

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Nickel Catalyzed Intramolecular 1,2-Aryl Migration of Mesoionic Carbenes (iMICs)

Authors: Rajendra Ghadwal, Arne Merschel, Timo Glodde, Beate Neumann, and Hans-Georg Stammler

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202014328

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202014328

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

WILEY-VCH

Nickel Catalyzed Intramolecular 1,2-Aryl Migration of Mesoionic Carbenes (iMICs)

Arne Merschel, Timo Glodde, Beate Neumann, Hans-Georg Stammler, and Rajendra S. Ghadwal*^[a]

Dedication ((optional))

[a] A. Merschel, T. Glodde, B. Neumann, Dr. H.-G. Stammler, Priv.-Doz. Dr. R. S. Ghadwal Molecular Inorganic Chemistry and Catalysis, Inorganic and Structural Chemistry

Center for Molecular Materials, Faculty of Chemistry, Universität Bielefeld

Universitätsstrasse 25, D-33615, Bielefeld, Germany

 ${\sf E}\text{-mail: rghadwal@uni-bielefeld.de; Homepage: www.ghadwalgroup.de}$

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document. CCDC 2004392–2004403 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk

Abstract: Intramolecular 1,2-Dipp migration of seven mesoionic carbenes (iMIC^{Ar}) **2a-g** (iMIC^{Ar} = ArC{N(Dipp)}₂CHC; Ar = aryl; Dipp = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃) under nickel catalysis to give 1,3-imidazoles (IMD^{Ar}) **3a-g** (IMD^{Ar} = ArC{N(Dipp)CHC(Dipp)N}) has been reported. The formation of **3** indicates the cleavage of an N–C_{Dipp} bond and the subsequent formation of a C–C_{Dipp} bond in **2**, which is unprecedented in NHC chemistry. The use of **3** in accessing super-iMICs (**5**) (S-iMIC = ArC{N(Dipp)N(Me)C(Dipp)}C) has been shown with selenium (**6**), gold (**7**), and palladium (**8**) compounds. The quantification of the stereoelectronic properties reveals the superior σ-donor strength of **5** compared to that of classical NHCs. Remarkably, the percentage buried volume of **5** (% *V*_{bur} = 45) is the largest known amongst thus far reported iMICs. Catalytic studies show a remarkable activity of **5**, which is consistent with their auspicious stereoelectronic features.

N-Heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) (I, Figure 1) are most versatile carbon-donor neutral ligands in synthesis and catalysis^[1] as well as in materials science.^[2] This is largely attributed to the auspicious stereoelectronic properties of NHCs.^[3] A clear insight into the stereoelectronic properties of NHCs^[3-4] facilitates the rational choice of an NHC for a particular application.^[5] Mesoionic carbenes (iMICs) (II, "i" refers to 1,3-imidazole derived) are a subclass of the family of NHCs with the carbonic carbon atom at the unusual C4 (or C5) position.^[6] iMICs (II) (also known as abnormal NHCs)^[7] are stronger σ -donors than classical NHCs (I), and hence have a significant potential in synthesis and catalysis.^[6-7] However, rational synthetic methods for iMICcompounds remain extremely scarce compared to those of NHCs.^[7a] In 2001, Crabtree et al. reported the first iMIC-complex (III).^[8] The first crystalline NHC was isolated by Arduengo et al. in 1991,^[9] but it was only in 2009 when Bertrand et al. prepared the first unmasked iMIC (IV).[10]

Like **IV**, the use of an aryl substituent at the C2-position is shown to be the reliable strategy for accessing iMIC-compounds.^[6-7] We previously reported two catalytic protocols for the direct C2arylation of NHCs to C2-arylated 1,3-imidazoli(ni)um salts^[11] and revealed their suitability in preparing C5-protonated free iMICs (**V**),^[12] metal complexes,^[13] and stable radicals.^[14] In addition to the σ -donor properties, the steric profile of ligands also plays a key role in the stability and catalytic activity of derived complexes.^[1] However, experimental tools to improve the properties of iMICs remained virtually unknown.^[7] Herein, we report an unprecedented 1,2-Dipp migration in C5-protonated



Figure 1. Schematic representations of classical NHCs (I) and iMICs (II). First examples of structurally characterized iMIC-metal complex (III), free iMIC (IV), and C5-protonated iMIC (V).

iMICs (**V**) under nickel catalysis to afford 1,2,4-triaryl-1,3imidazoles (IMD^{Ar}) and reveal their utility in accessing super bulky S-iMICs (**VI**) (Figure 1). Besides the quantification of the stereoelectronic properties, the catalytic activity of **VI** has been probed and compared with those of **V** and **IPr** (**IPr** = 1,3-bis(2,6diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene).

