analogous η^2 -alkyne complexes.^{1b}

Acknowledgment is made to the National Science Foundation for its support of this research through Grant CHE 8019513. **Registry No.** 1, 89637-02-5; 2, 89637-03-6; 3, 89637-04-7; 4, 89655-90-3; 5, 89637-06-9; 6, 87585-21-5; 7, 74718-70-0; [CpFe-CO(PPh₃)(C=CMe₂)]BF₄, 80642-54-2; Ph₂Cu(CN)Li₂, 80473-66-1; (CH₂=CH)₂Cu(CN)Li₂, 80473-65-0; CpFeCO(PPh₃)I, 12099-18-2; CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^{1} -C=CPh), 12313-22-3.

Reactions of Benzylrhenium Complexes $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(L)(CH_{2}Ar)$ with $Ph_{3}C^{+}PF_{6}^{-}$. Analysis of the Re-C_{α} Rotamers Involved in α -Hydride Abstraction

William A. Kiel, ^{1a} William E. Buhro, ^{1b} and J. A. Gladysz*^{1,2}

Departments of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, and University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024

Received December 12, 1983

Sequential reaction of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PMe}_3)(\text{CO})]^+\text{BF}_4^-$ (4) with CH₃ONa, C₆H₅MgBr, and then BH₃·THF gives $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PM}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (7, 15%). Reaction of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(= CH_2)]^+\text{PF}_6^-$ with o-CH₃C₆H₄MgBr and mesitylmagnesium bromide gives $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2-(2-C_6H_4\text{CH}_3))$ (8, 52%) and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2(2,4,6-C_6H_2(\text{CH}_3)_3))$ (9, 78%), respectively. Reactions of Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻ with 7, 8, and 9 are examined and compared to that of Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻ with $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$ (1). With 1, the pro-R H_a is abstracted to give sc-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_6)$ (1). With 3, both the pro-R and pro-S H_a are abstracted to give approximately equal amounts of sc- and ac-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_4CH_3)$]+PF₆⁻ (11k and 11t). With 7, the pro-S H_a is abstracted to give ac-[$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2C_6H_5)$]+PF₆⁻ (13t). These data are discussed within the context of the Curtin-Hammett principle. Photolysis of 12t and 13t at -78 °C gives ca. 50:50 mixtures of t/k (ac/sc) Re=C isomers, but in the dark at 25 °C \geq 99:\$1 equilibrium mixtures are re

Introduction

We recently reported a detailed study of the reaction of benzyl complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)$ (1) with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+\text{PF}_6^{-.3}$ Hydride abstraction occurred at -78 °C to give benzylidene $sc\text{-}[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(=$ $\text{CHC}_6\text{H}_5)]^+\text{PF}_6^{--}(2\mathbf{k}).^4$ Subsequently, $2\mathbf{k}$ isomerized to a new Re=C geometric isomer, $ac\text{-}[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5\text{H}_5)\text{Re}(\text{NO})$ - $(\text{PPh}_3)(=\text{CHC}_6\text{H}_5)]^+\text{PF}_6^{--}(2\mathbf{t})$, with $t_{1/2}$ of 443 min at 4 °C and 17 min at 29.5 °C. The structures of $2\mathbf{k}$ and $2\mathbf{t}$ are represented in Scheme I in Newman projection form (IV, V).

Nucleophiles (Nu) were found to attack C_{α} of the benzylidene ligand of **2k** and **2t** either stereospecifically or with high stereoselectivity to give adducts (η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)-(PPh₃)(CH(Nu)C₆H₅). X-ray crystallography established that attack occurred preferentially from a direction anti to the bulky PPh₃ ligand. Studies with deuterium-labeled substrates (SS,RR)- and (SR,RS)-(η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)-(PPh₃)(CHDC₆H₅)^{4b} then demonstrated that Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻ Scheme I. Qualitative Energy-Reaction Coordinate Diagram for the Reaction

$$sc \cdot [(\eta^{5} \cdot C_{5}H_{5})\text{Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5})(1) + Ph_{3}C \cdot PF_{6} \rightarrow sc \cdot [(\eta^{5} \cdot C_{5}H_{5})\text{Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(=CHC_{6}H_{5})]^{+}PF_{6}^{-} (2k) + Ph_{3}CH$$



abstracts essentially only the *pro-R* α -hydride of 1 and that abstraction occurs from a direction anti to the PPh₃. This direction allows overlap of the rhenium d orbital HOMO, the plane of which contains the Re–PPh₃ bond and is perpendicular to the Re–NO bond,³ with the developing

^{(1) (}a) University of California. (b) University of Utah.

⁽²⁾ To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (1980–1984) and Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Grant Recipient (1980–1985).

⁽³⁾ Kiel, W. A.; Lin, G.-Y.; Constable, A. G.; McCormick, F. B.; Strouse, C. E.; Eisenstein, O.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4865.

^{(4) (}a) The designations k ("kinetic") and t ("thermodynamic") will be used to indicate synclinal (sc) and anticlinal (ac) isomers, respectively (see Scheme I). The latter nomenclature is defined in *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1976**, 45, 11. See section E-5.6, p 24. (b) In complexes with more than one chiral center, the rhenium configuration is specified first.



 C_{α} p orbital in the transition state.

A priori, there are three staggered Re– C_{α} rotamers of 1-I, II, and III in Scheme I-that could react with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$. However, the constraints imposed by the deuterium-labeling studies summarized above are best rationalized by invoking I as the most reactive rotamer. It is easily seen that if hydride were abstracted anti to the PPh₃ in rotamer II, it would be the pro-S hydride and the wrong product Re-C isomer 2t would be obtained. It is important to note that I is likely the least stable $Re-C_{\alpha}$ rotamer, since its C_6H_5 substituent must reside between the bulky PPh_3 and medium-sized C_5H_5 ligands. Rotamer III is likely the most stable and is found in the crystal structure of (-)-(R)-1.⁵ However, since the H_a in III are nearly orthogonal to the rhenium d orbital HOMO, hydride abstraction should require a prohibitively high activation energy.

It is not unusual for a less stable isomer to be the more reactive one. In many such cases, the more stable of two possible products is obtained, and the transition state is considered product-like. However, of the two possible product Re=C isomers in Scheme I, the *least* stable 2k (IV), is formed exclusively. The more stable starting material rotamer II would give the more stable product Re=C isomer 2t (V) directly. In the context of the Curtin-Hammet principle,⁶ this result is provocative. If the rotamers I, II, and III are rapidly equilibrating on the time scale of the reaction of 1 with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$, then the hypothetical transition state connecting II and V (Scheme I) must be of higher energy than the transition state connecting I and IV. In other words, despite the fact that I and IV are the least stable rotamers, there is some special stability associated with the transition state that interconverts them.

