



quinoxalinone **1a** and indole **2a**, and the desired product **3a** was obtained in 93% isolated yield (2.56 g) under standard conditions, suggesting a practicality of this protocol (Scheme 5).

In summary, the iodine-catalyzed oxidative cross-dehydrogenative coupling of quinoxalinones and indoles was developed under ambient conditions using air as the oxidant. This reaction does not require additives and can be executed conveniently and efficiently at room temperature, furnishing the biologically useful of 3-(indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2-ones in moderate to excellent yields. The transformation is believed to follow a non-radical pathway, and molecular iodine is likely to be directly involved in the catalytic cycle. Further expansion of the synthetic utility and evaluation of potential biological activity of these synthesized quinoxalinone derivatives are currently under exploration in our laboratory.

Unless otherwise noted, all reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All experiments were carried out under an air atmosphere, and oven-dried glassware were used in all cases. Column chromatography was performed over silica gel (SiO₂; 60 Å silica gel, Merck Grade, 70-230 mesh). GC experiments were carried out with an Agilent 6890N GC-FID on chromatograph equipped with an Agilent column ZB-1, dimethylpolysiloxane column (30 m \times 0.25 mm ID \times 0.25 μ m). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, in DMSO-d₆ and CDCl₃ solutions. NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm, and were measured relative to DMSO (2.50 ppm for ¹H and 39.52 ppm for ¹³C) and CHCl₃ (7.26 ppm for ¹H and 77.16 ppm for ¹³C). IR spectra were recorded on Bruker FT-IR spectrophotometer Model ALPHA by neat method, and only partial data are listed. Melting points were determined on Büchi Melting Point M-565 apparatus. High-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) data were analyzed by a high-resolution micrOTOF instrument with electrospray ionization (ESI). The structures of known compounds were corroborated by comparing their ¹H and ¹³C NMR data with those reported in the literature.²⁰

A general procedure for the preparation of quinoxalinone substrates is provided in the Supporting Information.

Iodine-Catalyzed Oxidative Cross-Dehydrogenative Coupling of Quinoxalin-2(1H)-ones 1 and Indoles 2; General Procedure

To a 2 dram vial (8 mL) equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added quinoxalinone **1** (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), indole **2** (0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv), molecular I₂ (7.2 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.10 equiv), and CH₃OH (1.00 mL), respectively. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. under atmospheric air for 8 h. Upon completion, distilled deionized H₂O (10 mL) and sat. aq Na₂S₂O₃ (5 mL) were added, and the mixture was extracted



with EtOAc (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (anhyd Na_2SO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by SiO₂ column chromatography to afford the desired indolylquinoxalin-2(1*H*)-one product **3**.

3-(1-Methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3a)

Yellow solid; yield: 133 mg (97%); mp 290.1-292.0 °C.

IR (neat): 2834, 1650, 1529, 1458, 1373, 1348, 1194, 1118, 1087, 935, 896, 735 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.45 (s, 1 H), 8.93 (s, 1 H), 8.90 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.32–7.26 (m, 4 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 154.3, 151.6, 136.8, 136.8, 132.6, 130.1, 127.9, 127.5, 126.7, 123.2, 123.1, 122.6, 121.2, 114.9, 110.3, 110.1, 33.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₃O: 276.1131; found: 276.1139.

3-(1H-Indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3b)

Brown solid; yield: 129 mg (99%); mp 328.8-329.4 °C.

IR (neat): 2920, 2850, 1656, 1610, 1529, 1423, 1236, 1149, 1112, 936, 917, 738 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ =12.43 (s, 1 H), 11.80 (s, 1 H), 8.96 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.91–8.87 (m, 1 H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 1 H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 1 H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.27–7.22 (m, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 154.5, 152.0, 136.3, 133.1, 132.7, 130.2, 128.0, 127.6, 126.2, 123.3, 123.0, 122.6, 121.0, 114.9, 111.9, 111.4.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₂N₃O: 262.0975; found: 262.0979.

The spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported.^{13b}

3-(5-Chloro-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3c)

Yellow solid; yield: 131 mg (89%); mp 311.5–312.5 °C.

