#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

#### Article



Subscriber access provided by ECU Libraries

## Development of covalent ligands for G protein-coupled receptors: a case for the human adenosine A receptor

Xue Yang, Jacobus P. D. van Veldhoven, Jelle Offringa, Boaz J. Kuiper, Eelke B. Lenselink, Laura H. Heitman, Daan van der Es, and Adriaan P. IJzerman

J. Med. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b02026 • Publication Date (Web): 14 Mar 2019 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 14, 2019

#### Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

[Type text]

# Development of covalent ligands for G proteincoupled receptors: a case for the human adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor

Xue Yang, Jacobus P.D. van Veldhoven, Jelle Offringa, Boaz J. Kuiper, Eelke B. Lenselink, Laura H. Heitman, Daan van der Es and Adriaan P. IJzerman\*

Division of Drug Discovery and Safety, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands

#### Abstract

The development of covalent ligands for G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) is not a trivial process. Here we report a streamlined workflow thereto from synthesis to validation, exemplified by the discovery of a covalent antagonist for the human adenosine  $A_3$  receptor (hA<sub>3</sub>AR). Based on the 1*H*,3*H*-pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione scaffold, a series of ligands bearing a fluorosulfonyl warhead and varying linker was synthesized. This series was subjected to an affinity screen, revealing compound **17b** as the most potent antagonist. In addition, a nonreactive methylsulfonyl derivative **19**, was developed as a reversible control compound. A series of assays, comprising time-dependent affinity determination, washout experiments and [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>7</sub>S binding assays, then validated **17b** as covalent antagonist. A combined *in silico* hA<sub>3</sub>AR-homology model- and site-directed mutagenesis-study was performed to demonstrate amino acid residue Y265<sup>7.36</sup> was the unique anchor point of the covalent interaction. This workflow might be applied to other GPCRs to guide the discovery of covalent ligands.

[Type text]

#### Introduction

The adenosine  $A_3$  receptor ( $A_3AR$ ) is one of four G protein-coupled receptors subtypes stimulated by adenosine.<sup>1</sup> Different from the other subtypes ( $A_1$ ,  $A_{2A}$ ,  $A_{2B}$ )  $A_3AR$  was identified by molecular biology studies prior to its pharmacological characterization.<sup>2</sup> The initial studies indicated its important role in both physiological and pathophysiologic conditions, such as cell proliferation, cell differentiation, neuroprotection, cardioprotection and apoptosis.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, the medical relevance of the human adenosine  $A_3$  receptor ( $hA_3AR$ ) is enigmatic due to its dichotomy in different therapeutic applications.<sup>3</sup> In this regard, there is a continuing interest in the development of selective ligands of  $hA_3AR$  to investigate its pharmacological effects. For instance, selective  $A_3AR$  antagonists have been applied for the treatment of glaucoma<sup>4</sup> and respiratory tract inflammation such as asthma<sup>5</sup>. In particular, a tricyclic xanthine derivative, 1benzyl-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1*H*,3*H*-pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione (compound 1, Figure 1a), has been reported to exert high affinity for  $hA_3AR$ .<sup>6-8</sup>



Figure 1. (A) reference antagonist (1) for  $hA_3AR$ . (B) DU172 (2), a covalent antagonist for  $hA_1AR$ .

Initial efforts to study the structural biology of GPCRs suffered from numerous limitations, such as low expression, dynamic conformational states and inherent instability. Covalent ligands, i.e. compounds that irreversibly bind to the receptor and possess a reactive moiety to target specific amino acid residues, helped to solve some of these obstacles.<sup>9</sup> This is also the case for

adenosine receptors. For example, the structure of the human adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor, having the highest similarity to hA<sub>3</sub>AR among all adenosine receptors subtypes (61% of sequence homology)<sup>10</sup>, has been elucidated by X-ray crystallography with a covalent antagonist DU172 (**2**) (Figure 1b).<sup>11</sup> However, the application of covalent ligands in hA<sub>3</sub>AR studies has been limited to the characterization of the receptor type<sup>12-14</sup>, far from providing a comprehensive study of receptor structure elucidation, pharmacological characteristics and ligand-receptor binding description.

