This article was downloaded by: [Tufts University] On: 07 October 2014, At: 06:20 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

# A General Entry to 10-Halocolchicides and 9-Haloisocolchicides

Marino Cavazza<sup>a</sup> & Francesco Pietra<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale , Università di Pisa , 56126, Pisa

<sup>b</sup> Laboratorio di Chimica Bioorganica , Università di Trento , 38050, Povo-Trento, Italy Published online: 22 Aug 2006.

To cite this article: Marino Cavazza & Francesco Pietra (1997) A General Entry to 10-Halocolchicides and 9-Haloisocolchicides, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 27:19, 3405-3413

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397919708005641

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any

losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

### A GENERAL ENTRY TO 10-HALOCOLCHICIDES

#### AND 9-HALOISOCOLCHICIDES

Marino Cavazza<sup>a,\*</sup> and Francesco Pietra<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università di Pisa, 56126 Pisa; <sup>b</sup>Laboratorio di Chimica Bioorganica, Università di Trento, 38050 Povo-Trento, Italy

Abstract: Readily accessible 10-tosyloxycolchicide (1) and LiX (X=Cl or Br or I) in MeOH/BF<sub>3</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O at reflux give 10-chloro- (2), 10-bromo- (4), or 10-iodocolchicide (5), in good yields. 9-Chloro- (7) and 9-bromoisocolchidide (8) can be similarly obtained from 9-tosyloxyisocolchidide (6) and the method applies also to troponoids.

From early therapeutic applications,<sup>1</sup> colchicinoids have remained in use as laboratory tools for cancer studies.<sup>2</sup> There is also a renewed interest by the industry towards colchicinoids as bioactive compounds for the control of pests. Moreover, interest continues in troponoids as intermediates for the synthesis of compounds in a variety of classes<sup>3</sup> or as an endless mine for theoretical advances in organic chemistry.<sup>4</sup>

All these activities may require, as intermediates, <sup>5</sup> functionalised troponoids and, to the extent that analogy holds, <sup>6</sup> also functionalised colchicinoids. We have recently

<sup>\*</sup>To whom the correspondence should be addressed

offered some solutions to these problems<sup>7</sup> but the need remains of haloderivatives as key intermediates towards a variety of substituted troponoids<sup>5</sup> and colchicinoids.

Scheme 1



Actually, different technologies are required for different halotroponoids and yields are in any case poor.<sup>8</sup> As to the colchicinoids, only 10-fluorocolchicide and 9-fluoroisocolchicide (from colchiceine and  $SF_4$ )<sup>7c</sup> and 10-chlorocolchicide and 9-chloroisocolchicide (from the treatment of colchiceine with SOCl<sub>2</sub>) have been described.<sup>7c</sup> Except for 10-fluorocolchicide yields of the above reactions were quite poor,<sup>7c</sup> while technologies for bromo- and iodotropones<sup>8</sup> are destructive of the colchicinoid skeleton. We offer here an alternative, simple methodology of access to new halocolchicinoids and haloisocolchicinoids from easily available tosylates of colchiceine,<sup>9</sup> which also allows smoother access than current methodologies to known halocolchicinoids and troponoids.

In a typical procedure a solution of 10-tosyloxycolchicide<sup>9</sup> (1, Scheme 1) and a

Scheme 2



tenfold excess of BF<sub>3</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O in the presence of 5 M LiCl were briefly heated at reflux in MeOH, evaporated, extracted, and the mixture was separated into 10-chlorocolchicide<sup>7c</sup> (2) (70%) and the colchiceine-BF<sub>3</sub> adduct  $3^{7b}$  (15%). We obtained similarly 10-bromocolchicide (4) (43%) and 10-iodocolchicide (5) (50%) accompanied by 3 (46% in either case). The use of BF<sub>3</sub> Et<sub>2</sub>O in excess was only dictated by practical reasons of a dosage in small scale reactions; selected experiments with 1 showed that a slight excess of BF<sub>3</sub> Et<sub>2</sub>O gives equally satisfactory results.