In a companion paper,⁷ we show that a Scheme I type situation also prevails in the reaction of $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ with linear alkyls $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{NO})(\operatorname{PPh}_3)(\operatorname{CH}_2 R)$ (R = CH₃, CH_2CH_3 , $CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$). In this paper, we further probe the generality of Scheme I by conducting similar experiments with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ - $(PPh_3)(CH_2Ar)$ (Ar = substituted aryl) and $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re$ - $(NO)(PMe_3)(CH_2C_6H_5)$ complexes. We find that with a



sufficient increase in aryl bulk, or a dimunation of phosphine bulk, rotamers analogous to II appear to become the most reactive toward hydride abstraction.⁸

Results

1. Syntheses of Substrates. The previously reported⁹ nitrile complex $[(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{NCCH}_3)(\text{CO})]^+ \text{BF}_4^- (3)$ Scheme II) was generated in situ and treated with PMe₃. Phosphine complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CO)]^+BF_4$ (4) was subsequently isolated in 65% yield. The CH₃CN in 3 did not exchange with CD_3CN on the time scale of this reaction.¹⁰ Hence the substitution must be associative.

The synthesis of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{NO})(\operatorname{PMe}_3)(\operatorname{CH}_2 C_6 H_5)$ (7) was attempted by a route similar to that used to prepare 1.³ Reduction of 4 with NaBH₄ gave $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ -(PMe₃)(CH₃) as an air-sensitive orange solid in 74% yield. The reaction of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CH_3)$ with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ was ¹H NMR monitored. Methylidene [(η^5 - C_5H_5 $Re(NO)(PMe_3)(=CH_2)]^+PF_6^-$ and an unidentified byproduct (δ 5.62) formed. Solutions of this methylidene, like its PPh₃ analogue,^{9,11} decomposed upon warming to room temperature. Unfortunately, reaction of $[(\eta^5 C_5H_5$)Re(NO)(PMe₃)(=CH₂)]+PF₆⁻ and C_6H_5 Li at -78 °C always gave, in addition to 7, significant quantities of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ Re(NO)(PMe₃)(CH₃). We were unable to conveniently separate these alkyls, so this route to 7 was abandoned in favor of the one shown in steps b-d of Scheme II.

Reaction of 4 with CH₃OH/CH₃ONa gave "ester" (η^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe₃)(CO₂CH₃) (5) as a yellow oil (Scheme II). Sequential treatment of 5 with C₆H₅MgBr and, following solvent removal, BH₃. THF gave 7 as a red oil in 15% overall yield from 4. The transformation $5 \rightarrow 7$ proceeds via the oily acyl $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)$ - (COC_6H_5) (6).¹²

Substituted benzyl complexes $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ -(PPh₃)(CH₂Ar) were prepared as shown in Scheme III. Methylidene $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(=CH_2)]^+PF_6^-$ was generated in situ at -78 °C as previously described.9,11 Subsequent reaction with o-CH₃C₆H₄MgBr gave (η^5 -

⁽⁵⁾ Merrifield, J. H.; Strouse, C. E.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1204.

⁽⁶⁾ Seeman, J. I. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 83.

⁽⁷⁾ Kiel, W. A.; Lin, G.-Y.; Bodner, G. S.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4958.

⁽⁸⁾ This full paper, together with the previous two,^{3,7} completes the (c) This is paper, orgenter with the previous two," completes the publication of all experimental data compiled in: Kiel, W. A. Ph.D. Thesis, UCLA, 1982.
(9) Tam, W.; Lin, G.-Y.; Wong, W.-K.; Kiel, W. A.; Wong, V. K.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 141.
(10) With the last of the Science of Market and Market and

⁽¹⁰⁾ We thank Mr. Savas Georgiou, University of Utah, for these data. (11) Merrifield, J. H.; Lin, G.-Y.; Kiel, W. A.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5811.

⁽¹²⁾ Buhro, W. E.; Wong, A.; Merrifield, J. H.; Lin, G.-Y.; Constable, A. G.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1852.



Figure 1. Variable-temperature 200-MHz ¹H NMR spectra of $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})(CH_{2}(2,4,6-C_{6}H_{2}(CH_{3})_{3}))$ (9) in $CD_{2}Cl_{2}$.

 C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CH₂(2-C₆H₄CH₃)) (8) in 52% yield. A similar reaction with mesitylmagnesium bromide gave $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_2(2,4,6-C_6H_2(CH_3)_3))$ (9) in 78% yield.

The 200 K ¹H NMR spectrum of 9 exhibited two meta H and ortho CH₃ resonances, suggesting a congested steric environment for the aryl group. As illustrated in Figure 1, the meta and ortho CH_3 resonances coalesced upon warming. Coalescence temperatures of 236 and 248 K were assigned, respectively. Calculation of the first-order rate constants using the slow exchange approximation (k = 44.4)s⁻¹, 236 K), the coalescence formula, and the fast exchange approximation (k = 83.2 s⁻¹, 270 K) gave a ΔG^*_{rot} for C_{α} - C_{ipso} of 12.0 ± 0.4 kcal/mol (Experimental Section).¹³ The chemical shifts of these resonances were also temperature dependent, as is evident in Figure 1.

The two H_{α} in 9 (δ 3.20, 2.64) appeared as doublets of doublets in 200–300-MHz ¹H NMR spectra. The $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{a}}$ and $J_{^{1}H_{ak}-^{1}H_{ak}}$ were measured over the temperature range -18 to 40 °C in CD_2Cl_2 (Figure 2). Below -18 °C, the ca. 1.2-Hz coupling of the δ 2.64 resonance was no longer resolvable. As will be described in the Discussion, $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{-}}$ values have been previously correlated to Fe–C_{α} rotamer populations in $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Fe(CO)(PX_3)(CH_2R)$ systems.¹⁴

2. Generation and Reactions of Re-CHAr Complexes. The reaction of $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ and 8 in CD_2Cl_2 was ¹H NMR monitored at -70 °C. Approximately equimolar quantities of two o-xylylidene complexes, $sc-[(\eta^5-C_5H_5) Re(NO)(PPh_3)(=CH(2-C_6H_4CH_3))]^+PF_6^-(11k)^4$ and ac- $[(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(=CH(2 - C_6 H_4 CH_3))]^+ \text{PF}_6^- (11t),$ formed cleanly. Upon warming to room temperature, 11k



Figure 2. Variation of H_{α} coupling constants in $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)$ Re- $(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_2(2,4,6-C_6H_2(CH_3)_3))$ (9) with temperature.





isomerized to 11t. These data will be interpreted (Discussion) as outlined in Scheme IV. In a preparative experiment, 11t was obtained as yellow leafs in 50% yield.

