VIP

## Divergent Syntheses of Fused β-Naphthol and Indene Scaffolds by Rhodium-Catalyzed Direct and Decarbonylative Alkyne–Benzocyclobutenone Couplings\*\*

Peng-hao Chen, Tao Xu, and Guangbin Dong\*

**Abstract:** A tunable rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular alkyne insertion reaction proceeding through the C–C cleavage of benzocyclobutenones is described. Selective formation of either the direct or decarbonylative insertion product can be controlled by using different catalytic systems. A variety of fused  $\beta$ -naphthol and indene scaffolds were obtained in good yields with high functional group tolerance. This work illustrates a divergent approach to synthesize fused-ring systems by C–C activation/functionalization.

ransition-metal-catalyzed C-C activation/functionalization offers unique opportunities to develop novel transformations, because it allows reorganization of bond connections leading to novel molecular structures with high complexity.<sup>[1]</sup> Lately, cleavage of a C-C bond followed by insertion of an unsaturated unit serves as a rapid and atom-economical<sup>[2]</sup> approach for constructing homologated or ring-expanded products.<sup>[3,11]</sup> In particular, the synthesis of fused-ring systems is benefited by this strategy. For instance, we recently developed a rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular carboacylation of olefins with benzocyclobutenones to construct chiral polyfused scaffolds.<sup>[4]</sup> Good yields and excellent regio- and enantioselectivity were obtained with a broad range of substrates. Such a "cut and sew" transformation<sup>[5]</sup> involves oxidative addition of a metal into the  $\alpha$  C–C bond of a cyclic ketone to generate a key acylmetallacycle intermediate (B), which, followed by an intramolecular migratory insertion and reductive elimination, provides the fused-ring system C (Scheme 1).

On the other hand, it is well established that the acylmetal complexes (i.e. intermediate **B**) can also undergo reversible CO de-insertion reactions.<sup>[6,7]</sup> Thus, complementary to the direct insertion reaction (regular "cut and sew"), the corresponding decarbonylative "cut and sew" would provide an

[\*] P.-H. Chen, Dr. T. Xu, Prof. Dr. G. Dong Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Texas at Austin
100 east 24th street, Austin, TX 78712 (USA) E-mail: gbdong@cm.utexas.edu
Homepage: http://gbdong.cm.utexas.edu/

- [\*\*] We thank UT Austin and CPRIT for start-up funds, and the Welch Foundation (F 1781) for research grants. G.D. thanks ORAU for a New Faculty Enhancement Award. G.D. is a Searle Scholar. We also thank Prof. Siegel for helpful discussions, and Professors Sessler, Siegel, and Anslyn for the Ioan of chemicals. Dr. Lynch is acknowledged for X-ray crystallography. We thank Johnson Matthey for a generous donation of Rh salts.
  - Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201310100.

[X + Y-1]-type coupling by extruding CO from the substrate to give the fused ring **D** (Scheme 1), a process which remains largely elusive.<sup>[8,9]</sup> Herein, we describe our efforts in developing a divergent approach to realize both regular and decarbonylative "cut and sew" transformations through rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular couplings between benzocyclobutenones<sup>[10]</sup> and alkynes (Scheme 2B). The resulting  $\beta$ naphthol and indene fused-ring products provided by this approach are useful synthetic building blocks,<sup>[11]</sup> and have also been found in a number of biologically important molecules (Figure 1).

Catalytic C-C  $\sigma$ -bond cleavage followed by alkyne insertion is of significant synthetic value particularly because it can introduce an olefin moiety which permits further functionalization of the substrate.<sup>[12]</sup> Alkyne insertion into the saturated cyclobutanones, thus giving cyclohexones, was first reported by Murakami et al. using a nickel catalyst, and a βcarbon elimination mechanism is proposed for the C-C activation step (Scheme 2 A).<sup>[12e,13]</sup> For the unsaturated cyclobutenones, given their unsymmetrical structures, a site-selectivity issue arises concerning which C-C bond to cleave.<sup>[10]</sup> The intermolecular alkyne insertion into cyclobutenones was previously known to occur either by thermal heating<sup>[14]</sup> or through catalysis using nickel<sup>[15]</sup> or rhodium.<sup>[16]</sup> In all these cases, the C1-C4 bond is cleaved through a vinyl ketene intermediate. For the intramolecular alkyne insertion, we hypothesized that: 1) the alkyne group would serve as a directing group and guide metals to cleave the C1-C2 bond of benzocyclobutenones (Scheme 2B),<sup>[4]</sup> which, in turn, provides different site selectivity from that of the intermolecular insertion; 2) the resulting "cut and sew" products, conjugated enones, would undergo spontaneous tautomerization to give  $\beta$ -naphthols as the ultimate products.

