ChemComm

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2014,

Received 7th June 2014, Accepted 2nd July 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c4cc04349a www.rsc.org/chemcomm

50 9687

COMMUNICATION



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Published on 03 July 2014. Downloaded by Temple University on 30/10/2014 14:19:13.


Rhodium-catalyzed direct synthesis of unprotected NH-sulfoximines from sulfoxides†

Jinmin Miao, Nigel G. J. Richards* and Haibo Ge*

A novel rhodium-catalyzed imination of sulfoxides using O-(2,4dinitrophenyl)hydroxylamine is developed under mild conditions with good functional group tolerance. This method provides an efficient access to free NH-sulfoximines, an important structural unit in a variety of biologically active compounds.

Sulfoximines have recently attracted great attention in biochemistry and medicinal chemistry because of their versatile chemical properties and diverse bioactivities.¹ Since the discovery of the first sulfoximine, methionine sulfoximine, a number of bioactive compounds containing a sulfoximine moiety in the pharmacophore have been reported (Scheme 1). For example, compounds 1 and 2 are transition-state-analogue inhibitors of L-asparagine synthetase;² sudexanox (RU31156, 3) was selected for clinical studies as a prophylactic antiasthmatic;³ sulfoxaflor (4) is the first commercially available sulfoximine insecticide;⁴ Bay 1000394 (5) is an excellent cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, which is currently being evaluated in a Phase I clinical trial for activity against advanced solid tumors;⁵ and finally, one of the enantiomers of 6 shows good anti-proliferative activity against various cancer cell lines.⁶

Among the small number of synthetic strategies for preparing sulfoximines, the most straightforward approach employs direct imination of sulfoxides (Scheme 2). However, traditional methods require the use of either toxic or potentially explosive reagents, such as a combination of NaN3 and sulfuric acid,⁷ or O-mesitylene sulfonylhydroxylamine (MSH) (eqn (1)).8 To overcome these drawbacks, considerable efforts have been devoted to developing transition metal-catalyzed sulfoxide imination, with significant progress being achieved in recent years.9 For example, Tye reported the synthesis of sulfoximines by copper-catalyzed imination of sulfoxides with PhI=NNs (Ns = para-nitrobenzenesulfonyl) and PhI=NSes (Ses = trimethylsilylethylsulfonyl) (eqn (2));^{9f} Bolm discovered that this process could be efficiently performed via rhodium,^{9h} silver,⁹ⁱ or iron^{9l,m} catalysis using iminoiodinanes generated in situ from the oxidation of amides by PhI(OAc)₂ (eqn (3)). In spite of this powerful approach, the transition



Scheme 1 Bioactive sulfoximines.

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, USA.

E-mail: ngrichar@iupui.edu, geh@iupui.edu

 \dagger Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and spectral data. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cc04349a

Traditional methods

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ H \\ R^{1} \cdot S \\ R^{2} \end{array} \xrightarrow{NaN_{3} H_{2}SO_{4}} O \\ Or MSH \\ (explosive) \end{array} \xrightarrow{NH} R^{1} \cdot S \\ R^{2} \end{array}$$
(1)

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ \parallel \\ R^{1'}S \cdot R^2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{[M]} O \\ PhINR^3 R^{1'}S \cdot R^2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{PhSH} O \\ R^{1'}S \cdot R^2 \\ Cs_2CO_3 \\ R^{1'}S \cdot R^2 \end{array}$$
(2)

Bolm's method

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ H \\ R^{1'}S \\ R^{2} \end{array} \xrightarrow{Rh, Ag or Fe} O \\ Phl(OAc)_{2} \end{array} \xrightarrow{R^{1'}S \\ R^{2}} O \\ R^{1'}S \\ R^{2} \end{array} \xrightarrow{base} O \\ R^{1'}S \\ R^{2} \end{array}$$
(3)

This work

R

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O & cat. Rh & O & NH \\ \overset{"}{}_{1}, S & & \\ R^2 & DPH & R^1, S & R^2 \end{array}$$
(4)

Scheme 2 Preparation of unprotected NH-sulfoximines.