A similar reaction of $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ with 9 was ¹H NMR monitored. Spectra were broadened at -70 °C, but upon warming resonances sharpened. At all times, only one isodurylidene complex, $ac - [(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Re(NO)(PPh_3)) =$ $CH(2,4,6-C_{6}H_{2}(CH_{3})_{3}))]^{+}PF_{6}^{-}(12t)$, was present. Product 12t was isolated in 20% yield. No isomerization was observed upon heating 12t to 100 °C in CDCl₂CDCl₂. However, photolysis of a -78 °C CD₂Cl₂ solution of 12t for 4 h cleanly gave a (45 ± 2) : (55 ± 2) mixture of Re=C isomers; the new isomer 12k predominated. Similar conditions had been shown to convert 2t to a (55 ± 3) : (45 ± 3) 2t/2k photostationary state.³ When the photolysate was warmed to room temperature, 12k disappeared as 12t returned to its initial concentration. These data will be interpreted (Discussion) as supporting the structural assignments and interconversions shown in Scheme V.

The more stable isodurylidene complex 12t was treated with Li(C₂H₅)₃BD at -78 °C. The isoduryl complex $9-\alpha-d_1$, which has two chiral centers, was isolated in 78% yield. Analysis by ¹H NMR showed the δ 3.20 (H_a) resonance of 9 to be absent (detection limit 2%). Thus deuteride attack upon 12t was essentially stereospecific and, by analogy to 2t, was presumed to occur anti to the PPh_3 to give (SS,-RR)-9- α - d_1 (Scheme V).^{4b} When (SS, RR)-9- d_1 was treated with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$, the resulting isodurylidene complex was essentially unlabeled ($\geq 98\%$ 12t- d_0). Thus, in contrast to 1, $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ preferentially abstracts the pro-S H_a of 9 (Scheme V).

Samples of 12t in CD_2Cl_2 were photolyzed, and the rates of isomerization of the resulting 12t/12k mixtures to 12twere measured by ¹H NMR. Data were obtained at -30.0

^{(13) (}a) Anet, F. A. L.; Bourn, A. J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 760. (b) Kessler, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1970, 9, 219.
 (14) Stanley, K.; Baird, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4292.



Figure 3. Isomerization of $sc_{-[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(=CH(2,4,6-C_6H_2)(CH_3)_3))]^+PF_6^-(12k)$ to $ac_{-[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(=CH(2,4,6-C_6H_2)(CH_3)_3)]^+PF_6^-(12k)$ at -24.5 °C in CD₂Cl₂. The 12k/12t mixture was generated by photolysis of 12t. Chemical shifts are compiled in the Experimental Section. Minor spectrometer noise (δ 8.0, 2.7) and solvent impurities are evident.



 $\begin{array}{l} \pm \ 0.2 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \ ((0.80 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-4} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}; \ (0.76 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}), \\ -24.5 \pm \ 0.4 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \ ((1.77 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}), \ -20.1 \pm 0.1 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \ ((3.69 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}), \ -20.0 \pm 0.2 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \ ((3.67 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}), \ \mathrm{and} \ -15.0 \pm 0.1 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \ ((7.25 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}). \end{array}$

Scheme VI. Reaction of $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PMe_{3})(CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5})$ (7) with $Ph_{3}C^{+}PF_{6}^{-}$



These gave $\Delta H^* = 18.8 \pm 0.3$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S^* = 0.5 \pm 1.1$ eu. Some spectra from a typical rate experiment are given in Figure 3.

The reaction of $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ and 7 in CD_2Cl_2 was ¹H NMR monitored at -70 °C. A single Re=C isomer of benzylidene complex $[(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(=$ $CHC_6H_5)]^+PF_6^-$ (13t) formed. The reaction was warmed to room temperature, and 13t was isolated in 69% yield. No isomerization was observed upon heating 13t to 60 °C in CDCl₃. Photolysis of a CD_2Cl_2 solution of 13t for 4 h at -78 °C gave a $(56 \pm 1):(44 \pm 1)$ mixture of Re=C isomers. The original isomer predominated. When the photolysate was warmed to room temperature, the new benzylidene isomer 13k disappeared as 13t returned to its initial concentration. These data will be interpreted (Discussion) as supporting the structural assignments and interconversions shown in Scheme VI.

A sample of 13t was treated with $Li(C_2H_5)_3BD$ at -78 °C. The deuterated benzyl complex $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ -(PMe₃)(CHDC₆H₅) (7- α -d₁) was isolated and was analyzed by ¹H NMR. The relative areas of the two H_{α} resonances indicated that a (77 ± 1) : (23 ± 1) mixture of $7-\alpha-d_1$ diastereomers had formed.

Discussion

Alkyl complexes $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 H_6) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_2 \text{R})$ where $\text{R} = C_6 H_5$, CH_3 , $\text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_3$, and $\text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2$ react with $\text{Ph}_3 \text{C}^+ \text{PF}_6^-$ as outlined in Scheme I. The less stable product Re=C isomer is formed initially. However, isoduryl complex 9 reacts differently. The photochemical experiment in Scheme V establishes that the more stable product Re=C isomer is formed initially.

Two reasonable assumptions are made in Scheme V: (1) that the more stable isodurylidene Re—C isomer 12t has, like 2t, an *ac* conformation and (2) that deuteride adds anti to the bulky PPh₃ ligand of 12t to give (SS,RR)-9- α - d_1 . It then follows that deuteride is abstracted from (SS,RR)-9- α - d_1 from a direction anti to the PPh₃ ligand. Otherwise, 12k would form initially. Furthermore, the deuterium labeling shows that the pro-S H_{α} of 9 is abstracted by Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻. Hence, we propose that rotamer IX of 9 is the most reactive toward Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻.

A similar analysis of the reaction of xylyl complex 8 with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ is given in Scheme IV. Now approximately equimolar quantities of two o-xylylidene Re=C isomers are formed initially. In view of the conclusions from Schemes I and V, this suggests that two Re-C_a rotamers of 8 are reactive toward $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$. The pro-R H_a would be abstracted from rotamer VI, whereas the pro-S H_a would be abstracted from rotamer VII.

In order to further interpret Schemes IV and V, it is necessary to ascertain whether rotation about the Re- C_{α} bonds of 8 and 9 is rapid relative to reaction with Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻. If this is so, then the Curtin-Hammett principle⁶ may be applied. As background, it should be noted that rotational barriers about transition metal-carbon σ bonds are generally in the 3-6 kcal/mol range.^{14,15} In more congested alkyls such as (η^5 -C₅H₅)Fe(CO)₂[C(SCH₃)₃] ($\Delta G^* \simeq 8.7 \text{ kcal/mol})^{15b}$ barriers approach 10 kcal/mol.

We have probed the Re– C_{α} rotational barrier in 9 in two ways. First, Figure 2 shows that while the geminal coupling $J_{^{1}H_{\alpha}p^{-1}H_{\alpha}s}$ in 9 is essentially constant (11.9 Hz) between -18 and 40 °C, $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha}p}$ varies by ca. 1 Hz and $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha}s}$ varies by ca. 0.2 Hz. A similar experiment has been conducted by Baird with $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)(CH_2C_6H_5)$.¹⁴ He noted that if the $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha}}$ values exhibit a Karplus-like geometry dependence, then a change in temperature will, in the case of equilibrating rotamers, alter the relative rotamer populations and hence the observed $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha}}$. It is therefore evident that 9 is not locked in a single rotamer or a static mixture of rotamers.