The deprotonation of C2-arylated 1,3-imidazolium salts **(1a-g)Br** affords the desired iMICs **2a-g** (Scheme 1a).^[12] Treatment of a toluene solution of **2a** with 1 eq. of Ni(cod)₂ (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) led to the formation of an unexpected product **3a** in 35% yield (Scheme 1a), which indicates the migration of a Dipp substituent of **2a** to the carbene carbon atom to give **3a**. This type of reactivity is unprecedented in NHC chemistry.^[15] The exact mechanism for the formation of **3a** is currently unknown. The stronger σ -donor property of iMIC^{Ph} (**2a**)^[4c] probably makes the Ni(0) center in the putative complex **A** electron-rich (Scheme 1b).^[16] Oxidative addition of Ni(0) into the adjacent C–N bond via **B** is likely to form a Ni(II) species **C**, which eventually undergoes reductive elimination to yield **3a**. Note, (iMIC^{Ar})Ni(CO)₃ complexes comprising π -acidic CO ligands are stable solids.^[4c] The

COMMUNICATION

catalytic process that is also applicable to others iMICs (2b-g). Treatment of a freshly prepared toluene solution of iMICs (2a-g) with 5 mol% of Ni(cod)₂ yields the anticipated compounds 3a-g in 54-97% yields (Scheme 1c). Interestingly, no conversion of 2a into 3a was observed in THF (Table 1, entries 1, 2), instead an intractable mixture of products was formed. In addition to nBuLi, KN(SiMe₃)₂ can also be used as a base (entry 4). Addition of a small amount of cod (10 mol%) to the reaction mixture improves the yield (entries 6, 7). 3a-g have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. Solid-state molecular structures of some selected compounds have been determined by X-ray diffraction (see Supporting Information). Consistent with the molecular structure (Scheme 1c), the ¹H NMR spectrum of 3a exhibits two septets and four doublets for the CHMe₂ groups. The backbone proton of 3a (6.82 ppm) resonates at a higher field compared to that of (1a)Br (8.38 ppm).^[11]

Compounds **3a-g** featuring bulky Dipp substituents at the 1,4positions are promising starting materials of new sterically demanding iMICs. The direct N-methylation of **3a-g** affords 1,3imidazolium salts (**4a-g**)X (X = PF₆, I, OTf or BF₄) in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2). (**4a-f**)X were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and X-ray diffraction studies (see the Supporting Information). Selenium compounds have proven appropriate candidates to analyze the electronic properties of singlet carbenes.^[3-4, 17] **6a** and **6b** were prepared by the treatment of (**4a**)PF₆ and (**4b**)PF₆) with Se powder and



Scheme 1. (a) Catalytic conversion of 2a-g into 3a-g. (b) Mechanistic proposal for 1,2-Dipp shift of 2. (c) Isolated examples of 3. Inset: Solid-state molecular structure of 3a.

Entry	<mark>(1a</mark>)Br (g)	Solvent	Base	t	Yield ^[b]
1	0.5	THF	<i>n</i> BuLi	19	-
2	0.5	THF	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	19	-
3	1.0	Tol	<i>n</i> BuLi	19	54
4	1.0	Tol	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	21	93
5	2.5	Tol	<i>n</i> BuLi	20	63
6 ^[c]	1.0	Tol	<i>n</i> BuLi	17	92
7 ^[c]	2.5	Tol	<i>n</i> BuLi	17	86

^[a]All reactions to prepare **2a** were performed at -40 °C and after 30 minutes stirring at room temperature was added Ni(cod)₂ at -20 °C. ^[b]Yields refer to isolated compounds. ^[c]With 10 mol% of 1,5-cyclooctadiene (cod) as an additive.

