Antitumor Agents 155. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of 3',6,7-Substituted 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones as Antimicrotubule Agents

Leping Li," Hui-Kang Wang," Sheng-Chu Kuo, Tian-Shung Wu, Anthony Mauger, Chii M. Lin, Ernest Hamel. and Kuo-Hsiung Lee*, I

Natural Products Laboratory, Division of Medicinal Chemistry and Natural Products, School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, Graduate Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, China Medical College, Taichung 400, Taiwan, Republic of China, Department of Chemistry, National Cheng-Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, Republic of China, and Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch and Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, Developmental Therapeutics Program, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892

Received May 5, 1994®

A series of 3',6,7-substituted 2-phenyl-4-quinolones were designed and synthesized as antimitotic antitumor agents. All compounds showed cytotoxic effects (log $GI_{50} \leq -4.0$; log drug molar concentration required to cause 50% inhibition) against the growth of a variety of human tumor cell lines, including those derived from solid tumors such as non-small cell lung, colon, central nervous system, ovary, prostate, and breast cancers, when evaluated in the National Cancer Institute's 60 human tumor cell line in vitro screen. The most potent compound (26) demonstrated strong cytotoxic effects with GI₅₀ values in the nanomolar or subnanomolar range in almost all the tumor cell lines. Compound 26 was also a potent inhibitor of tubulin polymerization and radiolabeled colchicine binding to tubulin, with activity comparable to those of the potent antimitotic natural products colchicine, podophyllotoxin, and combretastatin A-4.

In our continuing search for antitumor agents, 2-phenyl-4-quinolones have been identified as antimitotic antitumor agents interacting with tubulin at the colchicine site.^{2,3} Previously, we reported the cytotoxic effects of a series of 3',4',5',5,6,7-substituted 2-phenyl-4-quinolones and their inhibition of in vitro tubulin polymerization. In this series, 2,3 several 2-phenyl-4-quinolones demonstrated strong inhibitory effects against a variety of human tumor cell lines, including those derived from solid tumors such as small cell lung, nonsmall cell lung, colon, central nervous system (CNS), and breast cancers, when evaluated in the National Cancer Institute's 60 human tumor cell line in vitro screen.^{4,5} The most potent 2-phenyl-4-quinolones also inhibited tubulin polymerization with activity comparable to that of three potent antimitotic natural products colchicine, 6,7 podophyllotoxin, 8,9 and combretastatin A-4.10-12 Investigation of the structure-activity relationships of the 2-phenyl-4-quinolones3 showed that a methylenedioxy bridge at the 6,7-positions is equivalent to a methoxy group at the 6-position and that introduction of an additional methoxy at the 7-position led to a substantial loss of activity. A methoxy substituent at the para position of the 2-phenyl ring resulted in loss of activity, and a methoxy substituent at the ortho position of the 2-phenyl ring resulted in greatly reduced activity. However, compounds with methoxy groups at the meta position were highly active. Therefore, we synthesized a new series of 3',6,7-substituted 2-phenyl-4-quinolones in order to investigate the steric and electronic effects of substituents at these positions with

§ China Medical College.

Scheme 1a

^a Reagents: (a) HNO₃(f)/H₂SO₄; (b) R₂NH; (c) KOH/EtOH; (d) 10% Pd/C.

regard to their effects on cytotoxicity and inhibition of tubulin polymerization.

Chemistry

The 3',6,7-substituted 2-phenyl-4-quinolones were synthesized according to the previously reported method.3 In brief, biaryl amides (7) were formed from an appropriately substituted o-aminoacetophenone (3, 4, and 5) and a 3'-substituted benzoyl chloride (6) in THF. Cyclization of **7** in the presence of *tert*-butoxide (*t*-BuOK) gave compounds 8, 11-15, and 18-29 (Scheme 2). The substituted o-aminoacetophenones (3 and 4) were prepared according to literature methods (Scheme 1).13,14 Nitration of 3'-chloroacetophenone (1) gave 2'-nitro-5'chloroacetophenone. Nucleophilic displacement of the

For part 154, see ref 1.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[&]quot;University of North Carolina.

[†] National Cheng-Kung University. † Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch, NCI. Laboratory of Molecular Pharmacology, NCI.

^{*} Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, September 1, 1994.

Scheme 2a

$$R_{1}$$
 R_{2}
 R_{3}
 R_{4}
 R_{5}
 R_{5}
 R_{6}
 R_{7}
 R_{1}
 R_{1}
 R_{2}
 R_{3}
 R_{4}
 R_{5}
 R_{5}
 R_{6}
 R_{7}
 R_{1}
 R_{1}
 R_{2}
 R_{3}
 R_{4}
 R_{5}
 R_{5}
 R_{7}
 R_{1}
 R_{1}
 R_{2}
 R_{3}
 R_{4}
 R_{5}
 R_{5}
 R_{7}
 R_{1}
 R_{2}
 R_{3}

^a Reagents: (a) NEt₃/THF; (b) 10% Pd/C ($R_6 = NO_2$); (c) t-BuOK/t-BuOH.

5'-chloro group by different secondary amines followed by hydrogenation gave **3b-e**. Nitration of 2'-acetamidoacetophenone (**2**) followed by hydrolysis with KOH/EtOH gave **4**. The preparation of 2'-amino-4',5'-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone (**5**) was reported previously.³ The structures and chemical features of the newly synthesized compounds are summarized in Table 1.

