Graham K. Murphy and F. G. West*

Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada T6G 2G2 frederick.west@ualberta.ca

Received July 18, 2006





lodonium ylides, generated in situ with bisacetoxyiodobenzene, are converted to allyl- or benzyl-substituted oxonium or sulfonium ylides via rhodium- or copper-catalyzed carbene transfer. Except for the S-benzyl example, the resulting ylides undergo rearrangement to the corresponding 2-substituted heterocycles. This demonstrates the first use of iodonium ylides as diazoketone surrogates for the generation and rearrangement of onium ylide intermediates. This abbreviated one-step method proceeds in comparable yields relative to the corresponding two-step route employing diazoketone intermediates.

Diazoketones give rise to a wide variety of chemistry, typically via their derived metallocarbenes, including C–H insertion, cyclopropanation, and ylide formation.¹ However, widespread adoption of methods employing these intermediates has been limited, perhaps in part because of their potentially hazardous properties. Recently, iodonium ylides have received a great deal of attention as surrogates for diazocarbonyl compounds in metallocarbene-mediated processes.² This approach has been very successful in the areas of C–H insertion,³ cyclopropanation,⁴ and dipolar cycloadditions.⁵

Previous studies from our group have established the synthetic value of rearrangement processes involving cyclic oxonium ylides.⁶ In particular, Stevens [1,2]-shifts of acetalderived oxonium ylides offer a convenient entry to mediumsized carbocycles and cyclic ethers.⁷ However, these methods require the prior formation of diazoketone substrates, whose preparation is not uniformly efficient. Here, we describe the first application of in situ-generated iodonium ylides for the formation and rearrangement of oxonium and sulfonium ylides. This process entails an overall one-step conversion of simple β -ketoesters with pendant allyl or benzyl ethers or sulfides to cyclic ethers or sulfides.

⁽¹⁾ Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1997.

^{(2) (}a) Müller, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 243–251. (b) Kirmse, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 237–260.

⁽³⁾ Camacho, M. B.; Clark, A. E.; Liebrecht, T. A.; DeLuca, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5210-5211.

^{(4) (}a) Dauban, P.; Saniere, L.; Tarrade, A.; Dodd, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 7707–7708. (b) Wurz, R. P.; Charette, A. B. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 2327–2329. (c) Müller, P.; Ghanem, A. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 4347–4350.

⁽⁵⁾ Müller, P.; Chappellet, S. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2005, 88, 1010–1021.
(6) (a) Marmsäter, F. P.; West, F. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 5144–5145. (b) Marmsäter, F. P.; Vanecko, J. A.; West, F. G. *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 2027–2040. (c) Marmsäter, F. P.; Vanecko, J. A.; West, F. G. *Org. Lett.* 2004, *6*, 1657–1660.

^{(7) (}a) Tester, R. W.; West, F. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 4631–4634. (b) Marmsäter, F. P.; Murphy, G. K.; West, F. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 14724–14725. (c) Murphy, G. K.; West, F. G. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1801–1804. (d) Murphy, G. K.; Marmsäter, F. P.; West, F. G. *Can. J. Chem.* **2006**, *84*, in press.

To explore the feasibility of this approach, we prepared several substrates via the monoalkylation of the dianion of methyl acetoacetate⁸ with bromomethyl ethers and sulfides $1a-d^9$ to give 2a-d in moderate yield (Scheme 1). Diazo-



transfer¹⁰ using *p*-toluenesulfonyl azide then afforded diazoketoesters 3a-d.

Initial experiments were carried out using the diazo substrates 3a-d and either copper(II) bis(hexafluoroacetyl-acetonate) (Cu(hfacac)₂) or Rh₂(OAc)₄ (Table 1). These

Table 1. Carbene-Transfer and Ylide Rearrangement Reactionsof Diazocarbonyl Substrates $3a-d^a$

X R	O N ₂ CC 3a-d	0 ₂ Me catalyst CH₂Cl₂		$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & $		→ CO ₂ Me 4a-d R	
entry	substrate	R	x	catalyst	time (h)	product (% yield) ^b	
1	3a	CH ₂ =CH	0	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	0.2	4a (88)	
2	3a	$CH_2 = CH$	0	$Cu(hfacac)_2$	1	4a (99)	
3	3b	Ph	0	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	1	4b (39)	
4	3b	Ph	0	Cu(hfacac) ₂	16	4b (34)	
5	3c	$CH_2 = CH$	\mathbf{S}	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	24	4c (63)	
6	3c	$CH_2 = CH$	\mathbf{S}	Cu(hfacac) ₂	24	4c (89)	
7	3d	Ph	\mathbf{S}	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	24	5^{c}	
8	3d	Ph	\mathbf{S}	$Cu(hfacac)_2$	24	5^{c}	

