LETTERS

Hypervalent Iodine Reagent Mediated Diamination of [60]Fullerene with Sulfamides or Phosphoryl Diamides

Hai-Tao Yang,* Xin-Wei Lu, Meng-Lei Xing, Xiao-Qiang Sun, and Chun-Bao Miao*

School of Petrochemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A hypervalent iodine-promoted intermolecular diamination reactions of C_{60} with sulfamides or phosphoryl diamides affords two classes of novel C_{60} -fused cyclic sulfamide or phosphoryl diamide derivatives. The reaction between C_{60} and sulfamides can be effectively controlled to selectively synthesize diamination products or azafulleroids under PhIO/I₂ or PhI(OAc)₂/I₂ conditions, respectively. Moreover, phosphoryl diamides were first used as an amine source in the diamination of alkenes.

hemical modification on the sphere of C_{60} allows the preparation of large quantities of fullerene derivatives with different structures and properties for the investigation of their application in biological and material science. Until now, a variety of methods for chemical functionalization of fullerenes have been explored involving various [2 + n] (n = 1-4) reactions, redox reactions, cycloadditions, radical additions, nuclophilic additions, and multiadditions.¹ Free-radical reactions were one of the most investigated reactions in fullerene chemistry and continue to be an effective strategy for the functionalization of fullerenes. To date, the addition of different kinds of radicals (e.g., C-, Si-, O-, S-, and P-centered radicals) to fullerenes has been well documented.² Multiaddition is liable to occur when free radicals react with fullerenes and tend to give a reaction mixture containing many and hardly separable products.³ Therefore, controlling the single-addition of free-radicals to C_{60} is a challenge. Later, radical reactions of fullerenes promoted by transition-metal salts such as Mn(III),⁴ Cu(II),^{2d,5} Fe(III),⁶ and Pb(IV)⁷ to generate the monoadduct were developed. The Orfanopoulos group explored a photochemical addition of acyl and α -oxygen C-centered radicals to fullerene catalyzed by TBADT $[(n-Bu_4N)_4W_{10}O_{32}]$. Recently, cobalt-catalyzed radical hydroalkylation or cycloaddition of C_{60} with active alkyl bromides or dibromides was demonstrated.^{2e,9} In contrast to the most investigated addition of C-centered radicals to fullerenes, the addition of N-centered radicals to fullerenes was rather rare. Only a few reactions of C_{60} with nitriles, amidines, amides, and carbamates based on N-centered radicals were exploited.^{2c,10} Recently, we developed a hypervalent iodine reagent/I2 system-mediated or CuI-catalyzed N-centered radical reactions of C₆₀ with amines/amides/ amidines.^{5c,11}

Oxidative diamination of olefins with sulfamides has recently emerged as a suitable approach to generate bicyclic sulfamides. This strategy has been successfully employed by using [Ni], [Pd] catalysts, or stoichiometric copper reagents (Scheme 1).¹² Recently, the nonmetal-based methods received more attention in alkene diamination with different amine sources.¹³ However,



Scheme 1



this approach was limited to an intramolecular addition fashion, and the use of phosphoryl diamides as amine source has never been investigated. The new methods for the preparation of fullerooxazoles and iminofullerenes explored by us and Minakata's group^{11,14} disclosed the nitrogen radicals were easy to generate through N–I bond cleavage from the *N*,*N*-diiodo intermediate and took place radical reaction with C₆₀. Inspired by these results, we could envision that treatment of sulfamides or phosphoryl diamides with hypervalent iodine reagents/I₂ would generate the *N*,*N'*-diiodo intermediate, which might be captured by C₆₀ in a radical pathway to generate novel C₆₀-fused cyclic diamine derivatives (Scheme 1).

We began our investigation with the $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$ -mediated reaction of C_{60} with N,N'-dibutylsulfamide **1a** (Table 1). When a mixture of C_{60} and 2 equiv of **1a** was treated with 2 equiv of $PhI(OAc)_2$ and 2 equiv of I_2 in chlorobenzene at room temperature for 6 h, to our disappointment, one of the butyl groups on the nitrogen atom was leaving, and azafulleroid **3a** was obtained as the main product along with trace of diamination product **2a** (Table 1, entry 5). We were fortunate to find that the ratio of **2a** to **3a** could be inverted in favor of diamination when

