Eur J Med Chem (1995) 30, 483–496 © Elsevier, Paris

Syntheses of 5-thienyl- and 5-furyl-substituted benzodiazepines: probes of the pharmacophore for benzodiazepine receptor agonists

W Zhang¹, R Liu¹, Q Huang¹, P Zhang¹, KF Koehler², B Harris³, P Skolnick³, JM Cook^{1*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA;

2 Istituto di Ricerche di Biologia Moleculare P Angeletti (IRBM), via Pontina Km 30, 600, 00040 Pomezia, Rome, Italy; 3 Laboratory of Neuroscience, NIDDK, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

(Received 28 November 1994; accepted 22 February 1995)

Summary — The synthesis of 5-thienyl- and 5-furyl-substituted benzodiazepines is described. These compounds were employed to probe the lipophilic pocket (L_3) of the benzodiazepine receptor (BzR) and to determine the effect of occupation of L_3 on biological activity. Of the new analogs synthesized, the 5-(2-thienyl)-benzodiazepines **6a** and **7a** displayed high affinity for the BzR (IC₅₀ 28 and 18 nM, respectively) and exhibited both anticonvulsant (ED₅₀ ~ 9 and 3 mg/kg) and muscle relaxant (ED₅₀ ~ 10 and 7 mg/kg) activity. The 5-(3-thienyl)benzodiazepines **6d** and **7d** displayed only moderate affinity for the BzR (IC₅₀ 140 and 110 nM) and exhibited no biological activity (no anticonvulsant or muscle relaxant activity) at doses up to 40 mg/kg. The 5-(2-furyl)benzodiazepines (**6b**, **7b**, **19b** and **20b**) exhibit low affinities for the BzR. These *in vitro* and *in vivo* findings are consistent with our model suggesting that pocket L_3 is very sensitive to lipophilic effects. Thus, decreasing the lipophilicity of functional groups which occupy this region decreases ligand affinity at BzR. The 2'-halogen (F or Cl) substituent of the 5-phenylbenzodiazepines increases ligand affinity *in vitro* because the active conformation of the phenyl N(4)=C(5)-C(1')=C(2') moiety is *syn* rather than *anti*. The *syn* conformation permits the 2'-halogen (F or Cl) atom to interact at the hydrogen bonding site H_2 and form a stable three-centered hydrogen bond in the proposed ligand binding cleft. The 3-thienyl and 2-furyl groups decrease the lipophilicity of the substituent which occupies L_3 but do not form a hydrogen bond at H_2 , thus resulting in a diminished affinity at BzR.

benzodiazepine receptor / agonist pharmacophore / 5-furylbenzodiazepine / 5-thienylbenzodiazepine / structure-activity relationship

Introduction

Several medically important classes of compounds (eg, benzodiazepines, barbiturates, neuroactive steroids, and inhalation agents) enhance activity at a family of ligand-gated ion channels collectively known as GABA_A receptors [2]. In view of the therapeutic actions of compounds that affect GABA_A receptors, this family of ligand-gated ion channels remains an important target for drug development. Chemically diverse classes of compounds (eg, benzodiazepines [3] β -carbolines [4], triazolopyridazines [5] and pyrazolo-quinolinones [6]) bind with high affinities [7, 8] to a modulatory site on GABA_A receptors originally

referred to as the benzodiazepine receptor (BzR) [9, 10]. The pharmacological actions of BzR ligands span a continuum ranging from sedation and muscle relaxation (agonists) to seizures and anxiety (inverse agonists) [11, 12]. This spectrum of action results from the bidirectional modulation of GABA-gated chloride channels by BzR ligands. Thus, BzR agonists (*eg*, diazepam) augment while inverse agonists (*eg*, 3-methoxycarbonyl- β -carboline) inhibit the ability of GABA to hyperpolarize neuronal membranes [13].

Recently, an inclusive pharmacophore for ligand binding to benzodiazepine receptors has been described [7, 14, 15]. In comparison to the pharmacophore for inverse agonists, the agonist model contains one additional hydrogen bonding site of interaction (H₂) as well as two additional areas of lipophilic interaction (L₂ and L₃). Three areas of negative steric interaction (S₁, S₂ and S₃) between the ligand and the receptor-binding protein have also been defined [7, 14, 16]. Recent evidence suggests that full occupation of

^{*} Correspondence and reprints

This work was presented in a preliminary form in June 1993 at the 33rd National Organic Symposium, Bozeman, MT, USA [1].

 L_3 by the phenyl ring (C-6) of ZK-93423 resulted in a full agonist spectrum of activity (anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, muscle relaxant, sedative-hypnotic) [7, 17, 18], while partial occupation of the same region with a propyl group (C-6) resulted in an anxiolytic/ anticonvulsant (6-propyloxy-4-methoxymethyl-β-carboline carboxylic acid ethyl ester, 6PBC) response devoid of muscle-relaxant activity, a so-called partial agonist profile [7, 17]. In agreement with this hypothesis, flunitrazepam, triazolam, brotizolam, midazolam, and diazepam are full agonists and contain, for example, a phenyl group at the 5-position which can fully occupy lipophilic area L₃. Imidazobenzodiazepines such as Ro 15-4513, Ro 15-1788, Ro 14-7437, Ro 15-3505, Ro 19-4603, Ro 16-6028, and Ro 17-1812, are devoid of this large phenyl ring at area L_3 and they exhibit antagonist, partial agonist or partial inverse agonist activities (table I) [3, 7, 19]. It appears these compounds cannot fully occupy the lipophilic region L_3 of the BzR (fig 1). One hypothesis resulting from these findings is that full interaction of BzR ligands at L₃ together with the agonist requirement $(H_1, H_2, L_1 \text{ and } L_2)$ leads to full agonist activity, and partial interaction at L₃ results in a partial agonist response [7, 14, 17]. In order to determine the effect of occupation of L_3 on pharmacological action, a series of 5-thienyl- and 5-furyl-substituted benzodiazepines have been designed and synthesized.

Chemistry

The present series of compounds were prepared in order to better define the characteristics of proposed lipophilic pocket (L_3) and its effects on the profile of *in vivo* activity. The synthetic strategies employed here have been reported in a previous paper [14, 20].

The 2-phenyl-6-halo-4*H*-benzo[2,3-*d*]-1,3-oxazin-4-ones **1a**,**b** were prepared by following work reported earlier [14, 20, 21]. Treatment of **1a** and **1b** (individually) with 1.2–1.3 equivalents of the lithium reagent at -30°C furnished the desired ketones **2a**,**b**,**c** accompanied by bis-(aryl) alcohol byproducts **3a**,**b**,**c**, respectively (scheme 1). Hydrolysis of the ketoamides **2a**,**b**,**c** (individually) under alkaline or acidic conditions provided the α -aminobenzophenones **4a**,**b**,**c**, respectively. The aminobenzophenones were readily converted into benzodiazepines **6a**,**b**,**c** and **7a**,**b**,**c** by following the earlier methods of Fryer and Sternbach (scheme 2) [14, 22, 23].

Attempts to prepare the 3-thienylaminophenylketone 4d under the conditions described above were not successful. When the 3-bromothiophene was stirred with *n*-BuLi at -78° C [24] and then reacted with lactone 1a at -30° C, a mixture of thienyl-substituted ketoamides was produced which was difficult to separate at this stage. Consequently, this mixture was hydrolyzed, acylated, and treated with ammonia as mentioned above [14, 22, 23], after which the components were separated to furnish two new benzodiazepines, 6a and 6e. The desired benzodiazepine 6d was not isolated from this mixture. Evidently, when 3-bromothiophene was treated with n-BuLi, 3-thienyllithium 8 was formed by a lithium-halogen exchange reaction (see scheme 3). Under the reaction conditions, the 3-thienyllithium 8 then reacted with excess 3-bromothiophene to provide 9 and thiophene. The 3-thienyllithium 8 then reacted with the newly formed thiophene to generate the more stable isomeric 2-thienyllithium 10. Both lithium reagents 9 and 10 reacted with lactone 1a and the mixture of ketones so formed was converted into the benzodiazepines 6a and **6e**, according to the method of Fryer [22]. The structures were confirmed by IR, MS, and proton NMR decoupling experiments.

In order to circumvent this problem, 4-chloroaniline was converted into the pivoylamide 11 and this amide was treated with 2.1 equivalents of *n*-BuLi [25]. The mixture that resulted was stirred with 3-thienyl-aldehyde to produce the desired alcohol 12 in 85% yield. The alcohol 12 was oxidized to ketone 13 by PDC and subsequent hydrolysis provided the amino-ketone 4d (scheme 4). This material was then converted under the conditions of Fryer and Sternbach [14, 22, 23] into benzodiazepines 6d and 7d (individually) in excellent yield (scheme 2).