Result and Discussion

a. Evaluation of the Cytotoxicity of 3',6,7-Substituted 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones. The 2-phenyl-4-quinolones were evaluated in the National Cancer Institute's (NCI) in vitro disease-oriented antitumor screen, which determines a test agent's effect on growth against a panel of approximately 60 human tumor cell lines.^{4,5} In the recently revised screen, prostate and breast cancer cell lines have been added. The cytotoxicity data (expressed as log GI50 values, which represents the log molar drug concentration required to cause 50% inhibition) for selected tumor cell lines and the average log GI₅₀ values taken from all tumor cell lines tested are given in Table 2. All compounds had cytotoxic activity (log $GI_{50} \leq -4.0$) against a variety of human tumor cell lines, including leukemia, non-small cell lung, colon, CNS, melanoma, ovarian, renal, prostate, and breast cancers. While compounds 8, 9, 11, 12, 15-17, 21, 28, and 29 showed strong cytotoxic effects toward most of the tumor cell lines with GI_{50} values in the micromolar to nanomolar range, compound 26 had exceptional activity. This highly cytotoxic agent had subnanomolar GI₅₀ values for most tumor cell lines (Table 2; Figure 2 presents the mean graph presentation of differential data for compound 26). Moreover, in the panels of CNS, colon, and breast cancer, compound 26 totaly inhibited the growth of at least half the tumor cell lines at subnanomolar concentrations (log TGI < -9.00; see Figure 2). The cytotoxicity assays for compounds 22 and 27 are pending. We speculate that the GI₅₀ values for compounds 27 could also be in the nanomolar concentration range due to their structural similarity to compound 26.

Figure 1.

b. Interactions of 3',6,7-Substituted 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones with Tubulin. 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones have been found to be antimicrotubule agents, which interact with tubulin at the colchicine site. 2,3 Previously, 2,3 we had reported two series of 3',4',5',5,6,7,8-substituted 2-phenyl-4-quinolones and related compounds. In order to further delineate structure—activity relationships, we synthesized a series of 3',6,7-substituted compounds. The inhibition effects of these derivatives on tubulin polymerization and on the radiolabeled colchicine binding to tubulin are summarized in Table 1. Most compounds (8–17, 21, 22, and 26–28) were potent inhibitors of polymerization with IC₅₀ values less than 1.0 μ M. Their activity in this assay was thus

Table 1. Physical Properties and Antimicrotubule Effects of 3',6,7-Substituted 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones

compd	$ m R_6$	R_7	R ₃ ′	ITP^{α} $IC_{50} (\mu M) \pm SD$	ICB ^b (% inhibition)	formula ^c	mp, °C	yield, %
8	OCH ₂ O		NH ₂	0.73 ± 0.2	16 ± 7	C ₁₆ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₃ •0.5H ₂ O	>250 ^d	43
9	OCH ₂ O		NHCH ₃	0.65 ± 0.2	10 ± 7 17 ± 11	C ₁₇ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₃ ·0.2H ₂ O	$273-274^{d}$	30
10	OCH ₂ O		OH	0.60 ± 0.1 0.47 ± 0.1	26 ± 3	C ₁₆ H ₁₁ NO ₄ ·H ₂ O	>300 ^d	27
11	OCH ₂ O		F	0.47 ± 0.1 0.53 ± 0.1	51 ± 3	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ FNO ₃ ·0.25H ₂ O	>300 ^d	68
12	OCH ₂ O		Cl	0.33 ± 0.1 0.37 ± 0.03	45 ± 7	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ ClNO ₃	190-190	70
13	OCH ₂ O		Br	0.57 ± 0.05 0.53 ± 0.1	26 ± 3	$C_{16}H_{10}BrNO_3$	>300	69
14	OCH ₂ O		CF_3	0.82 ± 0.1	5 ± 7	$C_{17}H_{10}F_3NO_3$	$281-184^{d}$	72
15	OCH ₂ O		OCF ₃	0.52 ± 0.2 0.50 ± 0.1	40 ± 5	$C_{17}H_{10}F_3NO_4$	>300	80
16	OCH ₂ O		OCH ₂ CH ₃	0.30 ± 0.1 0.47 ± 0.1	40 ± 3 40 ± 2	C ₁₈ H ₁₅ NO ₄ ·0.1H ₂ O	$>280^{d}$	56
17	OCH ₂ O		OBz	0.47 ± 0.1 0.45 ± 0.03	26 ± 5	C ₂₃ H ₁₇ NO ₄ ·0.75H ₂ O	$>300^{d}$	60
18	NH_2	Н	Cl	6.1 ± 1	20 1 3	$C_{15}H_{11}ClN_2O$	$261-263^{d}$	60
19	NH_2	H	CF ₃	>40.0		$C_{16}H_{11}F_{3}N_{2}O$	$>280^d$	68
20	NH ₂	H	OCH ₃	8.7 ± 3		$C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2\cdot 1.5H_2O$	124-126	64
21	$N(CH_3)_2$	H	OCH ₃	0.38 ± 0.1	14 ± 8	C ₁₈ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₂ ·0.2H ₂ O	$246-248^d$	61
22	Cl	H	OCH ₃	0.30 ± 0.1 0.70 ± 0.2	16 ± 5	$C_{16}H_{12}CINO_2$	283-285	65
23	NHCOCH ₃	H	F	1.5 ± 0.5	10 ± 0	$C_{17}H_{13}FN_2O_2$	>300	62
24	NHCOCH ₃	H	Cl	2.3 ± 0.5 2.3 ± 0.7		$C_{17}H_{13}F_{1}V_{2}O_{2}$ $C_{17}H_{13}ClN_{2}O_{2}$ •0.5H ₂ O	>300	64
25	NHCOCH ₃	H	OCH ₃	2.1 ± 0.7		$C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_3$	298-300	60
26	NIICOCII3	H	OCH ₃	0.44 ± 0.02	84 ± 6	$C_{18}H_{16}N_{2}O_{3}$ $C_{20}H_{20}N_{2}O_{2}$	$276-278^{d}$	68
20	[п	OCH3	0.44 ± 0.02	04 ± 0	C20H20N2O2	210-210-	00
27	$\tilde{\bigcirc}$	Н	OCF_3	0.72 ± 0.2	58 ± 5	$C_{20}H_{17}F_3N_2O_2$	$280 - 282^d$	66
28	°	H	OCH ₃	0.36 ± 0.1	43 ± 10	$C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_3$ •0.5 H_2O	$280 - 282^d$	73
29	CH ₃ ·N	H	OCH_3	14 ± 2		$C_{21}H_{23}N_3O_2$ -0.5 H_2O	$273 - 275^d$	69
30	OCH ₂ O		Н	0.63 ± 0.2	26 ± 10			
31	OCH_2O		OCH ₃	0.57 ± 0.1	39 ± 8			
32	OCH ₂ O		$N(CH_3)_2$	0.70 ± 0.03	29 ± 7			
colchicine				0.80 ± 0.07				
podophyllotoxin				0.46 ± 0.02	84 ± 2			
combretastatin A-4				0.53 ± 0.05	94 ± 2			
dihydrocombretastatin A-4				0.63 ± 0.03	65 ± 4			