To this end, we devoted our efforts to the discovery of a well-defined covalent antagonist based on xanthine analogue 1 mentioned above. Inspired by the resemblance in chemical structure between the potent hA<sub>3</sub>AR antagonist 1 and irreversible adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist 2, we incorporated the reactive moiety, a fluorosulfonyl benzovl group, connected to a spacer, at the N<sup>1</sup> position of the scaffold. Using a structured approach to bring the reactive fluorosulfonyl group in close proximity to a nucleophilic amino acid residue, we diversied the type of linker, linker length and position of the fluorosulfonyl substituent on the phenyl group, resulting in a series of analogues with a wide range of affinities. Our efforts led to the discovery of a best-in-class antagonist, 17b, which bound to hA<sub>3</sub>AR with an apparent affinity in the nanomolar range. To keep the chemical structure similarity, we replaced the warhead with a methylsulfonyl moiety to obtain a non-reactive derivative **19** as a reversible control compound. **17b** was then validated to covalently bind and inactivate the  $hA_3AR$  in an insurmountable manner. Molecular modelling suggested the fluorosulfonyl functionality of 17b in close proximity to  $Y265^{7.36}$ , which was identified as the unique anchor point of the covalent interaction in a subsequent mutagenesis study. The confirmed binding mode between this novel covalent

antagonist and hA3AR opens the door for exploring other ligand binding motifs and will benefit

receptor stabilization and further structure elucidation of the hA<sub>3</sub>AR.

1	
י ר	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
27	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
24	
24	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
-TU // 1	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
17	
+/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Design of covalent hA<sub>3</sub>AR antagonists

In previous studies, our research group disclosed several series of hA<sub>3</sub>AR antagonists based on the pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione scaffold.<sup>6-8</sup> Using compound **1**, a nanomolar probe from the previous series, as the starting point, we further designed and synthesized compounds based on a previously suggested binding mode of the pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione scaffold.<sup>7</sup> When examining the suggested binding mode of this scaffold, we noted that this scaffold inserted into the binding pocket with a receptor interaction between TM3, TM6 and EL2. Two key H-bonds include the carbonyl-oxygen at the C<sup>4</sup>-position with residue N250<sup>6.55</sup> and the methoxy substituent at the C<sup>8</sup>-position bonding to Q167<sup>EL2</sup>. Taking this into account, we reasoned the only available space to incorporate the reactive warhead is limited to C<sup>1</sup>-position substituents.

To explore the chemical space required to optimally position the warhead in close proximity to a nucleophilic amino acid residue, we examined various linker systems, connecting the warhead and the pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione scaffold. Firstly, variation in the length of the spacer, between two and four carbon atoms, may offer more steric freedom allowing the fluorosulfonyl group to orient towards an adjacent nucleophilic residue in the receptor binding site.<sup>15, 16</sup> Additionally, the type of chemical bond connecting the warhead to the spacer was varied between the slightly differently oriented ester or amide bond. Lastly, since the exact position of an appropriate nucleophilic residue is unknown, the sulfonyl fluoride moiety was positioned at either the 3- or 4-position of the phenyl ring. To this end, four series of compounds **13a-c**, **14a-c**, **17a-c** and **18a-c**, bearing three different spacer lengths, ester or amide linkage and 3- or 4-fluorosulfonylphenyl warhead were targeted for synthesis.

Synthesis.

Scaffold. The scaffold, 8-methoxy-3-propyl-1H,3H-pyrido-[2, 1-f]purine-2, 4-dione (1), was synthesized according to the previously published procedure.<sup>6-8</sup> Starting from the commercially available benzylurea (3), the fused tricyclic intermediate (6) was generated by excess NBS bromination and 4-methoxypyridine cyclization (Scheme 1). Then alkylation at the N<sup>3</sup>-nitrogen by 1-bromopropane in dry DMF, using dry potassium carbonate as a weak base, afforded the reference compound (1) in 73% yield. Removing the benzyl protecting group by palladium hydroxide afforded the fused xanthine core (7).

Scheme 1. Synthetic route towards scaffold 7



Reagents and conditions: (a) i) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, 80 °C, 2 h; ii) Et<sub>2</sub>O, rt,1 h; iii) 3M NaOH, 85 °C, 1 h; iv) HCl (37%), 25%; (b) i) NBS, MeCN, 80 °C; ii) 4-methoxypyridine, 80 °C, 64%; (c) 1bromopropane, DBU, MeCN, 70 °C, 73%; (d) Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C, HCOONH<sub>4</sub>, EtOH, reflux, 40%.