IR (neat): 3439, 2829, 1659, 1609, 1531, 1448, 1420, 1273, 1147, 1125, 1098, 887, 861, 790, 743 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.47 (s, 1 H), 11.96 (s, 1 H), 8.97 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.85 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 1 H), 7.33–7.30 (m, 2 H), 7.26 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 154.3, 151.6, 134.8, 134.4, 132.5, 130.3, 128.3, 127.7, 127.3, 125.7, 123.3, 122.5, 121.9, 115.0, 113.5, 110.9.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₀ClN₃ONa: 318.0405; found: 318.0412.

3-(7-Benzyloxy-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3d)

Yellow solid; yield: 169 mg (92%); mp 279.0-280.2 °C.

IR (neat): 3446, 2840, 1657, 1577, 1538, 1496, 1420, 1371, 1256, 1243, 1103, 1064, 980, 755, 731 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.43 (s, 1 H), 11.92 (s, 1 H), 8.86 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.48 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.44–7.41 (m, 3 H), 7.38–7.29 (m, 3 H), 7.15 (td, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.30 (s, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 154.4, 152.0, 145.2, 137.2, 132.6, 132.5, 130.2, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 126.4, 123.3, 121.6, 115.9, 115.0, 111.9, 104.7, 69.4.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{23}H_{17}N_3O_2Na$: 390.1213; found: 390.1214.

3-(4-Methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3e)

Brown solid; yield: 131 mg (90%); mp 264.1-265.3 °C.

IR (neat): 3368, 2921, 2849, 1650, 1527, 1410, 1197, 1149, 1107, 1026, 937, 805, 738 $\rm cm^{-1}$.

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.38 (s, 1 H), 11.58 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.83 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.85–7.83 (m, 1 H), 7.41 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 2 H), 7.02 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_{6} , 100 MHz): δ = 156.3, 154.4, 151.9, 137.2, 132.7, 132.2, 130.2, 127.9, 127.5, 123.7, 123.2, 120.3, 114.9, 111.5, 110.7, 94.9, 55.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₃O₂: 292.1081; found: 292.1088.

The spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported.^{13c}

3-(5-Methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3f)

Brown solid; yield: 100 mg (69%); mp 317.5–317.9 °C.

IR (neat): 3384, 2920, 2850, 1650, 1582, 1481, 1412, 1206, 1129, 1106, 1026, 919, 749 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.39 (s, 1 H), 11.67 (s, 1 H), 8.89 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 2 H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2 H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 154.9, 154.4, 152.0, 133.4, 132.6, 131.2, 130.1, 127.8, 127.5, 126.9, 123.2, 114.9, 112.5, 112.1, 111.1, 105.1, 55.3.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₃O₂: 292.1081; found: 292.1079.

The spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported.^{13c}

3-(6-Methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3g)

Brown solid; yield: 120 mg (82%); mp 147.1-149.2 °C.

IR (neat): 3306, 2921, 2850, 1654, 1507, 1420, 1382, 1352, 1220, 1088, 739, 724 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.37 (s, 1 H), 11.58 (s, 1 H), 8.81 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.72 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 2 H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_{6} , 100 MHz): δ = 156.2, 154.4, 151.9, 137.2, 132.7, 132.2, 130.2, 127.9, 127.5, 123.6, 123.2, 120.2, 114.9, 111.4, 110.6, 95.0, 55.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₃O₂: 292.1081; found: 292.1081.

3-(7-Methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3h)

Yellow solid; yield: 141 mg (97%); mp 322.9–323.4 °C.

IR (neat): 3426, 2922, 2848, 1651, 1577, 1538, 1422, 1371, 1253, 1241, 1207, 1066, 738 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

Paper

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.41 (s, 1 H), 11.92 (s, 1 H), 8.85 (s, 1 H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 1 H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 154.4, 152.0, 146.1, 132.6, 132.4, 130.2, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.2, 123.2, 121.7, 115.7, 114.9, 111.9, 103.3, 55.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₃O₂: 292.1081; found: 292.1088.

3-(5-Hydroxy-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3i)

Brown solid; yield: 69 mg (50%); mp 251.7-253.1 °C.

IR (neat): 3276, 2923, 1649, 1585, 1523, 1435, 1244, 1192, 1145, 925, 868, 791, 748 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.36 (s, 1 H), 11.55 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.95 (s, 1 H), 8.85 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.31 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 1 H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 3 H), 6.75 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 154.5, 152.5, 152.1, 133.3, 132.8, 130.5, 130.1, 127.7, 127.2, 123.2, 123.1, 115.0, 112.3, 112.2, 110.8, 107.7.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₂N₃O₂: 278.0924; found: 278.0927.

