Organic Letters

No over reduction

ц

Catalytic, Transition-Metal-Free Semireduction of Propiolamide **Derivatives: Scope and Mechanistic Investigation**

R. Justin Grams, Christopher J. Garcia, Connor Szwetkowski, and Webster L. Santos*

Cite This: https://dx doi.org/10.1021/acs.org/ott.0c02567

	s://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.orgiet					
ACCESS	III Metrics & More	🔲 🔲 Article Recomi	mendations		s Supporting	Information
ABSTRACT: We reduction of alkyr	report a transition-metal- nes with pinacolborane and	free <i>trans</i> -selective semi- d catalytic potassium <i>tert</i> -		KO <i>t</i> Bu H-Bpin 10 min		• (<i>E</i>)-Selective • Broad substrate scope • Up to 99% yield

ABSTRA reduction of alkynes with pinacolborane and catalytic potassium tertbutoxide. A variety of 3-substituted primary and secondary propiolamides, including an analog of FK866, a potent nicotinamide mononucleotide

adenyltransferase (NMNAT) inhibitor, are reduced to the corresponding (E)-3-substituted acrylamide derivatives in up to 99% yield with >99:1 E/Z selectivity. Mechanistic studies suggest that an activated Lewis acid-base complex transfers a hydride to the α carbon followed by rapid protonation in a trans fashion.

• he semireduction of an alkyne to a (Z)- or (E)-alkene is 📕 fundamental in organic synthesis. Methods toward the (Z)-selective semireduction of alkynes are well established,¹ with the most popular being semihydrogenation mediated by Lindlar's catalyst (Pd/CaCO₃/Pb/quinoline).² The complementary reduction methods affording (E)-alkenes are limited. Early work involved treating alkynes with dissolved metals, low-valent chromium salts,⁴ or metal hydrides;⁵ however, these methods are harsh and highly substrate-dependent. Trost and coworkers later developed a general method for the (E)selective semireduction of alkynes via a ruthenium-mediated hydrosilylation, which is subsequently protodesilylated with TBAF, affording (E)-alkenes in high yield with excellent stereoselectivity.° Similarly, Fürstner developed a one-step, stereoselective semireduction of alkynes using a similar ruthenium catalyst.⁷ Beyond these two examples, various transition-metal-catalyzed methods toward the (E)-selective semireduction of alkynes have been developed, such as Pd,^{11,n,8} Rh,⁹ Ru,^{1q,10} In,¹¹ Ir,^{1ab,12} Co,^{1ac,13} Ni,^{1ad,14} and Fe¹⁵ (Scheme 1A).

The transition-metal-free semireduction of alkynes to (E)alkenes is limited to a few examples. Koide treated γ -hydroxy- α_{β} -alkynoic esters with Red-Al or NaBH₄ and obtained alkenoic esters in good yield with good stereoselectivity, although the substrate scope is limited by the necessity of the directing ability of the γ -hydroxyl moiety (Scheme 1B).¹⁶ Chen and Liu employed sodium sulfide nonahydrate as a reducing reagent to facilitate the stereoselective semihydrogenation of diarylalkynes to (E)-alkenes (Scheme 1C). This method successfully reduced a broad scope of diarylalkynes in good to excellent yield with high stereoselectivity (98:2 E/Z).¹⁷ Similarly, Zhou and Liu demonstrated the semireduction of diarylalkynes utilizing H₂Se, which was generated in situ from Se/DMF/H₂O (Scheme 1C), to obtain alkenes in good yield with high stereoselectivity (>95:5 E/Z).¹⁸ However, both aforementioned methods were limited to diarylalkynes, and the reaction conditions were fairly harsh. Recently, our group

Scheme 1. Methods toward E-Selective Semireduction of Alkynes and Cinnamamide Synthesis

ĥ

R¹



Received: July 31, 2020



reported the α -boronation—protodeboronation of propiolic acids (Scheme 1D). After boryl transfer to the α -carbon, the α boryl cinnamic acid is readily protodeboronated upon workup, furnishing (*E*)-cinnamic acids in good yield with good stereoselectivity.¹⁹ (*E*)-Cinnamic acids are commonly used as precursors to (*E*)-cinnamamides, which are omnipresent pharmacophores in synthetic pharmaceuticals and natural products.²⁰