KN(SiMe₃)₂, respectively (Scheme 2). Compound **7** was isolated as a white solid using a freshly prepared toluene solution of **5b** and (Me₂S)AuCl. Similarly, **8-H** and **8-Ph** were obtained by treating **5b** with 0.5 eq. of [(allyl)PdCl]₂ and [(cin)PdCl]₂, respectively. The ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR spectra of **6a** (+9.0 ppm) and **6b** (+2.0 ppm) exhibit a lower-field signal compared to that of (**2a**)Se (-3.0 ppm) and (**2b**)Se (-15.1ppm) containing a C5-protonated iMIC (**2a,b**) (Table 2).^[4c] The C2–Se1 bond length and N1–C2– C3 bond angle of **6a** (1.856(2) Å, 104.8(1)°) (Figure 2) are comparable with that of(**2a**)Se (1.859(2) Å, 104.3(1)°).^[3c] The C2– Au1 bond lengths and the N1–C2–C3 bond angles of **7** (1.983(2) Å/ 104.3(1)°) and (**2g**)AuCl (2.008(4) Å)/ (102.5(1)°)^[12a] are fully consistent. The C2–Pd1 bond length of **8-H** (2.042(2) Å) is slightly larger compared to those of other iMIC-Pd complexes (1.955(2)– 2.030(2) Å),^[13c] which may be due to steric reason.^[18]



Scheme 2. Synthesis of (4a-f)X, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8-R (R = H or Ph). Only 5a,b were used to prepare 6-8. Inset: Solid-state molecular structure of (4b)PF₆.

C38

CI1

8-H

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION



Figure 2. Solid state molecular structures of 6a, 7, and 8-H.

Table 2. Stereoelectronic properties and calculated energy parameters of iMICs (2a, 2b), S-iMICs (5a, 5b), and classical NHC (IPr).

	⁷⁷ Se ^[a] (ppm)	J _{C-Se^[a] (Hz)}	% V _{bur}	HOMO (eV) ^[b]	LUMO (eV) ^[b]	1 st PA ^[c] (kcal/mol)
2a	-3.0	214	32.6 ^[d]	-5.065	-1.417	290.2
2b	-15.1	211	33.1 ^[d]	-4.824	-0.954	293.8
5a	9.0	210	-	-5.046	-1.384	291.5
5b	2.0	208	45.0 ^[e]	-4.824	-0.881	297.1
IPr	87	234	44.5 ^[e]	-5.911	-0.480	270.4

^[a]Measured for the corresponding selenium compounds. ^[b]Calculated at the B3LYP/def2-TZVPP level of theory. ^[c]The first proton affinity (1st PA) calculated at the B3LYP/def2-TZVPP//def2-SVP level of theory.^[d]Calculated for (**2a**)Cul and (**2b**)CuBr.^[4c] ^[e]Calculated for the corresponding AuCl adducts.^[19]

The Tolman electronic parameter (TEP)^[20] is the most commonly used method for evaluating the electronic properties of ligands, but like other IR methods, it is not very precise and has some limitations.^[21] In contrast, NMR methods usually give consistent results, which are broadly applicable.^[3-4] The values of ³¹P{¹H} and ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR signals of the corresponding (NHC)PPh and (NHC)Se species are equally significant but the synthesis of (NHC)Se is rather straightforward (see above).^[3-4, 22] The ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR data (Table 2) indicate weaker π -accepting properties of 2a,b,[4c] and 5a,b compared to that of IPr. Interestingly, 5a,b are found to be slightly more π -acidic with respect to C5-protonated iMICs 2a,b.[4c] This may be attributed to the presence of an additional electron-withdrawing aryl (Dipp) group next to the carbene carbon atom in 5a,b. The presence of a para-NMe2 group in 2b and 5b enhances the electron density at the carbene center. Thus, **2b/5b** are weaker π -acceptors but stronger σ -donors than 2a/5a, respectively. This trend is fully consistent with the ${}^{1}J_{C-Se}$ coupling constants.^[4a, 23] Smaller the value of coupling constant, larger the σ -donor strength. Thus, a clear trend in the π -acceptor (IPr>5a>5b>2a>2b) and σ-donor (5b>5a>2b>2a>IPr) properties of carbenes can be concluded. The σ-donor properties of 2a,b, 5a,b, and IPr can also be nicely correlated with the calculated first proton affinity (1st PA) as well as with the energy of HOMO (Table 2).^[24] The percentage buried volume (%V_{bur}) calculated by employing Cavallo's online program Samb Vca^[4f, 5a] for 2a,b, 5b, and IPr is shown in Table 2. The %Vbur for 5b (45.0%) is considerably larger than those of 2a,b (~33%)^[4c] but compares

well with that of **IPr** (44.5%),^[19] also containing two flanking Dipp substituents.