Methyl 3-(3-Oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2-yl)-1*H*-indole-6-carboxylate (3j)

Yellow solid; yield: 40 mg (25%); mp 324.2-325.1 °C.

IR (neat): 3323, 2922, 2847, 1697, 1665, 1619, 1539, 1502, 1426, 1286, 1201, 1081, 736 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.49 (s, 3 H), 12.15 (s, 3 H), 9.11 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.94 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.84 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.46–7.42 (m, 1 H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 2 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 167.0, 154.3, 151.6, 135.9, 135.7, 132.5, 130.3, 129.8, 128.4, 127.8, 123.5, 123.3, 122.7, 121.5, 115.0, 113.7, 111.6, 52.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₄N₃O₃: 320.1030; found: 320.1036.

Methyl 3-(3-Oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2-yl)-1*H*-indole-5-carboxylate (3k)

Orange solid; yield: 64 mg (40%); mp 338.3-339.7 °C.

IR (neat): 3234, 2922, 2850, 1686, 1659, 1536, 1433, 1278, 1259, 1230, 1097, 988, 797, 739 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ = 12.50 (s, 1 H), 12.11 (s, 1 H), 9.57 (s, 1 H), 9.01 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1 H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 2 H), 3.92 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 167.3, 154.3, 151.6, 138.9, 134.5, 132.4, 130.4, 128.5, 127.6, 125.8, 125.6, 123.5, 123.4, 122.4, 115.1, 112.2, 111.9, 51.9.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₃N₃O₃Na: 342.0849; found: 342.0850.

3-(2-Methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3l)

Brown solid; yield: 52 mg (38%); mp 289.3-289.9 °C.

IR (neat): 2918, 2849, 1656, 1607, 1546, 1527, 1454, 1429, 1182, 1146, 893, 748 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.28 (s, 1 H), 11.44 (s, 1 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.02 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.96 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_{6} , 100 MHz): δ = 154.5, 151.6, 139.3, 135.1, 132.5, 130.8, 128.8, 128.7, 128.0, 127.9, 123.3, 123.1, 119.5, 115.7, 114.9, 110.6, 14.3.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{13}N_3ONa$: 298.0951; found: 298.0964.

The spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported.^{13c}

3-(1-Methyl-2-phenyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1*H*)-one (3m)

Yellow solid; yield: 88 mg (50%); mp 260.1-261.2 °C.

IR (neat): 2920, 2849, 1651, 1540, 1464, 1428, 1396, 1364, 1078, 869, 748, 733, 701 $\rm cm^{-1}$.

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.18 (s, 1 H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.46–7.37 (m, 6 H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3 H), 7.19–7.14 (m, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 154.5, 153.9, 141.9, 136.8, 132.3, 131.9, 131.6, 130.2, 129.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.0, 122.9, 122.0 120.6, 120.4, 114.9, 110.2, 110.1, 31.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₁₈N₃O: 352.1444; found: 352.1453.

3-(1-Phenyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3n)

Brown solid; yield: 85 mg (59%); mp 232.4-234.6 °C.

IR (neat): 2847, 1658, 1592, 1545, 1509, 1275, 1205, 1064, 812, 788, 749, 683 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.48 (s, 1 H), 8.57 (t, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 3 H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 1 H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 3 H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 3.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 154.2, 150.4, 139.4, 132.4, 130.9, 129.9, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 126.2, 125.7, 123.7, 123.3, 122.5, 120.5, 119.8, 115.0, 110.7.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₄N₃O: 288.1131; found: 288.1138.

3-(1-Methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3o)

Yellow solid; yield: 41 mg (36%); mp 220.4–221.7 °C.

IR (neat): 3106, 2919, 2849, 1660, 1538, 1484, 1415, 1313, 1217, 1062, 754, 744 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.35 (s, 1 H), 8.06 (t, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.41–7.37 (m, 1 H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 2 H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 2.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 154.2, 151.0, 132.4, 130.7, 128.0, 127.8, 127.5, 123.1, 123.0, 120.1, 114.9, 108.5, 36.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₁N₃ONa: 248.0794; found: 248.0789.

3-(1H-Pyrrol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3p)

Brown solid; yield: 41 mg (39%); mp 248.7–250.5 °C.

