Supplementary Material Available: Spectral data for norcaradienes 4a-4e and X-ray crystallographic data for 4a including tables of fractional atomic coordinates, bond distances, and bond angles (9 pages); listing of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes (10 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Novel Macrolactonization Strategy for the Synthesis of Erythromycin Antibiotics

Stephen F. Martin* and Masayuki Yamashita

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry The University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712 Received March 25, 1991

Erythromycins B (1) and A (2) (Scheme I) are the archetypal representatives of the clinically important family of 14-membered, macrolide antibiotics.^{1,2} Owing to the challenges posed by their densely functionalized and stereochemically complex architecture, these compounds have elicited intense interest, and a number of elegant syntheses of derivatives of the aglycons of 1 and 2 and their respective seco acids, as well as of the natural antibiotic 2 itself, have been recorded.³ In this context, we recently reported a facile, asymmetric synthesis of the erythronolide B seco-acid derivative 5 and have extended that work to the preparation of the related erythronolide A analogue $6,^4$ but the significant challenge of elaborating 5 and 6 into the corresponding natural antibiotics 1 and 2 remained. After consideration of a number of potential options, we were particularly intrigued by one exciting, albeit speculative, strategy for the end game of the syntheses of 1 and 2 that had not been previously explored. Namely, we envisaged that macrolactonization of glycosylated seco-acid de-

(1) McGuire, J. M.; Bunch, R. L.; Anderson, R. C.; Boaz, H. E.; Flynn, E. H.; Powell, H. M.; Smith, J. W. Antibiot. Chemother. (Washington, D.C.) 1952, 2, 281.

(2) Macrolide Antibiotics; Omura, S., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, Florida, 1984.

(3) (a) Corey, E. J.; Trybulski, E. J.; Melvin, L. S., Jr.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Secrist, J. A.; Lett, R.; Sheldrake, P. W.; Falck, J. R.; Brunelle, D. J.; Haslanger, M. F.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4618. (b) Corey, E. J.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Melvin, L. S., Jr.; Brunelle, D. J.; Falck, J. R.; Trybulski, E. J.; Lett, R.; Sheldrake, P. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4620. (c) Corey, E. J.; Hopkins, P. B.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.; Nambiar, K. P.; Falck, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7131. (d) Masamune, S.; Hirama, M.; Mori, S.; Ali, S. A.; Garvey, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1568. (e) Woodward, R. B.; Logusch, E.; Nambiar, K. P.; Sakan, K.; Ward, D. E.; Au-Yeung, B.-W.; Balaram, P.; Browne, L. J.; Card, P. J.; Chen, C. H.; Chênevert, R. B.; Flirl, A.; Frobel, K.; Gais, H.-J.; Garratt, D. G.; Hayakawa, K.; Heggie, W.; Hesson, D. P.; Hoppe, D.; Hoppe, I.; Hyatt, J. A.; Ikeda, D.; Jacobi, P. A.; Kim, K. S.; Kobuke, Y.; Kojima, K.; Krowicki, K.; Lee, V. J.; Leutert, T.; Malchenko, S.; Martens, J.; Matthews, R. S.; Ong, B. S.; Press, J. B.; RajanBabu, T. V.; Rousseau, G.; Sauter, H. M.; Suzuki, M.; Tatsuta, K.; Tolbert, L. M.; Truesdale, E. A.; Uchida, I.; Ueda, Y.; Uyehara, T.; Vasella, A. T.; Vladuchick, W. C.; Wade, P. A.; Williams, R. M.; Wong, H. N.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4686. (g) Bernet, B.; Bishop, P. M.; Caron, M.; Kawamata, T.; Roy, B. L.; Ruest, L.; Sauve, G.; Soucy, P.; Deslongchamps, P. Can. J. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1564, 1565. (i) Nakata, T.; Fukui, M.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 32, 2219, 2223. (j) Chamberlin, A. R.; Dezube, M.; Reich, S. H.; Sall, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6247. (k) Paterson, I.; Rawson, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 37, 1160, 1167. (n) Nakata, M.; Arai, M.; Tomoka, K.; Ohammisu, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1165. (m) Tone, H.; Hikota, M.; Hamada, T.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1165. (m) Tone, H.; Hikota, M.; Tomoka, K.; Onemitsu, O. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1636. (r