Having analyzed the stereoelectronic properties, we decided to probe the scope of 5a,b in palladium catalyzed standard C-C and C-N cross coupling reactions and compare the results with classical NHC (IPr) and iMICs (2a,b). The corresponding Pd(II) precatalysts were generated in-situ using appropriate ligand precursors [(4a,b)PF₆, (1a,b)Br, or (IPr)HCI], Pd(OAc)₂, and a base (see the Supporting Information). For Suzuki-Miyaura C-C coupling reactions (Table S1), the yields were clearly higher with 5a,b (entries 4 and 5) than those with 2a,b (entries 2 and 3) and IPr (entry 1). Strikingly, the amount of homocoupling byproduct was also smaller with 5a,b (2-4%) compared to that with IPr (6%) and 2a,b (8-14%). The use of isolated compounds (IPr)(cin)PdCI and 8-Ph (cin = cinamyl) gave comparable results (Table S2). For the C-N cross coupling of an aryl bromide and morpholine at room temperature, the use of either 5b, 2a or IPr precursor gave the product in 65-99% yield (Table S3). However, the coupling reaction of aryl chloride and morpholine with IPr (entry 2) or 2a (entry 3) was rather sluggish (Scheme 3). In strong contrast, the use of 5b gave 78-99% conversion under similar experimental conditions (entries 4 and 5), which clearly emphasizes the superior activity of 5b over iMIC 2a and IPr.

In summary, an unprecedented 1,2-aryl migration of a series of C5-protonated iMICs (**2a-g**) under nickel catalysis to afford 1,2,4-triayl-1,3-imidazoles (**3a-g**) has been reported. The direct N-methylation of **3a-g** has been shown to afford the corresponding imidazolium salts (**4**)X, which are precursors of the super-iMICs (**5**). S-iMIC-compounds **6-8** have been isolated and characterized.

	$- \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} Pa(l OAc)_{2} \left(2: b \text{ morifo}\right) \\ \text{Ligand precursor (5 molifo)} \\ \hline 1,4-dioxane \\ \text{Base} \\ 25^{\circ}\text{C}, 1h \end{array} } \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ \end{array}} \\ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array}} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array} } \\ \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ - \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ = \\ = \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ = \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ = \\ \\ = \\ \\ = \\ = \\ = \\ \\ = \\ \\ = \\ = \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ \\ = \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ = \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} $ \\ = \underbrace{ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array}						
Entry	Base	Precursor	Carbene	Yield (%) ^[a]			
1	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	none	-	-			
2	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	(IPr)HCI	IPr	7			
3	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	<mark>(IPr^{Ph})Br (1a)Br</mark>	iMIC ^{Ph} (2a)	9			
4	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	(4b) PF ₆	S-iMIC ^{DMP} (5b)	78			
5	KO <i>t</i> Bu	(4b) PF ₆	S-iMIC ^{DMP} (5b)	99			

Scheme 3. Room temperature Buchwald-Hartwig C–N cross couplings. $\ensuremath{^{[a]}\text{GC-MS}}$ yield as an average of two independent runs.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

The quantification of stereoelectronic properties reveals exceptional σ -donor strength and steric profile (% $V_{bur.} = 45$ %) of S-iMICs (5), which are instrumental in steering the productivity of derived metal catalysts. As a proof of concept, this has been shown for standard C–C and C–N cross-coupling reactions. Further studies are underway that aim to introduce S-iMICs for more challenging chemical transformations.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG GH 129/7-1) and thank Professor Norbert W. Mitzel for his continuous encouragement. The support by computing time provided by the Paderborn Center for Parallel Computing (PC2) is acknowledged.