The naphthoxazone 14 [14, 20] was reacted (individually) with 2-thienyl or 2-furyllithium to furnish ketoamides 15a, b in 65 and 54% yields, respectively. The amide 15a was readily converted into benzodiazepines 19a and 20a by following the strategies mentioned above (scheme 5). However, the amide 15b decomposed under both alkaline or acidic conditions required for the hydrolysis. In order to circumvent this problem, the 3-amino-2-naphthoic acid 21 was converted into 2-chloromethyl naphthoxazinone 22 by reaction with chloroacetyl chloride and the product was reacted with 2-furyllithium to furnish the acetoamide 18b (scheme 6). The acetoamide 18b was then stirred with ammonia to provide benzodiazepine 19b and this was followed by methylation (NaH, CH₃I) to produce the desired 5-furyl analog **20b** (scheme 5).

Biological results and discussion

The affinities of these new 1,4-benzodiazepines at the BzR were evaluated by previously reported methods [14, 16]. Illustrated in table II are the IC₅₀ values of these 5-substituted analogs. The 2-thienyl-substituted heterocycles **6a** and **7a** displaced [³H]flunitrazepam from rat cortical BzR with high affinity (IC₅₀ = 28 and 18 nM). When a bromine atom was substituted for hydrogen at the 4'-position of the thienyl ring, the



Table I. The in vitro and in vivo activity of imidazobenzodiazepines and 5-phenylbenzo[1,4]diazepines [3, 19].

analog (6e) exhibited low affinity ($IC_{50} = 462 \text{ nM}$). In comparison to the 2-thienyl-substituted congeners (6a and 7a), the 3-thienyl-substituted analogs (6d and 7d) also exhibited moderate affinities at BzR ($IC_{50} = 140$ and 110 nM); the 3-thienyl analogs are approximately five times less potent. The furyl analogs (6b and 7b) exhibited low affinities for BzR. The rank order of potencies of 5-substituted benzodiazepines listed in tables I and II follows the order of 2-halophenyl > phenyl > 2-thienyl > 3-thienyl > 2-furyl.

Ro 05-3367

triazolam

brotizolam

midazolam

diazepam

flunitrazepam

Cl

Cl

NO₂

F

F

Η

Н

CH₃

2.0

3.8

1.1±0.1

0.6±0.1

1.1±0.1

8.1

agonist[3]

full agonist[3]

full agonist[3, 19]

full agonist[3, 19]

full agonist[3, 19]

full agonist[3, 19]

Usually furan and thiophene are considered to be bioisosteres of a phenyl ring (flat aromatic ring) [26]. Classically, furan and thiophene are considered to be electron-rich π systems [27]. The size [28] of these aromatic rings follows the order phenyl \geq thienyl > furyl and the lipophilic area L₃ of the receptor should accept the thiophene or furan ring readily based on steric considerations. It had been suggested that replacement of the 5-phenyl ring of diazepam with a thiophene ring might reduce steric interactions and enhance ligand affinity at BzR [29]. However, the *in vitro* results obtained here are not consistent with this hypothesis [29]. 486



Fig 1. The volume of region L_3 for full agonists (full occupation of this region as well as interactions at H_1 , H_2 , L_1 and L_2 is believed necessary for a ligand to exhibit a full agonist profile). The volume was derived from the subtraction of the combined antagonist, partial inverse agonist, and partial agonist imidazobenzodiazepine volumes of Ro 15-1788, Ro 15-4513, Ro 17437, Ro 15-3505, Ro 16-6028, and Ro 19-4603 at region L_3 from the combined volumes of the full agonists triazolam, midazolam, brotizolam, flunitrazepam, and diazepam at region L_3 .

A CoMFA [30] study was initiated to understand the affinities of the analogs represented in tables I and II. The alignment employed here was identical to that reported earlier in the agonist and inclusive pharmacophores [7, 14, 15]. Three points were employed in the least squares fitting of these structures. These points were the centroid of ring-A, the lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen atoms of ring-D (N2 or N3) or the C=O of diazepam, and the lone pair of electrons on the B-ring imine nitrogen atom (N5). The length of the lone pair of electrons of the nitrogen atoms N2, N3, and N5 was extended to 1.84 Å to mimic an ideal hydrogen bond [31-36]. For brotizolam and triazolam, the imine nitrogen atom N3 interacts with the common receptor site descriptor H₁. When ring-D was replaced with an imidazole heterocycle as in midazolam, the interaction with H₁ occurred through the imine nitrogen atom (N2).



Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.



Scheme 3.

One important issue is the conformation of the 2'substituted phenyl, thienyl and furyl rings at the 5- or 6-position in the benzodiazepine analogs listed in



Scheme 4.





tables I and II, respectively. To strictly define the relative stability of *syn* and *anti* conformations for the 5-arylbenzodiazepines, some of the model benzodiazepines as shown in table III were calculated using both empirical MM2 and *ab initio* methods (see *Experimental protocols*) [36, 37] and compared with those observed in the X-ray crystallographic structures. The results demonstrated that there is a surprisingly good correlation between the N4=C5-C1'-C2' torsional angle observed in the *average* torsional angle observed in the X-ray crystallographic structures (table III). The relative energy differences between *syn* and *anti* conformations are consistent and relatively



Scheme 6.

small from both MM2 and ab initio calculations. This established that MM2 can be reliably used to predict the relative energy differences between syn and anti conformations for the compounds listed in tables I and II. Examination of energies via MM2 calculations shows the low energy conformations of 7a and 7b are syn $[N(4)=C(5)-\overline{C}(1')-S(O)$ torsion angle less than 90° (7a, 15.3°; 7b, 13.4°); ΔE (syn – anti) = -3.86, -2.29 kcal/mol, respectively]. The low energy conformation of 7d is anti [(N(4)=C(5)-C(1')-C(2')] greater than 90° but less than 270°] which is -2.19 kcal/mol lower in energy than the syn conformer. The conformation of the 2'-substituted phenyl ring was calculated to be anti $[(N(4)=C(5)-C(1')-C(2') \text{ greater than } (90^\circ)]$ which is similar to that reported in the literature [29] and is due to the steric and the electrostatic (repulsion) interactions between the electronegative substituent (halogen) and the imine nitrogen atom [29]. However, the bioactive conformation of these ligands may not be the global minimum energy conformation [29]. In the calculations employed here, the ΔE (anti – syn) in these 2'-halogen (F or Cl) analogs is less than -0.5 kcal/mol. Therefore, it is possible that the active conformation of the 2'-halogen (F or Cl) substituted phenyl ring at C(5) of the benzodiazepines is syn [N(4)=C(5)-C(1')-C(2') torsion angle less than 90°]. In the syn conformation, these halogen atoms apparently act as a hydrogen bonding auxiliary for the imine nitrogen atom N5 (designated N4 in diazepam) and can interact at H₂ to form a more stable, three-centered hydrogen bond which enhances ligand affinity. This type of hydrogen bond has been observed in the crystal structure of the hydrochloride salt of a 5-(2'fluorophenyl)-1,4-benzodiazepine by Petcher and Widmer [37]. The formation of this stable threecentered hydrogen bond more than compensates for the small energy changes (anti to syn) in the 2'-halogen-substituted phenyl ring. This plasticity (flexibility) [14] in the binding cleft is permitted Table II. In vitro and in vivo data of new benzodiazepine ligands at the BzR.



Ligands	R ₁	R ₂	x	IC ₅₀ (nM) ^a	anticonvulsant ED ₅₀ (mg/kg)	muscle relaxation ED ₅₀ (mg/kg)
6a	н	2-thienyl	C1	28±7	9	10
6b	Н	2-furyl	Cl	267±17	n.t.	<i>n.t.</i>
6c	Н	2-thienyl	F	130±13	<i>n.t.</i>	n.t.
6d	Н	3-thienyl	Cl	140±25	> 40	> 40
бе	Н	4-Br-2-thienyl	Cl	462±4 0	n.t.	n.t.
7a	CH3	2-thienyl	Cl	18±3	3	7
7b	CH3	2-furyl	Cl	269±13	<i>n.t.</i>	n.t.
7c	CH ₃	2-thienyl	F	73±3	<i>n.t.</i>	n.t.
7d	CH3	3-thienyl	Cl	110±5	> 40	> 40
nordiazepam		phenyl	Cl	9.4[3]		
diazepam	CH3	phenyl	Cl	8.1[3]		
delorazepam	Н	2-chlorophenyl	Cl	1.8[3]		
Ro 05-3367	Н	2-fluorophenyl	Cl	2[3]		
bromazepam	Н	2-pyridyl	Br	18[3]		
20a	Н	2-thienyl		226±4	n.t.	n.t.
19a	CH ₃	2-thienyl		814±50	n.t.	<i>n.t.</i>
19Ъ	Н	2-furyl		> 1000	<i>n.t</i> .	n.t.
20b	CH3	2-furyl		> 1000	n.t.	<i>n.t.</i>
20c[14]	CH3	2-fluorophenyl		55±2	15	15

*Values for new (previously unpublished) compounds are listed with statistical limits and represent \overline{X} of three or more experiments. Ligands with IC₅₀ > 200 nM were generally not tested *in vivo* in this series. *nt* = not tested.

because of the interaction of H_2 with the 2'-halogen atom as well as the N(4) nitrogen atom and these ligands fit into the BzR binding site without loss of the important interactions at H_1 , H_2 , L_1 , L_2 and L_3 .