(4) (a) Martin, S. F.; Pacotsky, G. J.; Gist, R. P.; Lee, W.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7634. (b) Martin, S. F.; Pacofsky, G. J.; Lee, W.-C., unpublished results. rivatives related to 3 and 4, which should be accessible from 5 and 6, might serve as a key step in a novel and concise route to 1 and 2 and biologically interesting analogues thereof. However, prior to embarking upon a venture to convert synthetic 5 and 6 into intermediates analogous to 3 and 4, it seemed prudent to establish first that such glycosylated seco acids would undergo macrolactonization; there was no precedent for such a cyclization.⁵

In previous formulations of strategies for the synthesis of erythromycin antibiotics, macrocyclization of a seco-acid derivative of the aglycon has been generally perceived as the primary subgoal. Significantly, the subsequent glycosylation of the hydroxyl groups at C(3) and C(5) of an intermediate macrolide leading eventually to a natural target has only been achieved in Woodward's seminal total synthesis of 2.3e Critical to the success of the macrolactonization step in all cases thus far reported is the reduction of conformational space available to the seco-acid backbone, typically in two different regions. Rigidification of the segment between C(2) and C(6) has been most commonly achieved by formation of a cyclic protecting group involving the hydroxyl groups at C(3) and C(5). Conformational restriction of a second segment of the carbon framework has been most frequently accomplished by construction of a cyclic, six-membered protecting group array incorporating the functionality at C(9) and C-(11).^{3e-i,m-r} Other useful devices to rigidify the backbone include insertion of a double bond at strategic sites such as between C(10)and C(11)^{3a-c} or between C(8) and C(9)^{3s} or by incorporation of a conjugated enone between C(7) and C(5) in tandem with a double bond between C(11) and C(12).^{3k}

The preceding investigations delineated some of the key structural features that must be embodied within the seco-acid matrix in order to optimize the prospects for successful macrolactonization. After careful consideration of these factors, we concluded that the critical question of whether glycosylated seco-acid derivatives of the erythromycins might be induced to undergo macrolactonization could be most expeditiously resolved by using conformationally constrained substances related to 3 and 4. We reasoned that rigidity could be imparted to the C(9)-C(13)segment of the framework in a conventional manner by forming a cyclic derivative between the C(11) and C(9) hydroxyl groups. We further anticipated that the steric buttressing interactions between the two carbohydrate residues at C(3) and C(5) of 3 and 4 would advantageously reduce conformational mobility along the C(1)-C(8) subunit to facilitate cyclization. However, since one might also envision that unfavorable steric interactions between the two sugar residues could dramatically disfavor those conformers of 3 and 4 that were capable of undergoing cyclization, we undertook exploratory experiments to establish the viability of effecting macrolactonization of a fully glycosylated derivative of erythromycin B. We now report the details of some of those investigations.


In the first phase of these feasibility studies, erythromycin B (1) was converted into 9 according to Scheme II.⁶ Transformation of 1 into 7 proceeded in 53% overall yield according to modifications of known procedures for N-demethylation, carbonyl reduction, and acetal formation in the erythromycin area.⁷ Although the stereochemistry at the acetal carbon in 7 could not be unambiguously established, the equatorial orientation of the

⁽⁵⁾ We are aware of only one report of the macrocyclization of a glycosylated macrolide precursor, and that involved an intramolecular Wadsworth-Emmons cyclization leading to O-mycinosyltylonolide. Nicolaou, K. C; Pavia, M. R; Seitz, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2027. Nicolaou, K. C.; Seitz, S. P.; Pavia, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2030.