Extrapolation of the data in Figure 2 to 0 K gives $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha S}}$ of 9.81 Hz and $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha R}}$ of -2.98 Hz. In his analysis of $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)(CH_2C_6H_5)$ and related compounds,¹⁴ Baird suggested that $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha}} = 17 \pm 1$ Hz when phosphorus is antiperiplanar to H_{α} and $J_{^{31}P^{-1}H_{\alpha}} = 0 \pm 1$ Hz when phosphorus is gauche to H_{α} . If a similar relationship holds for 9,¹⁶ the extrapolated coupling constants suggest that rotamers IX and X are of approximately equal energy.

A similar conclusion was reached in our earlier study regarding rotamers II and III of 1.³

Second, the rotational barrier found for $12\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 12\mathbf{t}$, $\Delta H^* = 18.8 \pm 0.3$ kcal/mol ($\Delta G^*_{298K} = 18.6 \pm 0.6$ kcal/mol), is *lower* than that observed for $2\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{t}$, $\Delta H^* = 20.9 \pm 0.4$ kcal/mol ($\Delta G^*_{298K} = 22.0 \pm 0.5$ kcal/mol).³ Hence, there is no evidence for an extraordinary steric barrier to rotation about the Re—C bond of 12, and we therefore suggest that a large (>10 kcal/mol) barrier to rotation about the Re—C_a bond of 9 is unlikely. The attenuated Re==C rotational barrier for $12\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 12\mathbf{t}$ may be due to ground-state strain, or increased contributions from resonance forms XIII-XV. The latter would weaken the π bond.



In summary, we assume, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, that the Re- C_{α} rotamers of 8 and 9 interconvert rapidly on the time scale of the reaction with Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻. One could still rationalize Scheme V by arguing that rotamer VIII of 9 is thermally inaccessible. However, since the analogous rotamer of 8, VI (Scheme IV), does react with Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻, we believe that VIII is an attainable rotamer and that the Curtin-Hammett principle may be applied to Schemes IV and V.

Thus, as the size of the R group in $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)-(PPh_3)(CH_2R)$ is increased, the relative energies of the two types of transition states shown in Scheme I, pro-R H_a abstraction and pro-S H_a abstraction, gradually change. In Scheme IV, the transition-state free energies for pro-R and pro-S H_a abstraction from 8 are approximately equal. In Scheme V, the transition-state free energy for pro-S H_a abstraction from 9 is lower than that for pro-R H_a abstraction. Hence the stabilizing interaction that must be present in transition state for pro-R H_a abstraction from 1 (Scheme I), as well as aliphatic homologs such as $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_2CH_3)$, is diminished as the bulk of the aryl substituent is increased.

It should be emphasized that other products (but not 12k) form in the reaction of 9 with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$. Reaction of the bulky neopentyl complex $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ -(PPh₃)(CH₂C(CH₃)₃)⁷ with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ failed to give neopentylidene $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(=CHC(CH_3)_3]^+PF_6^-$ —a compound which we were able to prepare by another route.¹⁷ Perhaps electron-transfer-initiated side reactions have a greater opportunity to compete in reactions of $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ with congested rhenium alkyls. We have also discussed the possibility that all hydride abstractions from rhenium alkyls by $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ may proceed via initial electron transfer.⁷

What is the nature of the attractive forces that stabilize the transition state for $pro \cdot R H_{\alpha}$ abstraction from 1 and the corresponding ethyl, *n*-propyl, and *n*-pentyl complexes? Alternatively, can any destablizing interactions be identified in $pro \cdot S H_{\alpha}$ abstraction? It is difficult to rationalize what would be a 1-2-kcal effect at 203 K in the context of such large, bulky molecules. However, the reaction of 7 with Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻ (Scheme VI) indicates that the PPh₃ ligand is in some manner responsible. Diastereomerically pure 7- $\alpha \cdot d_1$ is not available, so we cannot be entirely certain which H_{α} is abstracted by Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻. However, by analogy to Schemes IV and V, we interpret

^{(15) (}a) Jordan, R. F.; Tsang, E.; Norton, J. R. J. Organomet. Chem.
1978, 149, C53 and references therein. (b) McCormick, F. B.; Angelici, R. J.; Pickering, R. A.; Wagner, R. E.; Jacobson, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 4108.

^{(16) (}a) A reviewer has questioned the validity of quantitatively comparing $J_{31p,-1H_{c}}$ for homologous rhenium and iron complexes. We agree that any extrapolations must be provisional and cautiously stated. However, both types of complexes should exhibit $J_{31p,-1H_{c}} \approx 0$ at similar ${}^{31}P-M/C^{-1}H_{c}$ dihedral angles, and $J_{31p,-1H_{c}}$ for $(\pi^{5}\cdot C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PPh_{3})$ -(CH₃) (5 H2)⁹ is close to that of $(\pi^{5}\cdot C_{5}H_{5})Fe(CO)(PPh_{3})(CH_{3})$ (6.5 H2).^{18b} We know of no examples of widely differing $J_{31p,-1H_{c}}$. (b) Flood, T. C.; DiSanti, F. J.; Miles, D. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 1910.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Hatton, W. G., unpublished results in this laboratory.

the initial formation of the more stable benzylidene 13t as indicating greater reactivity for rotamer XII and the pro-S H_{α} . Hence the PPh₃ ligand in 1 supplies some stabilizing interaction in the transition state for pro-R H_a abstraction or some destabilizing interaction in the transition state for $pro-S H_{\alpha}$ abstraction. We have executed numerous X-ray crystal structures of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ - $(PPh_3)(X)$ compounds^{3,5,11,18} but have not seen evidence for attractive interactions involving the PPh₃ rings. In single-run experiments,¹⁹ two other rhenium benzyl complexes have been synthesized, characterized by ¹H NMR, and treated with $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ in CD_2Cl_2 at -78 °C. Tolylphosphine complex $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(P(4-C_6H_4CH_3)_3)$ - $(CH_2C_6H_5)$ behaved like 1; the less stable benzylidene complex was the exclusive initial product. Phosphite complex $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{P}(\text{OMe})_3)(\text{CH}_2 C_6 H_5)$ behaved like 7; a ca. 90:10 mixture of benzylidene complexes, with the more stable one predominating, formed initially. On the basis of these limited data, it appears that phosphine size plays an important role in determining whether pro-Ror pro-S H_{α} abstraction is preferred.