^a ITP = inhibition of tubulin polymerization. ^b ICB = inhibition of colchicine binding and evaluated only when polymerization IC₅₀ \leq 1.0 μ M. ^c All compounds were analyzed for C, H, and N, and results agreed to $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values. ^d Decomposed.

equivalent to that observed with the natural products colchicine, podophyllotoxin, and combretastatin A-4 (Table 1). Only compound 19 was inactive (IC₅₀ > 40 μ M). A range of activity (IC₅₀ values from 1.5 to 14 μ M) was observed with the remaining agents. Overall, highly cytotoxic agents showed strong inhibitory effects on polymerization.

A variety of substituents at the 3'-position of the 6,7-(methylenedioxy)-substituted compounds (8–17) were found to be well-tolerated (IC₅₀ < 1.0 μ M). These substituents include both electron-donating groups such as NH₂, NHCH₃, OH, OCF₃, OEt, and OBz and electron-withdrawing groups such as F, Cl, and Br. The size of the substituents can be as small as H (30) and as large as OBz (17) without changing the activity significantly. Our previously reported 6,7-methylenedioxy substituted compounds 31 (3'-OCH₃) and 32 (3'-N(CH₃)₂) were also highly active (IC₅₀ values of 0.57 and 0.70 μ M, respectively).³

Compounds with a heterocyclic ring at the 6-position (26–28) were highly active (IC₅₀ < 1.0 μ M), except for compound 29 (IC₅₀ = 14 μ M), which has a 1-methylpiperazinyl group at this position. The reason for the

loss of activity in **29** is not clear. Although it could have resulted from formation of a salt on the second nitrogen, the relatively bulky size of this cyclic amine compared to other heterocyclic groups such as the pyrrolinyl might be a factor. The corresponding noncyclic 6-(N,N-dimethylamino)-substituted compound (**21**) was highly active (IC₅₀ = 0.38 μ M).

The three 6-NH_2 substituted compounds were only moderately active (18 and 20) or inactive (19). However, as stated before, the dimethylamino derivative 21 and the acetamido-substituted compounds (23–25) showed strong to moderate inhibitory activity. The reason for the substantial loss of activity in the NH₂-substituted compounds is not clear. It could result from the relatively polar nature and/or small size of the amino group.

The most potent compounds ($IC_{50} \leq 1.0 \, \mu M$) were also evaluated for potential inhibition of radiolabeled colchicine binding to tubulin (Table 1). In this series of experiments, the potential inhibitors and radiolabeled colchicine were present in equimolar concentrations and in 5-fold molar excess over tubulin. The initial series of phenylquinolone derivatives had feeble activity as

Table 2. Inhibition of in Vitro Tumor Cell Growth^{a,b} by 3',6,7-Substituted 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones

		cytotoxicity log $\mathrm{GI}_{50}\left(\mathbf{M} ight)^{c}$											
	average log												
${\bf compd}$	$\mathrm{GI}_{50}{}^d$	K-562	NCI-H226	HCT116	KM202L	SF-268	SF-295	SK-Mel-5	OVCAR-3	OVCAR-4	RXF-393	DU-145	MDA-N
8	-5.79	-6.05	-5.66	-6.32	-6.15	-6.12	-5.62	-6.03	nte	-4.41	-6.22	nt	nt
9	-6.29	-6.40	-6.27	-6.61	-6.48	-5.26	-6.49	-6.35	-6.47	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t}$	-6.44	nt	nt
10	-5.51	-6.14	nt	-5.74	-5.48	-5.64	-5.26	-5.83	\mathbf{nt}	nt	nt	nt	nt
11	-6.47	-6.47	-6.02	-6.66	nt	-6.18	-6.85	-6.57	-6.54	nt	\mathbf{nt}	nt	nt
12	-6.30	-6.51	-5.74	-6.47	-6.57	-6.21	-6.65	-6.68	-6.74	>-4.12	-6.79	\mathbf{nt}	nt
13	-5.48	-5.46	-5.42	-5.47	-5.51	-5.52	-6.48	-5.36	-5.37	_f	-5.62	nt	nt
14	-5.56	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t}$	-5.24	-5.70	-5.86	-5.34	-6.00	-5.89	-5.93	-5.00	-5.79	-5.53	-6.09
15	-6.38	-7.44	-6.71	-7.85	-7.44	nt	-7.59	-6.77	-7.20	-7.59	-7.39	-7.34	<-8.0
16	-6.65	-7.35	-6.49	-6.76	-6.74	-6.57	-7.19	-6.72	-6.78	nt	-6.56	-6.60	-7.75
17	-6.17	-6.44	-6.64	-6.41	-6.65	-4.89	-6.35	-6.55	-6.61	-	-6.39	-5.96	-6.84
18	-5.26	-5.66	-5.27	\mathbf{nt}	-5.50	-5.17	-5.56	nt	-5.54	_	-5.74	nt	\mathbf{nt}
19	-4.40	-4.45	-4.41	-4.28	-4.46	-4.23	-4.55	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t}$	-4.58	-4.20	-4.63	-4.36	-4.98
20	-5.34	-5.68	-5.23	-5.44	-5.46	-5.25	-5.51	nt	-5.56	-4.08	-5.72	-5.41	-6.12
21	-7.52	-8.05	-7.35	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t}$	-8.34	-7.36	-7.81	-7.59	-7.82	-5.26	-7.73	-7.46	-8.77
22^g													
23	-4.82	-5.21	-4.63	-5.34	-4.45	-4.59	-4.41		-5.99	_	_	-	-5.78
24	-4.98	-5.38	-4.89	-5.36	-4.73	-5.33	-4.73	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t}$	-5.47	_	-5.09	-4.56	-5.71
25	-4.70	-5.34	-4.27	-4.95	-4.49	-5.26	-4.35	nt	-5.66	-4.71	-5.28	-4.95	-5.49
26	-8.73	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00	-5.70	<-9.00	<-9.00	<-9.00
27^g													
28	-7.25	-7.61	-7.47	-7.57	-7.47	-7.27	-7.31		-7.70	-5.20	-7.91	-7.36	-8.08
29	-5.81	-6.27	-5.82	-6.36	-5.82	-5.73	-5.74	-6.04	-6.11	>-5.0	-6.34	-5.60	-6.70

^a Data obtained from NCI's in vitro disease-oriented human tumor cells screen (see refs 4 and 5 for detail). ^b K-562, leukemia cell line; NCI-H226, non-small cell lung cancer cell line; HCT-116 and KM20L2, colon cancer cell lines; OVCAR-3 and OVCAR-4, ovarian cancer cell lines; RXF-393, renal cancer cell line; SK-Mel-5, melanoma; SF-268 and SF-295, CNS tumor cell lines; DU-145, prostate cancer cell line; MDA-N, breast cancer cell line. ^c Log concentrations which reduced cell growth to 50% of level at start of experiment. ^d Average log GI₅₀ values calculated from all cell lines tested. ^e "nt" means not tested. ^f "—" means log GI₅₀ is greater than —4. ^g Cytotoxicity assay is pending.

inhibitors of colchicine binding; substantial inhibition could only be detected when they were in significant molar excess over colchicine. 2,3 In contrast, we have routinely observed 80-90% inhibition with equimolar podophyllotoxin and inhibition as high as 97% with equimolar combratastatin A-4. In the 6,7-methylenedioxy derivatives reported more recently,3 three of seven potent inhibitors of polymerization (i.e., IC₅₀ values \leq 1.0 μ M) were more effective as inhibitors of colchicine binding. When equimolar with colchicine, these compounds inhibited binding of the radiolabeled ligand by 30-40%, but there was little correlation between inhibitory effects on tubulin polymerization and colchicine binding. Although this lack of correlation between the two tubulin-based assays is also observed in the new agents described here (Table 1), five compounds (11, 12, 26, 27, and 28) have greater inhibitory effects on colchicine binding than those previously reported.^{2,3} In particular, the inhibitory activity of compound 26 was equal to that of podophyllotoxin, and since compound 26 is, thus far, the most cytotoxic agent in this entire series, it will be of interest to determine with future compounds whether strong inhibition of colchicine binding will be predictive of exceptional cytotoxicity. 15

Besides compound **26**, two of the other relatively strong inhibitors of colchicine binding had heterocyclic substituents at position C(6). The second most active inhibitor, compound **27**, like **26**, has a pyrrolinyl group at C(6), but the methoxy group at C(3') in **26** is replaced by a OCF_3 substituent in **27**. ¹⁶

The remaining two agents with relatively strong inhibitory effects on colchicine binding were compounds 11 and 12. These compounds contain a 6,7-methylenedioxy group and have F and Cl substituents, respectively, at C(3'). Compared with the unsubstituted 30, which inhibited colchicine binding by 26%, a C(3') Br

(compound 13) also resulted in 26% inhibition versus 45% inhibition with Cl and 51% inhibition with F. Thus, there was an apparent size and/or electron-withdrawing effect of halide substituents at C(3').

In summary, based on this limited data set, we found that compounds with a NH_2 (18–20) or a CH_3CONH (23–25) group at the 6-position were only moderately active or inactive either as inhibitors of tubulin polymerization or as cytotoxic agents. Compounds with a OCH_2O bridge at the 6,7-positions (compounds 8–17) or with a $N(CH_3)_2$ (compound 21) or a OCH_3 (previously reported,³ data not shown) group at the 6-position were highly active. However, compounds with a heterocyclic group such as a pyrrolinyl or a morpholinyl group (compounds 26–28) at that position showed significantly increased activity. The reason for the differences of activity with these substituents is not clear. Further work will be done to elucidate the optimal substitution pattern for the 2-phenyl-4-quinolones.

Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points were determined on a Fisher-John melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs, Atlantic, GA. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured at 300 MHz on a Bruker 300 spectrometer and recorded in CDCl3, a mixture of CDCl3 and CD3OD, or DMSO-d6. Chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) units relative to the internal reference Me4Si. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer IR 400 spectrometer as KBr pellets. Mass spectra (MS) data were obtained on a TRIO 1000 mass spectrometer. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (mesh 25–150 $\mu\mathrm{M}$) using a mixture of CHCl3 and MeOH as eluant.

3'-Amino-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (8). To a solution of 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone (700 mg, 3.9 mmol) and $\rm Et_3N$ (1.7 mL, 12.6 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise 3'-nitrobenzoyl chloride (797 mg, 4.2 mol). After 30 min at 0 °C, the mixture




Figure 2. Mean graph presentation of differential data for compound 26.

was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and poured into 20 mL of ice water. The precipitate was collected and washed with water and MeOH. The solid (1.18 g, 92%) was dissolved in 200 mL of a mixture of EtOAc and MeOH (1:1) and hydrogenated over 10% Pd/C for 4 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the solution was dried by evaporation. The solid residue was dried in vacuo, and suspended in 20 mL of t-BuOH. Potassium tert-butoxide (1.55 g, 13.8 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated at 70 °C under N_2 for 20 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured onto 30 mL of aqueous NH₄Cl solution. The solid was collected and washed with distilled water (several times) and with a mixture of CHCl₃ and MeOH (1:10); amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 5.38 (br s, 2 H, NH₂), 6.11 (s, 1 H, H-3), 6.14 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.72 (dd, J = 1.6, 7.5 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 6.86 (d, J= 7.5 Hz, 1 H, 1 H-6'), 6.89 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H, 1 H-2'), 7.18 (s, 1)H, H-8), 7.19 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.38 (s, 1 H, H-5), 11.51 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3440, 3330, 3090, 1640 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 280. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₂N₂O₃·0.5H₂O) C, H, N

- **3-(N-Methylamino)-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (9):** obtained from 3'-(N-methylamino)acetophenone and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)-N,N-diethylbenzamide by the procedure reported previously;³ amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.75 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 3 H, NHC H_3), 5.96 (q, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H, NHCH₃), 6.15 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.17 (s, 1 H, H-3), 6.71 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.1 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 6.83 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 6.89 (br d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 7.20 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.27 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.39 (s, 1 H, H-5), 11.51 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3340, 3240, 3090, 1630 (sh) cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 294. Anal. (C₁₇H₁₄N₂O₃·0.2H₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-Hydroxy-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (10): obtained from 3'-hydroxyacetophenone and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)-N,N-diethylbenzamide by the procedure reported previously;³ amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.15 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.17 (s, 1 H, H-3), 6.95 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.12 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 7.18 (br d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.20 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.37 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.39 (s, 1 H, H-5), 9.85 (s, 1 H, OH), 11.53 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3200, 3090, 1610 (sh) cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 281. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₁-NO₄·H₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-Fluoro-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (11): obtained from 3'-fluorobenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone; amorphous; H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.17 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.33 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.19 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.40 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.41 (m, 1 H, ArH of ring C), 7.65 (m, 3 H, ArH₃ of C ring); IR (KBr) 3440, 1635 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 283. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₀FNO₃·0.25H₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-Chloro-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (12): obtained from 3'-chlorobenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone; amorphous; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.17 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.31 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.18 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.40 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.60 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.64 (br dd, J=2.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.77 (br dd, J=2.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.89 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 11.63 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3090, 1640 cm⁻¹. Anal. ($C_{16}\mathrm{H}_{10}\mathrm{ClNO}_{3}$) C, H, N.
- 3′-Bromo-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (13): obtained from 3′-bromobenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone; amorphous; ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.17 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.30 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.18 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.40 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.53 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5′), 7.76 (dd, J=2.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6′), 7.77 (dd, J=2.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4′), 8.01 (br s, 1 H, H-2′); IR (KBr) 3080, 1620 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₀BrNO₃) C, H, N.
- 3'-(Trifluoromethyl)-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (14): obtained from 3'-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone; amorphous; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.17 (s, 2 H, OCH $_2$ O), 6.35 (d, J=1.5 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 7.18 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.41 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.81 (br t, J=7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.94 (br d, J=7.9Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 8.11 (br d, J=7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 8.14 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 11.71 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3090, 1620 cm $^{-1}$. Anal. (C $_{17}$ H $_{10}$ F $_3$ NO $_3$) C, H, N.
- 3-(Trifluoromethoxy)-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (15): obtained from 3'-(trifluoromethoxy)benzoyl chloride and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)acetophenone; amorphous; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.15 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.61 (s, 1

