This article was downloaded by: [FU Berlin] On: 28 November 2014, At: 03:59 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20

## Soft-Soft Interactions of Iodide and Triiodide Ions with TriphenyItelluronium Cations

Wolf-W. du Mont<sup>a</sup>, Jörg Jeske<sup>a</sup> & Peter G. Jones<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut fuer Anorganische und Analytische Chemie , Technische Universitaet Braunschweig , Braunschweig, Germany Published online: 27 May 2010.

To cite this article: Wolf-W. du Mont , Jörg Jeske & Peter G. Jones (2010) Soft-Soft Interactions of lodide and Triiodide lons with Triphenyltelluronium Cations, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 185:5-6, 1243-1249, DOI: <u>10.1080/10426501003773779</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426501003773779

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 185:1243–1249, 2010 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 1042-6507 print / 1563-5325 online DOI: 10.1080/10426501003773779

# SOFT-SOFT INTERACTIONS OF IODIDE AND TRIIODIDE IONS WITH TRIPHENYLTELLURONIUM CATIONS

Wolf-W. du Mont, Jörg Jeske, and Peter G. Jones

Institut fuer Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Technische Universitaet Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany

The crystal structures of triphenyltelluronium iodide and of triphenyltelluronium triiodide were solved by X-ray diffraction. Triphenyltelluronium iodide consists of ladder-like tetrameric units involving dicoordinated iodine atoms, bridging penta- and hexacoordinated tellurium atoms, and tri-coordinated central iodines that bridge one pentacoordinated and two hexacoordinated tellurium atoms. Triphenyltelluronium triiodide is made up of extended chains that are generated by pairwise interaction of  $Ph_3Te-I_3$  units through weak  $Te^{\cdots}I$ contacts involving one terminal atom from each triiodide ion, and by connection of these four-membered units through both terminal atoms of the triiodide ions. This asymmetric contribution of the two terminal iodine atoms of the triiodide ion to the soft–soft network correlates with a significant difference of the two I-I bond lengths (2.847 and 2.984 A).

Keywords Secondary interactions; soft-soft interactions; telluronium salts; triiodide; X-ray crystallography

#### INTRODUCTION

Organic selenonium and telluronium iodides  $R_3E^+I^-(E = Se, Te)$  can be described as essentially ionic compounds, but their solid state structures structures reveal that directed electronic interactions of the type n(halide)  $\rightarrow \sigma^*(E-C)$  play a role in determining the contacts between pyramidal  $R_3E^+$  cations and  $X^-$  anions.<sup>1</sup>

Crystalline Me<sub>3</sub>SeI involves pairs of pyramidal Me<sub>3</sub>Se<sup>+</sup> cations and iodide anions. The Se···I distance (378 pm) is shorter than the sum of Se and I van der Waals radii (415 pm) and is also shorter than the S···I distance in the sulfur analogue (389 pm). The Se–I contact and one of the Se–C bonds are almost collinear, i.e., the coordination environment of Se can be regarded as  $\psi$ -trigonal-bipyramidal.<sup>2</sup> The phenyl derivative Ph<sub>3</sub>SeI exhibits a slightly shorter Se···I contact (372.2 pm)<sup>3</sup> than in Me<sub>3</sub>SeI.

Telluronium cations  $R_3Te^+$  tend to give higher aggregates with pentacoordinated monocapped-octahedral tellurium atoms (14–Te–6).<sup>1,4–7</sup> In [Me<sub>3</sub>Te<sup>+</sup> Cl<sup>-</sup> · H<sub>2</sub>O], the tellurium atom is involved in two Te···Cl contacts approximately trans to Te···C, and one further long O···Te contact (308.9 pm); hydrogen bonding between H<sub>2</sub>O and Cl leads to infinite chains. Solid Ph<sub>3</sub>TeCl<sup>·</sup> 1/<sub>2</sub>CHCl<sub>3</sub> is a stair-like tetramer with two central (14–Te–6) and two peripheral (12–Te–5) Te atoms; two central Cl<sup>-</sup> ions interact with three Te atoms,

Received 3 December 2008; accepted 4 December 2008.