The synthesis of haloisocolchicides was carried out analogously, except for longer

reflux times, from 9-tosyloxyisocolchicide (6) obtaining 9-chloroisocolchicide (7)<sup>7c</sup> (63%) and 9-bromoisocolchicide (8) (34%) accompanied by 3 (32 and 52%, respectively) (Scheme 2). When 6 was treated with LiI, under otherwise the conditions used for 7 and 8, 3 could be isolated as the sole product in 60% yield. This is the only limitation we have met for these reactions.

This methodology was extended to the halotropones (Scheme 2), where reflux times even longer than for the synthesis of haloisocolchicides were required, obtaining 10, 11, or 12 in 60, 32, and 30% yields, respectively. No tropolone  $BF_3$  adduct<sup>7c</sup> was detected among the products.

Scheme 3



In summary,  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  proved to be an efficient catalyst for the activation of the troponoid system to nucleophilic substitution, remedying, for the sensitive colchicinoids, to the ravaging effects of strong proton acids in use for troponoids.<sup>8</sup> An additional advantage of  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  is that the by-product, colchicide, is trapped as a form (3) that allows easy entry into aminocolchicinoids.<sup>7b</sup>

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All evaporations were carried out at reduced pressure. Preparative TLC was carried out on 2 mm thick Merck Si60 PF<sub>254</sub> plates, eluent 1:1 acetone-CHCl<sub>3</sub>. HPLC was performed with 8 x 250 mm RP18 column with 1:1 CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O, flux 3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, UV monitoring at  $\lambda = 260$  nm. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution at 200 MHz on a Varian Gemini BB spectrometer; chemical shifts are expressed in  $\delta$ , ppm, with respect to internal Me<sub>4</sub>Si (= 0 ppm) and J values in Hz. Mass spectra (EI) were taken with a Kratos-MS80 mass spectrometer with home-built computerized system. UV spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer Hitachi200 spectrophotometer.

10-Chlorocolchicide (2). To 10-tosyloxycolchicide<sup>9</sup> (1, Scheme 1) (0.039 g, 7.2 x  $10^{-5}$  mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiCl (0.45 g, 1.1 x  $10^{-2}$  mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O(0.1 mL). The mixture was heated at reflux for 30 min, evaporated to dryness, added of water, and finally CHCl<sub>3</sub> extracted. The organic extract was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, evaporated, and the residue was subjected to TLC obtaining 10-chlorocolchicide (2)<sup>7c</sup> (R<sub>F</sub> = 0.50, 0.020 g, 5.1 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 70%) and adduct  $3^{7b}$  (R<sub>F</sub> = 0.70, 0.0049 g, 1.1 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 15%). Similar yields were obtained using only 0.015 mL of BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O under otherwise identical conditions.

**10-Bromocolchicide (4).** To 10-tosyloxycolchicide<sup>9</sup> (1, Scheme 1) (0.039 g , 7.2 x  $10^{-5}$  mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiBr (0.59 g, 6.8 x  $10^{-3}$  mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>:Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). Proceeding as for 2 above, we obtained 10-bromocolchicide (4) (R<sub>F</sub>= 0.55, 0.014 g, 3.1 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 43%) and adduct  $3^{7b}$  (R<sub>F</sub> = 0.70, 0.015 g, 3.3 x  $10^{-5}$  mol,