To test our hypothesis, we started with benzocyclobutenone **1a** as a model substrate (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information; see Table 1 for structures). Wilkinson's catalyst



**Scheme 1.** A divergent approach for fused-ring synthesis: Regular and decarbonylative cyclization.



Scheme 2. C-C bond cleavage in cyclobutenones and cyclobutanones.



Figure 1. Representative examples of related bioactive molecules.

[RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] was initially investigated, and the desired  $\beta$ -naphthol **2a** was isolated, albeit in low yield. Given the importance of bidentate ligands in the related olefin insertion reaction,<sup>[4]</sup> a series of bidentate phosphine ligands were examined. 1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphanyl)propane (dppp) was found to be most efficient, while the one-carbon unit longer 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb) or shorter 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppc) ligand was less effective. A survey of different solvents revealed that 1,4-dioxane provided the highest yield for this transformation, whereas using other solvents resulted in different degrees of decomposition of the starting material or product.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the scope of this reaction was examined (Table 1). Substrates containing alkyl, aryl, or alkenyl alkynes were converted into the corresponding  $\beta$ -naphthols in good to excellent yields.<sup>[17]</sup> Both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents on the benzocyclobutenone rings were well tolerated (entries 8 and 9, Table 1). Moreover, a number of functional groups were found to be compatible with this transformation,

Table 1: Substrate scope for direct alkyne Insertion.<sup>[a]</sup>



[a] Reaction conditions: [{Rh(cod)Cl}<sub>2</sub>] (5 mol%), dppp (12 mol%), 1,4dioxane, 130°C, 24 h, sealed vial. [b] Yields of isolated products. [c] 27% of 1j was recovered; with 0.1 equiv of  $ZnCl_2$  added, only 13% yield of 2j was isolated. cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, TMS = trimethylsilyl.

including esters, ethers, trimethylsilyl, nitro groups, aryl fluorides, and conjugated olefins (entries 3, 5–7, 9 and 11, Table 1). Substitution at the C8-position of the benzocyclobutenone slowed the reaction, but the desired polysubstituted naphthol **2j** was nevertheless obtained (entry 10, Table 1). The alkynes **11/1m** are more challenging substrates because the formation of six/seven-membered rings are kinetically less favorable compared to forming five-membered rings [Eq. (1) in Table 2]. Indeed, under the optimized reaction conditions, low conversions were observed for these substrates. However, we recently discovered that using a Lewis acid as a cocatalyst can dramatically enhance the reaction rate of olefin carboa-cylation, likely because of its coordination with the carbonyl group promoting both oxidative addition and reductive elimination.<sup>[4a, 18]</sup>

Thus, we hypothesized that the related alkyne insertion would benefit from this Lewis-acid effect. To our delight, when 1 equivalent of  $ZnCl_2$  was employed as an additive,

## Angewandte munications

Table 2: ZnCl<sub>2</sub> as an additive in the formation of six- and sevenmembered rings

	$\begin{array}{c} R \\ \hline & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\$	CH (1)
11	n = 1, R = Et	
	without ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	70%, 48h
	with 10 mol% ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	81 %, 24 h
	with 1 equiv ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	91 %, 24 h
	with 1 equiv $ZnCl_2$ , but no [{Rh(cod)Cl}_2]/dpg	op 0%, 24 h
1 m	n=1, R=Ph	
	without ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	4%, 24h
	with 10 mol <sup>9</sup> ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	89%, 24h
	with 1 equiv ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	91 %, 24 h
1n	n=2, R=Ph	
	without ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	0%, 24h
	with 10 mol % ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	64%, 24h
	with 1 equiv ZnCl <sub>2</sub> ,	77%, 24h
	7.5 mol% [{Rh(cod)Cl} <sub>2</sub> ], 18 mol% dppp	

good to excellent yields of the six- and seven-membered fused rings were obtained [Eq. (1)]. A catalytic amount of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %) was also found to be effective, albeit with a slightly lower yield. We also found ZnCl<sub>2</sub> itself did not catalyze the reaction. The structure of 21 was unambiguously characterized by X-ray crystallography (see the Supporting Information).

