

Nickel-Catalyzed C-Alkylation of Nitroalkanes with Unactivated Alkyl **Iodides**

Sina Rezazadeh, Vijayarajan Devannah, and Donald A. Watson*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Enabled by nickel catalysis, a mild and general catalytic method for C-alkylation of nitroalkanes with unactivated alkyl iodides is described. Compatible with primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl iodides; and tolerant of a wide range of functional groups, this method allows rapid access to diverse nitroalkanes.

Titroalkanes are one of the most versatile functional groups in organic synthesis.¹ Their use in C-C bond formation, through conjugate addition, Henry reactions, palladium-catalyzed arylation and allylation, and related reactions, has been well established.^{1,2} In addition, the nitro group can be readily converted into a range of other functionalities, including amines, carbonyls, and alkanes.¹ Despite this rich chemistry, however, C-alkylation of nitroalkanes with alkyl electrophiles has been historically challenging due to competing O-alkylation and formation of carbonyl byproducts.³ Early methods to overcome this inherent reactivity and favor C-alkylation suffered from lack of generality.

In 2012, we reported a general method for benzylation of nitroalkanes using a simple copper catalyst (Figure 1).⁵ In





subsequent studies, we have shown that this catalyst system is also capable of alkylating nitroalkanes using α -bromocarbonyls and α -bromonitriles.⁶ Although these reactions provided a significant advance in nitroalkane synthesis compared to prior art, they all required a radical stabilizing group adjacent to the electrophilic center. Alkyl halides lacking such stabilization failed to provide more than trace amounts of the desired alkylated products.

Recognizing that a method capable of utilizing nonstabilized alkyl electrophiles would greatly expand the scope and utility of nitroalkane alkylation, we set out to identify catalysts that

would enable this transformation. Herein, we report the nickelcatalyzed nitroalkane alkylation. For the first time, this system allows for the alkylation of nitroalkanes using primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl halides without the requirement for stabilizing groups. The method allows for the preparation of a diverse array of complex nitroalkanes using simple starting materials.

Our initial investigation focused on the reaction of primary alkyl iodide 1 and nitroalkane 2 (Table 1). Consistent with our

Table 1. Discovery of the Catalyst System

Ph ∽ 1 (1.1 e	$2 + \frac{NO_2}{2} OEt$ quiv)	10 mol % catalyst 20 mol % additive 1.15 equiv KO'Bu solvent 40 °C, 24 h	Ph M3	
entry	catalyst	solvent	additive	yield of 3^a
1	20 mol % CuBr/4 ^b	hexanes		0%
2	$Ni(COD)_2/5$	dioxane		7%
3	$Ni(COD)_2/6$	dioxane		8%
4	NiBr ₂ ·diglyme/6	dioxane		0%
5	NiBr ₂ ·diglyme/6	dioxane	Et ₂ Zn	59%
6	NiBr ₂ ·diglyme/7	dioxane	Et ₂ Zn	67%
7	NiBr ₂ ·diglyme/7	MTBE/dioxane	Et ₂ Zn	74%
8	8	MTBE/dioxane	Et_2Zn	76%
^{<i>a</i>} Determined via ¹ H NMR against internal standard. ^{<i>b</i>} 60 °C.				



previous reports, we observed no C-alkylation product 3 when the reaction was conducted in the presence of catalytic copper bromide and diketimine ligands (entry 1), or in the absence of catalyst. Other copper-based catalyst systems also failed to provide product.⁷ Early in our first studies of nitroalkane alkylation, we had noted that nickel catalysts, although less reactive than the copper-based systems we investigated at that time, did provide trace product in nitroalkane benzylation.⁵ Inspired by that result, and previous reports of nickel-catalyzed reactions with unactivated alkyl halides,⁸ we investigated the use of nickel catalysis in the present system. We were pleased to find that the use of catalytic $Ni(COD)_2$ with an appropriate

Received: April 27, 2017

Scheme 1. Scope of Primary Alkyl Iodides



^a15 mol % 8, 30 mol % Et₂Zn. ^b10 mol % 7 added.

ligand led to the first appreciable production of **3**. For example, with use of either tripyridyl ligand **5** (entry 2) or neocuproine (**6**, entry 3), single digit yields of the product were observed. Investigation of other nickel sources revealed that the combination of NiBr₂-diglyme and Et₂Zn (as reductant) was much more effective, providing **3** in 59% yield (entry 5).⁹ Further optimization revealed that the related ligand bath-ocuproine (**7**) was optimally effective, as was a mixed solvent of MTBE and dioxane (entries 6 and 7). Finally, the single-component catalyst **8** (prepared from 7 and NiBr₂-diglyme) proved similarly effective and was selected for further study due to ease of handling and reaction setup (entry 8).¹⁰