Received: September 25, 2014

Table 1. Selective Reaction of C_{60} with N,N'-Dibutylsulfamide Mediated by PhIO/I₂ or PhI(OAc)₂/I₂

| (J | + C4H | Ph PhC (con 9°N, S°N, C4H9" H H 1a PhI(Con PhC (c | $\frac{I=0, I_2}{C, I_1, f_1, f_2, f_2}$ $\frac{DAC_{12}, I_2}{C, I_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6}$ | | C ₄ H ₉ ⁿ 2a 2 ^S -NHC ₄ H ₉ ⁿ 3a | |
|---|------------|--|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | | | | yield ¹ | ' (%) | |
| entry | conditions | $\begin{bmatrix} C_{60}/1a / hypervalent \\ iodine / I_2 \end{bmatrix}$ | time (h) | 2 | 3 | |
| 1 | Α | 1:2:2:2 | 6 | 28 (82) | trace | |
| 2 | Α | 1:2:2:0.2 | 24 | 10 (86) | trace | |
| 3 | А | 1:2:3:1 | 12 | 22 (83) | trace | |
| 4 | А | 1:2:4:1 | 6 | 30 (69) | trace | |
| 5 | В | 1:2:2:2 | 6 | trace | 24 (79) | |
| 6 | В | 1:2:2:0.2 | 24 | trace | 11 (89) | |
| 7 | В | 1:2:3:1 | 12 | trace | 21 (75) | |
| 8 | В | 1:2:4:1 | 6 | trace | 19 (63) | |
| ${}^{a}C_{60}$ (36 mg), rt, 10 mL of chlorobenzene. ^b Isolated yield; values in parentheses are based on consumed C_{60} . | | | | | | |

 $PhI(OAc)_2$ was replaced by PhIO. Under $PhIO/I_2$ conditions, **2a** was obtained in 28% yield accompanied by a trace of **3a** (Table 1, entry 1). Catalytic loading of I_2 led to a dramatic decrease in the yield (Table 1, entries 2 and 6). Increasing the amount of hypervalent iodine to 3–4 equiv did not result in notable improvement on the yield (Table 1, entries 3, 4, 7, and 8). It should be pointed out that both hypervalent iodine and I_2 were essential to the reaction, and no reaction occurred in the absence of either one.

It was intriguing that **2a** and **3a** could be selectively obtained under PhIO/I₂ and PhI(OAc)₂/I₂ systems, respectively. To investigate the generality of the two kinds of selective transformations, the optimized conditions (Table 1, entries 1 and 5) were applied for a number of different substituted sulfamides **1a**-i (Tables 2 and 3). Under PhIO/I₂ conditions, when R¹ and R² are both aliphatic groups, the reaction proceeded well to give the diamination products **2** (Table 2, entries 1–6). If one of the substituents on the nitrogen atom was ester group, the yield decreased notably (Table 2, entry 7). The cyclic sulfamide **1h** also afforded the desired product **2h** in lower yield with the assistance of photoirradiation (Table 2, entry 8). It was a pity that an aromatic substituent on the nitrogen atom resulted in the failure of the reaction (Table 2, entry 9).

As can be seen from the results mentioned in Table 1, one substituent disappeared in the product. The symmetric sulfamides gave the main product **3a** and **3b** as expected (Table 3, entries 1 and 2). When the R¹ and R² were different aliphatic groups, an interesting phenomenon was observed (Table 3, entries 3-5). Only one main product was observed for **1c**-e. The sequence of leaving ability of the group is Bn- > *n*-butyl- > cyclohexyl-. For the substrate **1e**, 5% of the diamination product **2e** was isolated accompanying with the main product **3d**. In terms of substrates **1f** and **1g**, only the diamination product **2f**

Table 2. Reaction of $\rm C_{60}$ with Sulfamides under $\rm PhIO/I_2$ Conditions