The restricted $C_{\alpha}-C_{ipso}$ rotation in *alkyl* 9 (Figure 1) is reminiscent of the $C_{\alpha}-C_{ipso} \Delta G^*_{rot}$ of 9.1 \pm 0.3 (-80 °C) and 10.4 \pm 0.3 (-56 °C) kcal/mol found by Brookhart and Husk for alkylidenes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2(=CHC_6H_5)]^+CF_3SO_3^$ and $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2(=CH(4-C_6H_4CH_3))]^+CF_3SO_3^{-20}$ The greater portion of the 12.0 ± 0.4 kcal/mol barrier in 9 is undoubtedly steric. However, it is worth noting that in the two X-ray crystal structures of benzyl $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ -Re(NO)(PPh₃) systems completed to date,^{3,5} the Re- C_{α} - C_{ipeo} plane is perpendicular to the plane of the phenyl ring. Such an orientation is consistent with a hyperconjugative interaction between the Re- C_{α} bond and the phenyl ring.

In conclusion, this study has provided important limits on the generality of the relative energies of the transition states for pro-R and pro-S H_{α} abstraction in Scheme I. Other systems have been found in which the less stable of two equilibrating species reacts more rapidly to give the less stable of two other equilibrating species. For instance, the direct oxidative addition of H_2 to $RhCl(PPh_3)_3$ can occur, but addition of H_2 to RhCl(PPh₃)₂, generated by PPh₃ dissociation, is 10⁴ times faster.²¹ However, we know of no examples other than Scheme I where this has been so strongly suggested for nondissociative equilibria.²² The substitution-induced changes in the relative energies of the transition states for pro-R and pro-S H_a abstraction (Schemes IV-VI) undoubtedly contain valuable information regarding transition-state structure and, in time, should help provide a more detailed understanding of these transformations.

Experimental Section

General Data. Instrumentation and general procedures employed for this study were identical with those given in previous papers,^{3,7} except that the data in Figures 2 and 3 were obtained on Varian SC-300 and FT-80A NMR spectrometers, respectively.

Starting Materials. Rhenium complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re (NO)(CO)_2]^+BF_4^-$ and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(CH_3)$ were prepared as described previously.⁹ $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ was purchased from Aldrich and Columbia Organic and was purified and stored as previously described.^{17c} Iodosobenzene diacetate was purchased from Aldrich or Eastman and converted to $C_6H_5I^+-O^-$ by the literature procedure.²³ PMe₃ was obtained from Strem Chemicals and used without purification. Grignard reagents C₆H₅MgBr, o-CH₃C₆H₄MgBr, and mesitylmagnesium bromide were prepared by standard methods²⁴ and used without standardization. Reagents BH3 THF, Li(C2H5)3BD, and C6H5Li were obtained from Aldrich and used without standardization.

Preparation of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CO)]^+BF_4^-(4)$. To $[(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{CO})_2]^+ BF_4^- (4.39 \text{ g}, 10.35 \text{ mmol})^9 \text{ in CH}_3 \text{CN}$ (150 mL) was added $C_6H_5I^+-O^-$ (3.03 g, 13.77 mmol). After 1 h, an aliquot was analyzed by ¹H NMR. No starting material C₅H₅ resonance was present. The CH₃CN was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was taken up in acetone and filtered through silica gel. The acetone was removed by rotary evaporation to give a dark oil that was washed with 3×100 mL of ether (to remove C_6H_5I). The residue was dissolved in acetone (50 mL) in a Schlenk flask, and PMe₃ (3.0 mL, 29.53 mmol) was added via gas-tight syringe. After 2 h, solvent was removed under aspirator vacuum. The resulting dark black oily solid was washed with THF to give a yellow powder that was in turn washed with ether. The powder was diffusion recrystallized from acetone/ether to give 3.18 g (6.73 mmol, 65%) of 4 as long yellow needles: mp >300 °C; IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) ν_{CmO} 2004 (m), ν_{NmO} 1761 (s); ¹H NMR $(\delta, CD_3CN) 6.00 (d, J_{^1H^{-31}P} = 0.8 \text{ Hz}, C_5H_5), 1.93 (d, J_{^1H^{-31}P} = 11.6$ Hz, PMe₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, CD₃CN) 197.16 (CO), 94.47 (C₅H₅), 20.18 (d, $J_{13}C_{31}P = 42.2$ Hz, PMe₃). Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₄BF₄NO₂PRe: C, 22.89; H, 2.99. Found: C, 23.00; H, 3.01.

Preparation of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CH_3)$. To a suspension of 4 (0.196 g, 0.415 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added NaBH₄ (0.049 g, 1.29 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 3 h. Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation from the resulting bright orange solution. The residue was taken up in benzene and filtered through silica gel. The benzene was removed to give an orange oil, which was recrystallized from cold hexane to give 0.114 g (0.306 mmol, 74%) of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PMe}_3)(\text{CH}_3)$ as orange flakes, mp 76-78 °C dec. This complex must be stored cold under N₂: IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1632 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃) 5.01 (s, C₅H₅), 1.54 (d, $J_{1H_{-}31p} = 9.8$ Hz, PMe₃), 0.72 (d, $J_{1H_{-}31p} = 6.6$ Hz, ReCH₃); (δ , CD₂Cl₂) 4.99, 1.49, 0.64. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₇NOPRe: C, 29.03; H, 4.60. Found: C, 29.16; H, 4.70.

Preparation of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{NO})(\operatorname{PMe}_3)(\operatorname{CH}_2 C_6 H_5)$ (7), A CH_3OH solution of CH_3ONa was prepared from Na (0.636 g, 27.6 mmol) and CH₃OH (20 mL). This was added to a -78 °C solution of 4 (0.603 g, 1.29 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (60 mL). The solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature. After an additional 2 h of stirring, solvents were removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in benzene and filtered. The benzene was removed by rotary evaporation to give a yellow oil contaminated with a white solid. The oil was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and filtered to remove the solid. The CH₂Cl₂ was pumped off to give 0.510 g (1.23 mmol, 96%) of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PMe}_3)(\text{CO}_2 \text{CH}_3)$ (5) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃) 5.41 (s, C₅H₅), 3.56 (s, OCH₃), 1.70 (d, $J_{^{1}H^{-31}P} = 10.4$ Hz, PMe₃).

The 5 thus isolated was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. Then 0.900 mL of 1.7 M C₆H₅MgBr (1.53 mmol) in ether was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, and after an additional hour solvents were removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in THF (30 mL) and 12 mL of 1.0 M BH3. THF in THF (12 mmol) was added. The reaction was refluxed for 2 h, after which the THF was removed under oil pump vacuum. The resulting residue was transferred to a glovebox for the remaining workup. The residue was extracted with benzene and filtered through silica gel. The benzene was pumped off, and the resulting dark orange oil was chromatographed on a 2.5×13 cm silica gel column with 3:1 CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes. Collection of the orange band and solvent

^{(18) (}a) Wong, W.-K.; Tam, W.; Strouse, C. E.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 530. (b) Buhro, W. E.; Patton, A. T.; Strouse, C. E.; Gladysz, J. A.; McCormick, F. B.; Etter, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1056. (c) Patton, A. T.; Strouse, C. E.; Knobler, C. B.; Gladysz,

^{J. A.} *Ibid.* 1983, 105, 5804. (d) Marsi, M. Ph.D. Thesis, UCLA 1982.
(19) Kiel, W. A., unpublished results in this laboratory.
(20) Brookhart, M.; Tucker, J. R.; Husk, G. R. J. Organomet. Chem.