K. C.; Seitz, S. P.; Pavia, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2030. (6) The structure assigned to each compound was in full accord with its spectral (¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR, MS) characteristics. Analytical samples of all new compounds were obtained by recrystallization, flash chromatography, or preparative HPLC or TLC and gave satisfactory identification by high-resolution mass spectrometry. All yields are based on isolated, purified materials.


<sup>terials.
(7) For leading references, see: (a) Flynn, E. H.; Sigal, M. V., Jr.; Wiley,
P. F.; Gerzon, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 3121. (b) Flynn, E. H.;
Murphy, H. W.; McMahon, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 3104. (c)
Jones, P. H.; Rowley, E. K. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 665. (d) Freiberg, L.
A. U.S. Patent 1973, 3,725,385. (e) Hunt, E.; Knowles, D. J. C.; Shillingford,
C.; Wilson, J. M.; Zomaya, I. I. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 293.</sup>

Scheme I



(a) $I_2/MeOH/h\nu$; (b) Cbz-Cl/DMAP; (c) NaBH₄; (d) CH₃CH(OEt)₂/H⁺; (e) 5% Pd-C/H₂/EtOH; (f) LiAlH₄; (g) p-O₂NC₆H₄OCOCl/DMAP; (h) NaH; (i) TEMPO (cat.)/NaOCl; (j) NaClO₂/aqueous Bu⁴OH/2-methyl-2-butene; (k) 2,4,6-Cl₃C₆H₂COCl/Et₃N/THF; DMAP/PhMe/ Δ .

Scheme III^a



^a(a) TBS-Cl/DMAP; (b) NaH/Bu₄NI/BnBr; (c) TBS-OTf/NEt₃; (d) KH/MeI/18-C-6; (e) Bu₄NF/THF/room temperature; (f) PDC/CH₂Cl₂; (g) NaClO₂/aqueous Bu⁶OH/2-methyl-2-butene; (h) Bu₄NF/HMPA/80 °C; (i) 2,4,6-Cl₃C₆H₂COCl/Et₃N/THF; DMAP/PhMe/ Δ .

methyl group has been assigned on the basis of thermodynamic considerations and literature precedent.⁷⁶ Somewhat surprisingly, we found that the lactone function in 7 stubbornly resisted cleavage

14

under a wide variety of acidic and basic reaction conditions, and degradation pathways preempted simple hydrolysis. However, hydride reduction of the lactone group followed by formation of

15

a cyclic carbamate moiety on the pendant desosamine residue delivered the tetraol 8 in 53% yield. Selective oxidation⁸ of the primary hydroxyl gave the requisite seco-acid 9 in 78% yield, thereby setting the stage to test the crucial macrocyclization step.9

In the event, subjection of 9 to the highly effective Yamaguchi macrolactonization protocol^{9hj} afforded a separable mixture (1:2.4:1; 96% combined yield) of the desired 14-membered lactone 10 together with two isomeric lactones. On the basis of spectral evidence, these two substances have been tentatively identified as the C(2)-epimeric seven-membered lactones 11; however, these structural assignments must be confirmed. Cyclization of 9 could also be effected via its 2-pyridyl thioester,⁹ but lactonization under these conditions was considerably less efficient and provided primarily a mixture of the two lactones 11 together with only a small amount of 10 (49% combined yield). An authentic sample of 10 for purposes of comparison was prepared directly from 7 [COIm₂ (5 equiv), toluene, reflux, 20 h; 10% aqueous Na₂CO₃, room temperature, 16 h; 71%]. The formation of a seven-membered lactone as 11 from 9 was surprising, since there have been a number of reports of successful cyclizations of erythronolide seco-acid derivatives bearing unprotected hydroxyl groups at C(6)and C(12) to provide 14-membered lactones as the exclusive products.¹⁰ Inasmuch as there were preliminary indications that 10 and 11 might interconvert under certain conditions, we were also concerned that the 14-membered lactone might arise from translactonization of the seven-membered lactone intermediate rather than by direct cyclization.¹¹