46%). Data of 4: UV (MeOH),  $\lambda_{max}$  (log $\epsilon$ ) 375 (3.6), 248 (3.9). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.00 (s, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15-2.35 (series of m, H<sub>2</sub>-C6), 2.55 (m, H<sub>2</sub>-C5), 3.68, 3.90, 3.94 (3s, 3 MeO), 4.60 (td, J = 12.6, 7.32, H-C7), 6.37 (d, J = 7.32, NH), 6.53 (s, H-C4), 7.06 (d, J = 10.4, H-C12), 7.40 (s, H-C8), 8.05 (d, J = 10.4, H-C11). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 180.42, 169.88, 154.20, 151.25, 141.79, 140.95, 134.16, 133.42, 128.38, 124.90, 107.50, 60.60, 60.50, 56.1, 29.76, 28.96, 23.1. MS-EI  $m \equiv$  (%) 447/449 (96/100, M<sup>+</sup>), 419/421 (69/74, [M - CO]<sup>+</sup>), 368 (51, [M - Br]<sup>+</sup>). HR-MS-EI  $m \equiv$  447 447.06854±0.0004. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Br<sub>79</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> 447.06812.

**10-Iodolchicide (5).** To 10-tosyloxycolchicide<sup>9</sup> (1, Scheme 1) (0.039 g, 7.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiI (0.61 g, 4.6 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>:Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). Proceeding as for **2** above, we obtained 10-iodocolchicide (**5**) ( $R_F = 0.58$ , 0.018 g, 3.6 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 50%) and adduct **3**<sup>7b</sup> ( $R_F = 0.70$ , 0.015 g, 3..3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 46%). Data of **5**: UV (MeOH),  $\lambda_{max}$  (loge) 480 (3.6), 250sh.  $\delta_H$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) only data differing from **4** are given 4.62 (m, H-C7), 6.52 (s, H-C4), 6.89 (d, J = 10.2, H-C12), 7.38 (s, H-C8), 8.45 (d, J = 10.2, H-C11).  $\delta_C$  178.20, 165.81, 150.0, 147.20, 141.20, 137.55, 130.49, 130.05, 125.64, 124.80, 124.29, 120.76, 103.33, 57.68, 57.37. MS-EI m'z (%) 495 (55, M<sup>+</sup>), 467 (46, [M - CO]<sup>+.</sup>), 368 (100, [M - I]<sup>+</sup>). HR-MS-EI m'z 495 495.005230±0.002 (a superimposed small signal for an impurity is responsible for the lower precision in this case). Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>INO<sub>5</sub> 495.05426.

9-Chloroisocolchicide (7). To 9-tosyloxyisocolchicide  $^{9}$  (6, Scheme 2) (0.035 g, 6.5 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiCl (0.409 g, 9.6 x 10<sup>-3</sup>mol) and

BF<sub>3</sub> Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). Proceeding as for **2**, except for 60 min of reflux, we obtained 9-chloroisocolchicide (7) ( $R_F = 0.66, 0.016 \text{ g}, 4.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol}, 66\%$ ) and adduct **3**<sup>7b</sup> ( $R_F = 0.70, 0.009 \text{ g}, 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol}, 31\%$ ).

**9-Bromoisocolchicide (8).** To 9-tosyloxyisocolchicide<sup>9</sup> (6, Scheme 2) (0.035 g, 6.5 x  $10^{-5}$  mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiBr (0.670 g, 7.7 x  $10^{-3}$  mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). Proceeding as for 7 above, except for separating the products by HPLC, we obtained 9-bromoisocolchicide (8) ( $t_R = 5.9$  min, 0.010 g, 2.2 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 34%) and adduct  $3^{7b}$ , ( $t_R = 8.1$  min, 0.015 g, 3.3 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 51%). Data of 8: UV (MeOH),  $\lambda_{max}$  (log $\epsilon$ ) 370 (3.7), 248 (4.0).  $\delta_H$  2.06 (s, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15-2.35 (series of m, H<sub>2</sub>-C6), 2.55 (m, H<sub>2</sub>-C5), 3.70, 3.91, 3.93 (3s, MeO's), 4.55 (td, J = 12.6, 5.85, H-C7), 5.94 (d, J = 5.85, NH), 6.57 (s, H-C4), 7.12 (d, J = 13.0, H-C12), 7.37 (d, J = 13.0, H-C11), 8.19 (s, H-C8). MS-EI m/z (%) 447/449 (69/70, M<sup>+</sup>), 419/421 (92/100, [M - CO]<sup>+</sup>), 368 (48, [M - Br]<sup>+</sup>). HR-MS-EI m/z 447 447.06789±0.0002. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Br<sub>79</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> 447.06812.