Ester Linker The fluorosulfonyl warhead is notorious for its reactivity resulting in undesired side reactions or hydrolysis under several harsh reactions.<sup>17</sup> So we adopted a convergent synthetic strategy in which the fluorosulfonyl phenyl linker unit was prepared separately and attached directly to scaffold 7 at the N<sup>3</sup> position. This approach offers the flexibility to accommodate a variety of different linker lengths. The warhead was synthesized from commercially available chlorosulfonylbenzoic acids (8a and 8b) (Scheme 2), followed by a 2 M solution of potassium bifluoride treatment to afford fluorosulfonylbenzoic acids (9a and 9b) in good yields. <sup>18</sup> The next step converted the carboxylic acids to acid chlorides (10a and 10b) by excess thionyl chloride

treatment. These acyl chlorides are prone to hydrolysis and were thus used in the next step reaction without further purification. To incorporate the acyl chlorides with the corresponding bromoalkylalcohols, compounds **10a** and **10b** were heated to 100 °C with the addition of bromoalkylalcohols to afford the desired bromoalkyl fluorosulfonylbenzoates (**11a-c** and **12a-c**) in decent yields. The final step was to couple the core to the corresponding bromoalkyl fluorosulfonylbenzoates. In order to preserve the functional fluorosulfonyl group, the reactions were carried out under mild conditions at low temperature. Additionally excess DMF was removed by multiple washing steps, instead of vacuum removal at high temperature. Six final products (**13a-c** and **14a-c**) were obtained in acceptable yields.





Reagents and conditions: (a) 2M KHF<sub>2</sub> solution, dioxane, rt, 1h, 87%-90%; (b) SOCl<sub>2</sub> reflux; (c) corresponding bromoalkylalcohol, anhydrous dioxane, 100 °C, 18h, 55%-83%, (d) **11a-c** or **12a-c**, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, anhydrous DMF, 50 °C, 5%-57%.

*Amide Linker* A similar synthetic approach was initially pursued to prepare analogues with an amide linker. However, the basicity and instability of bromoalkylamine caused complex side reactions with itself and with the warhead, ending up with an unacceptably low yield of amide-

 [Type text]

linked building blocks. An alternative synthetic route was devised, where 1-phthalimidopropyl bromide was attached directly to the N<sup>3</sup> position of scaffold **6**, to afford the substituted intermediates **15a-c** (Scheme 3). Liberation of the amine took place by treatment with hydrazine monohydrate in methanol to obtain compound **16a-c** in moderate yield. Then **16b** and **16c** were acylated with acyl chlorides **10a** and **10b**, respectively, to obtain **17c** and **18b**. However, impurities brought by the acylation reaction were not easily removed by column chromatography or preparative TLC. To overcome this, we used peptide coupling conditions with the corresponding benzoic acids (**9a** and **9b**) to convert the free amine to the target compounds (**17a-b**, **18a** and **18c**) in good yields (Scheme 4). A similar synthetic strategy was adapted to obtain reversible ligand **19** as a control compound.

Scheme 3. Synthetic route towards the amide-linker antagonists 17a-c, 18a-c and 19



Reagents and conditions: (a) N-(bromoalkyl)phthalimide,  $K_2CO_3$ , DMF, 100 °C, 5-96%; (b)  $N_2H_4$ · $H_2O$ , MeOH reflux, 86-90%; (c) EDC, corresponding acid (**9a-b**), CHCl<sub>3</sub> or CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt; (d) SOCl<sub>2</sub>,  $K_2CO_3$ , dry DMF, 40 °C, 3-78%

#### Pharmacological Evaluation.

*Determination of the apparent affinity (K<sub>i</sub>) of synthetized ligands.* To determine the binding affinity for the hA<sub>3</sub>AR receptor, all compounds were tested in a radioligand displacement binding assay in the presence of 10 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 at 25 °C according to previously reported procedures.<sup>7, 19</sup> All compounds were able to concentration-dependently inhibit specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-