| F | + R ¹ N ^{.S} N ^{.R²} H H (2 equiv) | PhI=O (2 eq PhC | uiv), I ₂ (2 equiv) I, rt | 0,0 R ¹ ,N ⁻ ,N ⁻ ,R ² |
|----------------|--|--------------------|---|---|
| entry | sulfamides | product | time (h) | yield (%) ^a |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{1a} & \textbf{Q}, \textbf{Q} \\ C_4 H_9^{\textbf{Q}} & {\underset{\substack{N}}{\overset{C}{\overset{S}{\overset{N}{\overset{N}{\overset{C}{\overset{N}}}}}}}}}$ | 2a | 6 | 28 (82) |
| 2 | 1b Q.O Bn.N ^S .N ^{Bn} H H | 2b | 6 | 32 (76) |
| 3 | 1c Q.O C₄H൭ ^൱ _N-S、N-Bn H H | 2c | 8 | 25 (80) |
| 4 | $ \begin{array}{c} \text{1d} & Q, Q \\ C_4 H_9 {}^Q N \overset{S}{\overset{S}{\overset{N}}} N \end{array} $ | 2d | 8 | 23 (79) |
| 5 | 1e Q O Bn. N.S. N. | 2e | 8 | 27 (69) |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{c} \text{1f} & \textbf{Q}, \textbf{O} \\ C_4 H_9 \ \textbf{Q} \\ H \end{array} \xrightarrow{S^{-}} N \xrightarrow{OEt} \\ H \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \textbf{OEt} \\ \textbf{OEt} \end{array}$ | 2f | 8 | 30 (81) |
| 7 | 1g o o o Bn N ^S N OEt | 2g | 10 | 13 (81) |
| 8 ^b | 1h Q O HN ^S NH | 2h | 6 | 11 (67) |
| 9 | 1i Q.O Bn. N.S. N | 2i | 10 | 0 |

^aIsolated yield; values in parentheses are based on consumed C_{60} . ^bIrradiation with 125 W fluorescent high pressure mercury amp.

Table 3. Reaction of C_{60} with Sulfamides under PhI(OAc)₂/I₂ Conditions

 \cap

| Ê | + ^{R1} N H (2 e | NO PhI(OAc S. _N .R ² <u>l_2 (2</u> H Pł 1 equiv) | C) ₂ (2 equiv) equiv) nCl, rt | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| entry | sulfamides | time (h) | product and yield ^a | |
| 1 | 1a | 8 | 3a , 24% (82%) (R = $C_4 H_9^n$) | |
| 2 | 1b | 8 | 3b , 29% (87%) (R = Bn) | |
| 3 | 1c | 8 | 3a , 22% (79%) | |
| 4 | 1d | 8 | 3d , 27% (85%) (R = cyclohexyl) | |
| 5 | 1e | 8 | 3d, 23% (65%) and 2e, 5% (14%) | |
| 6 | 1f | 6 | 2f , 20% (71%) | |
| 7 | 1g | 6 | 2g , 18% (67%) | |
| 8 | 1h | 8 | NR | |
| 9 | 1i | 12 | NR | |
| ^{<i>a</i>} Isolated yield; values in parentheses are based on cosumed C_{60} . | | | | |

and **2g** was afforded under either $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$ or $PhIO/I_2$ conditions. For cyclic sulfamide **1g**, no product was observed under $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$ conditions.

To gain insight into the selective transformation, several control experiments were carried out (Scheme 2). Togo and co-

Scheme 2. Controlled Experiments



workers have reported the oxidative dealkylation of *N*-alkylsulfonamides to free sulfonamides and aldehydes under $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$ conditions.¹⁵ Treatment of C_{60} and *N*-benzylsulfamide 4 with $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$ indeed afforded the azafulleroid 3b. This meant that 1b might be transformed to 4 promoted by $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$. Why was the diamination product was the main product under $PhIO/I_2$ conditions? We thought that the essential factor was whether the imine intermediate 7, which was generated from 5 via oxidative elimination of HI, could be easily decomposed to 4 (Scheme 3). When the reaction of C_{60}



with 1a and PhIO/I₂ was performed with 6 equiv of HOAc as the additive, only a trace of 2a could be observed on TLC and 3a was obtained in 22% yield. Moreover, if the reaction of C_{60} with 1a and PhI(OAc)₂/I₂ was performed under N₂ atmosphere with 4 Å molecular sieves as the additive, diamination product 2a was formed in 19% yield accompanying with trace of 3a. This could be explained by the trace of water, and acidic conditions were favorable to the hydrolysis of 7, which led to the formation of 3a.

On the basis of these results and our previous work on the hypervalent iodine-mediated reaction of C_{60} with amines,¹¹ a radical reaction mechanism for the selective transformation is proposed in Scheme 3. *N*-Iodo intermediate 5 was generated under both reaction conditions. N–I bond cleavage and elimination of HI would afford radical 6 and imine 7, respectively.

Addition of **6** to C_{60} gave the fullerene radical **8**. Subsequent Niodination, N–I bond cleavage, and intramolecular coupling afforded the diamination product. Under PhI(OAc)₂/I₂ conditions, HOAc was generated in the first step of iodination, which promoted the hydrolysis of 7 to 4 in the presence of a trace of water in the air. N,N-Diodination of 4 provided **11**, which underwent a radical reaction with C_{60} to give azafullroid **3b**. Under PhIO/I₂ conditions, the absence of acid inhibited the decomposition of 7. Therefore, the formation of azafullroid **3b** was suppressed and diamination product **2b** was predominant.