IR (neat): 3426, 2919, 2848, 1657, 1552, 1529, 1476, 1423, 1099, 1020, 833, 727 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 12.45 (s, 1 H), 11.66 (s, 1 H), 7.72–7.70 (m, 1 H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 2 H), 7.06 (td, *J* = 2.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.24–6.22 (m, 1 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 153.7, 146.4, 132.2, 130.7, 128.2, 128.1, 127.3, 123.6, 123.3, 115.7, 115.0, 109.8.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₀N₃O: 212.0818; found: 212.0830.

3-(2,4-Dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3q)

Yellow solid; yield: 24 mg (20%); mp 203.2–204.5 °C.

IR (neat): 3357, 2920, 2851, 1648, 1558, 1487, 1207, 1146, 894, 789, 743, 673 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ = 12.52 (s, 1 H), 11.37 (s, 1 H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.38–7.33 (m, 1 H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 2 H), 5.88 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.49 (s, 3 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 155.3, 146.7, 133.0, 131.2, 129.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.8, 123.6, 123.5, 115.0, 111.9, 15.2, 13.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₄N₃O: 240.1131; found: 240.1131.

1-Ethyl-3-(1-methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1*H*)-one (3r)

Yellow solid; yield: 111 mg (73%); mp 172.2–173.2 °C.

IR (neat): 2920, 2850, 1643, 1577, 1530, 1454, 1370, 1198, 1153, 1120, 1082, 739 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ = 8.93 (s, 1 H), 8.92–8.89 (m, 1 H), 7.93 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.61–7.51(m, 3 H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 2 H), 4.38 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.92 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 153.1, 150.3, 137.0, 136.9, 133.3, 130.2, 128.7, 128.5, 126.8, 123.4, 123.1, 122.7, 121.3, 114.1, 110.3, 110.2, 36.9, 33.1, 12.4.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}N_3ONa$: 326.1264; found: 326.1269.

1-Ethyl-6,7-dimethyl-3-(1-methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1*H*)-one (3s)

Yellow solid; yield: 137 mg (83%); mp 278.1-278.8 °C.

IR (neat): 2966, 2914, 1693, 1640, 1567, 1454, 1365, 1311, 1116, 1082, 874, 850, 747 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 9.02 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.83 (s, 1 H), 7.77 (s, 1 H), 7.39–7.33 (m, 3 H), 7.09 (s, 1 H), 4.39 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H), 1.43 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 154.2, 150.0, 137.8, 137.2, 136.2, 132.7, 132.3, 129.9, 128.5, 127.6, 123.8, 122.7, 121.5, 113.9, 111.6, 109.4, 37.3, 33.4, 20.7, 19.3, 12.6.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₂N₃O: 332.1757; found: 332.1764.

3-(1-Methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-1-pentylquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (3t)

Orange solid; yield: 168 mg (97%); mp 131.7-132.5 °C.

IR (neat): 3040, 2922, 2851, 1645, 1578, 1533, 1455, 1373, 1119, 1085, 1009, 734 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 8.93 (s, 1 H), 8.92–8.89 (m, 1 H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.57–7.50 (m, 3 H), 7.40–7.35(m, 1 H), 7.33–7.25 (m, 2 H), 4.31 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H), 1.73–1.65 (m, 2 H), 1.43–1.35 (m, 4 H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 153.3, 150.3, 137.1, 136.8, 133.2, 130.4, 128.7, 128.3, 126.8, 123.3, 123.1, 122.6, 121.3, 114.2, 110.3, 110.2, 41.6, 33.0, 28.5, 26.6, 21.9, 13.9.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₄N₃O: 346.1914; found: 346.1910.

1-Benzyl-3-(1-methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1*H*)-one (3u)

Yellow solid; yield: 159 mg (87%); mp 195.8-196.1 °C.

IR (neat): 3029, 2920, 2850, 1636, 1576, 1528, 1528, 1454, 1367, 1088, 723, 666 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 8.96 (s, 1 H), 8.95–8.93 (m, 1 H), 7.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 1 H), 7.46–7.41 (m, 2 H), 7.38–7.20 (m, 8 H), 5.62 (s, 2 H), 3.92 (s, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 153.7, 150.4, 137.1, 136.9, 136.2, 133.3, 130.6, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 127.2, 126.8, 123.6, 123.1, 122.7, 121.4, 114.7, 110.3, 110.2, 45.0, 33.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₀N₃O: 366.1601; found: 366.1605.

1-Allyl-3-(1-methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)quinoxalin-2(1*H*)-one (3v)

Brown solid; yield: 134 mg (85%); mp 147.2–154.7 °C.