On the basis of this analysis, the final CoMFA statistics indicate a good correlation ($r^2 = 0.841$). In the steric CoMFA map (fig 2), there is a region of negative steric interaction. The 4'-bromine atom in **6**e interacts with this negative steric region and consequently this ligand exhibited low affinity at the BzR.

From the electrostatic map (fig 3), it would be predicted that increasing negative electrostatic potential in an area around the imine (N4) nitrogen atom and the 2'-position would increase ligand affinity. The analogs **6a** and **6b** have an electronegative atom (sulfur or oxygen) close to the region. Because the sulfur or oxygen atoms are in the aromatic ring (thiophene or furan) and one of the lone pairs of electrons on the sulfur or oxygen atoms participates in the aromatic system (delocalization), these atoms do not

488

Table III. Relative energies and N4=C5-Ar torsional angles of the syn and anti conformers of the fully geometry optimized 5-phenyl, 5-(2'-furyl), 5-(2'-thienyl), and 5-(3'-thienyl)-1,4-benzodiazepine derivatives and comparisons with the torsional angles observed in X-ray crystallographic structures.



R ₂ '	tor	relative energy (kcal/mol)			
	X-ray	MM2*	6-31G*	MM2*	6-31G*
Н	$-28 \pm 9 (n = 21)^2$	-41.5	-29.8	N/A ⁵	N/A ⁵
*1	$150 \pm 8 (n = 21)^2$	136.4	148.0	**	и
F	,	-47.4	-49.6	0.44	1.56
"	$133 \pm 6 (n = 2)^3$	130.9	136.8	0.00	0.00
Cl		-56.0	-65.3	0.60	1.09
	$116 \pm 10 (n = 3)^4$	121.2	123.5	0.00	0.00
Br		-58.9	N/C ⁶	0.69	N/C ⁶
"		118.4	11	0.00	н
I		-61.0	**	0.56	**
**		118.6	"	0.00	**
5-(2'-furvl)		-13.8	-15.9	0.00	0.93
"		160.4	163.5	2.18	0.00
5-(2'-thienyl)		-18.9	-15.8	0.00	0.00
		139.4	150.8	0.19	1.85
5-(3'-thienyl)		-34.4	-27.6	0.43	1.16
н		160.5	159.2	0.00	0.00

¹For 5-phenyl derivatives, torsion angle is defined using the N4=C5-C1'-C2' atoms, and for the 5-(2'-furyl), 5-(2'-thienyl), and 5-(3'-thienyl) analogs, the torsion angle is defined by the N4=C5-C2'-O1', N4=C5-C2'-S1', and N4=C5-C3'-C2' atoms respectively. ²CSD reference codes (see *Experimental protocols* for details): BAZCLH, BEDZPN10, BIZSAE, BOMMUL, CHABZN, DCDAZP, DIZPAM10, FLDAZP-1, FLDAZP-2, FULWUE, FUMZES-1, FUMZES-2, PRAZAM-1, PRAZAM-2, SABJEK-1, SABJEK-2, VERZEX, VICFIW, ZZZAUS20-1, ZZZAUS20-2, ZZZAUS20-3. ³CSD reference codes: FIMPIA, GIPKEV. ⁴CSD reference codes: CLDZPB, FAFNEF, JIPHAR. ⁵N/A = not applicable (since the *syn* and *anti* conformers for R₂ = H are degenerate). ⁶N/C = not calculated.

exhibit the necessary electrostatic contribution to form a hydrogen bond at H₂ [38-41]. Although examination of MNDO calculations indicates a charge on sulfur (thienyl) of +0.344 and on oxygen (furyl) of -0.101, it is well recognized [38-41] that a hydrogen bond between an active proton and the heteroatom of furan or thiophene is substantially weak or negligible. This helps to explain why the thienyl and furyl analogs do not bind as tightly as their 2'-halo-substituted phenyl analogs do at the BzR.

Furthermore, as expected, the lipophilicity of the 5-substituent that interacts with L_3 seems to play an important role. The lipophilicity of the phenyl,

thienyl and furyl rings follows the order phenyl > thiophene > furan [42]. Increasing the lipophilicity of the aromatic substituents that occupy L_3 increases the affinity (eg, diazepam > 7a > 7b, see table II). These results further demonstrate the lipophilic nature of this region of the binding site. If the electronegative atom near the H₂ hydrogen bonding site can interact with H2 (2'-F or 2'-Cl), it will enhance ligand affinity. On the other hand, if this electronegative atom does not or only weakly interacts with H₂ (oxygen or sulfur atom in the furan or thiophene ring) its presence only reduces the lipophilicity of the 5-substituent and ligand affinity will be reduced. Bromazepam has a

highly polar 2'-pyridine ring at C(5) of the 1,4-benzodiazepine nucleus and exhibits high affinity for BzR (IC₅₀ = 18 nM [3]), presumably because the nitrogen atom in the pyridine ring can form a strong hydrogen bond at the H₂ hydrogen bonding site. In contrast, the 4'-pyridyl analog does not bind tightly to the BzR [43]. Similarly, analogs **6d** and **7d** exhibit lower affinity than **6a** and **7a** suggesting that L₃ cannot tolerate a hydrophilic group deep in this lipophilic pocket.

We have recently reported [14] that a 7,8-benzofused benzodiazepine **20c** with a 2'-fluorine substituent (molecular yardstick) exhibits both high affinity and a full agonist profile of activity at BzR. The new benzofused analogs **20a**, b exhibit low affinity *in vitro* and follow the same pattern (2'-fluorophenyl > phenyl > 2'-thienyl > 2'-furyl) as the 7-halo series (Ro 05-3367, diazepam, **7a**, and **7b**) described here. The effects of lipophilicity with regard to L_3 are consistent with the results mentioned above.

Pharmacological studies indicate that **6a** and **7a** are full agonists [17]. The ED_{50} for the anticonvulsant effects of **6a** and **7a** was 9 and 3 mg/kg, respectively, and the ED_{50} for the myorelaxant effects was 10 and 7 mg/kg, respectively. Apparently, the thiophene fully interacts with the lipophilic area L₃ and results in full agonist activity; thiophene is a much better bioisostere for phenyl than furan. The furyl analogs **6d** and **7d** do not exhibit anticonvulsant activity and myorelaxant effects in mice even at does up to 40 mg/kg presumably because of low affinity.

Since rat cortical membranes were used to estimate ligand affinities, the reported potencies are likely to represent the weighted average of several GABA_A receptor isoforms. Although speculative, it is possible to predict that both ligand potency and intrinsic activity (efficacy) at different GABA_A receptor isoforms will stem from different interactions in the lipophilic pockets of the BzR(s) receptor binding cleft(s). While it is not known whether transfected cells expressing varions GABA_A subunits assemble them with the same stoichiometry as native receptors, future studies with recombinant receptors should provide additional insights into the validity of this hypothesis. Although the differences are small, it is important to note that the potency of the anticonvulsant effects of 7a have increased at the expense of the muscle relaxant effects in contrast to the 7,8-benzofused benzodiazepine 20c reported earlier [14]. Attempts to correlate the muscle relaxant effects of these agonists with lipophilic pockets L_2 and L_3 via receptor subsite selectivity are underway.

Both the *in vitro* and *in vivo* data contained herein are consistent with the previously reported agonist pharmacophore [7, 14, 15]. The interaction at L_3 of the BzR is a lipophilic interaction. Decreasing the lipophilicity of ligands in this region will reduce the



Fig 2. Agonist steric CoMFA map. The contours define regions wherein a higher steric interaction is predicted to decrease affinity to BzR.



Fig 3. Agonist electrostatic CoMFA map. The contours define regions wherein a more negative electrostatic interaction is predicted to increase affinity to BzR.

affinity at BzR unless the polar group can form a hydrogen bond at H₂. The 2'-halogen (F or Cl) substituent on the 5-phenyl ring of the 1,4-benzodiazepines assists in formation of a three-centered hydrogen bond at H_2 in the binding site at BzR and increases affinity. Therefore, the active conformation of the 2'-halogen (F or Cl) substituted 5-phenyl rings of the 1,4-benzodiazepines, imidazobenzodiazepines, and the triazolobenzodiazepines is believed to be syn [N(4)=C(5)-C(1)-S torsion angle less than 90°] rather than the global minimum energy conformation [anti, N(4)= C(5)-C(1')-S torsion angle greater than 90° [29]. This is in complete agreement with the previous hypothesis put forth from this laboratory [44, 45]; ligands which can rotate to a planar or pseudoplanar topography exhibit potent affinity at the BzR [44, 45]. The analogs 6a and 7a exhibit full agonist activity because the size of the thienyl and phenyl rings is similar. Further studies of the relationship between the lipophilic area L₃, ligand efficacy and subtype selectivity are in progress.