Encouraged by the good results, we next turned our attention to phosphoryl diamides **12a** and **12b**, which have never been used as the amine source in the diamination of olefins (Table 4).

Table 4. Reaction of C₆₀ with Phosphoryl Diamides



^{*a*}Isolated yield; values in parentheses are based on consumed C₆₀.

In contrast to sulfamides, PhIO and PhI(OAc)₂ did not show obvious distinction for phosphoryl diamides. Under either PhIO/I₂ or PhI(OAc)₂/I₂ conditions, the reaction of C_{60} with 12a did not furnish the anticipated diamination product 13a. Instead, the aziridinofullerene 14 generated from the P–N bond cleavage was produced as the main product (Table 4, entries 1 and 2). It should be noted that only trace of cis-1bisaziridinofullerene, which was inevitably generated in our previously reported $PhI(OAc)_2/I_2$ -mediated reaction of C_{60} with alkyl amine,^{11b} was observed in this reaction. Thus, this method was a good choice for selectively preparing aziridinefullerene (single-addition) bearing an alkyl group on the nitrogen atom. If the reaction was performed under the irradiation of a 125 W fluorescent high pressure Hg lamp, although the aziridinofullerene 14 was still the main product, trace of diamination product 13a was observed on TLC (Table 4, entry 3). When the reaction was carried out under N2 atmosphere with the addition of 4 Å molecular sieve, to our delight, the formation of 14 was suppressed notably and the diamination product 13a was isolated as the main products. In case of phosphoryl diamide 12b, similar result was obtained as that of 12a.

Although the addition of diamines to C_{60} under photoirradiation or heating has been well investigated for the synthesis of cyclic diamine-fused C_{60} derivatives, ¹⁶ the substrates were limited to *N*,*N'*-dialkyl-substituted ethylenediamine and piperazine compounds. Moreover, an electron-transfer process, which was quite different with the present method, was involved in the reaction. The recently emerged intramolecular diamination of alkenes under metal-free conditions mostly underwent an ionic pathway.¹³

The structures of new diamination products 2 and 13 and azafulleroids 3 were fully assigned on the basis of their MALDI-TOFMS, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and UV–vis spectra. Taking 2a as an example, the TOFMS spectrum of 3a showed the $[M + Na]^+$ peak at m/z 949.0981. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3a displayed four signals for the butyl group. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3a exhibited 16 signals ($3 \times 2C$, and $13 \times 4C$) for the sp²-C of the C₆₀ skeleton in the range of 137.74–148.31 ppm and one peak at 79.32 ppm for the sp³-C of the C₆₀ cage, agreeing well with the C_{2ν} symmetry of its molecular structure.

In summary, we have developed a hypervalent iodinemediated intermolecular diamination of C_{60} with sulfamides or phosphoryl diamides for the synthesis of novel C_{60} -fused cyclic sulfamide or C_{60} -fused cyclic phosphoryl diamide derivatives, which constitute two new classes of the fullerene family. Compared with previously reported methods for the diamination of olefins with sulfamides, this novel protocol is distinguished by (1) an intermolecular addition fashion; (2) metal-free conditions; (3) radical pathway; (4) first use of phosphoryl diamides as amine source; and (5) mild conditions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details and NMR spectra of the products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs. acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: yht898@yahoo.com. *E-mail: estally@yahoo.com.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20902039 and 21202011), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20141171), the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD), and the Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials and Technology (BM2012110).

REFERENCES

(1) For books, see: (a) Hirsch, A.; Brettreich, M. Fullerenes: Chemistry and Reactions; Wiley–VCH: Weinheim, 2005. (b) Langa, F.; Nierengarten, J.-F. Fullerenes: Principles and Applications; RSC Publishing: Cambridge, 2007. For recent representative works, see:. (c) Xiao, Y.; Zhu, S.-E.; Liu, D.-J.; Suzuki, M.; Lu, X.; Wang, G.-W. Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3006. (d) Si, W.; Lu, S.; Bao, M.; Asao, N.; Yamamoto, Y.; Jin, T. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 620. (e) Zhai, W.-Q.; Peng, R.-F.; Jin, B.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1638. (f) Chang, W.-W.; Li, Z.-J.; Gao, X. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1642. (g) Takeda, Y.; Kawai, H.; Minakata, S. Chem.–Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13479. (h) Liang, S.; Xu, L.; Jia, Z.; Gan, L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5794.