IR (neat): 2919, 2850, 1642, 1533, 1454, 1370, 1308, 1188, 1120, 1082, 735, 679 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 8.93–8.91 (m, 2 H), 7.92 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.56–7.54 (m, 1 H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 1 H), 7.34–7.27 (m, 2 H), 6.05–5.96 (m, 1 H), 5.20 (dd, J = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.99 (dd, J = 3.2, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 100 MHz): δ = 153.2, 150.3, 137.1, 136.9, 133.2, 131.8, 130.5, 128.5, 128.2, 126.8, 123.5, 123.1, 122.6, 121.3, 117.0, 114.7, 110.3, 110.2, 43.9, 33.0.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₈N₃O: 316.1444; found: 316.1453.

Ethyl 2-[3-(1-Methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoquinoxalin-1(2*H*)-yl]acetate (3w)

Yellow solid; yield: 135 mg (75%); mp 223.5-224.1 °C.

IR (neat): 2922, 2851, 1737, 1639, 1536, 1457, 1370, 1214, 1187, 1090, 750, 732 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ = 9.02 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.80 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.44–7.35 (m, 5 H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.29–4.23 (m, 2 H), 3.86 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ = 167.6, 154.4, 150.7, 137.3, 136.9, 134.1, 130.8, 129.7, 128.3, 127.5, 123.9, 123.7, 123.0, 121.8, 112.9, 111.3, 109.50, 62.1, 43.7, 33.5, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{21}H_{10}N_3O_3$: 362.1499; found: 362.1504.

Funding Information

We acknowledge financial support from Thailand Research Fund (RSA5980008 and DBG6080007), Center of Excellence for Innovation in Chemistry (PERCH-CIC), Office of the Higher Education Commission (OHEC), Ministry of Education and Faculty of Science, and Mahidol University.

Paper

Acknowledgment

We thank the Department of Chemistry and the Central Instrument Facility (CIF) at Faculty of Science, Mahidol University for providing research facilities, and Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand (SAST) for supporting student scholarships to M.N. and T.K.

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1609445.

References

- For selected reviews, see: (a) Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335. (b) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (c) Waterman, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5629. (d) Li, C.-J. From C-H to C-C Bonds: Cross-Dehydrogenative-Coupling; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2014. (e) Kozlowski, M. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 638. (f) Qin, Y.; Zhu, L.; Luo, S. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 9433. (g) Lakshman, M. K.; Vuram, P. K. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 5845.
- (2) For selected reviews and recent examples, see: (a) Ashenhurst, J. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 540. (b) Le Bras, J.; Muzart, J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1170. (c) Shi, Z.; Zhang, C.; Tang, C.; Jiao, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3381. (d) Song, G.; Wang, F.; Li, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3651. (e) Mousseau, J. J.; Charette, A. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 412. (f) Li, B.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5744. (g) Girard, S. A.; Knauber, T.; Li, C. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 74. (h) Yang, Y.; Lan, J.; You, J. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8787. (i) Pan, C.; Huang, B.; Hu, W.; Feng, X.; Yu, J.-T. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2087. (j) Sharma, R.; Abdullaha, M.; Bharate, S. B. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 9786. (k) Chen, X.; Cui, X.; Yang, F.; Wu, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1445. (l) Varun, B. V.; Dhineshkumar, J.; Bettadapur, K. R.; Siddaraju, Y.; Alagiri, K.; Prabhu, K. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 58, 803.
- (3) Liu, C.; Yuan, J.; Gao, M.; Tang, S.; Li, W.; Shi, R.; Lei, A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 12138.
- (4) For selected examples, see: (a) He, C.-Y.; Fan, S.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12850. (b) Gong, X.; Song, G.; Zhang, H.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1766. (c) Wu, Y.; Li, B.; Mao, F.; Li, X.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4682. (e) Liu, W.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Kuang, C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4682. (e) Liu, W.; Yu, X.; Li, Y.; Kuang, C. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9291. (f) Storr, T. E.; Namata, F.; Greaney, M. F. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13275. (g) Bartoccini, F.; Cannas, D. M.; Fini, F.; Piersanti, G. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2762. (h) Kianmehr, E.; Fardpour, M.; Kharat, A. N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 3017.
- (5) For selected examples, see: (a) Yin, W.; He, C.; Chen, M.; Zhang, H.; Lei, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 709. (b) Jin, L.-K.; Wan, L.; Feng, J.; Cai, C. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4726. (c) Luo, F.-X.; Cao, Z.-C.; Zhao, H.-W.; Wang, D.; Zhang, Y.-F.; Xu, X.; Shi, Z.-J. Organometallics 2017, 36, 18. (d) Wang, X.; Xie, P.; Qiu, R.; Zhu, L.; Liu, T.; Li, Y.; Iwasaki, T.; Au, C.-T.; Xu, X.; Xia, Y.; Yin, S.-F.; Kambe, N. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 8316. (e) Soni, V.; Khake, S. M.; Punji, B. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 4202.
- (6) For selected examples, see: (a) Li, Z.; Bohle, D. S.; Li, C.-J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2006, 103, 8928. (b) Fan, S.; Chen, Z.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4950. (c) Zhang, C.; Tang, C.; Jiao, N.