In order to improve the efficiency of the macrolactonization and to circumvent the possibility of translactonization processes, the fully protected seco-acid derivative 14 was prepared in eight steps (27% overall yield) from 12, which was an intermediate in the previous synthesis of 9 (Scheme III). The success of this sequence lay in the significant difference in the chemical reactivity of the four hydroxyl groups, which followed the order $C(1) \gg$ $C(4'') > C(13) \gg C(6)$, thereby allowing selective protection and manipulation of each hydroxyl function. When 14 was subjected to the conditions of the Yamaguchi lactonization protocol,^{9h} the protected derivative of erythromycin B (15) was obtained in 53% yield. An authentic sample of 15 for comparison was prepared independently from erythromycin B (1) in eight steps,¹² and the two compounds thus obtained were identical by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. Several preliminary attempts to effect the cyclization of 14 under conditions previously defined by Corey^{9c} or Keck⁹ⁱ did not afford detectable amounts of the desired lactone 15.

The novel macrolactonizations of 9 and 14 establish for the first time that carbohydrate residues on the hydroxyl functions at C(3)

 (10) See refs 3b,e,h,n-p,r,s, and 9j.
 (11) More recent experiments have cast doubt on at least some aspects of this hypothesis. For example, when 10 was subjected to several conditions known to effect translactonization, there was no evidence for the formation of compounds 11; decomposition pathway seemed dominant. However, we have not determined whether 11 might be transformed into 10, since it is difficult to isolate either diastereoisomer in pure form.

Omura, S. J. Antibiot. 1990, 43, 544.

and C(5) provide sufficient restriction of rotational freedom along the C(1)-C(8) segment of the erythromycin seco-acid backbone for macrolactonization to occur. This exciting discovery should greatly simplify the synthesis of the natural antibiotics themselves and allow facile accessibility to unusual analogues. Indeed, application of this tactical device to a practical total synthesis of erythromycin B is the subject of current investigation, the results of which will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM 31077), the Robert A. Welch Foundation, and Abbott Laboratories for their generous financial support of this research. We are also grateful to Drs. Paul Lartey, Richard Pariza, and William Baker (Abbott Laboratories) for helpful technical discussions regarding procedures required to elaborate erythromycin B (1) into 7 and for liberal supplies of 1.

Supplementary Material Available: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of intermediates in Schemes II and III and footnote 12 and of authentic samples of 10 and 15 (55 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Synthesis and Reactivity of [Re(N-2,6-C₆H₃-*i*-Pr₂)₃] and the X-ray Structure of Hg[Re(N-2,6-C₆H₃-i-Pr₂)_{3]2}

Darryl S. Williams, Jens T. Anhaus, Mark H. Schofield, Richard R. Schrock,* and William M. Davis

> Department of Chemistry 6-331 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received March 25, 1991

Recently synthesized trigonal-planar $Os(NAr)_3$ (NAr = N- $2,6-C_6H_3-i-Pr_2$ ¹ has been shown to have limited reactivity.² Since relatively labile and reactive rhenium(V) bisimido complexes have been prepared recently,³ we became interested in preparing what we hoped to be relatively reactive $[Re(NAr)_3]^-$. We report here the synthesis and some reactions of this species along with an X-ray study of Hg[Re(NAr)₃]₂.