Experimental protocols

In vitro methods

The potencies of test compounds to displace [3H]flunitrazepam from benzodiazepine receptors were determined through a modification of previously described procedures [15, 16, 46]. In brief, rats were killed by decapitation and the cerebral cortex was removed. Tissues were disrupted in 100 volumes of Triscitrate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) using a Brinkman Polytron (15 s, setting 6). Tissues were centrifuged for 20 min (4°C) at 20 000 g. The supernatant was discarded and the tissue pellet resuspended in an equal volume of buffer. This 'washing procedure was repeated three times. Tissues were either used fresh or stored at -70°C until use. Incubations (0.5 ml) consisted of tissue suspension (0.1 ml, ~0.1 mg protein), 0.05 ml NaCl solution (2.0 M), 0.05 ml [³H]flunitrazepam (final concentration, ~1 nM, Spec Act 83.4 Ci/mmol), and drugs and/or buffer to equal volume. Ro 15-1788 was used to determine non-specific binding (final concentration 10 µM). Incubations (0-4°C) were initiated by addition of radioligand and terminated after 60 min by rapid filtration under vacuum through GF/B filters with two 5 ml washes of ice-cold buffer. IC₅₀ values were estimated using InPlot 4.0 (GraphPAD, San Diego, CA) with at least six concentrations of inhibitor. Values represent $X \pm SEM$ of at least 3 determinations. Compounds with potencies > 1000 nM were generally only tested twice.

In vivo methods

Adult male NIH/Swiss mice (25-30 g) were injected intraperitoneally (ip) with graded doses of the compounds (0.1 ml;diluted Emulphor/saline, 1:9) or an equal volume of vehicle (0.1 ml, diluted Emulphor/saline, 1:9). Groups of 3–8 mice were injected in graded doses and 12 min later were suspended by their forepaws on a 1.5 mM thick wire 60 cm above the bench top to assess muscle relaxation, three falls < 1 min was positive for muscle relaxation. Fifteen minutes post injection mice were injected with PTZ (80 mg/kg) to assess anticonvulsant activity. In vehicle-treated mice, 80 mg/kg PTZ produced tonic and clonic convulsions in 100% of the animals. The dose of diazepam (2.5 mg/kg) protected 100% of the mice from PTZ-induced convulsions and also produced muscle relaxation in 100% of the animals tested.

Molecular modeling

The Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) 1,4-benzodiazepine substructure searches were performed using the 3/3/94 update of the database (120 481 entries) and Quest Version 5.7 [47]. Structures in which the N4 nitrogen atom was either complexed with a metal, protonated, or engaged in a hydrogen bonding interaction were excluded from the averages listed in table III. The starting geometries of the ligands were taken either from X-ray crystallographic structures [19, 48-51] or generated using Concord [52]. All bond lengths and valence angles of these structures were fully optimized with Gaussian 90 [53] or 92 [54] ab initio calculations (Gaussian Inc Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) at the 3-21G or 6-31G* level on a Cray X-MP supercomputer or IBM RS-6000 model 560 workstation. Substituent groups were then added to the parent compounds to generate the remaining analogs using Sybyl (version 5.5, Tripos Associates, Saint Louis, MO, USA). The side chains were optimized (holding the heterocyclic core structures fixed) using MacroModel BatchMin version 4.0 (Columbia University, New York, NY, USA) [55]. Calculations of ring centroids, least squares fitting, and included volume analyses [56] were also carried out using Sybyl. The lengths of hydrogen bond extension vectors (HBV) were set to 1.84 Å, while the C-N-HBV and C=O-HBV valence angles used were set to 120 and 135 degrees, respectively, to mimic the geometry of an ideal hydrogen bond [31-36]. The receptor modeling strategy employed here has been applied earlier for the inverse agonist/antagonist and agonist pharmacophores, [7, 14, 15, 46]. The molecular mechanics calculations were performed using the MM2 force field [57] contained within MacroModel version 4.5 [55] (available from Columbia University New York, NY 10027), and the default Polak-Ribiere conjugate gradient minimizer followed by a final optimization with the full matrix Newton Raphson method. Both the Sybyl and MacroModel calculations were performed on a Silicon Graphics Indigo Extreme Workstation or on a Silicon Graphics Personal Iris 4D/35 Workstation.

Chemistry

Melting points were taken on an Electrothermal model IA8100 digital melting point apparatus and are reported uncorrected. Proton and carbon NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 250 MHz multiple-probe instrument (62.9 MHz for carbon). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet DX FTIR spectrophotometer or a Beckman Acculab-1 or a Mattson Polaris IR-10400. Mass spectral data (EI/CI) were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 5885 mass spectrometer or 5985B GC-mass spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed on an F and M Scientific Corp model 185 or Perkin-Elmer 240C carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen analyzer and analyses indicated by the symbols of elements or functions were within ±0.4% of theoretical values. Analytical TLC plates employed were E Merck Brinkman UV active silica gel (Kieselgel 60 F254) on plastic. Flash chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60b purchased from EM Laboratories. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co unless otherwise stated. All reactions were run under a nitrogen atmosphere.

6-Chloro-2-phenyl-4H-benzo[2,3-d]-1,3-oxazin-4-one 1a

The 2-amino-5-chlorobenzoic acid (85 g, 0.5 M) was treated with benzoyl chloride (400 ml) at 140°C for 3 h. After the reaction mixture was cooled to rt, the crystals which formed were collected by filtration and were washed with hexane to provide **1a** as colorless needles (125 g, 92%): mp 201-202.7°C; IR (KBr) 3060, 1757 (C=O), 1618, 1467, 1253, 1044, 778, 685 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.40–7.60 (m, 3H), 7.63 (d, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.75 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 8.6 Hz), 8.19 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 8.28 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 158.39 (s), 157.30 (s), 145.47 (s), 136.79 (d), 133.62 (s), 132.65 (d), 129.86 (s), 128.85 (d), 128.77 (d), 128.43 (d), 127.92 (d), 118.08 (s); MS (EI) m/e 259 (M⁺, 7), 257 (M⁺, 20),105 (100); anal $C_{14}H_8CINO_2$ (C, H, N).

6-Fluoro-2-phenyl-4H-benzo[2,3-d]-1,3-oxazin-4-one 1b

The 2-amino-5-fluorobenzoic acid (10 g, 64.5 mmol) was treated with benzoyl chloride (50 ml) under conditions analogous to the preparation of 1a to provide 1b as a colorless solid (14.6 g, 93%): mp 136–137°C; IR (KBr) 3108, 1752 (C=O), 1625, 1575, 937, 873 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (m, 4H), 7.69 (dd, 1H, J = 4.9, 8.9 Hz), 7.87 (dd, 1H, J = 3, 7.8 Hz), 8.27 (m, 2H); MS (EI) m/e 241 (M⁺, 69), 197 (52), 164 (13), 108 (53), 105 (100); anal C₁₄H₈FNO₂ (C, H, N).

4-Chloro-2-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)-N-benzoylaniline 2a and bis-(2'-thienyl)[5-chloro-2-(N-benzoyl)amino]phenylmethanol 3a. Procedure a

The benzoxazinone 1a (5 g, 19 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (50 ml) and cooled to -30°C. The thienyllithium (9.4 ml of 2.5 M solution, 23.5 mmol) was added over 5 min and the mixture was stirred at -30°C for 20 min. Saturated aq NH₄Cl solution (20 ml) and ethyl ether (30 ml) were then added. The organic layer was separated, washed with water, and dried (MgSO₄). After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was purified via flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc (4:1)) to provide 2a as light-yellow crystals (4.1 g, 62%) and 3a (1.4 g, 17%).