As can be seen in Scheme 1, a broad range of primary alkyl iodides, including those bearing a high degree of functionality, are tolerated in the reaction. Particularly notable is the reaction's tolerance of steric hindrance (product 10 is derived from a neopentyl iodide), common protecting groups (11, 16, 23), and aromatic halides (20-22). Biologically relevant heterocycles (both aromatic and nonaromatic) are particularly well tolerated (13-24). These include benzothiazoles, benzofurans, piperidines, thiophenes, indoles, pyridines, and pyrazoles, among others. Furthermore, alkyl chlorides and bromides (13 and 14) were unaffected, allowing for orthogonality with alkyl bis-electrophiles. Methyl iodide could also be used, but gave low yields.⁷

We then turned our attention to more substituted alkyl iodides (Scheme 2). A variety of cyclic and acyclic secondary alkyl iodides were also well tolerated (25-32). As with the primary substrates, the reaction also displayed outstanding functional group tolerance. For example, aromatic (25, 28, 29), heteroaromatic (32), and aliphatic heterocycles (31 and 32) were all compatible.¹¹ Unfortunately, little to no diastereose-lectivity was observed with dissymmetric *sec*-alkyl iodide substrates (25, 29).

We were very pleased to find that tertiary alkyl iodides can also be utilized in the reaction (33-36). These substrates provide very sterically encumbered nitroalkanes that are hard to

Scheme 2. Scope of Secondary and Tertiary Alkyl Iodides



^a15 mol % 8, 30 mol % Et₂Zn.

access by other methods. *Tert*-butyl-, cyclohexylmethyl-, and adamantyl-iodide all participated in the reaction without incident.

A variety of functionalized nitroalkanes can also be used in the reaction (Scheme 3). Although secondary and β -branched nitroalkanes did not show satisfactory yields,⁷ various functional groups on the nitroalkane proved to be compatible; including alkenes (38), acetyl protected alcohols (41), esters (42), Bocprotected amines (43), phthalimides (44), and nitriles (45).

Scheme 3. Scope of Nitroalkanes





Although nitroalkanes bearing unprotected ketone proved unfruitful as substrates, protecting the ketone as an acetal (39) allowed for good yields.

With some substrates bearing ill-positioned Lewis basic groups (e.g., **18**, **41**, **44**), poor reactivity was observed under the standard reaction conditions. We attribute this to competitive binding of the catalyst, possibly via chelation in a reactive intermediate. Gratifyingly, however, we found adding 10 mol % of bathocuproine (7, in addition to catalyst **8**) to the reaction restores the reactivity.⁷

Finally, the use of nitromethane was also examined. With primary alkyl iodides, a mixture of mono- and dialkylated products resulted.⁷ With secondary alkyl iodides, modest to good levels of monoalkylation product were observed (see Scheme 5 below, for an example).

Prior studies have shown that nickel-catalyzed crosscouplings can proceed through diverse reaction mechanisms, including one and two electron pathways.^{12,13} To gain insight into the present alkylation reaction, several studies were conducted. First, the addition of one equivalent of TEMPO (a known radical scavenger)¹⁴ completely inhibits product formation (Scheme 4, top). Second, the reaction involving cyclopropylmethyl iodide (46) with nitroalkane 47 resulted in ring-opening to provide product 48 in good yield (Scheme 4, middle).¹⁵ Conversely, the reaction using 1-iodohex-5-ene (49), resulted in 5-exo-trig ring-closure to give product 50 (Scheme 4, bottom).

Third, we examined the stereospecificity of the alkylation using each diastereostereoisomer of **51** (Scheme 5). Although the yields in the reaction differed, both isomers led to the same mixture of isomers of **52** in the reaction with nitromethane (as determined by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR). Taken together, the results presented in Schemes 4 and 5 strongly support a radical-based mechanism of this transformation.¹⁶

Finally, to demonstrate the synthetic value of this alkylation reaction, the anti-viral drug adapromine (54) was prepared in two steps from commercially available materials (Scheme 6). First, alkylation of 1-nitropropane with 1-admantyl iodide provides secondary nitroalkane 53 in good yield. Second,

Scheme 4. Mechanistic Probes



Scheme 5. Stereoconvergence of the Reaction





reduction of the nitro group to the primary amine under Raney nickel conditions provides the target compound in near quantitative yield. This two-step sequence is highly competitive with known routes to this pharmaceutical agent.¹⁷

In summary, enabled by the discovery of effective nickel catalysis, we have developed the first general catalytic system that achieves the *C*-alkylation of nitroalkanes with unactivated alkyl halides. The reaction proceeds under mild conditions using commercially available catalytic components and can be applied to primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl iodides. Moreover, it shows exceptional functional group tolerance. The simplicity of this reaction, combined with the rapid access to complex nitroalkanes that it provides, should find wide use in the preparation of nitrogen-containing molecules.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b04312.

Experimental procedures, crystallographic and spectral data (PDF) Data for $C_{13}H_{15}FINO$, compound **cis-51** (CIF) Data for $C_{14}H_{17}FN_2O_3$, compound **cis-52** (CIF) Data for $C_{13}H_{15}FINO$, compound **trans-51** (CIF) Data for $C_{14}H_{17}FN_2O_3$, compound **trans-52** (CIF) AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*dawatson@udel.edu

ORCID 0

Donald A. Watson: 0000-0003-4864-297X

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The University of Delaware (UD), the University of Delaware Research Foundation, the Research Corp. Cottrell Scholars Program, and the NIH NIGMS (R01 GM102358) are gratefully acknowledged for support. Dr. Glenn Yap (UD) is acknowledged for assistant with X-ray crystallography. Data was acquired at UD on instruments obtained with the assistance of NSF and NIH funding (NSF CHE0421224, CHE0840401, CHE1229234, CHE1048367; NIH S10 OD016267-01, S10 RR026962-01, P20GM104316, P30GM110758).