11 binding to the hA<sub>3</sub>AR. As detailed in Table 1, all putative covalent compounds, except the two carbon linker compounds (**13a**, **14a**, **17a** and **18a**), displayed high affinities for the hA<sub>3</sub>AR (K<sub>i</sub> < 100 nM). It should be mentioned that the putative covalent nature of the interaction between hA<sub>3</sub>AR and ligands precludes the determination of equilibrium binding parameters. Therefore, we expressed the ligands' affinity for the hA<sub>3</sub>AR as "apparent  $K_i$ ". Of note, **17b**, bearing three carbon atoms with amide linkage and positioning the sulfonyl fluoride at the 4-position of phenyl ring, interacted with hA<sub>3</sub>AR with comparable affinity (10 nM) as the parent compound **1**. High affinity is desirable for covalent ligand design, as it allows sufficient receptor occupancy with the electrophilic warhead in proximity to a nucleophilic residue in the binding site over time concomitant with putatively negligible or less interaction with off-targets. Thus, we chose compound **17b** for further studies. However, featuring an electrophilic fluorosulfonyl functionality, **17b** was no longer a close analogue of compound **1**, while a non-reactive control compound, chemically similar to the designed covalent ligand, is needed for the further pharmacological characterization.

A non-substituted phenyl to replace the warhead might impose different steric and electronic characteristics of the ligand. To avoid this we performed a conservative structural modification to replace the reactive warhead with an electron-withdrawing methylsulfonyl group, yielding derivative **19** as a nonreactive control compound.

[Type text]

 Table 1. Apparent Affinities of Pyrido[2,1-f]purine-2,4-dione Derivatives 13-19.



compound	n	X	R <sup>1</sup>	$pK_i \pm SEM^a$ or disp. at 10 $\mu$ M (%)
<b>13</b> a	1	Ο	$4-SO_2F$	$6.7 \pm 0.1$
13b	2	0	$4-SO_2F$	$7.7 \pm 0.1$
13c	3	О	$4-SO_2F$	$7.5 \pm 0.1$
14a	1	О	3-SO <sub>2</sub> F	$6.4 \pm 0.1$
14b	2	О	3-SO <sub>2</sub> F	$7.0 \pm 0.05$
14c	3	О	3-SO <sub>2</sub> F	$7.1 \pm 0.05$
17a	1	NH	$4-SO_2F$	27%
17b (LUF7602)	2	NH	$4-SO_2F$	$8.0\pm0.05$
17c	3	NH	$4-SO_2F$	$7.5\pm0.05$
18a	1	NH	3-SO <sub>2</sub> F	18%
18b	2	NH	3-SO <sub>2</sub> F	$7.5 \pm 0.01$
18c	3	NH	3-SO <sub>2</sub> F	$6.8 \pm 0.1$
19 (LUF7714)	2	NH	4-SO <sub>2</sub> Me	$6.3 \pm 0.03$

<sup>*a*</sup>Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM of three separate experiments each performed in duplicate. Apparent affinity determined from displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from the hA<sub>3</sub>AR stably expressed on CHO cell membranes at 25 °C during 2 h incubation.

To better understand the time-dependent binding characteristics of these compounds, we carried out radioligand displacement assays under two different protocols. In detail, the CHO cell membranes overexpressing hA<sub>3</sub>AR were either pre-incubated with the indicated compound for 4 h, followed by a 0.5 h co-incubation or only co-incubated for 0.5 h with the radioligand [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. As detailed in Table 2, both compounds had comparable binding affinity in the low micromolar range (pK<sub>i</sub> =  $6.9 \pm 0.06$  for **17b** and pK<sub>i</sub> =  $6.2 \pm 0.03$  for **19**) at 0.5 h incubation time. However, compound **17b** showed a significantly increased affinity (pK<sub>i</sub> =  $8.0 \pm 0.01$ ) when it was pre-incubated with hA<sub>3</sub>AR, while the affinity of compound **19** did not change (pK<sub>i</sub> =  $6.1 \pm 0.06$ ). The effect of pre-incubation on the affinity of **17b** and **19** is illustrated in Figure 2, i.e. the [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 displacement curve was shifted to the left with an increased incubation time for compound **17b** (Figure 2a), while no difference was observed for compound **19** (Figure 2b).