Dedicated to Professor Naomichi Furukawa on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

Address correspondence to Wolf-W. du Mont, Institut fuer Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Technische Universitaet Braunschweig, Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany. E-mail: w.du-mont@tu-bs.de

and the two peripheral  $Cl^-$  ions interact with two Te atoms (the solvent is only weakly held in the lattice). The unsolvated compound is based on dimeric units.<sup>5</sup> The solid fluorinated telluronium salt ( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>3</sub>TeCl is an unsymmetrically chloride-bridged chain polymer.<sup>7</sup>

Triphenyltelluronium iodide has been known since 1911,<sup>8</sup> but in our hands, attempts to complete an X-ray crystallographic structure determination were unsuccessful for a long time; the structure, which seemed to be severely disordered, could not be satisfactorily refined with Z' = 2 in space group  $P\overline{1}$  (see the Experimental section). Preliminary results<sup>9</sup> suggested that solid Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI adopts a tetrameric ladder-like structure, as do [Ph<sub>3</sub>TeCl]<sub>4</sub> and [(Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI)<sub>4</sub>(MesTeI)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>10</sup> In the latter compound, two outer iodide anions from the [(Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI)<sub>4</sub>] unit coordinate to an MesTeI acceptor unit (Figure 1).<sup>10</sup>

The Te1-I2 distances are shorter than Te2–I2 and Te3–I2, which might justify an alternative description of the compound as consisting of  $[(Ph_3Te)_4I_2]^{2+}$  cations that are in contact with two triiodide-like MesTeI<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anions, thereby sharing the atom I2. Much weaker cation–anion Te···I interactions than those with MesTeI<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anions are present in  $[(Ph_3Te)_2TeI_6]$ , with two Ph<sub>3</sub>Te<sup>+</sup> cations surrounding the central TeI<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> anion. Each cation exhibits three long contacts (Te1···I 3.8971(4) Å) to the regular octahedral TeI<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> anion (Te2–I 2.9456 Å) (Figure 2).<sup>10</sup>

Considering these aspects, we were keen to investigate the structural role that would be played by triiodide anions as weak, but potentially bridging soft anions in combination with triphenyltelluronium cations, and to determine the true structure of pure Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI.

#### REACTIONS

Single crystals of  $Ph_3TeI(1)$  were obtained by evaporation of a methanol solution of the compound. Addition of the equivalent amount of molecular iodine to 1 dissolved



Figure 1 Inversion-symmetric structure of [(Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI)<sub>4</sub>(MesTeI)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>10</sup> in the crystal.



**Figure 2** Structure of  $[(Ph_3Te)_2TeI_6]^{10}$  in the crystal.



Figure 3 The structure of compound 1 in the crystal. Ellipsoids represent 50% probability levels; carbon atoms were refined isotropically. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Te–I distances in the figure are rounded for clarity; exact values may be taken from the following list of selected bond lengths (Å) and angles ( $^{\circ}$ ): I1–Te1 3.5081(12), I1–Te2 3.6077(15), I2–Te2 3.5697(13), I2–Te1 3.7727(15), I2–Te3 3.8730(14), I3–Te3 3.5350(14), I3–Te1 3.6531(13), I3–Te4 3.7334(13), I4–Te3 3.5189(13), I4–Te4 3.5545(14), Te1–C21 2.135(7), Te1–C11 2.137(7), Te1–C31 2.154(6), Te2–C41 2.117(7), Te2–C51 2.122(6), Te2–C61 2.149(7), Te3–C71 2.149(7), Te3–C81 2.149(7), Te3–C91 2.135(7), Te4–C101 2.112(7), Te4–C111 2.128(7), Te4–C121 2.140(7); Te1–I1–Te2 94.70(3), Te2–I2–Te1 90.89(3), Te2–I2–Te3 102.35(2), Te1–I2–Te3 88.23(3), Te3–I3–Te1 95.54(3), Te3–I3–Te4 91.36(3), Te1–I3–Te4 103.41(2), Te3–I4–Te4 94.68(3), C21–Te1–C11 93.7(2), C21–Te1–C31 94.5(2), C11–Te1–C31 95.3(2), C11–Te1–I1 171.17(17), C21–Te1–I3 174.16(17), C31–Te1–I2 169.06(17), C61–Te2–I2 176.19(18), C41–Te2–I1 170.39(17), C71–Te3–I4 177.52(17), C91–Te3–I3 171.19(17), C81–Te3–I2 167.25(16), C111–Te4–I4 171.77(18), C121–Te4–I3 171.22(18).

in dichloromethane leads to a dark brown solution. When this is carefully overlayed with n-hexane, needles of  $Ph_3TeI_3$  (2) are formed. These exhibit a metallic-blue luster, but appear dark red in transmitted light.