^{1980, 193,} C23. (21) Halpern, J.; Wong, C. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973,

⁽²²⁾ Some possible candidates do exist: (a) Halpern, J. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1982, 217, 401. (b) Brown, J. M.; Chaloner, P. A.; Morris, G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 664.

⁽²³⁾ Saltzman, H.; Sharefkin, J. G. "Organic Syntheses"; Wiley: New York, 1973; Collect. Vol. V, 658. (24) Vogel, A. I. "A Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry", 3rd ed.;

Longman: London, 1956.

removal gave 0.084 g (0.190 mmol, 15% from 4) of 7 as an orange oil: IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1630 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃) 7.30–6.80 (m's, C₆H₅), 4.87 (s, C₅H₅), 3.22 (dd, $J_{1H_{\alpha}^{-1}H_{\alpha}'} = 11.3$ Hz, $J_{1H_{\alpha}^{-31}P} = 7.4$ Hz, ReCH), 2.72 (dd, $J_{1H_{\alpha}^{-1}H_{\alpha}'} = 11.3$ Hz, $J_{1H_{\alpha}^{-31}P} = 3.6$ Hz, ReCH), 1.65 (d, $J_{1H_{\alpha}^{-31}P} = 9.7$ Hz, PMe₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, CDCl₃) phenyl carbons at 158.87, 127.59, 126.97, and 121.82, 88.17 (C₅H₅), 19.38 (d, $J_{13_{C^{-31}P}} = 35.5$ Hz, PMe₃), -7.97 (d, $J_{13_{C^{-31}P}} = 4.5$ Hz, ReCH₃).

Generation and Reactions of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(=$ (CH_2)]⁺**PF**₆⁻. A septum-capped NMR tube was charged with $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Re(NO)(PMe_{3})(CH_{3})$ (0.0148 g, 0.040 mmol) and $CD_{2}Cl_{2}$ (0.350 mL). The NMR tube was cooled to -78 °C whereupon 0.0176 g (0.045 mmol) of $Ph_{3}C^{+}PF_{6}^{-}$ in 0.150 mL of $CD_{2}Cl_{2}$ was added via gas-tight syringe. The tube was quickly transferred to a -70 °C NMR probe. The formation of a methylidene complex was evident (δ 15.36 (br t), 14.88 (br d, =CH₂), 6.23 (s, C₅H₅)) as well as a byproduct (δ 5.62) (1:2 ratio). A preparative reaction was similarly conducted in a Schlenk flask using 0.195 g (0.524 mmol) of $(\eta^5$ -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PMe₃)(CH₃) in 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 0.244 g (0.629 mmol) of Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻. The methylidene solution was stirred for 0.5 h, and then 0.500 mL of 2 M C₆H₅Li in C_6H_6 /ether (1.00 mmol) was added dropwise. The solution turned from light yellow to orange and was allowed to warm to room temperature. Solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum and the residue transferred to a glovebox for the remaining workup. The residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through silica gel. The CH_2Cl_2 was pumped off, and the resulting orange oil was chromatographed on a 2.5×14 cm silica gel column with 3:1 CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes. Collection of the orange band and solvent removal gave 0.099 g (~40%) of a 3:1 mixture of 7 and (η^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CH_3), as determined by integration of the C₅H₅ ¹H NMR resonances.

Preparation of $ac - [(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Re(NO)(PMe_3)(=$ CHC_6H_5]⁺PF₆⁻ (13t). A. A septum-capped NMR tube was charged with 7 (0.039 g, 0.087 mmol) and CD_2Cl_2 (0.350 mL) and was cooled to -78 °C. Then $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.041 g, 0.105 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.350 mL) was added, and the tube was quickly transferred to a -70 °C NMR probe. Benzylidene 13t had formed cleanly: δ 14.76 (s, Re=CH), 6.24 (s, C₅H₅), 5.61 (s, Ph₃CH), 1.70 (d, $J_{^{1}H^{-3}P} = 11.4$ Hz, PMe₃). No evidence for isomerization was noted upon warming, although resolution improved. The CD₂Cl₂ was removed via oil pump vacuum and replaced with CDCl₃. This solution was heated for 3 h at 60 °C without apparent isomerization. B. A -78 °C solution of 7 (0.084 g, 0.187 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was treated with solid $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.087 g, 0.224 mmol). The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 20 min and was then allowed to warm to room temperature. Solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum, and the remaining dark yellow oily residue was washed with hexanes, dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, and filtered. Hexanes was added to the filtrate, but crystallization could not be induced. Solvents were removed under vacuum to give 0.071 g (0.128 mmol, 69%) of 13t as a yellow oil: IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1704 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CD₂Cl₂) 14.89 (d, $J_{1H^{-31}P} = 1.2$ Hz, Re=CH), 7.85–7.47 (d,t,t pattern, C₃H₅) 6.26 (s, C₅H₅), 1.70 (d, $J_{1H^{-31}P} = 11.6$ Hz, PMe₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, acetone- d_6) 283.81 (d, $J_{^{13}C^{-31}P} = 7.3$ Hz, Re=C), phenyl carbons at 152.99, 134.27, 131.74, and 130.62, 100.33 (C_5H_5), 18.71 (d, $J_{^{13}C^{-31}P} = 41.5$ Hz, PMe₃).

Photolysis of 13t. A septum-capped 5-mm NMR tube was charged with 13t (0.081 g, 0.137 mmol) and CD_2Cl_2 (0.500 mL). The solution was freeze-thaw-degassed three times, and a N_2 atmosphere was admitted. The tube was placed in a large Pyrex test tube partially filled with acetone, and the test tube was in turn placed in a large unsilvered Pyrex Dewar charged with a dry ice/acetone bath. A Hanovia 450-W lamp was suspended in a water-cooled quartz immersion well and placed adjacent to the Dewar such that ca. 5 cm separated the lamp from the sample tube. The tube was irradiated for 4 h at -78 °C and then quickly transferred to a -70 °C NMR probe. A (44 ± 1):(56 ± 1) 13k/13t mixture had been generated. ¹H NMR (δ): 13k, at 15.80 (s, Re=CH), 6.16 (s, C₅H₅); 13t, at 14.80 (br s, Re=CH), 6.29 (s, C₅H₅). The sample was warmed to room temperature. A ¹H NMR spectrum taken 0.5 h later showed only 13t.

