# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

### Letter

Subscriber access provided by UNIV OF CAMBRIDGE

# Synthesis and Insecticidal Activity of Novel Nitropyridyl-based Dichloropropene Ethers

Aiping Liu, Wanqi Yu, Minhua Liu, Jianjun Bai, Weidong Liu, Xingping Liu, Hui Pei, Li Hu, Mingzhi Huang, and Xiaoguang Wang

J. Agric. Food Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.5b02279 • Publication Date (Web): 24 Jul 2015 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on August 13, 2015

## **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works

However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

1	Synthesis and Insecticidal Activity of Novel Nitropyridyl-based Dichloropropene Ethers				
2	Aiping Liu, <sup>†‡</sup> Wanqi Yu, <sup>†§</sup> Minhua Liu, <sup>†</sup> Jianjun Bai, <sup>†</sup> Weidong Liu, <sup>†</sup> Xingping Liu, <sup>†</sup> Hui Pei, <sup>†</sup>				
3	Li Hu, <sup>†</sup> Mingzhi Huang, <sup>* †‡</sup> Xiaoguang Wang <sup>*†</sup>				
4					
5	<sup>†</sup> National Engineering Research Center for Agrochemicals, Hunan Research Institute of Chemical Industry,				
6	Changsha 410007, People's Republic of China;				
7	<sup>‡</sup> Hunan Province Key Laboratory for Agrochemicals, Changsha 410014, People's Republic of China;				
8	<sup>§</sup> Department of Chemistry, Carleton College, Northfield 55057, United States of America;				
9	<sup>‡</sup> Hunan Haili Chemical Industry Co. Ltd., Changsha 410007, People's Republic of China.				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
10					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22	KEYWORDS: nitropyridyl-based dichloropropene ethers; synthesis; insecticide;				
23	structure-activity relationship				
24 25 26 27 28 29					
30					

**ABSTRACT:** Dihalopropene ether insecticides are known for good features such as no cross-resistance to other insecticide classes, safe for mammals, etc. Pyridalyl is the only currently commercialized dichloropropene ether insecticide, however it contains a trifluoromethyl group whose synthesis requires harsh reagents and reaction conditions. In order to search for novel dihalopropene ethers with unique biological activities but without trifluoromethyl groups, a series of nitropyridyl-based dichloropropene ether analogues were synthesized by reacting nitro-based halopyridine with 2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenol or 2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 3-hydroxypropyl ether. Bioassay showed that the compounds exhibited potent insecticidal activities against various lepidopteran pests. Particularly, 2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 3-(5-nitro-2-pyridyloxy)propyl ether (8e) was active against major agricultural pests and its insecticidal potency was comparable to that of Pyridalyl. Besides the trifluoromethyl group in Pyridalyl, a nitro group on the 5-position of the pyridyl ring is also viable for the development of optimal insecticidal activity. 

66

#### 67 **INTRODUCTION**

68 The insecticide market has been dominated by organophosphates, 69 carbamates, synthetic 70 pyrethroids and neonicotinoids since the 1970s, 71 and consequently insect resistance to these 72 insecticides has become a serious problem. The development of resistance to current treatments, 73 along with newly evolving pest problems, the 74 changing regulatory landscape and market 75 environment, gives rise to an emerging demand 76 of new insecticides that are not only active to 77 78 resistance strains but also safe to humans and 79 the environment.

80 Dihalopropene ethers are active against a wide range of pests (1-4). However only one 81 member of 82 this group, 2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyoxy)phenyl 83 3-[5-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyridyloxy]propyl 84 85 ether (S-1812) (5-8), has been developed as an 86 agricultural insecticide under the trade name 87 'Pyridalyl' by Sumitomo Chemical Co Ltd., 88 Japan (Figure 1). Although the mechanism of 89 Pyridalyl is yet unknown or undetermined, it 90 exhibits diverse characteristics, such as long residual activity, excellent rain fastness, no 91 cross-resistance to other insecticide classes, and 92 great safety to non-target beneficial organisms 93

94 especially honey bees and bumble bees. Thus, Pyridalyl is expected to be a key component for 95 96 pest control in Integrated Pest Management 97 (IPM) and insecticide resistance management. 98 Sakamoto et al. pioneered in the research of 99 Pyridalyl analogues. He pointed out that a trifluoromethyl group on the 5-position of the 100 101 pyridyl ring was required for the development 102 of optimal insecticidal activity. More 103 specifically, although both the nitro group and 104 the trifluoromethyl group are 105 electron-withdrawing, introducing different 106 group on the 5-position of the pyridyl ring 107 yields distinctively different results. For the 108 nitro product **1**a 109 [2-(2,6-dichloro-4-((3,3-dichloroallyl)oxy)phen 110 oxy)-5-nitropyridine], insecticidal activity 111 against Spodoptera litura dropped considerably 112 to  $LC_{50} > 200 \text{ mg/L}$  as opposed to that of the trifluoromethyl 113 product 2a [2-(2,6-dichloro-4-((3,3-dichloroallyl)oxy)phen 114 oxy)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine] with  $LC_{50} =$ 115 1.25-5.00 mg/L (Figure 1) (6). This also makes 116 sense theoretically because the trifluoromethyl 117 118 group confers increased metabolic stability and 119 lipophilicity in addition to its high

electronegativity (9-10). However, the current 120 processes for trifluoromethyl compounds 121 122 require harsh reagents and reaction conditions, 123 and the degradation products of trifluoromethyl-substituted 124 compounds is 125 usually environmental unfriendly. It is thus meaningful to develop new dihalopropene ether 126 insecticides that have insecticidal activities 127 128 comparable to that of Pyridalyl but are more 129 readily to be produced more and 130 environmentally friendly.

In our previous work (3), we derived 3a 131 132 [2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 3-[6-trifluoromethyl-2-ethoxy-4-pyrimidyloxy] 133 propyl ether] from Pyridalyl and Fluacrypyrim, 134 a commercial acaricide, showed 135 and 3a remarkable insecticidal 136 activity against Mythimna separate (LC<sub>50</sub> = 23.72 mg/L). In 137 order to obtain novel dihalopropene ethers 138 without trifluoromethyl groups, 139 3a was 140 optimized first by removing the trifluoromethyl 141 group. Because both the nitro group and the 142 nitrogen atom in the pyrimidyl ring are strongly electron-withdrawing, 3a was then optimized by 143 replacing the nitrogen atom next to the 144 trifluoromethyl group in the pyrimidyl ring with 145 C-NO<sub>2</sub>. This led 8a-2 146 to [2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 147 148 3-[5-nitro-6-ethoxy-2-pyridyloxy]propyl ether]. 149 8a-2 was synthesized starting from 2,6-dichloro-3-nitropyridine, and 8a'-2, the 150 151 3-NO<sub>2</sub> compound, was obtained as a by-product 152 of 8a-2, the 5-NO<sub>2</sub> compound. However, the insecticidal activity against M. separate of 153 154 5-NO<sub>2</sub> compound (LC<sub>50</sub> = 7.45 mg/L) was 155 relatively stronger than that of the 3-NO<sub>2</sub> 156 compound (LC<sub>50</sub> > 40 mg/L). In addition, bioassay showed that biological activities of 157 158 8a-2 against the larvae of some important pests such as *M. separate* (LC<sub>50</sub> = 7.45 mg/L) and 159 Plutella xylostella (LC<sub>50</sub> = 7.07 mg/L) were 160 161 comparable to that of Pyridalyl ( $LC_{50} = 4.81$ mg/L and 4.29 mg/L respectively). However, 162 8a-2 (LC<sub>50</sub> = 54.92 mg/L) was less active 163 against Prodenia litura compared to Pyridalyl 164  $(LC_{50} = 10.07 \text{ mg/L}).$ 165