Although the direct decarbonylation of cyclobutanones to give cyclopropanes (ring contraction) has been known for almost two decades since the seminal work by Murakami, Ito, and co-workers,<sup>[19]</sup> C-C cleavage with subsequent decarbonylation and insertion of an unsaturated moiety has been much underdeveloped. The intermolecular decarbonylative couplings of cyclobutenediones and cyclobutenones with norbornene and ethylene were first reported by Kondo, Mitsudo, and co-workers,<sup>[8]</sup> and the only example of an intramolecular coupling of squaric acid derivatives and olefins was described by Yamamoto et al.<sup>[9]</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, the decarbonylative coupling between any cyclic ketones and alkynes was previously unknown.[20]

The proposed decarbonylative "cut and sew" transformation (Scheme 2B) was explored using 11 as the model substrate. Given the challenge of removing CO from the metal center, an open system reflux under an argon atmosphere with high-boiling-point solvents was chosen to facilitate CO extrusion from the reaction vessel (see Table S2).<sup>[21]</sup> Although using PPh<sub>3</sub> as the ligand provided a good conversion, it suffered from poor selectivity of the decarbonylative insertion versus the direct insertion. A number of bidentate phosphine ligands were subsequently investigated. Interestingly, the chiral DTBM-segphos provided the highest conversion and yield for the desired indene product. Although the exact reason why DTBM-segphos facilitates the decarbonylation pathway is unclear, control experiments indicated this four-carbon-linked bidentate ligand was much less effective in promoting the direct alkyne insertion reaction for substrate **1**,<sup>[22]</sup> and likely to be a result of a less favorable reductive elimination step from the seven-membered acylmetallacycle intermediate (Scheme 2B). Having examined a number of different solvents, mixed xylenes proved to be the optimal solvent. Finally, with a slight increase of the catalyst loading, the decarbonylative product, the fused-indene 31 (see Table 3), was isolated in 64% yield.

The substrate scope for the decarbonylative alkyne insertion was then examined (Table 3).<sup>[23]</sup> In general, a range of indene-based fused rings were obtained in decent yields. Compared to the ethyl-substituted alkynes, when the aryl-substituted alkynes were employed as the coupling partner, the yields for the decarbonylative insertion were significantly increased (entries 2-7, and 11, Table 3), and is consistent with our earlier observation of the low cyclization rate of phenyl-substituted substrates (e.g. 1m) in the absence of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> [Eq. (1)]. The ortho-methyl-substituted phenyl substrate 1t gave lower yield, and is likely a result of

Table 3: Substrate scope for decarbonylative alkyne insertion.[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: [{Rh(cod)Cl}<sub>2</sub>] (7.5 mol%), DTBM-segphos (18 mol%), xylenes, reflux, 48 h. [b] Yields of isolated products. Values within parentheses are yields based on recovered starting material.

unfavorable steric interactions during the cyclization (entry 8, Table 3). In addition, the seven-membered-ring fused indene product was also obtained (entry 12, Table 3). The structure of indene **30** was unambiguously characterized by X-ray crystallography (see the Supporting Information).



In conclusion, we have developed a divergent approach to access fused β-naphthol and indene rings by the rhodiumcatalyzed C-C activation of benzocyclobutenones [Eq. (2)]. The unique selectivity of cleaving the usually less-reactive C1-C2 bond distinguishes this direct alkyne insertion from other cyclobutenone-alkyne couplings.<sup>[14, 15]</sup> It is also distinct from the saturated cyclobutanone-[12e] and cyclobutenolmediated<sup>[3k,12f]</sup> alkyne insertion reactions because of their different mechanistic feature. Furthermore, it illustrates that the feasibility of a decarbonylative "cut and sew" pathway with C-C activation of benzocyclobutenones, enables an unusual [4+2-1] transformation. Finally, it demonstrates that the selectivity for either the direct or decarbonylative insertion can be controlled by choosing different ligands and other reaction conditions. Efforts towards expansion of the reaction scope (i.e. nitrogen-containing fused rings by both alkene and alkyne insertion), detailed mechanistic studies to enhance the catalyst activity, and application of this method to synthesize bioactive molecules are in progress.[24]

Received: November 20, 2013 Published online: January 21, 2014

**Keywords:** alkynes  $\cdot$  C-C functionalization  $\cdot$  cyclization  $\cdot$  rhodium  $\cdot$  synthetic methods