Only one method has been disclosed for the (E)-selective semireduction of propiolamides, which requires a Pd catalyst and superstoichiometric Mn to reduce 3-phenylpropiolamide as well as tertiary propiolamides to the corresponding (E)cinnamamides. Typically, the (E)-configuration of cinnamamides is derived from E-cinnamic acid, a precursor commonly synthesized by the condensation of aryl aldehydes with acetic anhydride (Perkin reaction)²¹ or malonic acid (Knoevenagel– Doebner condensation).²² The corresponding (*E*)-cinnamamides are synthesized by coupling (E)-cinnamic acid using activating agents and the desired amine (Scheme 1E), the synthesis of which remains widely used today but is nonideal. More recently, Zacuto designed a direct synthesis of (E)acrylamides via the Knoevenagel–Doebner condensation of β imido acids with aldehydes (Scheme 1F).²³ The paucity of methods and the shortcomings of the current protocols (including over-reduction) motivated us to develop an Eselective method toward the semireduction of propiolamides.²⁴

We initiated our investigation by treating *N*-methyl-3phenylpropiolamide (1a) with stoichiometric pinacolborane and LiOtBu. To our delight, this afforded methyl (*E*)cinnamamide 2a with excellent stereoselectivity (>99:1 E/Z) in 82% yield (Table 1, entry 1). A stoichiometric base was unnecessary, as catalytic amounts of base efficiently produced 2a in good yield (entry 2). Upon increasing the size of the *tert*butoxide counterion from Li to K (entries 2–4), a remarkable increase in the reaction efficiency was observed with KOtBu, delivering a near-quantitative yield and >99% (*E*)-stereoselectivity, even at higher concentrations (0.5 M, entry 5).

ruble if Optimization of Reaction Conditions	Table	1.	Optimization	of	Reaction	Conditions
--	-------	----	--------------	----	----------	------------

	∧ ^U N	Base/Cat. (equiv) HBpin (1.1 equiv)	O N	
		Solvent, rt, Time	H H	
	1a		2a	
entry	base (equiv)	solvent	time	yield ^b
$1^{c,d}$	LiOtBu (1.1)	THF	4 h	82
2 ^{<i>e</i>,<i>f</i>}	LiOtBu (0.1)	THF	7 h	62
3 ^f	NaO <i>t</i> Bu (0.1)	THF	10 min	75
4 ^f	KOtBu (0.1)	THF	10 min	95
5	KOtBu (0.1)	THF	10 min	96
6	KOH (0.1)	THF	10 min	39
7	NaOMe (0.1)	THF	10 min	58
8	$K_2CO_3(0.1)$	THF	10 min	0
9	KOtBu (0.1)	1,4-dioxane	10 min	47
10	KOtBu (0.1)	MeCN	10 min	85
11	KOtBu (0.1)	CH_2Cl_2	10 min	78
12	KOtBu (0.1)	DMF	2 h	52

^{*a*}General procedure: **1a** (0.2 mmol), THF (0.5 M), base (0.02 mmol), and HBpin (0.22 mmol). ^{*b*}Isolated yield (>99:1 E/Z). ^{*c*}Base and HBpin added at -78 °C, then heated to 40 °C for 4 h. ^{*d*}Propiolamide diluted to 0.1 M. ^{*c*}Base and HBpin added at 25 °C, then heated to 60 °C for 7 h. ^{*f*}0.2 M.

These studies also demonstrated that the reaction is finished within 10 min. KOH (entry 6) and NaOMe (entry 7) mediated the semireduction to 2a, albeit in reduced yields. However, the use of a weaker carbonate base such as K_2CO_3 was nonproductive (entry 8). With the optimal base conditions in hand (entry 5), we screened a variety of different solvents. Switching to 1,4-dioxane (entry 9) afforded 2a in modest yield. Acetonitrile (entry 10) and dichloromethane (entry 11) were sufficient solvents, although the yield was lower. DMF was also a useful solvent for the semireduction of 1a (entry 12), but the reaction time was considerably longer.