Keywords: mesoionic carbenes • ligand design • aryl migration • C–N bond activation • nickel catalysis

- a) M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler, F. Glorius, *Nature* 2014, 510, 485–496; b) Q. Zhao, G. Meng, S. P. Nolan, M. Szostak, *Chem. Rev.* 2020, 120, 1981–2048; c) A. Doddi, M. Peters, M. Tamm, *Chem. Rev.* 2019, 119, 6994–7112; d) A. Kumar, D. Yuan, H. V. Huynh, *Inorg. Chem.* 2019, 58, 7545–7553; e) A. A. Danopoulos, T. Simler, P. Braunstein, *Chem. Rev.* 2019, 119, 3730–3961; f) E. Peris, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 9988–10031; g) V. Nesterov, D. Reiter, P. Bag, P. Frisch, R. Holzner, A. Porzelt, S. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 9678–9842; h) S. Kuwata, F. E. Hahn, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 9642–9677; i) F. E. Hahn, M. C. Jahnke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 3122–3172.
- [2] a) S. Ibáñez, M. Poyatos, E. Peris, Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 53, 1401–1413; b) C. A. Smith, M. R. Narouz, P. A. Lummis, I. Singh, A. Nazemi, C.-H. Li, C. M. Crudden, Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 4986–5056; c) Y. Kim, E. Lee, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 19110–19121; d) R. S. Ghadwal, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 16081–16095; e) L. Mercs, M. Albrecht, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1903–1912; f) J. Park, M. Yan, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 181–189.
- [3] a) T. Dröge, F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6940–6952; b)
 H. V. Huynh, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 9457–9492.
- [4] a) A. Liske, K. Verlinden, H. Buhl, K. Schaper, C. Ganter, *Organometallics* 2013, *32*, 5269–5272; b) H. Clavier, S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, *46*, 841–861; c) A. Merschel, D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, R. S. Ghadwal, *Organometallics* 2020, *39*, 1719–1729; d) A. Gomez-Suarez, D. J. Nelson, S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, *53*, 2650–2660; e) D. J. Nelson, S. P. Nolan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 6723–6753; f) L. Falivene, R. Credendino, A. Poater, A. Petta, L. Serra, R. Oliva, V. Scarano, L. Cavallo, *Organometallics* 2016, *35*, 2286–2293.
- [5] a) L. Falivene, Z. Cao, A. Petta, L. Serra, A. Poater, R. Oliva, V. Scarano, L. Cavallo, *Nat. Chem.* 2019, *11*, 872–879; b) D. Munz, *Organometallics* 2018, *37*, 275–289.
- [6] a) F. M. Chadwick, B. F. E. Curchod, R. Scopelliti, F. Fadaei Tirani, E. Solari, K. Severin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 1764–1767; b) A. Vivancos, C. Segarra, M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 9493–9586; c) R. H. Crabtree, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *257*, 755–766; d) G. Guisado-Barrios, J. Bouffard, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 4759–4762; e) H. Jin, T. T. Y. Tan, F. E. Hahn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13811–13815.
- a) S. C. Sau, P. K. Hota, S. K. Mandal, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, *49*, 1233–1252; b) P. L. Arnold, S. Pearson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, *251*, 596–609.
- [8] S. Grundemann, A. Kovacevic, M. Albrecht, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Commun. 2001, 2274–2275.
- [9] A. J. Arduengo, R. L. Harlow, M. Kline, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 361–363.
- [10] E. Aldeco-Perez, A. J. Rosenthal, B. Donnadieu, P. Parameswaran, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, *Science* 2009, 326, 556–559.
- a) N. K. T. Ho, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, V. H. Menezes da Silva, D.
 G. Watanabe, A. A. C. Braga, R. S. Ghadwal, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, *46*, 12027–12031; b) R. S. Ghadwal, S. O. Reichmann, R. Herbst-Irmer, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 4247–4251.