 For recent reviews of C-C bond activation, see: a) B. Rybtchinski, D. Milstein, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 918; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 870; b) M. Murakami, Y. Ito, Top. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 3, 97; c) M. E. van der Boom, D. Milstein, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1759; d) C.-H. Jun, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 610; e) T. Satoh, M. Miura, Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 14, 1; f) C.-H. Jun, J.-W. Park, Top. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 24, 117; g) D. Necas, M. Kotora, Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 24, 117; g) D. Necas, M. Kotora, Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 11, 1566; h) A. Korotvicka, D. Necas, M. Kotora, Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 1170; i) R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 245; j) W. D. Jones, Nature 1993, 364, 676; k) T. Seiser, T. Saget, D. N. Tran, N. Cramer, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7884; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7740; l) M. Murakami, T. Matsuda, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1100; m) T. Seiser, N. Cramer, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 2835; n) N. Cramer, T. Seiser, Synlett 2011, 449; o) C. Aissa, Synthesis 2011, 3389.

- [2] B. M. Trost, Science 1991, 254, 1471.
- [3] For the seminal work of catalytic C-C bond activation with subsequent insertion of olefins or alkynes: for biphenylenes, see: a) B. L. Edelbach, R. J. Lachicotte, W. D. Jones, Organometallics 1999, 18, 4040; b) for cyclobutenones, see: M. A. Huffman, L. S. Liebeskind, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8617; for cyclobutenediones, see: c) M. S. South, L. S. Liebeskind, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4181; for cyclobutanones, see: d) M. Murakami, T. Itahashi, Y. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13976; for linear ketones, see: e) A. M. Dreis, C. J. Douglas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 412; for cyclopropanes: f) K. Narasaka, Y. Koga, Chem. Lett. 1999, 28, 705; for cyclopropenes: g) C. Li, H. Zhang, J. Feng, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3082; for vinylcyclopropanes: h) L. Jiao, M. Lin, L.-G. Zhuo, Z.-X. Yu, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2528; i) P. A. Wender, H. Takahashi, B. Witulski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4720; for amino cyclopropanes: j) M. H. Shaw, E. Y. Melikhova, D. P. Kloer, W. G. Whittingham, J. F. Bower, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4992; for cyclobutenols, see: k) N. Ishida, S. Sawano, Y. Masuda, M. Murakami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17502; for methylenecyclopropanes: l) H.-J. Weintz, P. Binger, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4075; for C-CN bond cleavage, see: m) Y. Nakao, S. Oda, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13904.
- [4] a) T. Xu, G. Dong, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 7685; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7567; b) T. Xu, H.-M. Ko, N. Savage, G. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20005.
- [5] We use the term of "cut and sew" to describe this stepwise/ tandem transformation for convenience, and obviously, numerous different types of reactions fall into this category. For the examples that involve C-C bond activation, see Ref. [3,4,11,12,14—16,18].
- [6] J. F. Hartwig, Organotransition Metal Chemistry: from Bonding to Catalysis, University Science Books Mill Valley, Mill Valley, 2009.
- [7] For a recent review of decarbonylative C-C-forming reactions, see: A. Dermenci, G. Dong, *Sci. China Chem.* 2013, 56, 685.
- [8] For an intermolecular decarbonylative coupling between cyclobutendiones/cyclobutenones and norbornene/ethylene, see:
  a) K. Teruyuki, N. Ayako, O. Takumi, S. Nobuyoshi, W. Kenji, M. Takeaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6319; b) T. Kondo, Y. Taguchi, Y. Kaneko, M. Niimi, T.-A. Mitsudo, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5483; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5369.
- [9] For a single report of the intramolecular decarbonylative alkene insertion with squaric acid derivatives, see: Y. Yamamoto, S. Kuwabara, H. Hayashi, H. Nishiyama, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2006, *348*, 2493.
- [10] For a seminal mechanistic study on the metal cleavage of benzocyclobutenone C-C bond, see: a) M. A. Huffman, L. S. Liebeskind, W. T. Pennington, *Organometallics* 1990, *9*, 2194; b) M. A. Huffman, L. S. Liebeskind, W. T. Pennington, *Organometallics* 1992, *11*, 255.
- [11] For selected recent reviews, see: a) C. K. Marisa, J. M. Barbara,
  C. L. Elizabeth, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, *38*, 3193; b) M. Enders,
  R. W. Baker, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2006, *10*, 937; c) S. Toyota, T. Iwanaga, *Sci. Synth.* 2008, *45*, 745; d) Y. Kuninobu, Y. Nishina,
  A. Kawata, M. Shouho, K. Takai, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2008, *80*, 1149.
- [12] For representative alkyne insertion examples with biphenylenes, see: Ref. [3a] and a) T. Schaub, U. Radius, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2005, *11*, 5024; b) T. Shibata, G. Nishizawa, K. Endo, *Synlett* 2008, 765; c) A. Korotvička, I. Císařová, J. Roithová, M. Kotora, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 4200. For representative examples with cyclo-