#### **CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC STUDIES**

Using the original data, we were able to show that compound 1 in fact crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 with Z = 4 (see the Experimental section), and consists of isolated tetrameric units with a step-like central arrangement of the Te<sub>4</sub>I<sub>4</sub> core (Figure 3); all core angles (at tellurium and iodine) are approximately 90° or 180°. The coordination at Te1 and Te3 is [3 + 3] distorted octahedral, whereas Te2 and Te4 are [3 + 2] square pyramidal (apices C51 and C101, respectively). Iodines I1 and I4 bridge two tellurium centers, I2 and I3 three. There is no clear pattern to the Te $\cdots$ I distances, which range from 3.51 to 3.87 Å.

The crystal packing involves five  $C-H\cdots I$  contacts with  $H\cdots I < 3.3$  Å and angles around 140°, which might be interpreted as weak hydrogen bonds. Within the tetramer, there are a further 11 such contacts, although six of these have narrow angles < 133°. Details of these are given in the deposited CIF files. There are also five intermolecular  $C-H\cdots$  (ring centroid) contacts with  $H\cdots$  Centroid < 2.85 Å, but no stacking interactions.

The triiodide **2** (Figure 4) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  with Z = 4. The asymmetric unit contains one cation and one anion, and these are connected by two Te···I contacts (to I1 and I2).

The packing involves double chains of alternating anions and cations parallel to the x axis (Figure 5). The coordination at tellurium is completed by two further Te···I contacts to I1 of a second and I3 of a third anion; all contact distances lie in the range 3.77-4.01



**Figure 4** The structure of compound **2** in the crystal (asymmetric unit only). Ellipsoids represent 50% probability levels. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): 11–I2 2.9837(8), 12–I3 2.8472(7), 11–Te 3.7670(6), 12–Te 4.0079(6), Te–C7 2.116(4), Te–C13 2.117(4), Te–C1 2.126(4); C7–Te–C13 98.21(16), C7–Te–C1 96.30(16), C13–Te–C1 95.43(17).

1247



**Figure 5** Packing diagram of compound **2**; part of the infinite chain parallel to the *x* axis. Te···I contacts are indicated by dashed and I···I contacts by dotted lines. Distances (I–I and Te···I in boldface, I···I dotted) are rounded for clarity; exact distances (Å) are as follows: Te···II' (-x,-y,1-z) 3.9504(6), Te···I3' (1-x,-y,1-z) 3.8384(7), I3···I1 (1+x,y,z) 4.3362(10), I3'···I2 4.3445(7), I3···I3' 4.2960(8). Selected bond angles (°): C1–Te···I1 177.40(12), C7–Te···I1' 162.76(11), C13–Te···I3' 152.36(11), I1–I2–I3 175.366(14), I(2)–I(1)–Te. 71.778(11), I(2)-I(1)–Te' (-x,-y,1-z) 106.686(15), Te-I(1)–Te' 97.022(12), I2-I3-Te'' (1-x,-y,1-z) 142.033(17). Te' and Te'' are not labelled explicitly but lie top right and top left, respectively, in the figure.

Å. If the contact Te···12 is neglected, the geometry at tellurium is the well-known [3 + 3] pattern with approximately octahedral geometry.

The I–I distances within the anion [I1–I2 2.9837(8), I2–I3 2.8472(7) Å] reflect the fact that I1 is involved in two Te···I contacts but I3 only in one. The latter atom additionally forms three very long (ca. 4.3 Å) I···I contacts of the type that we have described as "tertiary,"<sup>11</sup> which may however only represent chance features of the packing without any great energetic significance.

Whereas we attribute great importance to the soft–soft contacts in compound **2**, other types of contact should not be ignored. However, there are no stacking interactions (shortest intercentroid distance 4.8 Å), the shortest  $H \cdots I$  distances (possibly representing weak hydrogen bonds of the type  $C-H \cdots I$ ) are 3.23 Å and there is only one short  $H \cdots$  Centroid distance [H10 $\cdots$ Cent(C13–18) 2.72 Å].