Downloaded by: Kent State University. Copyrighted material

Chem. Soc. Rev. **2012**, *41*, 3464. (d) Huang, X.-F.; Salman, M.; Huang, Z.-Z. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 6618. (e) Wang, R.; Li, Y.; Jin, R.-X.; Wang, X.-S. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 3838.

- (7) For selected examples, see: (a) Shirakawa, E.; Uchiyama, N.; Hayashi, T. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 25. (b) Brzozowski, M.; Forni, J. A.; Savage, G. P.; Polyzos, A. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 334. (c) Liu, L.-W.; Wang, Z.-Z.; Zhang, H.-H.; Wang, W.-S.; Zhang, J.-Z.; Tang, Y. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 9531. (d) Su, H.; Wang, L.; Rao, H.; Xu, H. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2226.
- (8) For selected reviews on synthetic use of molecular iodine, see:
 (a) Kupper, F. C.; Feiters, M. C.; Olofsson, B.; Kaiho, T.; Yanagida, S.; Zimmermann, M. B.; Carpenter, L. J.; Luther, G. W. III.; Lu, Z.; Jonsson, M.; Kloo, L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11598.
 (b) Finkbeiner, P.; Nachtsheim, B. J. Synthesis 2013, 45, 979.
 (c) Ren, Y.-M.; Cai, C.; Yang, R.-C. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 7182. (d) Wu, X.-F.; Gong, J.-L.; Qi, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 5807.
 (e) Yusubov, M. S.; Zhdankin, V. V. Resource-Efficient Technol. 2015, 1, 49. (f) Yoshimura, A.; Zhdankin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 3328. (g) Kohlhepp, S. V.; Gulder, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 6270.
- (9) For selected examples, see: (a) Wan, C.; Gao, L.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Z. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3902. (b) Wang, Q.; Wan, C.; Gu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Gao, L.; Wang, Z. Green Chem. 2011, 13, 578. (c) Yan, Y.; Wang, Z. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 9513. (d) Tian, J.-S.; Ng, K. W. J.; Wong, J.-R.; Loh, T.-P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9105. (e) Liu, D.; Lei, A. Chem. Asian J. 2015, 10, 806. (f) Wu, X.; Geng, X.; Zhao, P.; Wu, Y.-D.; Wu, A.-X. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 4584.
- (10) (a) Joule, J. A.; Mills, K. Heterocyclic Chemistry, 4th ed.; Blackwell Science: Oxford, **2000**. (b) Akins, P. T.; Atkinson, R. P. Curr. Med. Res. Opin. **2002**, 18, 9. (c) Mamedov, V. A.; Zhukova, N. A. Prog. Heterocycl. Chem. **2013**, 25, 1.
- (11) For reviews, see: (a) Rangisetty, J. B.; Gupta, C. N. V. H. B.; Prasad, A. L.; Srinivas, P.; Sridhar, N.; Parimoo, P.; Veeranjaneyulu, A. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2001, 53, 1409.
 (b) Carta, A.; Piras, S.; Loriga, G.; Paglietti, G. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2006, 6, 1179. (c) Li, X.; Yang, K.-H.; Li, W.-L.; Xu, W.-F. Drugs Future 2006, 31, 979. (d) Chen, D.; Bao, W. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 955. (e) Jeon, S. O.; Lee, J. Y. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 7239. (f) Quinn, J.; Guo, C.; Ko, L.; Sun, B.; He, Y.; Li, Y. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 22043.
- (12) (a) Heldin, C. H.; Westermark, B. *Cell Regul.* **1990**, *1*, 555.
 (b) Myers, M. R.; He, W.; Hanney, B.; Setzer, N.; Maguire, M. P.; Zulli, A.; Bilder, G.; Galzcinski, H.; Amin, D.; Needle, S.; Spada, A. P. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 3091. (c) He, W.; Myers, M. R.; Hanney, B.; Spada, A. P.; Bilder, G.; Galzcinski, H.; Amin, D.; Needle, S.; Page, K.; Jayyosi, Z.; Perrone, M. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *13*, 3097. (d) Gazit, A.; Yee, K.; Uecker, A.; Bohmer, F. D.; Sjoblom, T.; Ostman, A.; Waltenberger, J.; Golomb, G.; Banai, S.; Heinrich, M. C.; Levitzki, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *11*, 2007. (e) Nixey, T.; Tempest, P.; Hulme, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 1637.
- (13) (a) Aoki, K.; Obata, T.; Yamazaki, Y.; Mori, Y.; Hirokawa, H.; Koseki, J.; Hattori, T.; Niitsu, K.; Takeda, S.; Aburada, M.; Miyamoto, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 255. (b) Aoki, K.; Koseki, J.; Takeda, S.; Aburada, M.; Miyamoto, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 922. (c) Han, Y.-Y.; Wu, Z.-J.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 2023.
- (14) Utepova, I. A.; Trestsova, M. A.; Chupakhin, O. N.; Charushin, V. N.; Rempel, A. A. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 4401.
- (15) (a) Yotphan, S.; Beukeaw, D.; Reutrakul, V. Synthesis 2013, 45, 936. (b) Buathongjan, C.; Beukeaw, D.; Yotphan, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 1575. (c) Yotphan, S.; Sumunnee, L.; Beukeaw, D.;