Reaction of 9-tosyloxyisocolchicide (6) with LiI. To 9-tosyloxyisocolchicide<sup>9</sup>(6, Scheme 2) (0.035 g , 6.5 x  $10^{-5}$  mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiI (0.612 g, 4.6 x  $10^{-3}$  mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). Proceeding as for 7 above we obtained adduct  $3^{7b}$ , (0.018 g, 3.9 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 61%) as the sole isolable product.

**2-Chlorotropone (10).** To 2-tosyloxytropone<sup>10</sup> (9, Scheme 3) (0.047 g,  $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiCl (0.45 g,  $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$  mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1

mL). This mixture was heated at reflux for 60 min, evaporated to dryness, added of water, and finally CHCl<sub>3</sub> extracted. The organic extract was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, evaporated, and the residue subjected to TLC to give 2-chlorotropone  $(10)^{10}$  (0.014 g, 1.02 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol, 60%).

**2-Bromotropone (11).** To 2-tosyloxytropone<sup>10</sup> (9, Scheme 3) (0.047 g,  $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiBr (0.61 g, 7.0 x  $10^{-3}$  mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). This mixture was heated at reflux for 1.5 h, evaporated to dryness, added of water, and finally CHCl<sub>3</sub> extracted. The organic extract was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> evaporated, and the residue subjected to TLC to give 2-bromotropone (11)<sup>8</sup> (0.010 g, 5.46 x  $10^{-5}$  mol, 32%).

**2-Iodotropone (12).** To 2-tosyloxytropone  ${}^{10}$ (9, Scheme 3) (0.047 g , 1.7 x 10<sup>-4</sup> mol) in 2 mL of MeOH were added LiI (0.66 g, 5.0 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mol) and BF<sub>3</sub>Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL). This mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h and then worked up as for 11 obtaining 2-iodotropone (12)<sup>8</sup> (0.012 g, 5.2 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol, 30%).

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Mr A. Sterni for recording the mass spectra and to both MURST (Progetti di Interesse Nazionale) and CNR for financial support.

#### References

1. Capraro, H.-G.; Brossi, A. in "The Alkaloids", ed. A. Brossi, Academic Press,

#### 10-HALOCOLCHICIDES AND 9-HALOISOCOLCHICIDES

New York, 1984, XXIII, pp. 1-70.

- 2. Cabin, R.M.; Feliciano, F.; Hastie, S.B. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 1869.
- For example transition metal promoted [6+4] cycloadditions of troponoids: Rigby, J.H Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 579.
- For example theorization on both high-order cycloadditions of troponoids, Garst M.E.; Roberts, V.A.; Houk, K.N.; Rondan, N.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3882 and unusual functionalization and reactivity of troponoids Minato, T.; Yamabe, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Machiguchi, T. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8439; Machiguchi, T.; Wada, Y.W.; Hasegawa, Yamabe, S.; Minato, T.; Nozoe, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 1258.
- 5. Pietra, F. Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 132.
- Cavazza, M.; Veracini, C.A.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1992, 2201.
- 7. (a) Cavazza, M.; Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 897; (b)
  Cavazza, M.; Pietra, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3429; (c) Cavazza, M.;
  Pietra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 2657; (d) Cavazza, M.; Pietra,
  F. Z. Naturforsch., Teil B 1996, 51b, 1347.
- 8. Doering, W.von E.; Hiskey, C.F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5688.
- 9. Staretz, M.E.; Hastie, S.B. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 428-432.
- 10. Doering, W.von E.; Knox, L.H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5683.

(Received in the UK 25 February 1997)