Reaction of 13t with Li(C_2H_5)_3BD. To a -78 °C solution of 13t (0.040 g, 0.068 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise 0.081 mL of 1.0 M Li(C₂H₅)₃BD in THF (0.081 mmol). The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, whereupon

solvents were removed under oil pump vacuum. The residue was transferred to a glovebox and chromatographed on a 13 × 2.5 cm silica gel column in 3:1 CH₂Cl₂/hexanes. The orange band was collected and the solvent removed to give 0.021 g (0.047 mmol, 69%) of (η^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PMe₃)(CHDC₆H₅) (7- α -d₁) as an orange oil. The relative areas of the H_{α}¹H NMR resonances at δ 3.20 and 2.71 were (77 ± 1):(23 ± 1).

Preparation of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)$ Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CH₂(2-C₆H₄CH₃)) (8). To a -78 °C solution of $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{CH}_3)^9 (0.300)$ g, 0.537 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added solid $Ph_{3}C^{+}PF_{6}^{-}$ (0.250 g, 0.644 mmol). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at -78 °C for 0.5 h, and then 1.5 mL of 0.75 M o-CH₃C₆H₄MgBr in THF (1.12 mmol) was added dropwise. Solvent was then removed under oil pump vacuum as the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. The resulting residue was extracted with benzene and filtered through a 5-cm silica gel plug. Benzene was removed from the bright orange filtrate, and the residue was chromatographed on a 18×2.5 cm silica gel column with 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/hexanes. The orange band was collected, concentrated to a residu id recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes to give 0.182 g (0.281 m...., 52%) of 8 as small orange crystals: mp 179-182 °C dec; IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1624 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CD₂Cl₂) 7.45–6.76 (m's, phenyl, 19 H), 4.72 (s, C₅H₅), 3.09 (very br s, ReCH₂), 2.20 (s, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, CDCl₃) phenyl carbons at 156.87 (d, $J_{1^{3}C^{-3^{1}P}} = 4.3$ Hz), 136.05 (d, J = 50.9 Hz), 133.97, 133.62 (d, J = 10.4 Hz), 130.09, 129.95, 128.38 (d, J = 10.2 Hz), 127.99, 124.93, and 122.01, 90.58 (C5H5), 20.28 (CH3), -6.23 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, ReC_a); mass spectrum (70 eV), m/e (relative intensity) 649 (M⁺, ¹⁸⁷Re, 18), 544 (M⁺ - $CH_2C_6H_4CH_3$, 100), 387 (M⁺ - PPh_3 , 27), 262 (+PPh₃, 30).

Preparation of $ac \cdot [(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3)(=CH(2 \cdot C_5 H_5) \text{Re}(\text{NO})(\text{PPh}_3))$ $C_6H_4CH_3)$]⁺PF₆⁻ (11t). A. A septum-capped NMR tube was charged with 8 (0.021 g, 0.032 mmol) and $\mathrm{CD}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$ (0.350 mL) and was cooled to -78 °C. Then $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.015 g, 0.038 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.150 mL) was added, and the tube was quickly transferred to a -70 °C NMR probe. A ca. 50:50 ratio of xylylidenes 11t (\$ 15.72, 6.09, 1.86) and 11k (\$ 16.41, 5.86, 2.37) had cleanly formed. The tube was warmed in the NMR probe. As 11k diminished, 11t increased. After 45 min at room temperature, only 11t remained. B. To a -78 °C solution of 8 (0.092 g, 0.142 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was added solid $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.066 g, 0.170 mmol). The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 0.5 h and was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 2 h at room temperature, solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum. The remaining dark residue was filtered through a 5-cm silica gel plug with CH₂Cl₂. This operation required 1000-1500 mL of CH₂Cl₂ to ensure complete extraction of 11t from the silica gel. The CH_2Cl_2 was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation. The resulting yellow oil was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ and carefully layered with hexane. Small yellow leafs of 11t formed (0.056 g, 0.071 mmol, 50%): mp 245–250 °C dec; IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1715 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CD₂Cl₂) 15.76 (s, Re=CH), 7.55–7.00 (m, phenyls), 6.07 (s, C₅H₅), 1.88 (s, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, acetone-d₆) 287.48 (d, $J_{^{13}C^{-31}P} = 9.8$ Hz, Re=CH), phenyl carbons at 152.63, 134.45, 134.36, 133.97 (d, $J_{^{13}C^{-31}P} = 9.9 \text{ Hz}$), 133.33, 133.12, 131.59, 130.31 (d, J = 11.9 Hz), 130.21 (d, J = 61.2 Hz), and 128.09, 101.22 (C₅H₅),20.21 (CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₂₈F₆NOP₂Re: C, 46.97; H, 3.56. Found: C, 46.73; H, 3.66.

Preparation of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CH₂(2,4,6-C₆H₂- $(CH_3)_3)$ (9). To a -78 °C solution of $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)$ -(PPh₃)(CH₃) (0.404 g, 0.724 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added solid $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.338 g, 0.871 mmol). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at -78 °C for 20 min, and then 2.0 mL of 0.7 M mesitylmagnesium bromide in THF (1.4 mmol) was added dropwise. Solvent was then removed under oil pump vacuum as the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. The resulting residue was extracted with benzene and filtered through a 5-cm silica gel plug. Benzene was removed from the bright orange filtrate, and the residue was chromatographed on a 20 \times 2.5 cm silica gel column with 1:1 CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes. The orange band was collected. Solvent was removed under vacuum to give 0.384 g (0.568 mmol, 78%) of 9 as an orange powder: mp 215-216 °C dec; IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1623 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C) 7.55–7.13 (m, C₆H₅), 6.68 (s, 2,4,6-C₆H₂(CH₃)₃), 4.55 (s, C₅H₅), 3.20 (dd, $J_{^{1}H_{ac}}^{-1}H_{aF}^{-1} = 11.9$ Hz, $J_{^{1}H_{ac}}^{-31} = 8.9$ Hz, ReCH_S), 2.64 (dd, $J_{^{1}H_{ac}}^{-1}H_{aS} = 11.9$ Hz, $J_{^{1}H_{ac}}^{-31} = 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, ReCH_R), 2.18 (s, 4-CH₃), 2.12 (br s, 2,6-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, CDCl₃, 25 °C) phenyl carbons at 152.10 (d, J_{18C} , $_{31p}$ = 2.7 Hz), 136.06 (d, J = 51.1 Hz), 133.60 (d, J = 9.5 Hz), 133.07 (br s), 130.32, 130.05, and 128.34 (d, J = 9.5 Hz), 90.35 (C₅H₅), 20.97 (2,6-CH₃), 20.62 (4-CH₃), -11.28 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, ReC_a); mass spectrum (16 eV), m/e (relative intensity) 677 (M⁺, ¹⁸⁷Re, 31), 544 (M⁺ - CH₂C₆H₂(CH₃)₃, 100), 415 (M⁺ - PPh₃, 33), 262(⁺PPh₃, 28). Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₃₃NOPRe: C, 58.56; H, 4.91. Found: C, 58.77; H, 5.02.