2a: mp 117-118.8°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3318 (NH), 3084, 1681, 1598, 1289, 914, 697 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.21 (dd, 1H, J = 4, 4.9 Hz), 7.64 (m, 5H), 7.78 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 4.9 Hz), 7.84 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.98 (dd, 2H = 1.4, 7.7 Hz), 8.76 (d, 1H, J = 9 Hz), 11.21 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 189.00 (s), 165.50 (s),143.32 (s), 138.41 (s), 135.90 (d), 135.51 (d), 134.15 (s), 133.72 (d), 132.21 (d), 131.42 (d), 127.71 (d), 127.73 (d), 127.74 (d), 125.44 (c), 128.87 (d), 128.33 (d), 127.71 (s), 127.33 (d), 125.44 (s), 123.30 (d); MS (EI) m/e 341 (M⁺, 3), 332 (1), 230 (3), 111 (3), 105 (100); anal C₁₈H₁₂ClNO₂S (C, H, N). **3a**: mp 146.6°C (dec, hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3375 (OH),

3302 (NH), 3105, 1656, 1576, 1527, 827, 710 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3) δ 3.87 (br s, 1H, OH), 6.78 (m, 2H), 6.83 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz), 6.94 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 6H), 7.63 (d, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz), 8.47 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 9.84 (br s, 1H, NH); MS (EI) m/e 425 (M+, 1), 407 (2), 302 (5), 111 (11), 105 (100).

4-Chloro-2-(2'-furoyl)-N-benzoylaniline 2b and bis-(2'-furyl)-[5-chloro-2-(benzoyl)amino]phenylmethanol 3b

The 2-bromofuran (1.69 g, 11.5 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (10 ml) and *n*-BuLi (5.1 ml of 2.5 M solution, 13 mmol) was added to the solution at -78°C. After 10 min, the solution was added to a solution of the lactone 1a (3 g, 11.5 mmol) in dry THF (150 ml) which had been precooled to -30° C. The sequence was then executed according to *Procedure a* to provide **2b** (2.16 g, 58%) and **3b** (0.64g, 14%), respectively. **2b:** mp 103–104.3°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3359 (NH),

3125, 1670 (C=O), 1630, 1516, 1387, 906, 709 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3) \delta 6.64 (dd, 1H, J = 2, 3.8 Hz), 7.28 (dd, 1H, J = 0.8, 1H, J = 0.8)$ 3.8 Hz), 7.29-7.61 (m, 4H), 7.77 (m, 1H), 8.00 (m, 3H), 8.80 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 11.50 (br s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDC₃) δ 183.18 (s, C=O), 165.58 (s), 151.72 (s), 148.23 (d), 139.11 (s), 134.20 (s), 134.04 (d), 132.21 (d), 131.13 (d), 128.87 (d), 127.73 (s), 127.36 (d), 124.28 (s), 123.10 (d), 122.42 (d), 112.74 (d); MS (EI): 327 (M⁺, 1), 325 (M⁺, 4), 230 (2), 105 (100); anal $C_{18}H_{12}$ CINO₃ (C, H, N).

3b: mp 153°C (dec, hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3287, 3067, 1655 (C=O), 1577, 1519, 1397, 739 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.89 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.42 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.67–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.44 (m, 3H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 4H), 6.35–6.32 (dd, 2H, J = 1.8, 3.3 Hz), 6.07 (dd, 2H, J = 0.7, 3.2 Hz), 3.76 (br s, 1H, OH); MS (EI) m/e 393 (M+, 1), 375 (2), 270 (2),105 (100).

4-Fluoro-2-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)-N-benzoylaniline 2c

The lactone 1b (7 g, 29 mmol) was reacted with 2-thienyllithium (35 ml of 1 M solution, 35 mmol) analogous to the conditions under *Procedure a* to provide **2c** (6.72 g, 71%) as light-yellow crystals: mp 126–128°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3353, 3107, 1680, 1672, 1616, 955, 815 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3)$ δ 7.19 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 5.0 Hz), 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.57 (m, 4H), 7.64 (dd, 1H, J = 1.1, 3.9 Hz), 7.78 (dd, 1H, J = 1.1, 5.0 Hz), 7.97 (m, 2H), 8.75 (m, 1H), 11.11 (br s, 1H); MS (EI) m/e 325 (M+, 7), 220 (1), 214 (7), 148 (2), 105 (100); anal C₁₈H₁₂FNO₂S (C, H, N).

4-Chloro-2-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)aniline 4a, Procedure b

The amide **3a** (4 g, 11.7 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (100 ml) and 10% NaOH solution (20 ml) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h and the ethanol was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (50 ml x 2) and the $CHCl_3$ solution was washed with water and dried (MgSO₄). After the CHCl₃ was removed, the residue was purified via a wash column on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc (3:1)) to provide 4a as dark-green crystals (2.52 g, 90%): mp 100.2–101.5°C (lit [58] 95–97°C).

4-Chloro-2-(2'-furoyl)aniline 4b

The amide **2b** (1 g, 3 mmol) was hydrolyzed as described in *Procedure b* to produce **4b** (0.51 g, 74%) as yellow crystals: mp $111-112^{\circ}C$ (lit [58] $113-115^{\circ}C$).

4-Chloro-2-(3'-thienylcarbonyl)aniline 4d

The *t*-butylketoamide **13** (1 g, 3.1 mmol) was treated analogous to *Procedure b* to provide **4d** (680 g, 95%) as orange crystals: mp 128–129°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3416, 3311 (NH₂), 3107, 1623 (C=O), 1539, 1251, 814, 759 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.80 (br s, 2H), 6.66 (d, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.22 (dd, 1H (1), J = 2.5, 8.7 Hz), 7.37 (dd, 1H, J = 2.9, 5 Hz), 7.45 (dd, 1H, J = 1.3, 5 Hz), 7.60 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz), 7.8 (dd, 1H, J = 1.3, 2.8 Hz); MS (EI) m/e 237 (M⁺, 46), 220 (13), 204 (100), 164 (12), 126 (21), 111 (91); anal C₁₁H₈CINOS (C, H, N).

4-Chloro-2-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)-N-bromoacetylaniline 5a. Procedure c

The thienylaniline 4a (2 g, 8.4 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (2 g, 24 mmol) were suspended in dry CHCl₃ (50 ml) and cooled to 0°C. A solution of bromoacetyl bromide (2.1 g, 9.5 mmol) in dry CHCl₃ (5 ml) was added dropwise over 5 min at 0°C and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for another 20 min. The CHCl₃ solution was then washed with aq NaHCO₃ solution (5%) and dried (MgSO₄). After the CHCl₃ was removed, the oily residue was purified via a wash column on silica gel eluted with

hexane/EtOAc (4:1) to provide 5a as light-yellow crystals (2.91 g, 97%): mp 151–152 °C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3234, 1680 (C=O), 1599, 1508, 1053, 834; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.97 (s, 2H), 7.20 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 4.8 Hz); 7.54 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 9.0 Hz), 7.61 (dd, 1H, J = 1.1, 4.8 Hz), 7.79 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.80 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 4.4 Hz), 8.47 (d, 1H, J = 9 Hz), 10.81 (br s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 188.01 (s), 133.28 (d), 131.08 (d), 128.70 (s), 128.36 (d), 135.77 (d), 133.28 (d), (13, MS (EI) m/e 359 (M⁺, 40), 357 (M⁺, 29) 278 (17), 248 (14), 236 (100), 180 (62); anal C₁₃H₉CINO₂SBr (C, H, N).

4-Chloro-2-(2'-furoyl)-N-bromoacetylaniline 5b

The furylaniline **4b** (0.7 g, 3.1 mmol) was reacted with bromoacetyl bromide analogous to the conditions of *Procedure c* to provide **5b** as white crystals (0.996 g, in 94% yield): mp 101–103°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3446, 3087, 1755 (C=O), 1621, 1469, 776, cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.98 (s, 2H), 6.64 (dd, 1H, J = 1.8, 3.7 Hz), 7.28 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz), 7.54 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5, 8.9 Hz), 7.75 (d, 1H, J = 1.4 Hz), 7.92 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz) 8.50 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz), 11.09 (br s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 182.32 (s), 164.82 (s), 151.62 (s), 148.27 (d), 137.49 (s), 133.60 (d), 130.93 (d), 128.72 (s), 125.22 (s), 123.11 (d), 122.39 (d), 112.76 (d), 29.22 (t); MS (EI) *m/e* 343 (M⁺, 36), 341 (M⁺, 29), 262 (12), 248 (33), 246 (10), 220 (100), 180 (75); anal C₁₃H₉NO₃BrC1 (C, H, N).

4-Fluoro-2-(3'-thienylcarbonyl)-N-bromoacetylaniline 5c

The amide 2c (3.25 g, 10 minol) was hydrolyzed under conditions analogous to those of *Procedure b* and acylated according to *Procedure c* to provide 5c (3.2 g, 94%) as light-yellow crystals: mp 98–100°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3260, 3070, 1690, 1612, 1529, 858, 728 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.91 (s, 2H), 7.19 (m, 1H), 7.30 (m, 1H), 7.51 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 5 Hz), 7.62 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 3.8 Hz), 7.80 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 5 Hz), 842, 728 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 5 Hz), 843 (M⁺, 29), 341 (M⁺, 29), 262 (17), 188 (29), 164 (66), 111 (65); anal C₁₃H₉FNO₂SBr (C, H, N).