Buathongjan, C.; Reutrakul, V. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2016**, *14*, 590. (d) Sumunnee, L.; Buathongjan, C.; Pimpasri, C.; Yotphan, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 1025.

- (16) Beukeaw, D.; Udomsasporn, K.; Yotphan, S. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3447.
- (17) For selected examples of iodine-mediated/catalyzed indole functionalization, see: (a) Bandgar, B. P.; Shaikh, K. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 1959. (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Shubashree, S.; Sadashiv, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 2951. (c) Banik, B. K.; Fernandez, M.; Alvarez, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 2479. (d) Wu, W.-B.; Huang, J.-M. Org. *Lett.* 2012, 14, 5832. (e) Singh, N.; Singh, K. N. *Synlett* 2012, 23, 2116. (f) Ge, W.; Wei, Y. *Green Chem.* 2012, 14, 2066. (g) Lin, C.; Hsu, J.; Sastry, M. N. V.; Fang, H.; Tu, Z.; Liu, J.-T.; Ching-Fa, Y. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 11751. (h) Heiden, D.; Bozkus, S.; Klussmann, M.; Breugst, M. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 4037.
- (18) Gupta, A.; Deshmukh, M. S.; Jain, N. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 4784.

- Paper
- (19) Carrër, A.; Brion, J.-D.; Messaoudi, S.; Alami, M. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 5606.
- (20) See the Supporting Information for more details.
- (21) (a) Nobuta, T.; Fujiya, A.; Tada, N.; Miura, T.; Itoh, A. Synlett **2012**, 23, 2975. (b) Sudo, Y.; Yamaguchi, E.; Itoh, A. Org. Lett. **2017**, 19, 1610.
- (22) (a) Lamani, M.; Prabhu, K. R. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7938.
 (b) Gao, Q.; Liu, S.; Wu, X.; Zhang, J.; Wu, A. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5984. (c) Zhang, Z.; Pi, C.; Tong, H.; Cui, X.; Wu, Y. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 440.
- (23) (a) Jereb, M.; Vražič, D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1978.
 (b) Huang, H.-Y.; Wu, H.-R.; Wei, F.; Wang, D.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3702. (c) Wu, S.-X.; Zhang, Y.-K.; Shi, H.-W.; Yan, J. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2016, 27, 1519. (d) Liu, X.; Cui, H.; Yang, D.; Dai, S.; Zhang, G.; Wei, W.; Wang, H. Catal. Lett. 2016, 146, 1743. (e) Wang, Q.-D.; Yang, J.-M.; Fang, D.; Ren, J.; Zeng, B.-B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 58, 2877.