^{*a*} Substrates in CH₂Cl₂ were added dropwise by cannula to a mixture of catalyst (3 mol % of Rh₂(OAc)₄ or 10 mol % of Cu(hfacac)₂) in CH₂Cl₂ and stirred until complete consumption was observed. Reactions employing Rh₂(OAc)₄ were carried out at room temperature, whereas those using Cu(hfacac)₂ were carried out at reflux. ^{*b*} Yields are for isolated material after chromatography. ^{*c*} Sulfur ylide **5** was the only product observed in the crude reaction mixture. Yields are not reported because only small amounts of pure material were isolated for analytical purposes (see Supporting Information).

catalysts were chosen for their broad applicability in various onium ylide-mediated processes.¹¹ In the event, substrates

3a-c underwent conversion to the corresponding tetrahydrofuranones 4a,b or tetrahydrothiophenone 4c with either catalyst. Product 4b, resulting from a benzyl [1,2]-shift, was formed in only moderate yields (entries 3 and 4). This result is consistent with previous observations regarding the relative efficiency of [1,2]- and [2,3]-shifts of oxonium ylides.⁶ Sulfide 3c required longer reaction times (entries 5 and 6), possibly because of a combination of catalyst deactivation by sulfur¹² and the decreased reactivity of sulfonium ylides as compared to their oxonium counterparts. Finally, the S-benzyl substrate 3d furnished only the intermediate sulfonium ylide 5 under the reaction conditions. Isolation of the ylide intermediate may occur because of the relatively high barrier for a Stevens [1,2]-shift (presumed to involve initial homolytic cleavage) together with the aforementioned lower reactivity of sulfonium ylides.¹³ Moody has observed a similar result with the homologous ylide $6^{.14}$



Using the results above as a baseline, we next set out to examine possible conditions for direct generation of the ylide intermediates from ketoesters 2a-d via in situ formation of iodonium ylides (Table 2). Initial experiments using substrate 2a employed conditions previously shown to be effective in other types of carbene-transfer processes mediated by in situgenerated iodonium ylides.4c,15 We were gratified to find that treatment of 2a in MeOH with a slight excess of PhI(OAc)₂, 2 equiv of KOH, and catalytic $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (entry 1) did indeed furnish oxonium ylide rearrangement product 4a in reasonable yield (55%), albeit clearly inferior to the overall yield of the two-step sequence employing the initial diazotransfer reaction (see Scheme 1 and Table 1, entry 1). Incremental improvements were seen when the solvent was changed to CH₂Cl₂ and K₂CO₃ was substituted for KOH (entries 2 and 3). Optimal results were obtained with the base Cs_2CO_3 , furnishing the product **4a** in 80% yield (entry 4).¹⁶ These conditions were then applied to the other three substrates. Both 2b (entry 6) and 2c (entry 8) were converted to the desired cyclic products in yields well above those obtained by the two-step procedure. On the other hand, Cu-(hfacac)₂ was found to be substantially inferior under the in

⁽⁸⁾ Huckin, S. N.; Weiler, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1082–1087.
(9) (a) Kawasaki, T.; Kimachi, T. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 6847–6862.
(b) Reich, H. J.; Jasperse, C. P.; Renga, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2981–2988.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Regitz, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1967, 6, 733-749.

⁽¹¹⁾ West, F. G.; Naidu, B. N.; Tester, R. W. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 6892–6894.

^{(12) (}a) Davies, H. M. L. In Nitrogen, Oxygen and Sulfur Ylides in Synthesis: A Practical Approach; Clark, J. S., Ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2002; pp 156–163. (b) See also: Felthouse, T. R. Prog. Inorg. Chem. **1982**, 29, 73–154.

⁽¹³⁾ Extended heating of sulfonium ylide **5** in toluene failed to effect the desired [1,2]-shift process.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Moody, C. J.; Taylor, R. J. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 6501-6524.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Prakash, O.; Sharma, V.; Tanwar, M. P. Can. J. Chem. 1999, 77, 1191–1195.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Treatment of **2a** with $PhI(OAc)_2$ and base in the *absence* of any catalyst and in new glassware furnished low yields of **4a** in the same time frame as the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction, indicating the existence of a minor background uncatalyzed process.