R3= CF3CO or Ns

 Table 1
 Optimization of reaction conditions^a


	O S S	Rh (2.5 mol%) DPH solvent, N ₂ , rt	O, NH	
	7a		8a	
Entry	Rh catalyst	Eq. of DPH	Solvent	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$
1	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	TFE	61
2	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	MeOH	32
3	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	MeCN	48
4	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	ⁿ PrCN	44
5	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	PhCN	42
6	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	EtOH	30
7	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	ⁱ PrOH	22
8	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	^t BuOH	trace
9	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	HFIP	39
10	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	DCM	12
11	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	1.5	TFE	23
12	$Rh_2(TFA)_4$	1.5	TFE	trace
13	Rh(PPh ₃) ₃ Cl	1.5	TFE	0
14	$Rh_2(oct)_4$	1.5	TFE	0
15 ^c	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	TFE	50
16^d	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.5	TFE	60
17	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	1.0	TFE	48
18	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	2.0	TFE	72
19	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	3.0	TFE	78

^{*a*} Reactions were conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale. Conditions: **7a** (0.3 mmol), Rh catalyst (2.5 mol%), DPH (1–3 eq.), 3 ml of solvent, room temperature, N₂ atmosphere, 22 h unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} 40 °C. ^{*d*} 0 °C. DPH = O-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydroxylamine. esp = $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha', \alpha'$ -tetramethyl-1,3-benzenedipropanoate. TFE = 2,2,2-tifluoroethanol. HFIP = hexafluoroisopropanol.

metal-catalyzed imination of sulfoxides gives protected sulfoximines, requiring an additional step for the removal of the undesired protecting group. Inspired by a recent report by Kürti and co-workers describing the rhodium-catalyzed synthesis of unprotected NH-aziridines from olefins using *O*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydroxylamine (DPH),¹⁰ we have developed the first transition metal-catalyzed *direct* synthesis of free NH-sulfoximines from sulfoxides under mild conditions (eqn (4)).

Our investigation began with direct imination of phenyl methyl sulfoxide using 1.5 equiv. of O-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-hydroxylamine (DPH) in the presence of 2.5 mol% of Rh₂(esp)₂ at room temperature. After screening a large number of solvents, trifluoroethanol (TFE) was found to be optimal, giving the desired free NH-sulfoximine product **2a** in 61% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Further screening of Rh(π) catalysts revealed that this process could also be catalyzed by Rh₂(OAc)₄, albeit with lower efficiency (entry 11). Additionally, Rh(π) did not show catalytic activity in the imination reaction (entry 13).¹⁰ Finally, using an increased amount of DPH gave an optimal yield for the imination reaction (entry 19).

With optimized conditions in hand, we evaluated the generality of the method using a variety of sulfoxides as substrates (Table 2). As expected, functional groups such as methyl, halogens (Cl and Br), or an acyl group on the phenyl ring were well tolerated (**8a–8h**). Not surprisingly, the *para*-acyl substituted sulfoxide led to a lower yield, perhaps as a result of the electronwithdrawing effect of the acyl group acting to decrease the reactivity of sulfoxide (**8e**). Furthermore, an apparent steric effect was observed in the imination reaction because significantly lower



Reactions were conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale. Conditions: 7 (0.3 mmol), $Rh_2(esp)_2$ (0.0075 mmol, 2.5 mol%), DPH (0.9 mmol, 3.0 eq.), TFE (3 ml, 0.1 M), room temperature, N_2 atmosphere, 22 h.