Re(NAr)₃Cl⁴ is cleanly reduced by 2 equiv of sodium amalgam in THF to give $[Na(THF)_2][Re(NAr)_3]$ (1a). $[NEt_4][Re(NAr)_3]$ (1b) is formed if NEt_4Cl is present. Since 1a is soluble in toluene, sodium may be bound to nitrogen (cf. lithium salts of anionic W(VI) and Mo(VI) imido complexes⁵) or directly to rhenium (cf. 4 below). 1b is not soluble in toluene or ether. If only 1 equiv of sodium amalgam is employed, the pentane-soluble product has the formula $Hg[Re(NAr)_3]_2$ (1c). We speculate that reduction to give $Re(NAr)_3$ radicals occurs first and that two of these then attack Hg to give 1c. The structure of 1c, as determined in an X-ray study, is shown in Figure 1.⁶ The Hg-Re distance [2.621 (1) A] is consistent with its being a single bond. The linear imido ligands (Re=N-C 173 (1)°) are arranged in a "propellar" fashion, and the two ends are staggered with respect to each other (S_6 symmetry). The Re=N bonds [1.76 (1) Å] are longer than the Os=N bonds (1.737 Å average) found in Os(NAr)₃. The

(1) Anhaus, J. T.; Kee, T. P.; Schofield, M. H.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. (1) Annau, J. 1., 1642.
 (2) Schofield, M. H.; Kee, T. P.; Anhaus, J. T.; Schrock, R. R.; Johnson,

(3) Weinstock, I. A.; Schrock, R. R.; Williams, D. S.; Crowe, W. E.

Organometallics 1991, 10, 1.

4) Horton, A. D.; Schrock, R. R. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 1841.

(5) Danopoulos, A. A.; Wilkinson, G.; Hussain-Bates, B.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 2753.

(6) Crystals were mounted on glass fibers in air. Data were collected on a Rigaku AFC6R diffractometer at room temperature using Mo K α radiation (space group *Pa*3 with *a* = 19.548 (6) Å, *Z* = 4, FW = 1624.64, and ρ = 1.444 g cm⁻³). A total of 4214 reflections were collected in the range 3° < 2θ < 55° with 638 having *I* > 3.00 σ (*I*) being used in the structure refinement by full-matrix least-squares techniques (123 variables) using the TEXSAN crystallographic software package from Molecular Structure Corporation; final $R_1 = 0.034$, $R_2 = 0.036$. Full details can be found in the supplementary material.

^{(8) (}a) Siedlecka, R.; Skarzewski, J.; Mlochowski, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2177. (b) Bal, B. S.; Childers, W. E., Jr.; Pinnick, H. W. Tetra-hedron 1981, 37, 2091.

⁽⁹⁾ For useful methods for effecting macrolactonization, see: (a) Staab, H. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1962, 1, 351. (b) Taub, D.; Girotra, N. N.; Hoffsommer, R. D.; Kuo, C. H.; Slates, H. L.; Weber, S.; Wendler, N. L. Tetrahedron 1968, 24, 2443. (c) Corey, E. J.; Nicolaou, K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5614. (d) Gerlach, H.; Thalmann, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1974, 57, 2661. (e) Masamune, S.; Kamata, S.; Schilling, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3315. (f) Corey, E. J.; Brunelle, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 3409. (g) Masamune, S.; Hayase, Y.; Schilling, W.; Chan, W. K.; Bates, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6756. (h) Inanaga, J.; Hirata, K.; Saeki, H.; Katsuki, T.; Yamaguchi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989. (i) Boden, E. P.; Keck, G. E. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2394. (j) Hikota, M.; Sakurai, Y.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6367. See also refs 3p.r.

⁽¹²⁾ The sequence of reactions and the unoptimized yields for each step were as follows: (a) I_2 , MeOH, $h\nu$ (96%). (b) Cbz-Cl, DMAP (95%). (c) MeI, KOH, DME-DMSO (75%).¹³ (d) NaBH₄, MeOH, 0 °C (71%). (e) MeCH(OEt)₂, PPTS, CH₂Cl₂ (62%). (f) H₂, 10% Pd-C, aqueous EtOH-acetate buffer (pH = 4.8) (85%). (g) COIm₃, toluene, reflux; 10% aqueous Na₂CO₃-THF (94%). (h) BnBr, Bu₄NI, KH, 18-crown-6, THF (92%). (13) Morimoto, S.; Adachi, T.; Misawa, Y.; Nagate, T.; Watanabe, Y.;