## Angewandte Communications

butenediones and cyclobutanones: d) L. S. Liebeskind, S. L. Baysdon, M. S. South, S. Iyer, J. P. Leeds, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 41, 5839; e) M. Murakami, S. Ashida, T. Matsuda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6932; For representative examples with cyclobutenols, see: Ref. [3k] and f) T. Matsuda, N. Miura, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 3424; For examples with azetidinones, see: g) K. Y. T. Ho, C. Aïssa, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 3486; h) P. Kumar, J. Louie, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2026; i) N. Ishida, T. Yuhki, M. Murakami, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3898; For representative examples with alkyne insertion into C-CN bond, see: Ref. [3m], and j) Y. Nakao, A. Yada, S. Ebata, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2428; k) Y. Hirata, T. Yukawa, N. Kashihara, Y. Nakao, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10964; 1) Y. Nakao, A. Yada, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10024; m) Y. Hirata, A. Yada, E. Morita, Y. Nakao, T. Hiyama, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10070; n) N. R. Rondla, S. M. Levi, J. M. Ryss, R. A. V. Berg, C. J. Douglas, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1940; o) A. Yada, T. Yukawa, Y. Nakao, T. Hiyama, Chem. Commun. 2009, 3931; p) For a review of insertion into cyclopropanes, cyclopropenes. and vinylcyclopropanes, see: M. Rubin, M. Rubina, V. Gevorgyan, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117, and references therein.

- [13] A recent DFT calculation suggests an alternative oxidative addition mechanism for the alkyne insertion into azetidinones, see: Y. Li, Z. Lin, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 3003.
- [14] a) R. L. Danheiser, S. K. Gee, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1672;
   b) R. L. Danheiser, A. Nishida, S. Savariar, M. P. Trova, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4917.
- [15] For catalytic examples, see: a) M. A. Huffman, L. S. Liebeskind, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2771; b) A-L. Auvinet, J. P. A. Harrity, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2821; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2769.
- [16] T. Kondo, M. Niimi, M. Nomura, K. Wada, T.-A. Mitsudo, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 2837.
- [17] Terminal-alkyne-based substrates gave a complex mixture of products, likely to be a result of the competitive formation of rhodium vinylidene intermediates. The phenylpropiolic-acid-

derived substrate also afforded the desired "cut and sew" lactone product, albeit in a low yield (22% without ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, 28% with 0.1 equiv of ZnCl<sub>2</sub>).

- [18] For seminal work of using Lewis acids in promoting catalytic carbocyanation reactions, see:, Ref. [12j] and a) N. M. Brunkan, D. M. Brestensky, W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3627; b) C. A. Tolman, R. J. McKinney, W. C. Seidel, J. D. Druliner, W. R. Stevens, Adv. Catal. 1985, 33, 1; c) Y. Nakao, S. Ebata, A. Yada, T. Hiyama, M. Ikawa, S. Ogoshi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12874; d) M. P. Watson, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12594; e) A. Minami, H. Yoshiyasu, Y. Nakao, T. Hiyama, Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 917–921; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 883–887.
- [19] For examples of catalytic decarbonylation of cyclobutanones to give cyclopropanes, see: a) M. Murakami, H. Amii, Y. Ito, *Nature* 1994, 370, 540; b) M. Murakami, H. Amii, K. Shigeto, Y. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996, 118, 8285; c) T. Matsuda, M. Shigeno, M. Murakami, *Chem. Lett.* 2006, 35, 288.
- [20] For an aldehyde-mediated decarbonylative coupling with alkynes, see: a) X. Guo, J. Wang, C. J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15092; b) X. Guo, J. Wang, C. J. Li, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3176.
- [21] A. Dermenci, R. E. Whittaker, G. Dong, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2242.
- [22] When the substrate 11 was subjected to the optimized reaction conditions for direct alkyne insertion, but using DTBM-segphos as the ligand, 31 was isolated only in 22% yield (along with indene 31 in 12% yield). In contrast, the dppp ligand gave a 70% yield with no decarbonylation product observed [Eq. (1)].
- [23] The propargyl ether substrates, that is, 1a, did not provide any indene product, likely because significant ring strain would be generated if the five-membered fused-indene product was formed.
- [24] CCDC 967148 (21) and 967149 (30) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.