yields were observed with sulfoxides bearing a substituent at the ortho position of the phenyl ring (8g and 8h). However, the nature of the aryl sulfoxides was not limited to the phenyl ring, and naphthyl, electron-rich 2-thiophenyl, and electron-deficient 2-pyridyl methyl sulfoxides were also found to be effective substrates for the Rh(II)-catalyzed imination reaction (8i-8l). On the other hand, 1-naphthanyl and 2-pyridyl methyl sulfoxides provided only modest yields, presumably due to steric and electronic factors, respectively (8i and 8l). In an important observation for the preparation of sulfoximine-based small molecules, the methyl group on the phenyl methyl sulfoxide could be successfully replaced by other alkyl groups, including the cyclopropyl group, to afford the corresponding sulfoximines in high yields (8m-8p). Interestingly, when phenyl allyl sulfoxide was employed in the reaction, selective sulfoximination was favoured over aziridination (8q).¹⁰

In addition, diaryl sulfoximines could be effectively prepared by this method from the corresponding sulfoxides (**8r** and **8s**), and we were pleased to find that both acyclic and cyclic dialkyl sulfoxides were compatible with this reaction (**8t** and **8u**).

Although the reaction mechanism of this transformation has not been investigated, it is likely that a rhodium–nitrene species is an intermediate based on prior literature reports.^{9h,10,11} Thus, coordination of DPH to Rh₂(esp)₂, followed by loss of dinitrophenol, likely generates a reactive nitrene intermediate, which then oxidizes the metal-coordinated sulfoxide to the corresponding sulfoximine.

In summary, a novel, efficient, and safe method for the preparation of free NH-sulfoximines has been developed *via* rhodium-catalyzed imination of sulfoxides using *O*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydroxylamine. This new approach features mild conditions and good functional group tolerance, which should permit its application to the synthesis of structurally complex sulfoximines with agrochemical and clinical utility.^{1g}

The authors gratefully acknowledge Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis for financial support. Funds from an NSF-MRI award (CHE-0619254) were used to purchase the Bruker 500 MHz NMR spectrometer used in this study.

Notes and references

- 1 For reviews on the synthesis and application of sulfoximines, see: (a) C. R. Johnson, Aldrichimica Acta, 1985, **18**, 3; (b) S. G. Pyne, Sulfur Rep., 1992, **12**, 57; (c) C. Worch, A. C. Mayer and C. Bolm, in Organosulfur Chemistry in Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. T. Toru and C. Bolm, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008, 209; (d) M. Reggelin and C. Zur, Synthesis, 2000, 1; (e) F. Chemla, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 275; (f) H. Okamura and C. Bolm, Chem. Lett., 2004, **33**, 482; (g) U. Lucking, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, **52**, 9399; (h) V. Bizet, R. Kowalczykb and C. Bolm, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, **43**, 2426.
- 2 (a) H. Ikeuchi, Y.-M. Ahn, T. Otokawa, B. Watanabe, L. Hegazy, J. Hiratake and N. G. J. Richards, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2012, 20, 5915;
 (b) J. A. Gutierrez, Y.-X. Pan, L. Koroniak, J. Hiratake, M. S. Kilberg and N. G. J. Richards, *Chem. Biol.*, 2006, 13, 1339; (c) H. Ikeuchi, M. E. Meyer, Y. Ding, J. Hiratake and N. G. J. Richards, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 17, 6641; (d) N. G. J. Richards and M. S. Kilberg, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 2006, 75, 629.
- 3 P. Miller and G. W. L. James, Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther., 1978, 231, 328.
- 4 (a) Y. Zhu, M. R. Loso, G. B. Watscon, T. C. Sparks, R. B. Rogers, J. X. Huang, B. C. Gerwick, J. M. Babcock, D. Kelley, V. B. Hedge, B. M. Nugent, J. M. Renga, I. Denholm, K. Gorman, G. J. DeBoer, J. Hasler, T. Meade and J. D. Thomas, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2011,

59, 2950; (b) J. M. Babcock, C. B. Gerwick, J. X. Huang, M. R. Loso, G. Nakamura, C. P. Nolting, R. B. Rogers, T. C. Sparks, J. Thomas, G. B. Watson and Y. Zhu, *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 2011, **67**, 328; (c) G. B. Watson, M. R. Loso, J. M. Babcock, J. M. Hasler, T. J. Letherer, C. D. Young, Y. Zhu, J. E. Casida and T. C. Sparks, *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2011, **41**, 432.