Table 2. Carbene-Transfer and Ylide Rearrangement Reactions of β -Ketoester Substrates **2a**-**d**^{*a*}



entry	substrate	base	catalyst	(h)	product (% yield) ^b	two-step yield ^{b,c}
1	2a	KOH^d	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	1.5	4a (55)	68
2	2a	KOH	Rh2(OAc)4	8	4a (63)	68
3	2a	K_2CO_3	Rh2(OAc)4	3	4a (67)	68
4	2a	Cs_2CO_3	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	12	4a (80)	68
5	2a	Cs_2CO_3	$Cu(hfacac)_2$	10	4a (9)	77
6	$2\mathbf{b}$	Cs_2CO_3	Rh2(OAc)4	0.5	4b(41)	35
7	$2\mathbf{b}$	Cs_2CO_3	$Cu(hfacac)_2$	12	е	31
8	2c	Cs_2CO_3	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	19	4c (78)	55
9	2c	Cs_2CO_3	$Cu(hfacac)_2$	64	4c(32)	77
10	2d	Cs_2CO_3	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	24	5 ^f	
11	2d	$\mathrm{Cs}_2\mathrm{CO}_3$	$Cu(hfacac)_2 \\$	14	5 ^f	

^{*a*} All reactions (except entry 1) were carried out in 0.05 M CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature using 1.1 equiv of PhI(OAc)₂, 2.1 equiv of base, and 3 mol % of Rh₂(OAc)₄ or 10 mol % of Cu(hfacac)₂. Reactions were worked up when judged complete by TLC or GC analysis. ^{*b*} Yields are for isolated material after chromatography. ^{*c*} Overall yield for the alternative two-step diazotransfer/carbene-transfer process per Scheme 1 and Table 1. ^{*d*} The experiment described in entry 1 was carried out in MeOH. ^{*e*} Product **4b** was not detected in the reaction mixture despite extended reaction times. ^{*f*} Sulfur ylide **5** was the only product observed in the crude reaction mixture. Yields were not determined in these cases.

situ activation conditions (entries 5, 7, and 9). Reductive activation of copper(II) catalysts by a sacrificial quantity of diazocarbonyl compounds has been suggested to occur prior to various copper-catalyzed carbene-transfer processes;^{17,18} the absence of any diazoketone in these experiments may be responsible for the low conversion seen in these cases. Finally, as in the diazo substrates, the *S*-benzyl case gave only the unreactive sulfur vlide **5**.

Next, aromatic ketoester 2e was prepared and subjected to diazotransfer to give the known substrate $3e^{19}$ (Scheme 2). Diazo compound 3e underwent efficient conversion to



dihydrobenzofuranone **4e** using a variety of rhodium(II) or copper(II) catalysts. The simple ketoester **2e** could also be converted to **4e**, but yields were moderate and required extended reaction times. Thus, in contrast to the simple aliphatic cases from Table 2, the two-step protocol via the diazo compound appears to be superior in this case.

This work describes the first examples of the direct conversion of active methylene-containing compounds to oxonium or sulfonium ylides via in situ-generated iodonium ylide and metallocarbene intermediates. Rearrangement products (via a [1,2]- or [2,3]-shift) are formed efficiently and, for the simple acyclic substrates 2a-c, in yields comparable to the corresponding two-step protocol employing diazocarbonyl intermediates. Substrate 2d, containing an *S*-benzyl substituent, underwent conversion to the sulfonium ylide without subsequent rearrangement, and this product was fully characterized. Further applications of this methodology are presently under study and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank NSERC for support of this work and NSERC and the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research for Graduate Studentships (G.K.M.).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data for all intermediates. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL061772O

^{(17) (}a) Salomon, R. G.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1973**, 95, 3300–3310. (b) Doyle, M. P.; Forbes, D. C. Chem. Rev. **1998**, 98, 911–936. (c) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 977–1050.

⁽¹⁸⁾ For other discussions regarding reductive activation of copper(II) catalysts, see: (a) Wulfman, D. S. *Tetrahedron* **1976**, *32*, 1231–1240. (b) Dauben, W. G.; Hendricks, R. T.; Luzzio, M. J.; Ng, H. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 6969–6972. (c) Ichiyanagi, T.; Shimizu, M.; Fujisawa, T. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 9599–9610. (d) Kirmse, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1088–1093.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Pirrung, M. C.; Werner, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6060–6062.