7-Chloro-5-(2'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine 6a. Procedure d

The bromoacetyl amide **5a** (2 g, 5.6 mmol) was dissolved in a saturated solution of anhydrous ammonia in CH₃OH (150 ml) and the mixture was heated to reflux for 6 h. After the methanol was removed under reduced pressure, the solid which remained was purified by a wash column (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc (3:1)) to provide **6a** (1.36 g, 88%) as colorless crystals: mp 202–204°C (dec, hexane/EtOAc; lit [59] 212–214°C); IR (KBr) 3203, 1684, 1591, 1480, 822 cm⁻¹; H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.16 (br s, 2H), 7.06 (m, 3H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.66 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 8.62 (br s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 171.87 (s), 163.33 (s), 143.20 (s), 137.00 (s), 132.11 (d), 131.53 (d), 130.54 (s), 130.23 (d), 129.12 (s), 127.65 (d), 122.91 (d, 2C), 55.95 (t); MS (EI) *m/e* 276 (M⁺, 85), 248 (100), 220 (13), 185 (16), 163 (11); anal C₁₃H₉ClN₂OS (C, H, N).

7-Chloro-5-(2'-furyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine-2-one 6b The amide **5b** (0.5 g, 1.5 mmol) was converted into **6b** (0.31 g, 83%) according to the conditions of *Procedure d*. **6b**: mp 244°C (dec, hexane/EtOAc; lit [59] 245–246°C); IR (KBr) 3209, 1678, 1597, 1481, 1388, 1011, 979 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.28 (br s, 2H), 6.48 (dd, 1H, J = 1.8, 3.4 Hz), 6.67 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.46 (dd, 1H, J =2.4, 8.6 Hz), 7.57 (d, 1H, J = 1 Hz), 7.63 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 9.60 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 260 (M⁺, 49), 232 (100), 203 (11), 168 (22); anal C₁₃H₉N₂O₂Cl (C, H, N). 493

7-*Fluoro-5-(2'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzoate]*[1,4]*diazepine* **6c** The amide **5c** (2 g, 5.85 mmol) was reacted with ammonia as described in *Procedure d* to provide **6c** (1.31 g, 86%) as lightyellow crystals: mp 225–227°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3191, 3072, 2973, 1675, 1602, 1574, 836, 716 cm⁻¹; 'H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.80 (br, 1H), 4.30 (br, 1H), 7.11 (m, 1H), 7.16 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1, 3.6 Hz), 7.25 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5, 8.9 Hz), 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.74 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1, 5 Hz), 10.49 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 260 (M⁺, 75), 232 (100), 122 (17), 108 (11), 102 (13); anal C₁₃H₉FN₂OS (C, H, N).

7-Chloro-5-(3'-thienvl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine 6d The thienylaniline 4d (0.5 g, 2.2 mmol) was treated as described in *Procedure c* and the product employed in *Procedure d* to furnish 6d (0.52 g, 86%) as colorless crystals: mp 227-228°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3193, 3117, 2959, 1675 (C=O), 1599, 821 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR(CDCl₃) δ 4.26 (br s, 2H), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.33 (dd, 1H, J = 2.9, 5.1 Hz), 7.47-7.52 (m, 4H), 9.29 (br s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 276 (M⁺, 60), 248 (100), 220 (25), 140 (46); anal C₁₃H₉N₂ClOS (C, H, N).

7-Chloro-5-(4'-bromo-2'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine 6e

The 3-bromothiophene (1.2 g, 7.4 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (10 ml) and n-BuLi (3.1 ml of 2.5 M solution, 7.8 mmol) was added to the solution at -78°C. After 10 min, the solution which resulted was added to a solution of the lactone 1a (1.8 g, 7 mmol) in dry THF (100 ml) which had been precooled to -30°C. The mixture which resulted was treated according to Procedure a to provide a mixture of components which were very difficult to separate. The mixture was, therefore, treated according to Procedures b, c, and d and the components were then separated via flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc (5:1)) to furnish two benzodiazepines 6a (124 mg) and 6e (49 mg). The spectral properties of 6a were identical to those reported above. The overall yield of 6e was 9%. 6e: mp 223-225°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3191, 3100, 1694 (C=O), 1595, 1420, 1005, 850, 829 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.20 (br s, 2H, 3-H), 7.01 (d, 1H, J = 1.3 Hz, 5'-H), 7.05 (d, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz, 9-H), 7.36 (d, 1H, J = 1.3 Hz, 3'-H), 7.50 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 8.7 Hz, 8-H), 7.64 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz, 6-H), 8.01 (br s, 1H, 1-H); MS (EI) m/e 356 (M+, 53), 354 (M+, 45), 328 (100), 275 (31), 183 (22), 138 (68); anal C₁₃H₈BrClN₂OS (C, H, N).

Methyl-7-chloro-5-(2'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine 7a. Procedure e

The benzodiazepine **6a** (350 mg, 1.3 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10 ml) and NaH (35 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added to the solution in small portions. The slurry was then stirred for 5 min at rt and CH₃I (213 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added to the mixture. After the mixture had been stirred for 30 min at rt, the DMF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc (5:1)) to provide the title compound **7a** (0.338 g, 89%) as colorless crystals: mp 164–166°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3079, 2924, 1680 (C=O), 1588, 1483, 1321, 801 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.75 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz), 4.72 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz), 7.06 (t, 1H, J = 5 Hz), 7.18 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.62 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 170.10 (s), 162.70 (s), 143.10 (s), 142.16 (s), 131.75 (d), 22.65 (d), 129.51 (d), 129.50 (d), 129.21 (s), 127.59 (d), 122.65 (d), 56.37 (dd), 34.88 (q); MS (EI) *m/e* 292 (M⁺, 22), 290 (M⁺, 61), 289 (64), 262 (100), 199 (12), 127 (15); anal C₁₄H₁₁ClN₂OS (C, H, N).

1-Methyl-7-chloro-5-(2'-furyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine-2-one 7b

The benzodiazepine **6b** (0.2 g, 0.8 mmol) was methylated according to the conditions of *Procedure e* to provide **7b** (0.18 g, 86%) as light-yellow crystals: mp 143–144°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3124, 2994, 1668, 1599, 1482, 888, 781 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 4.80 (d, 1H, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 6.51 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.8, 3.5 Hz), 6.74 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 7.26 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.52 (dd, 1H, *J* = 2.6, 8.9 Hz), 7.59 (m, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 169.95 (s), 158.44 (s), 151.40 (s), 145.47 (d), 142.31 (s), 131.71 (d), 129.54 (s), 129.23 (d), 128.52 (s), 122.59 (d), 115.74 (d), 11.86 (d), 56.46 (dd), 34.90 (q); MS (EI) *m/e* 274 (M⁺, 45), 246 (100), 231 (12), 218 (14),154 (14); anal C₁₄H₁₁N₂O₂Cl (C, H, N).

1-Methyl-7-fluoro-5-(2'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine 7c

The benzodiazepine **6c** (0.26 g, 1 mmol) was treated with CH₃I/NaH (1.1 eq) according to *Procedure e* to provide 7c (0.23 g, 84%) as yellow crystals: mp 154–156°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3079, 2980, 1680, 1574, 1497, 977, 843 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.76 (d, 1H, *J* = 11 Hz), 4.71 (d, 1H, *J* = 11 Hz), 7.06 (m, 1H), 7.20 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 7.30 (m, 3H), 7.48 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.1 Hz); MS (EI) *m/e* 274 (M⁺, 74), 246 (100), 229 (13), 203 (11), 134 (11),109 (25); anal C₁₄H₁₁FN₂OS (C, H, N).

1-Methyl-7-chloro-5-(3'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydrobenzo[e][1,4]diazepine 7d

The thienylbenzodiazepine **6d** (0.546 g, 2 mmol) was treated as described in *Procedure e* to provide **7d** (0.455 g, 79%) as colorless crystals: mp 161–162°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3087, 2977, 1682 (C=O), 1591, 1481, 859, 827 (cm⁻¹); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.74 (d, 1H, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 4.74 (d, 1H, *J* = 10.9 Hz), 7.26 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.7 Hz), 7.34 (dd, 1H, *J* = 2.9, 4.9 Hz), 7.47–7.52 (m, 4H); MS (EI) *m/e* 290 (M⁺, 13), 262 (100), 222 (26), 199 (13), 171 (14); anal C₁₄H₁₁ClN₂OS (C, H, N).