- H, H-3), 7.17 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.44 (br d, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4′), 7.53 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.61 (br s, 1 H, H-2′), 7.63 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5′), 7.72 (br d, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6′); IR (KBr) 3090, 1610 cm⁻¹. Anal. ($C_{17}H_{10}F_3NO_4$) C, H, N.
- 3'-Ethoxy-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (16): obtained from 3'-ethoxyacetophenone and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)-N,N-diethylbenzamide by the procedure reported previously; amorphous; 1 H NMR (DMSO- d_{θ}) δ 1.37 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3 H, OCH₂CH₃), 4.14 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂CH₃), 6.16 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.28 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.12 (br d, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.20 (s, 1 H, H-8), 7.31 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 7.33 (br d, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.39 (s, 1 H, H-5), 7.47 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5'); IR (KBr) 3080, 1620 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 309. Anal. (C₁₈H₁₅NO₄·0.1H₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-(Benzyloxy)-6,7-(methylenedioxy)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (17): obtained from 3'-(benzyloxy)acetophenone and 2-amino-4,5-(methylenedioxy)-N,N-diethylbenzamide by the procedure reported previously,³ amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_{θ}) δ 5.22 (s, 2 H, OCH₂Ph), 6.15 (s, 2 H, OCH₂O), 6.29 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.20-7.50 (m, 10 H, ArH); IR (KBr) 3090, 1620 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 371. Anal. (C₂₃H₁₇NO₄·0.75H₂O) C, H, N.
- **3'-Chloro-6-amino-2-phenyl-4-quinolone** (18): obtained from 3'-chlorobenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-nitroacetophenone¹³ as described as in the preparation of 8; amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 6.19 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.02 (dd, J=2.0, 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.20 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 7.50 (br d, J=8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.58 (t, J=8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.59 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-8), 7.75 (br d, J=9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.87 (br s, 1 H, H-5), 11.49 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3340, 3360, 3080, 1630 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₁₅H₁₁ClN₂O) C, H, N.
- **3'-(Trifluoromethyl)-6-amino-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (19):** obtained from 3'-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-nitroacetophenone as described in the preparation of **8**; amorphous; 1 H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 5.33 (br s, 2 H, NH₂), 6.23 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.03 (dd, J=2.0, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.20 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.50 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1 H, H-8), 7.79 (br t, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.91 (br d, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 8.09 (br d, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 8.12 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 11.56 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3390, 3280, 3090, 1620 cm $^{-1}$. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₁F₃N₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-Methoxy-6-amino-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (20): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-nitro-acetophenone as described in the preparation of 8; amorphous; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 3.86 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.29 (br s, 1 H, NH₂), 6.17 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.00 (dd, J=2.1, 8.7 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.11 (br dd, J=1.7, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.19 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.30 (br d, J=1.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 7.33 (br d, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.47 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.51 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-8), 11.40 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3340, 3240, 3090, 1600 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 266. Anal. (C₁₆H₁₄N₂O₂·1.5H₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-Methoxy-6-(N,N-dimethylamino)-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (21): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-(N,N-dimethylamino)acetophenone; ¹⁴ amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.98 (s, 6 H, N(CH₃)₂), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.27 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.12 (br dd, J = 1.9, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.23 (d, J = 2.0, 1 H, H-5), 7.30 (dd, J = 2.0, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.34 (br d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 7.37 (br dd, J = 1.9, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.49 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.67 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-8), 11.50 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3250, 3150, 3080, 1600 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 294. Anal. (C₁₈H₁₈N₂O₂-0.2H₂O) C, H, N.
- 3'-Methoxy-6-chloro-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (22): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-chloroacetophenone; 14 amorphous; 1 H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.42 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.17 (br dd, J=1.9, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 7.34 (br d, J=1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 7.39 (br d, J=7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.51 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.72 (dd, J=1.9, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.81 (d, J=8.9 Hz, 1 H, H-8), 8.03 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5); IR (KBr) 3090, 1630 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C_{16} H₁₂ClNO₂) C, H, N.
- 3'-Fluoro-6-acetamido-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (23): obtained from 3'-fluorobenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-acetamidoacetophenone; amorphous; H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.08 (s, 3 H, COCH₃), 6.34 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.43 (dt, J = 2.0, 7.0 Hz, H-5'), 7.59-7.73 (m, 5 H, H-5, 8, 2', 4', 6'), 7.89 (dd, J = 2.5, 9.0 Hz,

H-7); IR (KBr) 3300, 3180, 3100, 1660, 1640 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₁₇H₁₃FN₂O₂) C, H, N.

3'-Chloro-6-acetamido-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (24): obtained from 3'-chlorobenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-acetamidoacetophenone; amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 2.08 (s, 3 H, COCH₃), 6.32 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 7.60 (br t, J = 7.8Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.64 (br dd, J = 1.9, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.70 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, H-8, 7.79 (br dd, J = 1.9, 7.8 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), $7.90 \, (dd, J = 2.2, 8.9 \, Hz, 1 \, H, H-7), 7.92 \, (br \, s, 1 \, H, H-2'), 8.32$ (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 10.15 (br s, 1 H, NH), 11.75 (br s, 1)H, NH); IR (KBr) 3300, 3180, 3100, 1660, 1630 cm $^{-1}$; MS (M $^{+}$) 270. Anal. (C₁₇H₁₃ClN₂O₂·0.5H₂O) C, H, N.

3'-Methoxy-6-acetamido-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (25): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-acetamidoacetophenone; amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 2.08 (s. 3 H, COCH₃), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.30 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.14 (dd, J = 1.5, 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-4', 7.35 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 7.38 (br d, J)= 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-6', 7.50 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.71 (d, J)= 9.0 Hz, H-8, 7.88 (dd, J = 1.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7, 8.32 (d, J = 1.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7)= 1.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5); IR (KBr) 3280, 3170, 3090, 1680, 1640 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_3)$ C, H, N.

3'-Methoxy-6-pyrrolinyl-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (26): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5pyrrolinylacetophenone; ¹⁴ amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ $2.00 \text{ (m, 4 H, } CH_2CH_2NCH_2CH_2), } 2.50 \text{ (m, 4 H, } CH_2NCH_2), }$ 6.26 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.03 (br s, 1 H, H-5), 7.11 (dd, J = 1.5, 8.5Hz, 2 H, H-4', H-7), 7.34 (br s, 1 H, H-2'), 7.37 (br d, J = 8.5Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.48 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.67 (d, J = 9.1Hz, 1 H, H-8), 11.48 (br s, 1 H, NH); IR (KBr) 3240, 3090, 1600 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_2)$ C, H, N.