Variable Temperature ¹H NMR Spectra of 9. The data in Figure 1 were treated as follows.¹³ The ortho CH₃ and meta $H \Delta \nu$ were determined to be 85.43 and 17.27 Hz, respectively. The coalescence formula gave k_c (s⁻¹) of 189.7 (248 K) and 38.4 (236 K), respectively. The equation $\Delta G_c^* = 4.57T_c$ (10.32 + log T_c/k_c) was applied and gave values of 11.8 and 12.0 kcal/mol. The ortho CH₃ (270 K), meta H (248 K), and ortho CH₃ (236 K) line widths were corrected for field inhomogeneties by substracting the (CH₃)₄Si line width. This gave values of 12.78, 5.48, and 14.12 Hz, respectively. Application of the fast exchange approximation to the first two and the slow exchange approximation to the last gave k (s⁻¹) of 83.2, 85.5, and 44.4, respectively. These yielded ΔG^* of 12.1, 12.2, and 11.9 kcal/mol.

Preparation of $ac - [(\eta - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)) = CH(2,4,6-CH)(2,2,4)(2,4,6-CH)(2,4,$ $C_{6}H_{2}(CH_{3})_{3})]^{+}PF_{6}^{-}(12t)$. A. A septum-capped NMR tube was charged with 9 (0.017 g, 0.025 mmol) and CD_2Cl_2 (0.350 mL) and was cooled to -78 °C. Then $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.011 g, 0.028 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.150 mL) was added, and the tube was quickly transferred to a -70 °C NMR probe. Resonances were broad, but some 12t (δ 15.93 and 6.12) was evident. The sample was warmed to room temperature. Broadening diminished, but no evidence for geometric isomerization was observed. B. To a -78 °C solution of 9 (0.152 g, 0.225 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added solid $Ph_3C^+PF_6^-$ (0.106 g, 0.273 mmol). The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for an additional hour. Solvent was then removed under oil pump vacuum, and the residue was washed with hexanes and ether. The yellow powder that remained was extracted with CHCl₃. Hexanes was added until cloud point was reached. The solution was filtered and stored in a freezer overnight. Small yellow prisms of 12t formed (0.037 g, 0.045 mmol, 20%): mp 215 °C dec; IR (cm⁻¹, CH₂Cl₂) $\nu_{N=0}$ 1730 (s); ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃) 16.11 (br s, Re=CH), 7.53-7.10 (m's, C_6H_5 , 6.75 (s, 2,4,6- $C_6H_2(CH_3)_3$), 6.14 (s, C_5H_5), 2.30 (s, 4- CH_3), 1.92 (s, 2,6-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (ppm, acetone-d₆) 294.62 (d, J_{13C-31P} = 7.3 Hz, Re=CH), phenyl carbons at 152.00, 141.28, 135.17 134.01 (d, $J_{^{13}C^{-31}P} = 9.8$ Hz), 133.28, 132.21, 130.58 (d, J = 61.0Hz), 130.44 (d, J = 12.2 Hz), and 130.12, 101.52 (C₅H₅), 21.10 (4-CH₃), 20.67 (2,6-CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₂₂F₆NOP₂Re: C, 48.30; H, 3.93. Found: C, 48.07; H, 4.03.

Photolysis of 12t. Rate of Isomerization of 12k to 12t. A septum-capped NMR tube was charged with 12t (0.10 g, 0.012

mmol) and CD₂Cl₂ (0.500 mL) and was degassed and photolyzed as described above for 13t. The tube was quickly transferred to a -70 °C NMR probe. A (55 ± 2):(45 ± 2) 12k/12t mixture had been generated. ¹H NMR (δ , -70 °C): 12k, at 16.22 (s, Re—CH), 5.86 (s, C₅H₅); 12t, at 15.93 (br s, Re—CH), 6.14 (s, C₅H₅); data at -24.5 °C (Figure 3) 12k, at 16.15, 6.82 (2,4,6-C₆H₂(CH₃)₃), 5.79, 2.25 (4-CH₃), 1.71 (2,6-CH₃); 12t, at 15.92, 6.76, 6.09, 2.28, 1.88. Rate data were collected for 2.0t_{1/2} at -15.0, -20.1, and -24.5 °C and for 1.0t_{1/2} at -20.0 and -30.0 °C. Rate constants and activation parameters were calculated as previously described.³

Reaction of 12t with Li(C_2H_5)₃BD. To a -78 °C solution of 12t (0.031 g, 0.038 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise 0.050 mL of 1.0 M Li(C_2H_5)₃BD in THF (0.050 mmol). The solution was warmed to room temperature, and solvent was removed under oil pump vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on a 13 × 2.5 cm silica gel column with 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/hexanes. The orange band was collected and the solvent removed to give 0.020 g (0.030 mmol, 78%) of (*SS*,*RR*)-9- α - d_1 as an orange solid. The ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃) was identical with that of 9 except for the H_{α} resonances: δ 2.69 (br s, $w_{1/2}$ = 4.9 Hz), 3.20 absent.

Reaction of (SS,RR)-9- α - d_1 with Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻. A septumcapped NMR tube was charged with (SS,RR)-9- α - d_1 (0.020 g, 0.030 mmol) and CD₂Cl₂ (0.300 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. Then Ph₃C⁺PF₆⁻ (0.013 g, 0.034 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.200 mL) was added, and the reaction was ¹H NMR monitored analogously to preparation A of 12t above. The sample was warmed to room temperature, and the Re=CH and C₅H₅ resonances of 12t were integrated. The integral heights (18 and 88 mm) indicated the product to be \gtrsim 98% 12t- d_0 .

Acknowledgment. This investigation was supported by the Department of Energy (alkylidene synthesis) and the NIH (GM 29026-01; stereochemistry). We are grateful to Dr. J. I. Seeman, Phillip Morris, Inc., for several valuable discussions on the Curtin-Hammett principle and to Dr. A. E. Sopchik, University of Utah, for assistance in obtaining the data in Figure 2. W.A.K. thanks the University of California for a Fellowship.

Registry No. 4, 89727-22-0; 5, 89727-23-1; 7, 89727-24-2; $7 - \alpha - d_1$, 89727-25-3; 8, 89727-26-4; 9, 89727-27-5; $(SS,RR) - 9 - \alpha - d_1$, 89727-28-6; 11**k**, 89824-58-8; 11**t**, 89727-30-0; 12**k**, 89824-60-2; 12**t**, 89727-32-2; 13**k**, 89824-62-4; 13**t**, 89727-34-4; $[(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(CO)_2]^+BF_4^-$, 31960-40-4; $C_6H_5I^+ - 0^-$, 536-80-1; $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CH_3)$, 80668-22-0; C_6H_6Br , 108-86-1; $[(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(=CH_2)]^+PF_6^-$, 89727-36-6; $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(=CH_2)]^+PF_6^-$, 89727-36-6; $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PMe_3)(CH_3)$, 71763-18-3; Li $(C_2H_5)_3BD$, 74540-86-6; $o - CH_3C_6H_4Br$, 95-46-5; 2,4,6- $C_6H_2(CH_3)_3Br$, 576-83-0.