With the optimal conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 5), we investigated the substrate scope against a wide variety of secondary propiolamides (Scheme 2). Sterically encumbered



^aGeneral procedure: Same as in Table 1, entry 5. >99:1 *E/Z* unless otherwise specified. Isolated yields reported.

N-substitutions such as *N*-isopropyl (1b) and *N*-phenyl (1c) were well-tolerated under these conditions, as cinnamamides **2b** and **2c** were produced in good yield. The Boc-protected glycine derivative **1d** was chemoselectively reduced to cinnamamide **2d**, with no ester reduction products observed. Substrate **1e** bearing a propargyl group was chemoselectively reduced to cinnamamide **2e** in excellent yield. Likewise, electron-donating substituents (**1f**-**h**) and alkyl substitutions such as *m*-methyl (**1i**) and *p*-tert-butyl (**1j**) afforded **2f**-**j** in good to excellent yields with near-exclusive E-selectivity. Substrates bearing halogens such as *o*-Cl (**1k**), *p*-trifluorome-

thoxy (11), and 3,5-difluoro (1m) were reduced to the corresponding cinnamamides (2k-m) in high yield. Propiolamides with strong electron-withdrawing groups on the aryl ring such as *p*-cyano (1n) were also suitable substrates, although with a slight reduction in stereoselectivity. Heteroaromatics such as thiophene (1o) or pyridyl (1p) substitutions were also efficient substrates. We were pleased to find that the reaction was amenable to scale-up, as 3.5 mmol of 1a was readily converted to 2a without a loss in yield or stereoselectivity (Scheme 2).

To further explore the substrate scope, we investigated nonaromatic systems (Scheme 3). For example, enyne 3a was

Scheme 3. Enyne and Aliphatic Secondary Propiolamide Scope c



^{*a*}THF (0.2 M), KOtBu (1.0 equiv). ^{*b*}Order of addition: HBpin followed by KOtBu. Isolated yields reported. ^{*c*}General procedure: Same as in Table 1, entry 5. Stereochemistry is >99:1 E/Z unless otherwise specified.

reduced to 4a in high yield with high *E*-stereoselectivity and chemoselectivity for the alkyne. However, alkyl-substituted propiolamides required a stoichiometric base and diluted reaction conditions to afford satisfactory yields. Under these conditions, substrates 3b,c were reduced to 4b,c in good yield with good stereoselectivity. Fortunately, the 3-cyclopropyl propiolamide 3d enhanced the reactivity and (*E*)-stereoselectivity compared with other aliphatic substrates, which we also observed in the hydroboration of propiolamides.^{24c} Additionally, we found that the unsubstituted substrate *N*-methyl propiolamide (3e) and dimethyl(phenyl)silyl derivative 3f could be converted to cinnamamides 4e and 4f, respectively, in good yield when first treated with pinacolborane then base, as treatment with base alone results in rapid degradation of the starting material.

We next set out to apply this boron-mediated semireduction protocol to the more challenging primary propiolamides. Similar to most nonaryl secondary propiolamides in Scheme 3, we observed that the stoichiometric addition of KOtBu and pinacolborane was optimal. Thus 3-phenylpropiolamide (5a) was reduced to 6a in excellent yield with high stereoselectivity for the (*E*)-isomer, and this conversion was achieved in a nearquantitative yield upon scale-up (Scheme 4). *t*-Butyl (5b) and trifluoromethyl (5c) as well as trifluoromethoxy (5d) substitutions were effectively converted to (*E*)-cinnamamides 6b-d. Strong electron-withdrawing *p*-nitro substitution (5e) caused a minor reduction in (*E*)-stereoselectivity (6e). Heterocyclic primary propiolamides were well-tolerated, as a

Scheme 4. Primary Propiolamide Scope^a



^{*a*}General procedure: 1a (0.2 mmol), THF (0.2 M), base (0.2 mmol), and HBpin (0.22 mmol). >99:1 E/Z unless otherwise specified. Isolated yields reported.

S-thiophen-2-yl substrate **Sf** was reduced in good yield. We were also pleased to find that 2- and 3-pyridyl substitutions in **Sg** and **Sh**, respectively, did not affect the reaction, as they were reduced in high to near-quantitative yield with excellent chemo- and stereoselectivity (**6g**,**h**). On the contrary, an aliphatic 3-cyclohexyl substitution (**Si**) resulted in a reduction in yield and stereoselectivity similar to the related *trans* diboration.