REFERENCES

(1) Ono, N. The Nitro Group in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2001.

(2) (a) Vogl, E. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 106-111.
(b) Walvoord, R. R.; Kozlowski, M. C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8859-8864.
(c) Padilla-Salinas, R.; Walvoord, R. R.; Tcyrulnikov, S.; Kozlowski, M. C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3966-3969.
(d) VanGelder, K. F.; Kozlowski, M. C. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5748-5751.
(e) Aleksandrowicz, P.; Piotrowska, H.; Sas, W. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 1321-1327.
(f) Tsuji, J.; Yamada, T.; Minami, I.; Yuhara, M.; Nisar, M.; Shimizu, I. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2988-2995.
(g) Rieck, H.; Helmchen, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 34, 2687-2689.
(h) Maki, K.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 4250-4257.

(3) (a) Weisler, L.; Helmkamp, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1945, 67, 1167–1171. (b) Hass, H. B.; Bender, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 1767–1769. (c) Kornblum, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 734–745.

(4) (a) Seebach, D.; Lehr, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 505-506. (b) Seebach, D.; Henning, R.; Lehr, F.; Gonnermann, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 18, 1161-1164. (c) Seebach, D.; Henning, R.; Lehr, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 458-459. (d) Katritzky, A. R.; de Ville, G.; Patel, R. C. Chem. Informationsdienst 1979, 10, 602-602. (e) Katritzky, A. R.; Kashmiri, M. A.; De Ville, G. Z.; Patel, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 90-96. (f) Russell, G. A.; Hershberger, J.; Owens, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1312-1313. (g) Russell, G. A.; Khanna, R. K. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 4133-4145. (h) Branchaud, B. P.; Yu, G.-X. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6545-6548.

(5) Gildner, P. G.; Gietter, A. A. S.; Cui, D.; Watson, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9942–9945.

(6) (a) Gietter, A. A. S.; Gildner, P. G.; Cinderella, A. P.; Watson, D. A. Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 3166–3169. (b) Shimkin, K. W.; Gildner, P. G.; Watson, D. A. Org. Lett. **2016**, *18*, 988–991.

(7) See Supporting Infomation.

(8) (a) Netherton, M. R.; Fu, G. C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1525–1532. (b) Rudolph, A.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2656–2670. (c) Jana, R.; Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417–1492. (d) Tasker, S. Z.; Standley, E. A.; Jamison, T. F. Nature 2014, 509, 299–309.

(9) For additional optimization details, including the use of other bases and reductants, see Supporting Information.

(10) The reaction does not require light, see Supporting Information for details.

(11) In cases where lower product yield was observed, the mass balance for the reaction was primarily starting materials, along with

traces of alkene and hydrocarbon derived from elimination or reduction of the alkyl iodide.

(12) (a) Hu, X. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1867–1886. (b) Biswas, S.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16192–16197. (c) Breitenfeld, J.; Ruiz, J.; Wodrich, M. D.; Hu, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12004–12012. (d) Schley, N. D.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16588–16593. (e) Cornella, J.; Edwards, J. T.; Qin, T.; Kawamura, S.; Wang, J.; Pan, C.-M.; Gianatassio, R.; Schmidt, M.; Eastgate, M. D.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2174–2177. (f) Mohadjer Beromi, M.; Nova, A.; Balcells, D.; Brasacchio, A. M.; Brudvig, G. W.; Guard, L. M.; Hazari, N.; Vinyard, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 922–936.

(13) For related radical reactions involving palladium-catalysts, see: (a) Bloome, K. S.; Alexanian, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12823–12825. (b) Monks, B. M.; Cook, S. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14214–14218. (c) Sargent, B. T.; Alexanian, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7520–7523.

(14) (a) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Bowry, V. W.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 4983–4992. (b) Bowry, V. W.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 4992–4996.

(15) (a) Griller, D.; Ingold, K. U. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 317–323.
(b) Newcomb, M. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1151–1176.

(16) Secondary nitronate anions have been shown to undergo reaction with free-radicals (see references 3c, 4f, and 4g for examples). The fact that secondary nitroalkanes provide poor yield in this alkylation may suggest that a complex mechanism is at play. Further studies will be directed at elucidating the mechanistic details.

(17) (a) Aldrich, P. E.; Hermann, E. C.; Meier, W. E.; Paulshock, M.; Prichard, W. W.; Synder, J. A.; Watts, J. C. *J. Med. Chem.* **1971**, *14*, 535–543. (b) Spasov, A. A.; Khamidova, T. V.; Bugaeva, L. I.; Morozov, I. S. Pharm. Chem. J. **2000**, *34*, 1–7.