3'-(Trifluoromethoxy)-6-pyrrolinyl-2-phenyl-4quinolone (27): obtained from 3'-(trifluoromethoxy)benzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-pyrrolinylacetophenone; amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.09 (m, 4 H, C H_2 C H_2 NC H_2 C H_2), 3.41 (m, 4 H, CH₂NCH₂), 6.56 (s, 1 H, H-3), 7.13 (dd, J = 2.7, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.22 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.39 (br d, J =7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-4', 7.60 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 7.63 (br d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-5', 1 H, 1 H, 1 H-5', 1J = 1.9 Hz, H-2', 7.65 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-8), 7.72 (br d, J= 7.9 Hz, 1 H, H-6'); IR (KBr) 3260, 3100, 1600 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{20}H_{17}F_3N_2O_2)$ C, H, N.

3'-Methoxy-6-morpholinyl-2-phenyl-4-quinolone (28): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5morpholinylacetophenone;¹⁴ amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 3.16 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 4 H, CH₂NCH₂), 3.78 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 4 H, CH_2OCH_2), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 6.30 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, H-3), $7.13 \, (dd, J = 3.0, 8.0 \, Hz, 1 \, H, H-4'), 7.34 \, (d, J = 3.0 \, Hz, 1 \, H, H-4')$ H-2'), 7.36 (br d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.44 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.49 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.50 (dd, J = 2.5, 9.0Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.69 (d, J = 9.0 1 H, H-8); IR (KBr) 3250, 3090, 1600 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 349. Anal. $(C_{20}H_{20}N_2O_3\cdot 0.5H_2O)$ C, H,

3'-Methoxy-6-(4-methylpiperazinyl)-2-phenyl-4quinolone (29): obtained from 3'-methoxybenzoyl chloride and 2-amino-5-(4-methylpiperazinyl)acetophenone;14 amorphous; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 2.25 (s, 3 H, N-CH₃), 3.18, 3.31 (both m, 4 H each, $(NCH_2CH_2N) \times 2$), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH_3), 6.29 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, H-3), 7.13 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H,H-4'), 7.34 (dd, J = 2.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 7.37 (br d, J = 8.0Hz, 1 H, H-6'), 7.42 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5), 7.48 (dd, J =2.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7), 7.49 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5'), 7.67 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H-8; IR (KBr) 3280, 3160, 3090, 1670, 1650 cm⁻¹; MS (M⁺) 349. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₃N₃O₂·0.5H₂O) C, H, N. Biochemical Assays. Electrophoretically homogeneous

bovine brain tubulin was purified as described previously.¹⁷ Combretastatin A-4 was a generous gift of Dr. G. R. Pettit, Arizona State University. Dihydrocombretastatin A-4 was prepared as described previously.¹⁸ [3H]Colchicine was obtained from Dupont, nonradiolabeled colchicine from Sigma, podophyllotoxin from Aldrich, and monosodium glutamate from USB. The binding of radiolabeled colchicine to tubulin was measured by the DEAE-cellulose filter technique, as described previously.2 Reaction mixtures contained 1.0 µM tubulin, $5.0\,\mu\mathrm{M}$ [$^3\mathrm{H}$]colchicine, and $5.0\,\mu\mathrm{M}$ potential inhibitor.

The tubulin polymerization assay was performed as described previously. In brief, tubulin at 1.2 mg/mL (12 μ M) was preincubated for 15 min at 26 °C in a 0.24 mL volume in 0.8 M monosodium glutamate (pH 6.6 with NaOH in a 2 M stock solution) with varying drug concentrations. The drug stock solutions were in dimethyl sulfoxide, and the final solvent concentration was 4% (v/v). Atomic absorption spectroscopy indicated the Mg2+ concentration of the reaction mixtures was about 35 μ M (26-27 μ M from the glutamate, $8-9 \mu M$ from the tubulin), but no exogenous magnesium was added. All concentrations are in terms of the final reaction volume (0.25 mL). The reaction mixtures were chilled on ice, and 10 μ L of 10 mM GTP was added to each reaction mixture. Samples were transferred to cuvettes held at 0 °C by an electronic temperature controller in Gilford spectrophotometers. Baselines were established at 350 nm, and polymerization was initiated by a temperature jump to 26 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$. The jump took about 50 s to complete. After 20 min, turbidity readings were recorded, and the temperature controller was set to 0 °C. When depolymerization was complete, turbidity readings were again recorded. Generally, turbidity readings were about 90% cold-reversible, and the cold-reversible turbidity was taken to represent the extent of assembly for each reaction mixture. IC₅₀ values were obtained graphically from inhibition of polymerization by different drug concentrations. Four spectrophotometers were used for each experimental sequence, with at least two control reactions (no drug) in each set. Generally, the control reactions were within 5% of their average. A minimum of three independent IC50 values was obtained for each drug, except that inactive compounds were usually evaluated only two times. In most cases, IC50 values obtained with this polymerization assay are highly reproducible. Generally, standard deviations were within 20% of the mean values, but in some cases the standard deviations were 30-35\% from the mean. Therefore, we can conservatively estimate that a 50% difference in IC50 values represents a difference in the relative activity of two agents.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grant CA 17625 from the National Cancer Institute awarded to K. H. Lee. A portion of this work was also supported from Genelab Technologies Inc.

References

(1) Shi, Q.; Chen, K.; Li, L.; Chang, J. J.; Autry, C.; Kozuka, M.; Konoshima, T.; Estes, J.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E.; McPhail, A. T.; McPhail, D. R.; Lee, K. H. Antitumor Agents 154. Cytotoxic and Antimitotic Flavonols from Polanisia dodecandra. J. Nat. Prod., submitted for publication.