We then turned our attention toward the application of this protocol. Cinnamamides are important structural features in medicinal chemistry. For example, peptide 7 is an inhibitor of the main protease in coronaviruses, including picomolar activity against the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus.²⁵ FK866 is a potent inhibitor of the nicotinamide mononucleotide adenvitransferase (NMNAT) pathway²⁶ that completed clinical trials as a potential chemotherapeutic agent.²⁷ Furthermore, recent research suggests that FK886 is a promising treatment for various diseases.²⁸ Thus we decided that FK866 was a suitable substrate for late-stage modification. We augmented the initial portion of a previously described total synthesis of FK866²⁹ and eventually installed the propiolamide via amide formation by DCC/DMAP coupling to 3-phenylpropiolic acid (Scheme 5). Once installed, propiolamide 8 was stereoselectively reduced to 9 in 76% yield, resulting in a 20% overall yield of FK866 analog 9 in seven steps In comparison, previous syntheses have an overall 8-12% yield.^{29,30} An advantage of the method is the potential for rapid structure-activity relationship studies and the control of olefin geometry, as commercially available cinnamic acid derivatives are sold as a mixture of cis/trans isomers.

To understand the origin of the *trans* selectivity of the semireduction, we performed a mechanistic investigation. Under the reaction conditions using deuterated **10**, compound **11** was isolated in 40% yield with the deuterium atom installed on the β carbon almost quantitatively (Scheme 6A). This result

Scheme 5. Structures of Medicinally Relevant Cinnamamides and Synthesis of FK866 Analog



Scheme 6. Mechanistic Studies



suggests that (i) hydride is adding on the α -carbon, (ii) protonation occurs on the β -carbon, and (iii) a 1,4-conjugate addition pathway is unlikely via hydride addition to the β carbon (i.e., from a Lewis base t-BuO-pinacolborane complex). Alternatively, β -borylation can occur followed by rapid protodeboration.³¹ However, the reaction of 12 under the same conditions afforded only unreacted starting materials (Scheme 6B). Recently, Thomas³² and Chang³³ reported that pinacolborane can decompose to BH₃ in the presence of catalysts such as t-BuOK. We thus treated 1a with t-BuOK and BH_3 (Scheme 6C). When we used borane as the reducing agent, a significant loss in yield and a deterioration of stereoselectivity was observed (77:23 E/Z), suggesting that in situ formed BH₃ is unlikely mediating the transformation. Likewise, the addition of a catalytic amount of BH₃ under standard conditions afforded 2a in excellent yield and with E selectivity. The BH₃-mediated reduction of alkynes affords the Z-alkene product, which is the opposite of what is observed here. Taken together, a proposed mechanism is shown in Scheme 7. The role of *t*-BuOK is to deprotonate propiolamide 10, which is in equilibrium with *t*-BuOD and its conjugate base 13. The Lewis-basic oxygen in 13 then complexes with pinacolborane, activating the B-H bond^{24b,34} for an intramolecular pseudo-5-exo-dig^{24c} hydride transfer to the α -carbon

Scheme 7. Proposed Mechanism



(i.e., transition state 14). The deuteration occurs on the opposite side of the alkyne by coordination of t-BuOD with the potassium of t-butoxide, which establishes the *trans* alkene geometry in intermediate 15; upon workup, 11 is generated.

In conclusion, we have developed a transition-metal-free semireduction reaction of primary and secondary propiolamides that selectively affords (E)-cinnamamides in good to excellent yields. The reaction is tolerant of a wide variety of substrates and is a facile process that uses inexpensive, commercially available reagents. The utility of the reaction is demonstrated in the late-stage alkyne reduction to achieve the cinnamamide-containing drug candidate FK866. Lastly, mechanistic studies suggest that the role of *t*-BuOK is to act as a Brønsted base to activate the propiolamide for Lewis acid—base complexation with pinacolborane, inducing an intramolecular hydride transfer.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

9 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02567.