166 In fact, we did not discover any compound 167 whose insecticidal activity against P. litura was comparable to that of Pyridalyl until we 168 replaced 6-ethoxy in 8a-2 with hydrogen, which 169 170 led to our final product **8**e [2,6-dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 171 3-[5-nitro-2-pyridyloxy]propyl ether]. Although 172 173 Sakamoto et al. suggested that trifluoromethyl group on the 5-position was required, our other 174 175 works on nitropyridyl-based compounds indicated that hydrogen exhibited similar or 176 stronger insecticidal activities than 6-alkoxy. 177

- 178 Therefore, **8a-2** was optimized by replacing the
- 179 ethoxy with hydrogen to give 8e. Bioassay
- 180 results indicated that 8e exhibited insecticidal
- 181 activities against major agricultural pests such
- 186

- 182 as M. separate, P. xylostella, P. litura, and etc
- 183 at levels similar to that of Pyridalyl. The design
- 184 strategies of all compounds are shown in Figure
- 185 **2**.

#### 187 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise noted, reagents and solvents 188 were used as received from commercial 189 190 suppliers. NMR spectra were obtained with a 191 Varian INOVA-300 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard 192 and deuteriochloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) as the solvent. 193 194 LC-MS recorded with were an 195 Agilent 1260/6120 Series and GC-MS with an 7890-5975C 196 Agilent Series. Uncorrected melting points were taken in a WRS-1A digital 197 melting points apparatus. Elemental analyses 198 were obtained with a Vario EL III from 199 200 Elementar.

201 Synthesis. The general synthetic methods for
202 the target compounds 8 are shown in Figure 3.
203 Representative procedures are given below.
204 Yields were not optimized. All reactions were
205 carried out under a protective atmosphere of dry
206 nitrogen or utilizing a calcium chloride tube.

207 2,6-Dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenol (4a). 1,1,3-Trichloropropene (27.6 g, 190 mmol) 208 209 in N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF; 30 mL) was 210 added dropwise to a solution of hydroquinone 211 (23.1 g, 210 mmol) and potassium carbonate (30.4 g, 220 mmol) in DMF (100 mL) at room 212 temperature. After stirred for 6-8 h, the reaction 213 was poured into ice-water (500 mL) and 214 extracted with ethyl acetate (2\*250 mL). The 215

combined ethyl acetate extracts were washed 216 with water and dried with anhydrous sodium 217 218 sulfate. Then the solvent was removed using 219 rotary evaporator. The residue was separated by 220 silica-gel column chromatography with 221 petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (10:1 by 222 volume) as eluant to give 99.5% (GC) 223 4-((3,3-dichloroallyl)oxy)phenol 26.2 g (63.0%). Sulfuryl dichloride (35.0 g, 260 mmol) was 224 225 added dropwise to the solution of 226 4-((3,3-dichloroallyl)oxy)phenol (26.2 g, 120 mmol) and triethylamine (0.70 g, 7.0 mmol) in 227 228 toluene (150 mL) at 90-100°C. The reaction was stirred for 8-10 h. After the extra sulfuryl 229 230 dichloride was removed, the resulting solution 231 was poured into ice-water (500 mL), washed 232 with saturated sodium hydrogencarbonate 233 solution and then water, and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was 234 removed to give 95.5% (GC) 4a 26.6 g (73.7%). 235

#### 236 2,6-Dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl

3-hydroxypropyl ether (5a). 3-Bromopropanol 237 238 (2.02 g, 14.5 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was added 239 dropwise to a solution of 4a (2.88 g, 10 mmol) and potassium carbonate (2.00 g, 14.5 mmol) in 240 241 DMF (60 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 26-28 h, after which the 242 243 reaction was poured into ice-water (150 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (2\*100 mL). The 244

combined ethyl acetate extracts were washed 245 246 with water and dried with anhydrous sodium 247 sulfate. Then the solvent was removed using 248 rotary evaporator. The residue was separated by 249 silica-gel column chromatography with 250 petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (10:1 by 251 volume) as eluant to give 96.0% (GC) 5a 2.14 g 252 (59.4%).

253 6-Chloro-2-ethoxy-3-nitropyridine (6a-2). Ethanol (2.40 g, 52.0 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) 254 255 was added dropwise to a solution of 60% sodium 256 hydride (4.48 g, 112 mmol) in toluene (80 mL) 257 at 0 ℃ and stirred for 30-45 min. 2,6-Dichloro-3-nitropyridine (10.0 g, 52.0 mmol) 258 in toluene (40 mL) was added dropwise to the 259 260 reaction mixture. After stirred for 2-4 h at room 261 temperature, the reaction was poured into ice-water (250 mL) and extracted with toluene 262 263 (2\*150 mL). The combined toluene extracts 264 were washed with water and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was 265 removed to give a residue 9.80 g. After 266 267 separated by silica-gel column chromatography with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate 268 (100:1~50:1 by volume) as eluant to give 95.2% 269 (GC) 6a-2 7.92 g (71.7%); GC-MS (EI, 70Ev) 270 (m/z) 202 (50% M<sup>+</sup>), 174 (70% M<sup>+</sup>-28), 158 271 272  $(100\% \text{ M}^+-44)$ , 117  $(60\% \text{ M}^+-85)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ(CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.45 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.55 (2H, 273

274 q, *J*=7.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.01 (1H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Py H),

275 8.24 (1H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Py H).