- 5 (*a*) U. Lucking, G. Siemeister, P. Lienau, R. Jautelat and J. Schulze, EP 2179991, 2010; (*b*) U. Lucking, R. Jautelat, M. Kruger, T. Brumby, P. Lienau, M. Schafer, H. Briem, J. Schulze, A. Hillisch, A. Reichel and G. Siemeister, *ChemMedChem*, 2013, **8**, 1021.
- 6 S. J. Park, H. Baars, S. Mersmann, H. Buschmann, J. M. Baron, P. M. Amann, K. Czaja, H. Hollert, K. Bluhm, R. Redelstein and C. Bolm, *ChemMedChem*, 2013, **8**, 217.
- 7 (a) H. R. Bentley and J. K. Whitehead, J. Chem. Soc., 1952, 1572;
 (b) C. R. Johnson, M. Haake and C. W. Schroeck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 6594; (c) P. Stoss and G. Satzinger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1971, 10, 76; (d) C. R. Johnson and C. W. Schroeck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 7418; (e) R. H. Rynbrandt and D. P. Balgoyen, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 1824; (f) J. Brandt and H.-J. Gais, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1997, 8, 909.
- 8 (a) Y. Tamura, K. Sumoto, J. Minamikawa and M. Ikeda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1972, 4137; (b) Y. Tamura, J. Minamikawa, K. Sumoto, S. Fujii and M. Ikeda, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 1239; (c) C. R. Johnson, R. A. Kirchhoff and H. G. Corkins, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 2458; (d) S. Allenmark, S. Claeson and C. Lowendahl, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1996, 7, 361.
- 9 Cu-catalyzed: (a) J. F. K. Muller and P. Vogt, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 4805; (b) H. Takada, K. Ohe and S. Uemura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 1288; (c) C. Bolm, K. MuÇiz, N. Aguilar, M. Kesselgruber and R. Raabe, Synthesis, 1999, 1251; (d) J. Nakayama, T. Otani, Y. Sugihara, Y. Sano, A. Ishii and A. Sakamoto, Heteroat. Chem., 2001, 12, 333; (e) E. Lacote, M. Amatore, L. Fensterbank and M. Malacria, Synlett, 2002, 116; (f) S. Cren, T. C. Kinahan, C. L. Skinner and H. Tye, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 2749; (g) C. S. Tomooka and E. M. Carreira, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2003, 85, 3773; Rh-catalyzed: (h) H. Okamura and C. Bolm, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4983; Fe-catalyzed: (j) T. Bach and C. Korber, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 5015; (k) T. Bach and C. Korber, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 1033; (l) O. Garcia Mancheno and C. Bolm, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 2349; (m) O. Garcia Mancheno, J. Dallimore, A. Plant and C. Bolm, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2429.
- 10 J. L. Jat, M. P. Paudyal, H. Gao, Q.-L. Xu, M. Yousufuddin, D. Devarajan, D. H. Ess, L. Kürtis and J. R. Falck, *Science*, 2014, 343, 61.
- 11 For selected recent reviews on Rh-nitrene mediated reactions, see:
 (a) P. Muller and C. Fruit, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 2905; (b) C. G. Espino and J. Du Bois, in Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions, ed. P. A. Evans, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005, 379; (c) H. M. L. Davies and J. R. Manning, Nature, 2008, 451, 417; (d) F. Collet, R. Dodd and P. Dauban, Chem. Commun., 2009, 5061; (e) J. Du Bois, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2011, 15, 758; (f) G. Dequirez, V. Pons and P. Dauban, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7384; (g) M. M. Diaz-Requejo, A. Caballero, M. R. Fructos and P. J. Perez, Catal. Met. Complexes, 2012, 38, 229; (h) L. R. Jennifer, M. E. Harvey and J. Du Bois, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 911.