Experimental procedures and NMR data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Webster L. Santos – Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; Occid.org/0000-0002-4731-8548; Email: santosw@vt.edu

Authors

- R. Justin Grams Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; Occid.org/0000-0001-7306-3473
- **Christopher J. Garcia** Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States
- **Connor Szwetkowski** Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02567

Author Contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1414458) and the Virginia Tech Chemistry Department.

REFERENCES

(1) Palladium-catalyzed: (a) Li, J.; Hua, R.; Liu, T. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2966-2970. (b) Drost, R. M.; Bouwens, T.; van Leest, N. P.; de Bruin, B.; Elsevier, C. J. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 1349-1357. (c) van Laren, M. W.; Elsevier, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3715-3717. (d) Hauwert, P.; Maestri, G.; Sprengers, J. W.; Catellani, M.; Elsevier, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3223-3226. (e) Mitsudome, T.; Takahashi, Y.; Ichikawa, S.; Mizugaki, T.; Jitsukawa, K.; Kaneda, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1481-1485. (f) Sajiki, H.; Mori, S.; Ohkubo, T.; Ikawa, T.; Kume, A.; Maegawa, T.; Monguchi, Y. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 5109-5111. (g) Fukazawa, A.; Minoshima, J.; Tanaka, K.; Hashimoto, Y.; Kobori, Y.; Sato, Y.; Atobe, M. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 11050-11055. (h) Iwasaki, R.; Tanaka, E.; Ichihashi, T.; Idemoto, Y.; Endo, K. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 13574-13579. (i) Shen, R.; Chen, T.; Zhao, Y.; Qiu, R.; Zhou, Y.; Yin, S.; Wang, X.; Goto, M.; Han, L.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17037-17044. (j) Mäsing, F.; Nüsse, H.; Klingauf, J.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2658-2661. (k) Nishibayashi, R.; Kurahashi, T.; Matsubara, S. Synlett 2014, 25, 1287-1290. (l) Monfredini, A.; Santacroce, V.; Marchiò, L.; Maggi, R.; Bigi, F.; Maestri, G.; Malacria, M. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2017, 5, 8205-8212. (m) Mohamed, Y. M. A.; Hansen, T. V. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 3872-3877. (n) Zhao, C.-Q.; Chen, Y.-G.; Qiu, H.; Wei, L.; Fang, P.; Mei, T.-S. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 1412-1416. (o) Gellrich, U.; Wech, F.; Hasenbeck, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2020, DOI: 10.1002/chem.202001276. (p) Belger, C.; Neisius, N. M.; Plietker, B. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12214-12220. (q) Kusy, R.; Grela, K. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 6196-6199. Copper-catalyzed: (r) Whittaker, A. M.; Lalic, G. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1112-1115. (s) Semba, K.; Fujihara, T.; Xu, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1542-1550. (t) Semba, K.; Kameyama, R.; Nakao, Y. Synlett 2015, 26, 318-322. (u) Bao, H.; Zhou, B.; Jin, H.; Liu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 3579-3589. Gold-catalyzed: (v) Yan, M.; Jin, T.; Ishikawa, Y.; Minato, T.; Fujita, T.; Chen, L.-Y.; Bao, M.; Asao, N.; Chen, M.-W.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17536-17542. (w) Wagh, Y. S.; Asao, N. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 847-851. (x) Vasilikogiannaki, E.; Titilas, I.; Vassilikogiannakis, G.; Stratakis, M. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 2384-2387. Niobium-catalyzed: (y) Gianetti, T. L.; Tomson, N. C.; Arnold, J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14904-14907. Vanadium-catalyzed: (z) La Pierre, H. S. L.; Arnold, J.; Toste, F. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3900-3903. Iron-catalyzed: (aa) Enthaler, S.; Haberberger, M.; Irran, E. Chem. - Asian J. 2011, 6, 1613-1623. Iridium-catalyzed: (ab) Yang, J.; Wang, C.; Sun, Y.; Man, X.; Li, J.; Sun, F. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 1903-1906. Cobalt-catalyzed: (ac) Fu, S.; Chen, N.-Y.; Liu, X.; Shao, Z.; Luo, S.-P.; Liu, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8588-8594. Nickel-catalyzed: (ad) Richmond, E.; Moran, J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 6922-6929.