276 2-Chloro-6-ethoxy-3-nitropyridine (6a'-2). Sodium ethanolate (4.10 g, 60 mmol) in ethanol 277 (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 278 2,6-dichloro-3-nitropyridine (11.6 g, 60 mmol) 279 280 in ethanol (40 mL) at 0°C. After stirred for 4-5 h at room temperature, the reaction was poured 281 into ice-water (350 mL) and extracted with ethyl 282 acetate (2\*100 mL). The combined ethyl acetate 283 extracts were washed with water and dried with 284 285 anhydrous sodium sulfate. Then the solvent was removed using rotary evaporator to give a 286 287 residue which was then 288 recrystallized from petroleum ether and ethyl 289 acetate to give 97.0% (GC) 6a'-2 5.62 g (44.7%). 290 GC-MS (EI, 70Ev) (m/z) 202 (30% M<sup>+</sup>), 187 291  $(100\% M^+-15), 174 (60\% M^+-28), 158 (60\% M^+-28))$  $M^+-44$ ), 117 (30%  $M^+-85$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 292 293 1.40 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.44 (2H, q, J=7.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.74 (1H, d, J=8.7 Hz, Py H), 8.24 294 (1H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz, Py H). 295

296 2-(3-Bromopropoxy)-6-ethoxy-3-nitropyridine 297 (7a'-2). 6a'-2 (2.02 g, 10 mmol) in 298 tetrahydrofuran (THF; 20 mL) was added 299 dropwise to a solution of 60% sodium hydride (0.52 g, 13 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C and 300 301 stirred for 15-30 min. 3-Bromopropanol (1.39 g, 302 10.0 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was then added

dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 303 room temperature for 2-3 h, after which the 304 305 reaction was treated as described in the synthesis 306 of 5a. The residue was separated by silica-gel column chromatography with petroleum ether 307 308 and ethyl acetate (50:1 by volume) as eluant to 309 give 98.9% (GC) 7a'-2 2.00 g (64.9%). GC-MS (EI, 70Ev) (m/z) 304 (60%  $M^+$ ), 289 (15%) 310  $M^{+}$ -15), 169 (100%  $M^{+}$ -135), 156 (75%  $M^{+}$ -148), 311 140 (65%  $M^+$ -164); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.40 (3H, 312 t, J=7.05 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.36-2.42 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 313 3.67 (2H, t, J=6.15 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.43 (2H, q, J=7.1 314 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.62 (2H, t, J=6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.35 (1H, 315 d, J=8.7 Hz, Py H), 8.32 (1H, d, J=8.7 Hz, Py 316 317 H).

318 2,6-Dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 319 3-[6-ethoxy-5-nitro-2-pyridyloxy] propyl ether (8a-2). 5a (0.88 g, 2.54 mmol) in THF (10 mL) 320 was added dropwise to a solution of 6a-2 (0.52 g, 321 2.54 mmol) and 60% sodium hydride (0.12 g, 322 3.00 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0°C, then stirred 323 for 8-10 h at 20-30°C. The reaction mixture was 324 poured into ice-water (120 mL) and extracted 325 with ethyl acetate (2\*50 mL). The combined 326 327 ethyl acetate extracts were washed with water and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After 328 the solvent was removed, the crude product was 329 separated by silica-gel column chromatography 330 331 using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (200:1 to

100:1 by volume) as eluant to give 95.7% 332 (HPLC) 8a-2 as an oil 0.38 g, yield 28.0%. 333 LC-MS (APCI, Pos)(m/z) 511 (M<sup>+</sup>+1); calc for 334 C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: C 44.56, H 3.54, N 5.47; found: 335 C 44.65, H 3.59, N 5.42; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 336 337 MHz) δ: 1.45 (3H, t, *J* =7.1 Hz), 2.26~2.34 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, t, J=5.9 Hz), 4.54 (2H, q, J=7.1 338 Hz), 4.56 (2H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 4.57 (2H, d, J=6.6 339 Hz), 6.09 (1H, t, J=6.2 Hz), 6.34 (1H, d, J=8.7 340 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.31 (1H, d, *J*=9.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C 341 342 NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ: 14.39, 29.34, 63.76, 64.15, 65.41, 69.66, 126.53, 115.19, 120.55, 343 124.43, 124.93, 129.58, 138.61, 145.53, 154.00, 344 345 157.03, 164.93.

#### 346 2,6-Dichloro-4-(3,3-dichloroallyloxy)phenyl 3-[6-ethoxy-3-nitro-2-pyridyloxy]propyl 347 ether (8a'-2). 7a'-2 (1.62 g, 5.30 mmol) in THF (10 348 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 60% 349 sodium hydride (0.26 g, 6.50 mmol) and 4a 350 (1.53 g, 5.30 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0°C. The 351 reaction mixture was stirred for 25-30 min at 352 $0^{\circ}$ C, then for 10-12 h at 20-30 °C. The reaction 353 354 was then treated as described in the synthesis of 8a-2 to give 96.6% (HPLC) 8a'-2 as an oil 1.05 355 g, yield 37.6%. LC-MS (APCI, Pos)(m/z) 511 356 $(M^{+}+1)$ ; calc for $C_{19}H_{18}Cl_4N_2O_6$ : C 44.56, H 357 3.54, N 5.47; found: C 44.59, H 3.50, N 5.52; <sup>1</sup>H 358 NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ: 1.39 (3H, t, J =7.1 359

360 Hz), 2.31~2.39 (2H, m), 4.17 (2H, t, *J*=5.9 Hz),
361 4.41 (2H, q, *J* =7.1 Hz), 4.56 (2H, d, *J*=6.3 Hz),
362 4.75 (2H, t, *J*=6.3 Hz), 6.09 (1H, t, *J*=6.2 Hz),
363 6.32 (1H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz), 6.82 (2H, s), 8.31 (1H, d,
364 *J*=8.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ: 14.36,
365 29.37, 63.45, 64.27, 65.44, 69.73, 102.56,
366 115.18, 124.50, 124.90, 126.26, 129.58, 138.54,
367 145.54, 154.00, 157.03, 165.05.

The synthesis of 8a'-1, 8a-3 - 8a-10, 8b-1 - 369 8b-10, 8c'-1, 8c-2 - 8c-4, 8d-1 - 8d-4, 8e' and 370 8e with  $\geq 95.0\%$  purity (HPLC) were similar to 371 that of 8a-2 or 8a'-2 and their structures were 372 supported by spectroscopic data in Table 1 -373 Table 2.

**Biological assay** (11-14)

375 **Test insects**. Stock colonies of test insects 376 were reared in a conditioned room maintained at 377  $25(\pm 1)^{\circ}$ C,  $60(\pm 5)^{\circ}$  relative humidity and 14:10 378 h/light:dark.

**Test compounds.** Stock solution of every test compound was prepared in DMF or acetone at a concentration of 1.0 g litre<sup>-1</sup>, and then diluted to the required test concentrations  $(1 \sim 500 \text{ mg})$ litre<sup>-1</sup>) with water containing 0.2% Tween 80.

Activity against *M. separate*. Ten third-instar of *M. separata* and 5 pieces of corn fragments were placed in a Petri dish, and sprayed with test solutions using a potter sprayer. After air-drying,

kept in a room for normal cultivation. Mortality 388 was determined 72 h after treatment. A control 389 390 group was tested with the solvent only. Test was run 3 times, and results were averaged. Data 391 were subjected to probit analysis and the 392 393 medium lethal concentration  $(LC_{50})$  value of 394 tested compounds were calculated (95% FL) 395 (15).