(2) Kuo, S. C.; Lee, H, Z.; Juang, J. P.; Lin, Y. T.; Wu, T., S.; Chang, J. J.; Lednicer, D.; Paull, K. D.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E.; Lee, K, H. Synthesis and Cytotoxicity of 1,6,7,8-Substituted 2-(4'-Substituted Phenyl)-4-quinolones and Related Compounds: Identification as Antimitotic Agents Interacting with Tubulin. J.

Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 1146-1156.

Li, L.; Wang, H. K.; Kuo, S. C.; Wu, T. S.; Lednicer, D.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E.; Lee, K. H. Antitumor Agents 150. 2',3',4',5',5,6,7-Substituted 2-Phenyl-4-quinolones and Related Compounds: Their Synthesis, Cytotoxicity, and Inhibition of Tubulin Polym-

erization. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1126-1135.

(4) Grever, M. R.; Schepartz, S, A.; Chabner, B. A. The National Cancer Institute Cancer Drug Discovery and Development

Program. Seminars Oncol. 1992, 19, 622-638.

(5) Monks, A.; Scudiero, D.; Skehan, P.; Shoemaker, R.; Paull, K.; Vistica, D.; Hose, C.; Langley, J.; Cronise, P.; Vaigro-Wolff, A.; Gray-Goodrich, M.; Campbell, H; Mayo, J.; Boyd, M. Feasibility of a High-flux Anticancer Drug Screen Utilizing a Derive Panel of Human Tumor Cell Lines in Culture. J. Natl. Cancer Inst.

1991, 83, 757-766.
(6) Hastie, S. B. Interactions of Colchicine with Tubulin. Pharm.

Ther. 1991, 51, 377-401.

(7) Brossi, A.; Yeh, H. J. C.; Chrzanowska, M.; Wolff., J.; Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M.; Quinn, F.; Suffness, M.; Silverton, J. Colchicine and Its Analogues: Recent Findings. Med. Res. Rev. 1988, 8,

(8) Cortese, F.; Bhattacharyya, B.; Wolff, J. Podophyllotoxin as a Probe for the Colchicine Binding Site of Tubulin. J. Biol. Chem. 1977, 252, 1134-1140.

Andreu, J. M.; Timasheff, S. N. Conformational States of Tubulin Liganded to Colchicine, Tropolone Methyl Ester, and Podophyllotoxin. Biochemistry 1982, 21, 6465-6476.

- (10) Pettit, G. R.; Singh, S. B.; Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M.; Alberts, D. S.; Garcia-Kendall, D. Isolation and Structure of the Strong Cell Growth and Tubulin Inhibitor Combretastatin A-4. Experientia 1989, 45, 209-211.
- (11) Lin, C. M.; Ho, H. H.; Pettit, G. R.; Hamel, E. Antimitotic Natural Products Combretastatin A-4 and Combretastatin A-2: Studies on the Mechanism of Their Inhibition of the Binding of Colchicine to Tubulin. *Biochemistry* 1989, 28, 6984-6991.
- (12) Zayat, A. A.; Degen, D.; Drabek, S.; Clark, G. M.; Pettit, G. R.; von Hoff, D. D. In Vitro Evaluation of the Antineoplastic Activity of Combretastatin A-4, A Natural Product from Combretum Caffrum. Anticancer Drugs 1993, 4, 19-25.
- (13) Simpson, J. C. E.; Atkinson, C. M.; Schofield, K.; Stephenson, O. o-Amino-ketones of the Acetophenone and Benzophenone Types J. Chem. Soc. 1945, 646-657.
- Types. J. Chem. Soc. 1945, 646-657.

 (14) Bandurco, V. T.; Schwender, C. F.; Bell, S. C.; Combs, D. W.; Kanojia, R. M.; Levin, S. D.; Mulvey, D. M.; Appolina, M. A.; Reed, M. S.; Malloy, E. A.; Falotico, R. Moore, J. B.; Tobia, A. J. Synthesis of Cardiotonic Activity of a Series of Substituted 4-Alkyl-2(1H)-quinazolinones. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1421-1426.

- (15) The average $\log {\rm GI}_{50}$ for compound **26** is -8.7. For comparison, the NCI cell screen has yielded average $\log {\rm GI}_{50}$ values of -7.4 for colchicine, -7.6 for podophyllotoxin, and -8.7 for combretastatin A-4.
- (16) With the 6,7-methylenedioxy substituent, compound 31 with a C(3')-OCH₃ group and compound 15 with a C(3')-OCF₃ group had identical activities as inhibitors of colchicine binding. In contrast, although it was a potent inhibitor of polymerization, compound 14, with a C(3')-CF₃ group, had minimal effect on colchicine binding (when present in equimolar with colchicine).
- colchicine binding (when present in equimolar with colchicine).

 (17) Hamel, E.; Lin, C. M. Separation of Active Tubulin and Microtubule-Associated Proteins by Ultracentrifugation and Isolation of a Component Causing the Formation of Microtubule Bundles.

 Biochemistry 1984, 23, 4173-4178.
- Biochemistry 1984, 23, 4173-4178.

 (18) Getahun, Z.; Jurd, P. S.; Chu, P. S.; Lin, C. M.; Hamel, E. Synthesis of Alkoxy-Substituted Diaryl Compounds and Correlation of Ring Separation with Inhibition of Tubulin Polymerization: Differential Enhancement of Inhibitory Effects under Suboptimal Polymerization Reaction Conditions. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1058-1067.