(2) (a) Lindlar, H. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1952**, *35*, 446–450. (b) Lindlar, H.; Dubuis, R. *Org. Synth.* **1966**, *46*, 89. (c) Chandrasekhar, S.; Narsihmulu, C.; Chandrashekar, G.; Shyamsunder, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 2421–2423. (d) Crombie, L.; Jarrett, S. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1992**, *1*, 3179–3183.

(3) (a) Brandsma, L.; Nieuwenhuizen, W. F.; Zwikker, J. W.; Mäeorg, U. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 1999, 775-779. (b) Chan, K.-K.; Cohen, N.; De Noble, J. P.; Specian, A. C.; Saucy, G. J. Org. Chem.
1976, 41, 3497–3505.
(4) (a) Carreira, E. M.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117,

(1) (a) Carlota, E. H., E. E. Dens, J. J. H., Chem. Control 1996, 114, 114, 2018
8106-8125. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Levenberg, P. A.; Suits, J. Z. Synthesis 1986, 3, 184–189. (c) Hanson, J. R. Synthesis 1974, 1, 1–8. (d) Castro, C. E.; Stephens, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 4358–4363.

(5) (a) Tsuda, T.; Yoshida, T.; Kawamoto, T. J.; Saegusa, T. J. Org. Chem. **1987**, 52, 1624–1627. (b) Jones, T. K.; Denmark, S. E. Organic Syntheses; Wiley & Sons: New York, 1985; Vol. 7. (c) Dabdoub, M. J.; Dabdoub, V. B.; Comasseto, J. V. Tetrahedron Lett. **1992**, 33, 2261–2264.

(6) (a) Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12726– 12727. (b) Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T.; Jöge, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7922–7923.

(7) (a) Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11-24.
(b) Radkowski, K.; Sundararaju, B.; Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 355-360.

(8) (a) Luo, F.; Pan, C.; Wang, W.; Ye, Z.; Cheng, J. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 1399–1403. (b) Maazaoui, R.; Abderrahim, R.; Chemla, F.; Ferreira, F.; Perez-Luna, A.; Jackowski, O. Org. Lett. **2018**, *20*, 7544–7549. (c) Shirakawa, E.; Otsuka, H.; Hayashi, T. Chem. Commun. **2005**, 5885–5886.

(9) Burch, R. R.; Muetterties, E. L.; Teller, R. G.; Williams, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 4257–4258.

(10) (a) Schabel, T.; Belger, C.; Plietker, B. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2858–2861. (b) Michaelides, I. N.; Dixon, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 806–808. (c) Cho, C. S.; Kim, D. T.; Shim, S. C. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2009, 30, 1931–1932.

(11) Hayashi, N.; Shibata, I.; Baba, A. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4981–4983.

(12) Tani, K.; Iseki, A.; Yamagata, T. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1821– 1822.

(13) Qi, X.; Liu, X.; Qu, L.-B.; Liu, Q.; Lan, Y. J. Catal. 2018, 362, 25–34.

(14) (a) Reyes-Sánchez, A.; Cañavera-Buelvas, F.; Barrios-Francisco, R.; Cifuentes-Vaca, O. L.; Flores-Alamo, M.; García, J. J. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 3340–3345. (b) Chen, T.; Xiao, J.; Zhou, Y.; Yin, S.; Han, L.-B. J. Organomet. Chem. **2014**, 749, 51–54.

(15) Srimani, D.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14131–14134.

(16) Meta, C. T.; Koide, K. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1785-1787.

(17) Chen, Z.; Luo, M.; Wen, Y.; Luo, G.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3020–3023.

(18) Li, H.-C.; An, C.; Wu, G.; Li, G.-X.; Huang, X.-B.; Gao, W.-X.; Ding, J.-C.; Zhou, Y.-B.; Liu, M.-C.; Wu, H.-Y. Org. Lett. **2018**, 20, 5573–5577.

(19) Verma, A.; Grams, R. J.; Rastatter, B. P.; Santos, W. L. *Tetrahedron* **2019**, *75*, 2113–2117.

(20) (a) Gaikwad, N.; Nanduri, S.; Madhavi, Y. V. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2019, 181, 111561. (b) De, P.; Baltas, M.; Bedos-Belval, F. Curr. Med. Chem. 2011, 18, 1672–1703. (c) Gunia-Krzyżak, A.; Pańczyk, K.; Waszkielewicz, A. M.; Marona, H. ChemMedChem 2015, 10, 1302– 1325.