2-(4'-Chloro-N-t-butylcarbonyl)aniline-3-thienylmethanol 12

The *t*-butylaniline 11 (4.12 g, 19 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF and the solution was cooled to -78° C. BuLi (17 ml of 2.5 M solution, 42 mmol) was added to the solution at -78° C and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3 h. The 3-thicnylaldehyde (2.19 g, 19 mmol) in 10 ml THF was then added to the mixture dropwise over 3 min and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for another 2 h. After saturated aq NH₄Cl solution (20 ml) was added to quench the reaction, ether (50 ml) was then added and the organic layer was separated, washed with water, brine, and dried (MgSO₄). After the solvent was removed, the residue was purified by a wash column on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc (3:1)) to provide 12 as a colorless oil (4.7 g, 76%): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.06 (s, 9H), 3.26 (br s, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 6.91 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 5.1 Hz), 7.05 (m, 1H), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.24-7.30 (m, 2H), 8.15 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 8.79 (br s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 323 (M⁺, 15), 305 (2), 238 (78), 220 (67), 254 (100). **11** was employed in the next reaction without further purification.

4-Chloro-2-(3'-thienylcarbonyl)-N-t-butylcarbonylaniline 13 The alcohol 12 (1.8 g, 5.6 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) and the solution was added into a suspension of PDC (3.14 g, 8.35 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 ml). The mixture which resulted was stirred at rt for 1 h. After ether (50 ml) had been added, the dark solution was filtered and passed through a short

column of alumina. Evaporation of solvent provided a solid which was recrystallized in ether to provide 13 as a light-yellow solid (1.63 g, 91%): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (s, 9H), 7.40 (dd, 1H, J = 2.9, 5.1 Hz), 7.46–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz), 7.88 (m, 1H), 8.61 (d, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz), 10.83 (br s, 1H); MS (EI) 321 (M⁺, 26), 264 (56), 220 (15), 204 (100), 180 (38). The 3-thienylcarbonyl analog 13 was employed in the next reaction without further purification.

2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)naphthalene 15a and bis(2'-thienyl)(3'-N-benzoylamino-2'-naphthyl)methanol 16aThe 2-phenyl-4H-3,1-naphtho[2,3-d]oxazin-4-one [14] (0.4 g, 1.5 mmol) was reacted with 2-thienyllithium (1.0 M, 2.05 ml) according to *Procedure b* to provide 15a as light-yellow crystals and 16a.

15a: mp 158.4–159.3°C (hexane/EtOAC); IR (KBr): 3331 (NH), 3109, 3075, 1677 (C=O), 1625, 1590, 903, 833, 740 (cm⁻¹); ¹H-NMR (CDC1₃) δ 7.21 (t, 1H, J = 4.5 Hz), 7.44–7.57 (m, 4H), 7.61 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.68 (d, 1H, J = 5 Hz), 7.80 (d, 1H, J = 5 Hz), 7.83 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.92 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 8.03–8.06 (m, 2H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 9.22 (s, 1H), 11.19 (br s, 1H); MS (EI): *m/e* 357 (M⁺, 8), 252 (3), 246 (7), 140 (4), 113 (4), 105 (100); anal C₂₂H₁₅NO₂S (C, H, N). **16a:** white solid: mp 174.8–175.5°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR

16a: white solid: mp 174.8–175.5°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr): 3380 (OH), 3242 (NH), 1648 (C=O), 1548, 1341, 891, 823, 704 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.41 (br s, 1H), 6.79 (t, 2H, J = 1.0 Hz), 6.93 (t, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz), 7.42–7.26 (m, 5H), 7.45 (d, 2H, J = 1.0 Hz), 7.48 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.62 (d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.79 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.99 (s, 1H), 10.03 (br, 1H); MS (EI): m/e 441 (M⁺, 6), 423 (4), 318 (74), 286 (7), 111 (20), 105 (100); anal C₂₆H₁₉NO₂S₂ (C, H, N).

2-(N-Benzoylamino)-3-(2'-furoyl)naphthalene 15b

The 2-phenyl-4*H*-3,1-naphtho[2,3-*d*]oxazin-4-one **14** (2 g, 7.34 mmol) was reacted with furan/*n*-BuLi (9 mmol, 0°C, 10 min) according to *Procedure b* to afford **15b** (1.35 g, 54%) as a light-yellow solid: mp 171–172°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr): 3300, 3124, 2364, 1665 (C=O), 1635 (C=O), 1544, 1459, 1301, 948, 784 (cm⁻¹); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.66 (dd, 1H, J = 1.8, 3.5 Hz), 7.27 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz), 7.54–7.46 (m, 4H), 7.61 (t, 1H, J = 5.7 Hz), 7.79 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.90 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 8.06 (m, 2H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 9.24 (s, 1H), 11.38 (br s, 1H); MS (EI): *mle* 341 (M⁺,12), 286 (4), 246 (7), 236 (3), 152 (4), 105 (100); anal C₂₂H₁₅NO₃ (C, H, N).

2-Amino-3-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)naphthalene 17

The thienylnaphthalene **15a** (0.1 g, 0.28 mmol) was treated with 10% NaOH according to *Procedure d* to afford **17** (0.046 g, 65%) as dark-yellow crystals: mp 160.2–161.0°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR(KBr): 3472, 3367 (NH₂), 3050, 1630 (C=O), 1560, 1412, 1356, 880 (cm⁻¹); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.19 (br, 2H, NH₂), 7.02 (s, 1H), 7.15–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.56 (d, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.63 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz), 7.69 (d, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.72 (d, 1H, J = 4.9 Hz), 8.19 (s, 1H); MS (EI): *m/e* 253 (M⁺, 100), 252 (100), 220 (13), 169 (60), 142 (58),126 (22), 115 (87); anal C₁₅H₁₁NOS·1/4H₂O (C, H, N).

2-(N-Bromoacetylamino)-3-(2'-thienylcarbonyl)naphthalene 18a

The aminoketone 17 (0.95 g, 3.8 mmol) was treated with bromoacetylbromide as described in *Procedure c* to provide 18a (1.3 g, 92%) as a yellow solid: mp 139.5–140.1°C (hexane/EtOAC); IR (KBr) 3272, 1687, 1634, 1542, 1217, 859, 806, 750 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.03 (s, 2H), 7.20 (dd, 1H,

J = 4.7, 5.7 Hz), 7.48 (t, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.59 (t, 1H, J = 1, 3 Hz), 7.65 (d, 1H, J = 1, 1 Hz), 7.67 (d, 1H, J = 1.1 Hz), 7.79 (d, 1H, J = 1.1 Hz), 7.81 (d, 1H, J = 1.1 Hz), 8.35 (1H, s). 8.93 (1H, s), 10.84 (1H, s); MS (EI) *m/e* 375 (M⁺, 29), 373 (M⁺, 29), 253 (40), 252 (55), 196 (30), 140 (31), 111 (100); anal C₁₇H₁₂NO₂SBr (C, H, N).

2-(N-Chloroacetylamino)-3-(2'-furoyl)naphthalene 18b

The lactone 21 (1 g, 4 mmol) was reacted with furan/*n*-BuLi (5 mmol) according to *Procedure b* to provide 18b (0.8 g, 63%) as light-yellow crystals: mp 128–129°C (hexane/EtOAC); IR(KBr) 3255, 3127, 2986, 1680, 1643, 1552, 947, 886 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.21 (s, 2H), 6.65 (dd, 1H, J = 0.8, 1.5 Hz), 7.27 (d, 1H, J = 4.3 Hz), 7.48 (t, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.61 (t, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.78 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.85 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.88 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 8.46 (s, 1H), 8.79 (s, 1H), 11.20 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 313 (M⁺, 72), 264 (30), 236 (42), 196 (100), 169 (31), 140 (46); anal C₁₇H₁₂NO₃Cl (C, H, N).

5-(2'-Thienyl)-1,3-dihydronaphtho[e][1,4]diazepine 19a

The amide **18a** (1.3g, 3.5 mmol) was treated with anhydrous ammonia according to *Procedure d* to afford **19a** (0.9 g, 88%) as yellow crystals: mp 266.5–267.0°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3084, 1684, 1632, 1425, 839, 762, 710 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.30 (br s, 2H), 7.03 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 4.9 Hz), 7.12 (d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz), 7.46–7.59 (m, 4H), 7.84 (d, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.86 (s, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 292 (M⁺, 70), 291 (38), 264 (100), 236 (20), 152 (17), 127 (36); anal C₁₇H₁₂N₂OS (C, H, N).

5-(2'-Furyl)-1,3-dihydronaphtho[e][14]diazepine 19b

The amide **18b** (0.5 g, 1.6 mmol) was treated with anhydrous ammonia according to *Procedure d* to provide **19b** (0.24 g, 55%) as a light-yellow solid: mp 273.1–273.9°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3080, 1682, 1629, 1479, 1374, 863, 745 (cm⁻¹); ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 4.12 (br, 2H) 6.65 (dd, 1H, J = 1.8, 3.3 Hz), 6.81 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz), 7.48–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz), 8.03 (d, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz), 8.27 (s, 1H), 10.57 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 276 (M⁺, 60), 275 (19), 248 (100), 219 (22), 165 (25), 139 (23), 127 (23); anal C₁₇H₁₂O₂·1/3 H₂O (C, H, N).