396 Activity against *Plutella xylostella* or Prodenia litura. Cabbage leaves were dipped 397 into test solutions for 10 s. After air-drying, five 398 399 leaves were put into a Petri dish with ten 400 third-instar of test insects, kept in a room for normal cultivation. Mortality was assessed 72 h 401 402 after treatment. A control group was tested with the solvent only. Test was run 4 times. Data were 403 treated as described in M. separate. 404

405

#### 406 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

407 Chemistry. The synthesis scheme shown in Figure 3 provides moderate yields of the test 408 compounds. The structures of all synthesized 409 compounds were analyzed and confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H 410 411 NMR and MS. Due to the structural similarity of compounds 8, only some representative 412 compounds, such as 8a'-1, 8a-2, 8a'-2, 8b-2, 8e 413 and **8e'**, were analyzed and confirmed by  ${}^{13}C$ 414 NMR and 8a-2, 8a'-2 and 8e by elemental 415 analysis. Table 1 summarizes the chemical 416

417 structures, MS data and physical characteristics418 of compounds 8. NMR and elemental analysis419 data are listed in Table 2.

420 Due to the presence of the nitro group on the 421 pyridyl ring, we expected to observe positional 422 isomers on compounds 8. Selective synthesis of 423 positional isomers of 6 can be achieved by selecting different solvents. In aprotic solvent, 424 the reaction of 2,6-dichloro-3-nitropyridine and 425 nucleophilic alcohol yields mostly 3-NO<sub>2</sub> 426 427 product 6a'; in protic solvent, however, the same 428 reaction gives mainly 5-NO<sub>2</sub> product 6a. Also in 429 protic solvent, reaction of the 2,6-dichloro-3-nitropyridine and nucleophilie 430 amine or thiol gives 3-NO<sub>2</sub> product 6b' or 6c'. 431

432 Positional isomers may be differentiated with 433 <sup>1</sup>H NMR data. Because  $NO_2$  is a strongly 434 electron-withdrawing group, when NO<sub>2</sub> is on the ortho position of -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O- (defined as 435 436 3-NO<sub>2</sub>), the maximum value of  $\delta_{\rm H}$  in -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O- is usually  $\geq$  4.75 ppm; 437 however when NO<sub>2</sub> is on the para position of 438 439 -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O- (defined as 5-NO<sub>2</sub>), the maximum value of  $\delta_{\rm H}$  in -OCH\_2CH\_2CH\_2O- is 440441 often < 4.75 ppm. For example,  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 4.56 ppm for **8a-2** and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 4.75 ppm for **8a'-2**. 442

Another way to identify positional isomers of
compounds 8 is to study the <sup>1</sup>H NMR or GC-MS
of their corresponding intermediate 6 and 7. In

<sup>1</sup>H NMR, the hydrogen on the ortho position of 446 chlorine on the pyridyl ring has lower chemical 447 448 shift value than the hydrogen on the ortho 449 position of alkoxy, alkylthio or alkylamino on the pyridyl ring. For example,  $\delta_{\rm H} = 7.01$  ppm for 450 451 **6a-2** and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 6.74 ppm for **6a'-2**. In GC-MS, when alkoxy locates between the nitro group and 452 the nitrogen atom in the pyridyl ring, the base 453 peak is usually  $M^+$  - alkoxy + 1 or  $M^+$  - alkyl + 1 454 because alkoxy or alkyl can be removed easily. 455 456 For example, the base peak of **6a-2** is 158 (202 - $OCH_2CH_3 + 1$ ), but the base peak of **6a'-2** is 187 457 (202 - CH<sub>3</sub>). 458

459 Insecticidal activity. All compounds prepared were evaluated for initial activity against M. 460 separate at 100 mg/L by typical assay above, and 461 LC<sub>50</sub> was calculated through further assay in 462 which five to six different concentrations were 463 464 used and listed in Table 1. A few representative 465 compounds were evaluated against P. xylostella and P. litura, and LC50 values of 8a-2 and 8e 466 467 were listed in Table 3. The activities of Pyridalyl 468 were also shown in Table 1 and Table 3.

As shown in **Table 1**, all compounds **8** except Aro **8a-9**, **8a-10**, **8d-2**, **8d-4** and **8'** exhibit 100% insecticidal activity against *M. separate* at 100 mg/L, and some compounds have shown potent insecticidal activities when compared with commercial insecticides. For example, **8a-2**, 475 **8a-4**, **8a-5**, **8b-2**, **8d-1** and **8e** have  $LC_{50} (mg L^{-1})$ 476 values of 7.45, 5.58, 8.58, 7.13, 8.37 and 4.09 477 respectively. Particularly,  $LC_{50}$  value of **8e** is at 478 the same level with that of Pyridalyl.

479 Apparent Structure-activity Relationship.
480 The general structure of compounds 8 (Figure 3)
481 was optimized through R moiety and the
482 position of the nitro group. Different choices of
483 R and position of NO<sub>2</sub> can greatly affect
484 insecticidal activity (Table 1).

485 When the nitro group was kept on the 486 5-position, the insecticidal activity against M. separata was influenced by the nature of the R 487 group. Changing the R group from 6-alkoxy to 488 489 6-alkylamino or 6-alkylthio maintained or slightly reduced the insecticidal activity. For 490 491 example, the insecticidal activities were 492 correlated as following:  $8c-2 < 8b-2 \approx 8a-2$ , 8b-3 < 8c-3 < 8a-3, 8c-4 < 8b-4 < 8a-4. For 493 494 6-substituted analogues, the results in Table 1 showed that a C2-C3 saturated chain alkyl was 495 496 required for the development of optimal 497 insecticidal activity, and there was no obvious 498 relationship between activity and the number of 499 carbon atoms in alkyl; for 3-substituted analogues, the insecticidal activities of the 500 corresponding compounds 501 increased. For 502 example, the insecticidal activities of 8d-1 and 8d-3 were stronger than that of 8d-2 and 8d-4 503

respectively; for R=H, the resulting compound 504 505 **8e** showed the highest activity among 506 compounds 8 and it possessed levels of 507 insecticidal activity comparable to that of Pyridalyl. When the position of the nitro group 508 509 was changed from 5-NO<sub>2</sub> to 3-NO<sub>2</sub> and R was 510 kept constant, the insecticidal activity of the corresponding compound decreased 511 as illustrated by the orders 8a'-2 << 8a-2, and 8e' 512 513 << 8e.