(21) Perkin, W. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1868, 21, 53-63.

(22) (a) Doebner, O. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1900, 33, 2140–2142.
(b) Verley. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1899, 21, 414. (c) Knoevenagel, E. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1898, 31, 2596–2619.

(23) Zacuto, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 6465-6474.

(24) (a) Fritzemeier, R.; Santos, W. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2017, 23, 15534–15537. (b) Verma, A.; Snead, R. F.; Dai, Y.; Slebodnick, C.; Yang, Y.; Yu, H.; Yao, F.; Santos, W. L. Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 5193–5197. (c) Grams, R. J.; Fritzemeier, R. G.; Slebodnick, C.; Santos, W. L. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 6795–6799.

(25) Zhang, L.; Lin, D.; Kusov, Y.; Nian, Y.; Ma, Q.; Wang, J.; von Brunn, A.; Leyssen, P.; Lanko, K.; Neyts, J.; de Wilde, A.; Snijder, E. J.; Liu, H.; Hilgenfeld, R. J. Med. Chem. **2020**, *63*, 4562–4578.

(26) Khan, J. A.; Forouhar, F.; Tao, X.; Tong, L. Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 2007, 11, 695–705.

(27) For current information, see: http://clinicaltrials.gov.

(28) (a) Esposito, E.; Impellizzeri, D.; Mazzon, E.; Fakhfouri, G.; Rahimian, R.; Travelli, C.; Tron, G. C.; Genazzani, A. A.; Cuzzocrea, S. J. Neuroinflammation **2012**, 9, 66. (b) Lee, J.; Kim, H.; Lee, J. E.; Shin, S.-J.; Oh, S.; Kwon, G.; Kim, H.; Choi, Y. Y.; White, M. A.; Paik, S.; Cheong, J.-H.; Kim, H. S. Gastroenterology **2018**, 155, 799. (c) Guo, E.; Li, R.; Yang, J.; Zhang, J.; Li, A.; Yang, Y.; Liu, S.; Liu, A.; Jiang, X. Sci. Rep. **2017**, 7, 2206. (d) Desautels, D. N.; Bourrier, M.; Peltier, C.; Banerji, V.; Banerji, S. O. J. Clin. Oncol. **2015**, 33, e18562– e18562. (e) Nacarelli, T.; Fukumoto, T.; Zundell, J. A.; Fatkhutdinov, N.; Jean, S.; Cadungog, M. G.; Borowsky, M. E.; Zhang, R. Cancer Res. **2020**, 80, 890–900. (f) Gerner, R. R.; Macheiner, S.; Reider, S.; Siegmund, K.; Grabherr, F.; Mayr, L.; Texler, B.; Moser, P.; Effenberger, M.; Schwaighofer, H.; Moschen, A. R.; Kircher, B.; Oberacher, H.; Zeiser, R.; Tilg, H.; Nachbaur, D. Leukemia **2020**, 34, 1885–1897.

(29) Galli, U.; Ercolano, E.; Carraro, L.; Blasi Roman, C. R.; Sorba, G.; Canonico, P. L.; Genazzani, A. A.; Tron, G. C.; Billington, R. A. *ChemMedChem* **2008**, *3*, 771–779.

(30) Klinge Pharma, WO 97/48397, 1997.

(31) Nagao, K.; Yamazaki, A.; Ohmiya, H.; Sawamura, M. Org. Lett. **2018**, 20, 1861–1865.

(32) (a) Bage, A. D.; Hunt, T. A.; Thomas, S. P. Org. Lett. 2020, 22,

4107. (b) Ang, N. W. J.; Buettner, C. S.; Docherty, S.; Bismuto, A.; Carney, J. R.; Docherty, J. H.; Cowley, M. J.; Thomas, S. P. *Synthesis* **2018**, *50*, 803–808.

(33) Jeong, E.; Heo, J.; Park, S.; Chang, S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2019, 25, 6320-6325.

(34) Query, I. P.; Squier, P. A.; Larson, E. M.; Isley, N. A.; Clark, T. B. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6452–6456.