#### CONCLUSION

Solid Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI (1) is a molecular tetramer, and Ph<sub>3</sub>TeI<sub>3</sub> (2) exhibits an extended, ribbonlike, supramolecular structure. Cation–anion soft–soft interactions in the triiodide salt 2 are weaker, and Te–C distances are slightly shorter than in the tetrameric iodide 1. As in  $[(Ph_3TeI)_4(MesTeI)_2]$ , compared with  $[(Ph_3Te)_2TeI_6]$ ,<sup>10</sup> distortions of the Ph<sub>3</sub>Te<sup>+</sup> cations (by Te–C bond expansion) in 1 and 2 correlate well with secondary  $n(I^-) \rightarrow \sigma^*(Te-C)$ interactions within the iodide- and triiodide-bridged structures.<sup>1,10</sup>

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### X-Ray Structure Determinations

Data were recorded using Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) on a Stoe STADI-4 diffractometer. Absorption corrections were based on  $\psi$ -scans. Structures were refined using the program SHELXL-97 (G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, Germany). Hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-711843 (1) and CCDC-711844 (2), and can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

#### **Special Features**

The iodide 1 could not be satisfactorily refined with Z' = 2 in space group  $P\overline{1}$ ; despite apparently sensible heavy atom positions, the phenyl rings were badly resolved and appeared to be disordered. Refinement in space group P1 with Z = Z' = 4 was therefore attempted; a complete system of ordered rings was slowly built up and refined satisfactorily, although there are necessarily some negative features associated with the pronounced pseudosymmetry: (i) the Flack parameter of 0.42(2) indicates racemic twinning; (ii) carbon atoms could only be refined isotropically; (iii) a system of restraints to local ring symmetry was necessary to improve stability of refinement. Dimensions involving light atoms should be interpreted with caution.

#### **Crystal Data for 1**

C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ITe,  $M_r$  = 485.80, triclinic, space group *P*1, *a* = 12.023(4), *b* = 12.309(4), *c* = 12.896(4) Å, *α* = 66.67(2), *β* = 71.14(2), *γ* = 79.11(2)°, *V* = 1654.3(9) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 4, *ρ*<sub>calc</sub> = 1.951 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 3.7 mm<sup>-1</sup>, *F*(000) = 912, *T* = 133 K; orange prism 0.5 × 0.4 × 0.3 mm<sup>3</sup>. Of 8533 reflections collected to 2*θ* 55°, 8349 were independent (*R*<sub>int</sub> = 0.020). Final *R*1 = 0.0278 (*I*>2*σ*(*I*)), *wR*2 = 0.0682 (all data) for 363 parameters and 171 restraints; *S* = 1.05, max. Δ*ρ* 1.17 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

#### **Crystal Data for 2**

C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>I<sub>3</sub>Te,  $M_r = 739.60$ , monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ , a = 10.021(2), b = 14.911(2), c = 13.826(2) Å,  $\beta = 95.509(10)^\circ$ , V = 2056.4(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\rho_{calc} = 2.389$  Mg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 5.9 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1336, T = 133 K; red prism 0.8 × 0.28 × 0.24 mm<sup>3</sup>. Of 3865 reflections collected to  $2\theta 50^\circ$ , 3607 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.020$ ). Final R1 = 0.0243 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ), wR2 = 0.0559 (all data) for 200 parameters; S = 1.13, max.  $\Delta \rho 0.67$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

#### REFERENCES

- W.-W. du Mont and C. G. Hrib, In *Handbook of Chalcogen Chemistry*, F. A. Devillanova, ed. (Royal Chem. Soc. Publishing, Cambridge, UK, 2007), Chapter 13, pp. 833–872.
- (a) A. F. Wells, *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th ed. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1984), p. 739; (b) A. F. Wells, *Acta Cryst.*, **20**, 610 (1966).
- 3. H. Fleischer and D. Schollmeyer, Acta Cryst. C, 55, 1572 (1999).

- 4. M. J. Collins, J. A. Ripmeester, and J. F. Sawyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110, 8583 (1988).
- 5. R. F. Ziolo and M. Extine, Inorg. Chem., 19, 2964 (1980).
- 6. R. K. Chadha and J. E. Drake, Can. J. Chem., 299, 331 (1986).
- T.-M. Klapötke, B. Krumm, P. Mayer, K. Polborn, and O. P. Ruscitti, J. Fluorine Chem., 112, 207 (2001).
- 8. K. Lederer, Ber. Dt. Chem. Ges., 44, 2289 (1911).
- 9. J. Jeske, Dissertation, Techn. Univ. Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany, 1997.
- 10. C. G. Hrib, J. Jeske, P. G. Jones, and W.-W. du Mont, Dalton Trans., 3483 (2007).
- W.-W. du Mont, M. Bätcher, C. Daniliuc, F.A. Devillanova, C. Druckenbrodt, J. Jeske, P. G. Jones, V. Lippolis, F. Ruthe, and E. Seppälä, *Eur. J.* Inorg. Chem., 4562 (2008).