514 In general, for compounds 8:

- 515 Activity order of  $NO_2$ : 5- $NO_2$  >> 3- $NO_2$ .
- 516 Activity order of R: H > 6-OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> >
- 517 6-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, 6-NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> > 6-O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>,
- $518 \quad 3-Cl > 6-O(CH_2)_2CH_3, \quad 6-NHCH(CH_3)_2$ ,
- 519 6-SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> > 6-NHCH<sub>3</sub>, 6-S(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> >
- 520 3-NH<sub>2</sub>, 6-NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH  $\equiv$  CH, 6-NH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>,
- 521 6-NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>, 6-NHCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5.</sub>
- The above results demonstrate that besides the 522 trifluoromethyl group in Pyridalyl (6), a nitro 523 524 group on the 5-position of the pyridyl ring is also viable for the development of optimal insecticidal 525 526 activity when the chain between the phenyl ring and the pyridyl ring is -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O-. Further 527 studies biological 528 on the activity and structure-activity relationships of this series of 529 530 compounds are in progress.
- 531

#### 532 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

- 533 Corresponding Authors
- 534 \*Telephone: 86-731-85357883. E-mail:
- 535 huang-mz@163.com (M Huang).
- 536 \*Telephone: 86-731-85357859. E-mail:
- 537 Wang5999@163.com (X Wang).
- 538 Funding
- 539 Financial support was provided by the National
- 540 Natural Science Foundation of China541 (21272062).
- 542

#### 543 **REFERENCES**

- 544 (1) Picardi, P.; Massardo, P.; Bettarini, F.; Longoni A.
  545 New chlorinated juenile hormone analoguse and their
  546 activity. *Pestic Sci.* 1980, 11,423-431.
- 547 (2) Quistad, B. G.; Cerf, C. D.; Kramer, J. S.; Bergot, B.
- 548 J.; Schooley, A.D. Design of novel insect and juvenile
- 549 hormones: allylic alcohol derivatives. J. Agric. Food
- 550 Chem. 1985, 33, 47-50.
- 551 (3) Wei, T. L.; Xu, M. C.; Liu, X. P.; Pei, H.; Zuo, J. J.;
- 552 Yu, Y. Y.; Xiang, J.; Lin, X. M.; Liu A. P. Synthesis and
- 553 insecticidal activity of dichloroally ethers containing
- pyrimidine group. *Fine Chemical Intermediates* 2012,42(1), 14-17.
- 556 (4) Sakamoto, N.; Matsuo, S.; Suzuki, M.; Hirose, T.;
- 557 Tsushima, K.; Umeda, K. Prepartion of dihalopropene
- insecticides and acaricides. European Patent ApplicationEP 785923, 1997.
- 560 (5) Saito, S.; Isayama, S.; Sakamoto, N.; Umeda, K.;
- 561 Kasamatsu, K. Pyridalyl: A novel insecticidal agent for
- 562 controlling lepidopterous pests. Proc Brighton Crop Prot
- 563 Conf-Pests and Diseases, BCPC, Farnham, Surrey, UK,

564 2002, 33-38.

- 565 (6) Sakamoto, N.; Saito, S.; Hirose, T.; Suzuki, M.;
- 566 Matsuo, S.; Izumi, K.; Nagatomi, T.; Ikegami, H.;
- 567 Umeda, K.; Tsushima, K.; Matsuo, N. The discovery of
- 568 Pyridalyl: a novel insecticidal agent for controlling
- 569 lepidopterous pests. Pest Manag. Sci. 2004, 60, 25-34.
- 570 (7) Sakamoto, N.; Suzuki, M.; Tsushima, K.; Umeda, K.
- 571 Preparation of substituted phenyl-containing
- 572 dihalopropene insecticides and acaricides. European
- 573 Patent Application EP 722430, 1996.
- 574 (8) Sakamoto, N.; Suzuki, M.; Nagatomi, T.; Tsushima,
- 575 K.; Umeda, K. Preparation of dihalopropene aryl ethers
- 576 as insecticides and acaricides. European Patent
- 577 Application EP 648729, 1995.
- 578 (9) Welch, J. T.; Eswarakrishnan, S. Fluorine in
- 579 Bioorganic Chemistry (Version 1.0); New York, Wiley,580 1991.
- 581 (10) Banks, R. E.; Smart, B. E.; Tatlow, J. C.; editors.
- 582 Organofluorine Chemistry: Principles and Commercial
- 583 Applications (Version 1.0); New York, Plenum Press,584 1994.
- 585 (11) Liu, A. P.; Ou, X. P.; Huang, M. Z.; Wang, X. G.;
- 586 Liu, X. P.; Wang, Y. J.; Chen, C.; Yao, J. R.; Synthesis
- 587 and insecticidal activities of novel oxime-ether
- 588 pyrethroids. Pest Manag. Sci. 2005, 61, 166-170.
- 589 (12) Liu, A. P.; Wang, X. G; Ou, X. M.; Huang, M. Z.;
- 590 Chen, C.; Liu, S. D.; Huang, L.; Liu, X. P.; Zhang, C. L.;
- 591 Zhen, Y. Q.; Ren, Y. G.; He, L.; Yao, J. R. Synthesis and
- 592 Fungicidal Activities of Novel
- 593 Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl-based Strobilurins. J. Agric.
- 594 Food Chem. 2008, 56, 6562–6566.
- 595 (13) Liu, A. P.; Wang, X. G.; Chen, C.; Pei, H.; Mao, C.
- 596 H.; Wang, Y. J.; Liu, X. P.; Hu, Z. B.; Huang, L.; He, H.
- 597 J.; Ou, X. M.; Huang, M. Z.; Yao, J. R. The discovery of
- 598 HNPC-A3066: a novel strobilurin acaricide. Pest Manag.

- 599 Sci. 2009, 65, 229-234.
- 600 (14) Liu, A. P.; Wang, X. G.; Ou, X. M.; , Huang, M. Z.;
- 601 Pei, H.; Liu, X. P.; Liu, S. D. The discovery of
- 602 insecticide sufluoxime. Fine Chemical Intermediates

- 603 2011, 41(5), 1-6.
- 604 (15) Bliss, C. The calculation of the dosage-mortality
- 605 curve. Ann. Appl. Biol. 1935, 22, 134-167.
- 606