(2) For a review, see: (a) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. Chem. Rev.
2013, 113, 5262. For recent selected works, see: (b) Miyaka, Y.; Ashida, Y.; Nakajima, K.; Nishibayashi, Y. Chem.—Eur. J. 2014, 20, 6120.
(c) You, X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 117. (d) Liu, T.-X.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, P.; Jia, P.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, G. Org. Lett.

2014, *16*, 1020. (e) Lu, S.; Si, W.; Bao, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Jin, T. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 4030.

(3) (a) Krusic, P. J.; Wasserman, E.; Parkinson, B. A.; Malone, B.; Holler, E. R.; Keizer, P. N.; Morton, J. R.; Preston, K. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 6274. (b) Krusic, P. J.; Wasserman, E.; Keizer, P. N.; Preston, K. F. *Science* **1991**, *254*, 1183. (c) Troyanov, S. I.; Dimitrov, A.; Kemnitz, E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1971. (d) Omelyanyuk, N. A.; Goryunkov, A. A.; Tamm, N. B.; Avdoshenko, S. M.; Ioffe, I. N.; Sidorov, L. N.; Kemnitz, E.; Troyanov, S. I. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 4794.

(4) For reviews, see: (a) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. **2007**, 7, 1162. (b) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. Curr. Org. Chem. **2012**, 16, 1109.

(5) (a) Wang, G.-W.; Li, F.-B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 794. (b) Lu, S.; Jin, T.; Kwon, E.; Bao, M.; Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 802. (c) Yang, H.-T.; Liang, X.-C.; Wang, Y.-H.; Yang, Y.; Sun, X.-Q.; Miao, C.-B. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4650. (d) Yang, H.-T.; Liang, X.-C.; Wang, Y.-H.; Yang, Y.; Sun, X.-Q.; Miao, C.-B. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11992.

(6) For a review, see: Li, F.-B.; Wang, G.-W. Sci. China Chem. 2012, 55, 2009.

(7) (a) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; Huang, Y.-S.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7743. (b) Chuang, S.-C.; Clemente, F. R.; Khan, S. I.; Houk, K. N.; Rubin, Y. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4525.

(8) (a) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 873.
(b) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4063.
(c) Tzirakis, M. D.; Orfanopoulos, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5891.
(d) Tzirakis, M. D.; Alberti, M. N.; Orfanopoulos, M. Chem. Commun. 2010, 8228.

(9) Lu, S.; Jin, T.; Bao, M.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 12842.

(10) (a) Li, F.-B.; Liu, T.-X.; Wang, G.-W. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6417.
(b) He, C.-L.; Liu, R.; Li, D.-D.; Zhu, S.-E.; Wang, G.-W. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1532. (c) Takeda, Y.; Enokijima, S.; Nagamachi, T.; Nakayama, K.; Minakata, S. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2, 91.

(11) (a) Yang, H.-T.; Ren, W.-L.; Dong, C.-P.; Yang, Y.; Sun, X.-Q.; Miao, C.-B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 6799. (b) Miao, C.-B.; Lu, X.-W.; Wu, P.; Li, J.-X.; Ren, W.-L.; Xing, M.-L.; Sun, X.-Q.; Yang, H.-T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 78, 12257.

(12) For a review, see: (a) Muñiz, K.; Martínez, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2168 and references cited therein.

(13) (a) Ortiz, G. X., Jr.; Kang, B.; Wang, Q. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 571. (b) Hong, K. B.; Johnston, J. N. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3804 and references cited therein. (c) Souto, J. A.; González, Y.; Iglesias, A.; Zian, D.; Lishchynskyi, A.; Muñiz, K. Chem.—Asian J. 2012, 7, 1103. (d) Chávez, P.; Kirsch, J.; Hövelmann, C. H.; Streuff, J.; Martínez-Belmonte, M.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Martin, E.; Muñiz, K. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 2375.

(14) (a) Nagamachi, T.; Takeda, Y.; Nakayama, K.; Minakata, S. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12035. (b) Takeda, Y.; Enokijima, S.; Nagamachi, T.; Nakayama, K.; Minakata, S. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2*, 91.

(15) Katohgi, M.; Togo, H. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 7481.

(16) (a) Kampe, K. D.; Egger, N.; Vogel, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
1993, 32, 1174. (b) Kampe, K. D.; Egger, N. Liebigs Ann. 1995, 115.
(c) Butts, C. P.; Jazdzyk, M. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1530–1531.

(d) Wang, N.-X. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2377.