1-Methyl-5-(2'-thienyl)-1,3-dihydronaphtho[e][1,4]diazepine 20a

The benzodiazepine **19a** (0.89 g, 3 mmol) was treated with NaH/CH₃I as described in *Procedure e* to furnish **20a** (0.75 g, **80%)** as a yellow solid: mp 178.4–179.1°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr) 3084, 1674, 1608, 1599, 1369, 1317, 1046, 834, 701 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.85 (d, 1H, *J* = 11 Hz), 4.66 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 7.05 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.3 Hz), 7.18 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 7.71–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 8.16 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 306 (M⁺, 93), 278 (100), 153 (25), 139 (39), 125 (36); anal C₁₈H₁₄N₂OS•3/4H₂O (C, H, N).

1-Methyl-5-(2'-furyl)-1,3-dihydronaphtho[e][1,4]diazepine 20b

The benzodiazepine **19b** (0.1 g, 0.4 mmol) was treated with NaH/CH₃I according to *Procedure e* to afford **20b** (0.079 g, 70%) as yellow crystals: mp 145.5–146.3°C (hexane/EtOAc); IR (KBr), 3071, 1675, 1631, 1601, 1313, 825, 759 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.86 (d, 1H, *J* = 17 Hz), 4.75 (d, 1H, *J* = 17 Hz), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.72 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 7.49–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 8.13 (s, 1H); MS (EI) *m/e* 290 (M⁺, 56) 262 (82), 261

2-Chloromethyl[2,3]naphthoxazin-4-one 22

The 2-amino-3-naphthoic acid (2.2 g, 11.7 mmol) was treated with chloroacetyl chloride according to the procedure mentioned for Ia to produce 22 (1.5 g, 52%) as a colorless solid: mp 151–156°C; IR (KBr) 3022, 2968, 1749 (C=O), 1628, 1457, 976, 827 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.44 (s, 2H), 7.61–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.79 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz), 8.04 (d, 1H, J =8.2 Hz), 8.08 (s, 1H), 8.84 (1H, S); MS (EI) *m/e* 245 (M⁺, 46),196 (100),147 (14), 141 (8), 140 (69), 126 (17); anal C₁₃H₈NO₂Cl (C, H, N).

Acknowledgment

We wish to thank the NIMH (MH46851) for generous financial support of this work.

References

- 1 Zhang W, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1993) 33rd National Organic Symposium, Bozeman, MT, USA, abstr B-135
- 2 Burt D, Kamatchi GL (1991) FASEB J 5, 2916-2923
- 3 Haefely W, Kyburz E, Gerecke M, Mohler H (1985) In: Adv Drug Res (Testa B, ed) Academic Press, New York, USA, 165-322
- 4 Zhang W, Cook JM (1993) In: Drug Design for Neuroscience (Kozikowski A, ed) Raven Press, New York, USA, 87-117
- 5 Klepner CA, Lippa AS, Benson DI, Sano MC, Beer B (1979) Pharmacol Biochem Behav 11, 457-462
- 6 Yokoyama N, Ritter B, Neubert AD (1982) J Med Chem 25, 337-339
- 7 Zhang W, Koehler KF, Zhang P, Cook JM (1995) Drug Design and Discovery 12,193-248
- 8 Gardner C (1986) In: Antianxiety Agents (Berger JG, ed) John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 1-164
- 9 Squires RF, Braestrup C (1977) Nature (Lond) 266, 732-734
- 10 Mohler H, Okada T (1977) Science 198, 849-851
- 11 Gardner CR (1988) Prog Neurobiol 31, 425-476
- 12 Basile A, Jones EA, Skolnick P (1991) Pharmacol Rev 43, 27-71
- 13 Squires R (1988) GABA and Benzodiazepine Receptors. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA
- 14 Zhang W, Koehler KF, Harris B, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1994) J Med Chem 37, 745-757
- 15 Diaz-Arauzo H, Kochler KF, Hagen TJ, Cook JM (1991) Life Sci 49, 207-216
- 16 Martin MJ, Trudell ML, Diaz-Arauzo H et al (1992) J Med Chem 35, 4105-4117
- 17 Diaz-Arauzo H, Evoniuk GE, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1991) J Med Chem 34, 1754–1756
- 18 Hollinshead SP, Trudell ML, Skolnick P, Cook JM (1990) J Med Chem 33, 1062-1069
- 19 Wong G, Skolnick P (1992) Eur J Pharmacol 225, 63-68
- 20 Zhang W, Liu R, Cook JM (1993) Heterocycles 36, 2229-2236
- 21 Clemence F, Le Martret O, Collard J (1984) J Heterocycl Chem 21, 1345-1353
- 22 Fryer RI, Gu ZQ, Wang CG (1991) J Heterocycl Chem 28, 1661-1669
- 23 Sternbach LH (1971) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 10, 34-43
- 24 Harden DB, Mokrosz MJ, Strekowski L (1988) J Org Chem 53, 4137-4140
- 25 Fuhrer W, Gschwend HW (1979) J Org Chem 44,1133-1136
- 26 Silverman RB (1992) The Organic Chemistry of Drug Design and Drug Action. Academic Press Inc, San Diego, CA, USA
- 27 Speranza M (1986) Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry. Academic Press Inc, New York, USA
- 28 Acheson RM (1980) An Introduction to the Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds. John Wiley, New York, USA

496

- 29 Ghose AK, Crippen GM (1990) Mol Pharmcol 37, 725-734
- Cramer RD, Patterson DE, Bunce JD (1988) J Am Chem Soc 110, 5959–5967
 Boobbyer DN, Goodford PJ, McWhinnie PM, Wade RC (1989) J Med Chem 32, 1083–1094
- 32 Ippolito JA, Alexander RS, Christianson DW (1990) J Mol Biol 215, 457-471
- 33 Murray-Rust P, Glusker JP (1984) J Am Chem Soc 106, 1018-1025
- 34 Thanki N, Thornton JM, Goodfellow JM (1988) J Mol Biol 202, 637-657
- 35 Vedani A, Huhta DW (1991) J Am Chem Soc 113, 5860–5862
- 36 Vedani A, Dunitz JD (1985) J Am Chem Soc 107, 7653-7658
- 37 Petcher TJ, Widmer A (1985) Acta Cryst C41, 909–912
- 38 Kearney PC, Mizoue LS, Kumpf RA, Forman JE, McCurdy A, Dougherty DA (1993) J Am Chem Soc 115, 9907–9919
- 39 Meot-Ner M, Ross MM, Campana JE (1985) J Am Chem Soc 107, 4839– 4845
- 40 Garcia CL, Lercher JA (1993) J Mol Struct 293, 235-238
- 41 Hiraoka K, Takimoto H, Yamabe S (1987) J Am Chem Soc 109, 7346-7352
- 42 Craig PN (1980) In: The Basis of Medicinal Chemistry (Wolff ME, ed) Wiley-Interscience, New York, USA, 331-348
- 43 Fryer RI, Schmidt RA, Sternbach LH (1964) J Pharm Sci 264-268
- 44 Cain M, Weber RW, Guzman F et al (1982) J Med Chem 25, 1081-1091
- 45 Hagen TJ, Guzman F, Schultz C, Cook JM (1986) Heterocycles 24, 2845-2855

- 46 Allen MS, Tan YC, Trudell ML et al (1990) J Med Chem 33, 2343-2357
- 47 Allen FH, Bellard SDBM, Cartwright BA et al (1979) Acta Cryst B35, 2331– 2339
- 48 Codding PW, Muir AKS (1985) Mol Pharm 28, 178-184
- 49 Camerman A, Camerman N (1972) J Am Chem Soc 94, 268-272
- 50 Hempel A, Camerman N, Camerman A (1987) Can J Chem 65, 1608-1612
- 51 Neidle S, Webster GD, Jones GB, Thurston DE (1991) Acta Cryst C47, 2678-2680
- 52 Pearlman RS, Balducci R, McGarity C, Rusinko III A, Skell J (1990) Concord, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA
- 53 Frisch MJ, Head-Gordon M, Trucks GW et al (1990) Gaussian 90, Gaussian Inc, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- 54 Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Head-Gordon M et al (1992) Gaussian 92, Revision A, Gaussian Inc, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- 55 Mohamadi F, Richards NGJ, Guida WC et al (1990) J Comput Chem 11, 440-467
- 56 Sufrin JR, Dunn DA, Marshall GR (1981) Mol Pharmacol 19, 307-313
- 57 Burkert U, Allinger N (1982) Molecular Mechanics. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, USA
- 58 Fryer RI, Zhang P, Rios R (1993) Syn Commun 23, 985-992
- 59 Berger L, Stempel A, Stembach LH, Wenis E, Fryer RI, Schmidt RA (1962) Belgium patent No 619101; Chem Abstr (1963) 59, 10092b