R. N.	° ∕ ° 8	ci	formula	MS	mp ( °C )	mg/L	mg/L	regression equation	r	mg/L
No.	NO <sub>2</sub>	R	-			C	C			e
8a'-1	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	6-OCH <sub>3</sub>	C18H16Cl4N2O6	496	oil	$0^{a}$	0		-	>40
8a-2	5-NO <sub>2</sub>	6-OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{19}H_{18}Cl_4N_2O_6$	510	oil	100	100	Y=2.6262+2.77x	0.993	7.45
8a'-2	$3-NO_2$	6-OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{19}H_{18}Cl_4N_2O_6$	510	oil	$0^{a}$	0	-	-	>40
8a-3	$5-NO_2$	6-OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_4N_2O_6$	524	oil	100	100	Y=-0.9779+4.5542x	0.9439	9.78
8a-4	$5-NO_2$	6-OCH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_4N_2O_6$	524	oil	100	100	Y=0.1333+6.5171x	0.9528	5.58
8a-5	$5-NO_2$	6-O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{21}H_{22}Cl_{4}N_{2}O_{6} \\$	538	viscous solid	100	100	Y=1.5059+3.7473x	0.9974	8.58
8a-6	$5-NO_2$	6-OCHCH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{21}H_{22}Cl_4N_2O_6$	538	oil	100	9 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	> 20
8a-7	$5-NO_2$	6-OC(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	$C_{21}H_{22}Cl_4N_2O_6$	538	viscous solid	100	11	-	-	> 20
8a-8	$5-NO_2$	6-O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{22}H_{24}Cl_4N_2O_6$	552	viscous solid	100	45	Y=1.4715+2.4428x	0.9988	27.83
8a-9	5-NO <sub>2</sub>	6-0-	$C_{22}H_{22}Cl_4N_2O_6$	550	oil	30	0	-	-	>100
8a-10	5-NO <sub>2</sub>	6-0-	$C_{23}H_{24}Cl_4N_2O_6$	564	oil	80	17 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	>20
8b-1	5-NO <sub>2</sub>	6-NHCH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{18}H_{17}Cl_4N_3O_5$	495	81.7-83.2	100	100	Y=-0.0586+4.901x	0.9721	10.77
8b-2	$5-NO_2$	6-NHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{19}H_{19}Cl_4N_3O_5$	509	36.0-36.9	100	100	Y=1.0031+4.6838x	0.9629	7.13
8b-3	$5-NO_2$	6-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{20}H_{21}Cl_4N_3O_5$	523	63.2-64.5	100	27	Y=0.7600+3.4073x	1.0000	17.48
8b-4	$5-NO_2$	6-NHCH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	$C_{20}H_{21}Cl_4N_3O_5$	523	62.4-66.1	100	100	Y=1.3962+3.7704x	0.9270	9.03
8b-5	$5-NO_2$	6-NH(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{21}H_{23}Cl_4N_3O_5$	537	61.6-64.1	100	30	Y=-2.8521+5.5649x	0.9738	25.76
8b-6	$5-NO_2$	6-NHCH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{20}H_{19}Cl_4N_3O_5\\$	521	52.6-55.0	100	43	Y=-2.5045+5.9926x	0.9534	17.88
8b-7	$5-NO_2$	6-NHCH <sub>2</sub> C≡CH	$C_{20}H_{17}Cl_4N_3O_5\\$	519	76.4-97.4	100	53	Y=-1.9984+5.7114x	0.9699	16.80
8b-8	$5-NO_2$	6-NHC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	$C_{23}H_{19}Cl_4N_3O_5$	557	74.9-76.2	$0^{a}$	0	-	-	>40
8b-9	$5-NO_2$	6-NHCH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	$C_{24}H_{21}Cl_{4}N_{3}O_{5} \\$	571	oil	100	37	Y=1.8561+2.5131x	0.9869	17.82
8b-10	$5-NO_2$	6-NH <sub>2</sub>	$C_{17}H_{15}Cl_{4}N_{3}O_{5}$	481	yellow solid	100	< 50	Y=-1.0132+4.3627x	0.9037	16.47
8c'-1	$3-NO_2$	6-SCH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{18}H_{16}Cl_4N_2O_5S$	512	89.9-91.1	20	0	-	-	>100
8c-2	$5-NO_2$	6-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{19}H_{18}Cl_4N_2O_5S$	526	viscous solid	100	87	Y=2.9291+2.144x	0.9081	9.24
8c-3	$5-NO_2$	6-S(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_{4}N_{2}O_{5}S$	540	viscous solid	100	73	Y=2.2714+2.6178x	0.9854	11.02
8c-4	$5-NO_2$	6-SCH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_{4}N_{2}O_{5}S$	540	oil	100	3.3	-	-	>20
8d-1	$5-NO_2$	3-Cl	$C_{17}H_{13}Cl_5N_2O_5\\$	500	oil	100	100	Y=2.3223+2.9004x	0.9826	8.37
8d-2	$5-NO_2$	6-Cl	$C_{17}H_{13}Cl_5N_2O_5\\$	500	oil	0	0	-	-	>100
8d-3	$5-NO_2$	3-Br	$C_{17}H_{13}BrCl_4N_2O_5$	544	viscous solid	100	-	Y=0.9814+2.8266x	0.9586	26.41
8d-4	$5-NO_2$	6-Br	$C_{17}H_{13}BrCl_4N_2O_5$	544	oil	0	0			> 500
8e	$5-NO_2$	Н	$C_{17}H_{14}Cl_4N_2O_5\\$	466	oil	100	100	Y=3.2727+2.8259x	0.9888	4.09
8e <sup>°</sup>	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	Н	$C_{17}H_{14}Cl_4N_2O_5\\$	466	oil	-	-	Y=-0.5573+2.7648x	0.9657	102.3
Pyridalyl					100	100	Y=2.8967+3.0826x	0.9948	4.81	

 $\frac{608}{609}$   $\frac{\text{Table 1. Chemical Structures, MS, Physical Characteristics, Insecticidal Activity against$ *M. separate*at 100 mg/L and LC<sub>50</sub> (3d) of compounds 8.

<sup>a</sup>:40 mg/L; <sup>b</sup>:12.5 mg/L; <sup>c</sup>:no test;

612	Table 2.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR data of the synthesized nitropyridyl-based dichloropropene ethers
012	I HOIC .	If it it is a contract of the synthesized intropying i bused diemoropropene ethers