- [13] a) R. S. Ghadwal, J.-H. Lamm, D. Rottschäfer, C. J. Schürmann, S. Demeshko, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, *46*, 7664–7667; b) N. K. T. Ho, S. O. Reichmann, D. Rottschäfer, R. Herbst-Irmer, R. S. Ghadwal, *Catalysts* 2017, 7, 262; c) D. Rottschäfer, C. J. Schürmann, J.-H. Lamm, A. N. Paesch, B. Neumann, R. S. Ghadwal, *Organometallics* 2016, *35*, 3421–3429; d) R. S. Ghadwal, D. Rottschäfer, C. J. Schürmann, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 2016, *642*, 1236–1240.
- [14] a) D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, M. v. Gastel, D. M. Andrada, R. S. Ghadwal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 4765–4768;
 b) D. Rottschäfer, N. K. T. Ho, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, M. van Gastel, D. M. Andrada, R. S. Ghadwal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 5838–5842; c) D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, D. M. Andrada, R. S. Ghadwal, *Chem. Sci.* 2018, *9*, 4970–4976; d) D. Rottschäfer, J. Busch, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, M. van Gastel, R. Kishi, M. Nakano, R. S. Ghadwal, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, *24*, 16537–16542.
- [15] a) K. J. Iversen, D. J. D. Wilson, J. L. Dutton, *Dalton Trans.* 2014, *43*, 12820–12823; b) A. Hernán-Gómez, A. R. Kennedy, E. Hevia, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 6632–6635; c) D. Schmidt, J. H. J. Berthel, S. Pietsch, U. Radius, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 8881–8885; d) L. J. L. Häller, M. J. Page, S. Erhardt, S. A. Macgregor, M. F. Mahon, M. A. Naser, A. Vélez, M. K. Whittlesey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 18408–18416; e) A. A. Danopoulos, A. Massard, G. Frison, P. Braunstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 14550–14554; f) S. Wurtemberger-Pietsch, U. Radius, T. B. Marder, *Dalton Trans.* 2016, *45*, 5880–5895; g) Y. Wang, H. P. Hickox, Y. Xie, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer, G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 16109–16112; h) P. Wang, J. Cheng, D. Wang, C. Yang, X. Leng, L. Deng, *Organometallics* 2020, *39*, 2871–2877; i) R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 127–150.
- [16] a) V. Ritleng, M. Henrion, M. J. Chetcuti, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 890–906;
 b) Y. Hoshimoto, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1746–1755; c) M. Tobisu, N. Chatani, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1717–1726.
- [17] R. Jazzar, M. Soleilhavoup, G. Bertrand, Chem. Rev. 2020, 120, 4141– 4168.
- [18] N. Marion, O. Navarro, J. G. Mei, E. D. Stevens, N. M. Scott, S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4101–4111.
- [19] M. R. Fructos, T. R. Belderrain, P. de Fremont, N. M. Scott, S. P. Nolan, M. M. Diaz-Requejo, P. J. Perez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5284– 5288.
- [20] C. A. Tolman, Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 313–348.
- [21] a) D. Cremer, E. Kraka, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, *46*, 8323–8338; b) D.
 Setiawan, R. Kalescky, E. Kraka, D. Cremer, *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, *55*, 2332–2344; c) D. J. Durand, N. Fey, *Chem. Rev.* 2019, *119*, 6561–6594.
- [22] O. Back, M. Henry-Ellinger, C. D. Martin, D. Martin, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2939–2943.
- [23] K. Verlinden, H. Buhl, W. Frank, C. Ganter, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 2015, 2416–2425.
- [24] a) M. Chen, J. P. Moerdyk, G. A. Blake, C. W. Bielawski, J. K. Lee, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10452–10458; b) R. Tonner, G. Heydenrych, G. Frenking, ChemPhysChem 2008, 9, 1474–1481; c) H. Chen, D. R. Justes, R. G. Cooks, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3949–3952.

4

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents



Super-iMICs (5) with the largest buried volume ($V_{bur.} = 45\%$) known thus far for iMICs are accessible *via* unprecedented Ni-catalyzed intramolecular 1,2-aryl migration of C5-protonated iMICs (2) to 3, subsequent N-alkylation of 3 to 4, and the deprotonation of 4. S-iMICs (5) are stronger σ -donors and superior π -acceptors than 2. A comparative catalytic study reveals superior activity of S-iMICs (5) over iMICs (2) and NHC (**IPr**).

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @Ghadwal_Group @unibielefeld