No.	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> , 300 MHz) and <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> , 75 MHz), δ ppm; elemental analysis
8a'-1	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 2.34~2.40 (2H, m), 4.01 (3H, s), 4.12 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.56 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz), 4.79 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 6.09 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz),
	6.35 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz), 6.82 (2H, s), 8.32 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup> C NMR: 29.40, 54.63, 64.35, 65.48, 69.74, 102.67, 115.25, 124.50, 124.90,
	126.26, 129.69, 138.63, 145.69, 154.00, 157.05,165.35
8a-3	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1.04 (3H, t, <i>J</i> =7.5 Hz), 1.84~1.91 (2H, m), 2.28~2.34 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.15 Hz), 4.46 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i>
	=6.6 Hz), 4.67 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 6.34 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz), 6.82 (2H, s), 8.33 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =8.7 Hz).
8a-4	'H NMR: 1.44 (6H, d, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.26 $\sim$ 2.34 (2H, m), 4.11 (2H, t, $J = 5.85$ Hz), 4.60 (2H, d, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 4.65 (2H, t, $J = 4.2$ Hz), 5.44 $\sim$ 5.52 (1H, t) = 6.3 Hz), 2.26 $\sim$ 2.34 (2H, m), 4.11 (2H, t) = 5.85 Hz), 4.60 (2H, d, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 4.65 (2H, t) = 5.85 Hz), 4.60 (2H, d) = 5.85 Hz, 4.65 (2H, t) = 5.85 Hz, 5.55 (2H, t) = 5.85 Hz, 4.65 (2H, t) = 5.85 Hz, 5.65 (2H, t) = 5.85 Hz, 5.85 Hz, 5.85 Hz, 5.85 Hz
	(1H, m), 6.11 (1H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 6.32 (1H, d, J=8.7 Hz), 6.81 (2H, s), 8.31 (1H, d, J=8.7 Hz).
8a-5	'H NMR: 0.95 (3H, t, <i>J</i> =7.5Hz), 1.48~1.55 (2H, m), 1.80~1.85 (2H, m), 2.28~2.32 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.50 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz),
0 (	4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.65 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.34 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =8.7 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.32 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =8.7 Hz).
8a-6	'H NMR: 1.00 (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz), 1.40 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz), 1.70 $\sim$ 1.89 (2H, m), 2.26 $\sim$ 2.34 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, $J=6.3$
	Hz), 4.65 (2H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 5.22~5.34 (1H, m), 6.11 (1H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 6.32 (1H, d, J=9.0 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.31 (1H, d, J=8.7 Hz).
8a-7	'H NMR: 1.68 (9H, s), 2.30~2.32 (2H, m), 4.13 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.57 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.62 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz),
0.0	6.31 (1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.24 (1H, d, J = 8.7 Hz).
88-8	'H NMR: $0.92$ (3H, $t, J = 6.9$ Hz), $1.39 \sim 1.59$ (4H, m), $1.82 \sim 1.87$ (2H, m), $2.28 \sim 2.32$ (2H, m), $4.12$ (2H, $t, J = 6.0$ Hz), $4.49$ (2H, $t, J = 6.6$
8- 0	$Hz_1, 4.58 (2H, q, J=0.6Hz), 4.0 (2H, t, J=0.5Hz), 0.11 (1H, t, J=0.5Hz), 0.54 (1H, q, J=8.7Hz), 0.84 (2H, s), 8.52 (1H, q, J=8.7Hz).$
8a-9	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: $1.60 \sim 2.05$ (8H, m), $2.26 \sim 2.36$ (2H, m), $4.12$ (2H, t, $J = 5.85$ Hz), $4.58$ (2H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz), $4.67$ (2H, t, $J = 6.45$ Hz), $5.54 \sim 5.59$ (1H, m), $(11, 4)$ $L = 6.7$ Hz), $(21, 4)$ $L = 6.7$ Hz),
8a 10	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{m}, 0, 11 \ (1\text{H}, 1, 3 = 0.5 \text{ HZ}), 0.51 \ (1\text{H}, 0, 3 = 3.7 \text{ HZ}), 0.84 \ (2\text{H}, 8), 8.29 \ (1\text{H}, 0, 3 = 9.0 \text{ HZ}). \end{array} $
0a-10	$\begin{array}{c} H \left( M \right) = 1 \left( 2M \right) \left( 10H \right) = 0.23 \left( 2H \right) \left( 2H \right) \left( 12H \right) \left( 12H \right) \left( 2H \right) \left( 12H \right) \left$
8h-1	(1n, m), 0.11 (2n, t, J = 0.15 Hz), 0.51 (1n, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 0.64 (2n, s), 6.50 (1n, d, J = 6.7 Hz).
0.0-1	= 9.0  Hz, 6.12 (111  t, J = 6.0  Hz), 6.84 (211  s), 8.12 (211  t, J = 9.0  Hz), 8.640 (111  s)
8b-2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1 29 (3H t $J=7$ 2 Hz) 2 25~2 33 (2H m) 3 60~3 69 (2H m) 4 10 (2H t $J=6$ 0 Hz) 4 57 (2H d $J=6$ 3 Hz) 4 64 (2H t $J=6$ 3
	Hz), 6.02 (1H, t, $J$ = 9.3 Hz), 6.09 (1H, t, $J$ = 6.3 Hz), 6.82 (2H, s), 8.27 (1H, d, $J$ = 9.3 Hz), 8.65 (1H, s): <sup>13</sup> C NMR: 14.65, 36.14, 29.31, 63.75
	65.39, 69.82, 100.40, 115.14, 121.64, 124.44, 124.85, 129.59, 138.88, 153.93, 145.56, 153.16, 166.52; Anal. Calcd. for C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub> : C
	44.64, H 3.75, N 8.22; found: C 44.64, H 3.82, N 8.16.
8b-3	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1.00 (3H, t, <i>J</i> =7.5 Hz), 1.65~1.75 (2H, m), 2.25~2.33 (2H m), 3.55~3.61 (2H, m), 4.13 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.57 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3
	Hz), 4.64 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.55 Hz), 6.03(1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.3 Hz), 6.11(1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.15 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.29 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.3 Hz), 8.73 (1H, s).
8b-4	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1.25 (6H, d), 2.25~2.34 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.43~4.48 (1H, m), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.66 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 6.03
	(1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz), 6.12 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.29 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.3 Hz), 8.60 (1H, s).
8b-5	'H NMR: 0.97 (3H, t, <i>J</i> =7.2 Hz), 1.37~1.49 (2H, m), 1.62~1.72 (2H, m), 2.25~2.36 (2H, m), 3.57~3.65 (2H, m), 4.10 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz),
	4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.68 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 6.03 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.3 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.15 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.29 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.3 Hz), 8.72
8h 6	$ \begin{array}{l} (1n, s). \\ \begin{array}{l} 1n \text{ NMD} \cdot 226 - 222 (201 \text{ m}) & 411 (201 + 1 - 5.95 \text{ Hz}) & 422 - 429 (201 \text{ m}) & 457 (201 \text{ d}) & -465 (201 + 1 - 6.2 \text{ Hz}) & 516 - 5.21 (201 \text{ m}) \\ \end{array} $
00-0	$ = 1000 \text{ m} \text{ (Mik. 2.20 } \text{ 2.32 } (2\text{ m}, \text{ iii}), 4.11 (2\text{ m}, \text{ i}, J = 3.83 \text{ m} \text{ m}), 4.23 } \text{ 4.26 } (2\text{ m}, \text{ iii}), 4.37 (2\text{ m}, \text{ u}, J = 0.0 \text{ m} \text{ m}), 4.03 (2\text{ m}, \text{ i}, J = 0.5 \text{ m} \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 3.31 (2\text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.00 (111 \text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.01 (2\text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.00 (111 \text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.01 (2\text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.00 (111 \text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.01 (2\text{ m}, \text{ m}), 5.01 \approx 5.01 $
8h.7	$\lim_{n \to \infty} 5.57 = 5.57 (111, 111), 0.00 (111, 0, 3 = 5.0 112), 0.11 (111, 0, 3 = 0.5 112), 0.04 (211, 5), 0.50 (111, 0, 3 = 5.0 112), 0.73 (111, 5).$
00-7	$H_{2}$ 6 11 (1H t $I = 6.3$ Hz), 6 12 (1H d $I = 9.0$ Hz) 6 84(2H s) 8 32 (1H d $I = 9.0$ Hz) 8 65 (1H s)
8b-8	<sup>1</sup> H NMR $\cdot 25 \sim 23 \circ (2H m) = 4.09 (2H + J = 5.85 Hz) = 4.58 (2H + J = 6.3 Hz) = 4.63 (2H + J = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.15 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.5 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.5 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.11 (1H + J = 6.5 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.21 (1H d = 6.5 Hz) = 6.22 (1H d = 6.5 Hz$
	J=90 Hz) 6.83 (2Hz) 7.73~767 (5H m) 8.42 (1H d $J=90$ Hz) 10.64 (1H s)
8b-9	$^{1}$ H NMR: 2 18~2 26 (2H m) 4 07 (2H t J=5 85 Hz) 4 57 (2H t J=6 0 Hz) 4 58 (2H d J=6 3 Hz) 4 81 (2H d J=5 7 Hz) 6 09 (1H d J
	= 90  Hz = 610 (Hz + J = 615  Hz) = 684 (2Hz) = 7.77 (5Hz) = 8.30 (Hz + J = 00  Hz) = 9.0  Hz = 9.0  Hz
8b-10	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: $2.25 \sim 2.33$ (2H m) 4.11 (2H t $I = 5.7$ Hz) 4.58 (2H d $I = 6.6$ Hz) 4.60 (2H t $I = 5.7$ Hz) 6.12 (1H t $I = 6.6$ Hz) 6.16 (1H d $I$
	=9.3  Hz, 6.84 (2H, s), 8.30 (1H, d, J=9.3  Hz).
8c'-1	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 2.34~2.38 (2H, m), 2.62 (3H, s), 4.19 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.85 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.11(1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz),
	6.83 (2H, s), 6.88 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =8.7 Hz), 8.17(1H, d, <i>J</i> =8.7 Hz).
8c-2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1.38 (3H, t, <i>J</i> =7.2 Hz), 2.30~2.36 (2H, m), 3.22 (2H, q, <i>J</i> =7.2 Hz), 4.13 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.59 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.85 Hz), 4.73 (2H, t, J), 4.73 (2H, t, J)
	=6.3 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 6.54 (1H, d, J=9.0 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s,), 8.41 (1H, d, J=9.0 Hz).
8c-3	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1.07 (3H, t, J=7.5 Hz), 1.72~1.85 (2H, m), 2.28~2.36 (2H, m), 3.18 (2H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 4.14 (2H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, J=6.0 Hz), 4.58 (
	Hz), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.11 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.45 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s), 8.40 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz).
8c-4	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 1.43 (6H, d, <i>J</i> =6.9 Hz), 2.28~2.36 (2H, m,), 4.07~4.17 (1H, m,), 4.13 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz,), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.58 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.6 Hz), 4.72 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =5.7 Hz), 4.72 (2H, t, J)
	=6.45 Hz), 6.11 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.50 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz), 6.85 (2H, s), 8.40 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0 Hz).
8d-1	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 2.36~2.41 (2H, m), 4.18 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.68 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.82 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 6.17 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.84 (2H, s),
0.5.5	8.45 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.7 Hz), 8.99 (1H, d, <i>J</i> = 2.4 Hz).
8d-2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 2.30~2.38 (2H, m), 4.18 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.57 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.80 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.11 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3Hz), 6.83 (2H, s),
012	7.02 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz), 8.26 (1H, d, J=8.1 Hz).
8d-3	<sup>H</sup> NMR: $2.27/2.35$ (2H, m), 4.13 (2H, t, $J$ =6.0 Hz), 4.61 (2H, d, $J$ =6.3 Hz), 4.69 (2H, t, $J$ =6.3 Hz), 6.14 (1H, t, $J$ =6.2 Hz), 6.82 (2H, s),
0.1.4	8.01 (1H, $d, J = 2.7$ Hz), 8.99 (1H, $d, J = 2.7$ Hz).
8 <b>d</b> -4	H NMK: $2.30 \sim 2.40$ (2H, m), $4.18$ (2H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz), $4.57$ (2H, d, $J=6.3$ Hz), $4.80$ (2H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.11$ (1H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.82$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.82$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.82$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.82$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.82$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $6.82$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (2H, s), $7.22$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (2H, s), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (2H, s), $8.12$ (1H, $J=6.0$ Hz), $8.12$ (2H, s), $8$
80'	$^{-1}$ L2 (1H, u, J = 5.4 HZ), 5.15 (1H, u, J = 5.1 HZ). $^{-1}$ H NMP: 2 30 2 38 (2H m) 4 18 (2H t = 60 Hz) 4 57 (2H d = 6.2 Hz) 4 81(2H t = 6.0 Hz) 6.00 (1H t = 6.2 Hz) 6.02 (2H - )
oe	1 IVVIK. 2.30-2.30 (2.1, III), 4.10 (2.1, I, $J=0.0 \text{ nz}$ ), 4.37 (2.1, U, $J=0.3 \text{ HZ}$ ), 4.81(2.1, I, $J=0.0 \text{ HZ}$ ), 0.09 (1.1, I, $J=0.3 \text{ HZ}$ ), 0.82 (2.1, S), 7.01(111 dd $J=8.1 \text{ Hz}$ 4.8 Hz) 8.25(111 dd $J=8.1 \text{ Hz}$ 1.8 Hz) 8.39(111 dd $J=4.8 \text{ Hz}$ 1.8 Hz). <sup>13</sup> C NMR: 20.41 64.15 65.45 60.74 115.18
	116.34, 124.51, 124.89, 129.70, 133.95, 134.91, 145.74, 153.43, 153.93.156.28.
8e	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 2.28-2.36 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.0 Hz), 4.57 (2H, d, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 4.70 (2H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.09 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =6.3 Hz), 6.82 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.0
1	

Hz), 6.83 (2H, s), 8.34 (1H, dd, J=9.0 Hz, 2.7 Hz), 9.09 (1H, d, J=2.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 29.39, 64.29, 65.40, 69.74, 111.22, 115.16, 124.43, 124.96, 129.66, 133.85, 139.30, 144.88, 145.62, 153.97, 167.05; Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: C 43.62, H 3.01, N 5.98; found: C 43.64, H

613

3.00, N 6.00.

614

615

616 617

**Table 3.** LC<sub>50</sub> against *P. xylostella* and *P. litura* of 8a-2, 8e and Pyridalyl (3d)

				,
No.	Y=a+bx	r	LC50(mg/L)	test insect
8a-2	Y=2.6262+2.77x	0.993	7.07	P. xylostella
8e	Y=4.0618+1.4006x	0.9964	4.68	
Pyridyl	Y=3.9255+1.6996x	0.9880	4.29	
8a-2	Y=-3.1505+4.6849x	0.9659	54.92	P. litura
8e	Y=2.8096+2.0033x	0.9734	11.66	
Pyridyl	Y=2.8738+2.1177x	0.9942	10.07	

620	Figure captation
621	
622	Figure 1: Pyridalyl and NO <sub>2</sub> , CF <sub>3</sub> analogues quantitative structure-activity profiles
623	
624	
625	Figure 2: Design strategies of the target compounds containing nitropyridyl
626	
627	
628	Figure 3: Synthetic pathways for the novel nitropyridyl-based dichloropropene ethers
629	
630	
631	