Presumably this time-dependent binding affinity of compound **17b** (i.e. resulting from an increased receptor occupancy over time) is a result of an increasing level of covalent binding. Similar results on other GPCRs, such as  $\beta_2$  adrenergic receptor<sup>20</sup> and  $A_{2A}$  adenosine receptor<sup>21</sup>, showed that covalent bond formation generates an increased affinity over time. Meanwhile, control compound **19** showed no substantial pK<sub>i</sub> shift in affinity at the two incubation times, indicating that a dynamic equilibrium was achieved at both incubation times. We can thus speculate that the possible covalent interaction between compound **17b** and the receptor may be attributed to the presence of a reactive warhead.

Finally, we tested **17b** and **19** for their affinity on the other adenosine receptor subtypes, and learned that the two compounds were at least modestly selective for the  $hA_3AR$  (Table 2).

[Type text]

**Table 2.** (Apparent) Affinities of **17b** and **19** for all adenosine receptor subtypes, hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT and hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup>

	hA <sub>1</sub> AR <sup>a</sup>	hA <sub>2A</sub> AR <sup>b</sup>	hA <sub>2B</sub> AR <sup>c</sup>	hA	<sub>3</sub> AR	hA <sub>3</sub> AR-WT <sup>f</sup>	hA <sub>3</sub> AR-Y265F <sup>7.36g</sup>
cpd	$pK_i \pm$	SEM	Displ. (%) at 1 µM	pK <sub>i</sub> <sup>d</sup> (pre-0 h)	pK <sub>i</sub> <sup>e</sup> (pre-4 h)	pIC	$5_{50} \pm \text{SEM}^e$
17b <sup><i>h</i></sup>	$6.1 \pm 0.03$	$5.9\pm0.09$	0% (7, -7)	$6.9 \pm 0.06$	$8.0 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$7.8\pm0.05$	$6.0 \pm 0.3^{*}$
19	$4.8\pm0.20$	$5.2\pm0.20$	0% (-10, -13)	$6.2 \pm 0.03$	$6.1\pm0.06^{\rm NS}$	$5.9\pm0.02$	$6.1 \pm 0.1^{\mathrm{NS}}$

Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM of three separate experiments each performed in duplicate or percentage displacement at 1  $\mu$ M of two separate experiments each performed in duplicate. <sup>*a*</sup>Affinity determined from displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]DPCPX binding on CHO cell membranes stably expressing human adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors at 25 °C during 2 h incubation;

<sup>*b*</sup>Affinity determined from displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]ZM241385 binding on HEK293 cell membranes stably expressing human adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptors at 25 °C during 2 h incubation;

<sup>c</sup>% displacement at 1  $\mu$ M concentration of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-603 binding on CHO cell membranes stably expressing human adenosine A<sub>2B</sub> receptors at 25 °C during 2 h incubation.

<sup>*d*</sup>Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding on CHO cell membranes stably expressing  $hA_3AR$  at 25 °C during 0.5 h incubation.

<sup>e</sup>Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from CHO cell membranes stably expressing hA<sub>3</sub>AR preincubated with antagonist for 4 h at 25 °C, followed by a 0.5 h co-incubation with [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. P <  $0.01^{**}$  compared with the pK<sub>i</sub> values in displacement experiments during 0.5 h incubation time; NS: no significant difference compared with the pK<sub>i</sub> values in displacement experiments during 0.5 h incubation time; Student's test.

<sup>f</sup>Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from CHO-K1 cell membranes transiently transfected with hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT at 25 °C during 2 h incubation

<sup>g</sup>Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from CHO-K1 cell membranes transiently transfected with hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> at 25 °C during 2 h incubation. P <  $0.01^*$  compared with the

  $pIC_{50}$  values in displacement experiments on hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT. NS: no significant difference compared with the  $pIC_{50}$  values in displacement experiments on hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT membranes; Student's test.





**Figure 2.** (A) Displacement of  $[{}^{3}H]PSB-11$  binding from hA<sub>3</sub>AR at 25°C by 17b with and without a pre-incubation of 4 h. (B) Displacement of  $[{}^{3}H]PSB-11$  binding from hA<sub>3</sub>AR at 25°C by 19 with and without a pre-incubation of 4 h. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of three individual experiments performed in duplicate.

*Kinetic characterization of the covalent ligand.* Subsequently, the significant shift in "apparent  $K_i$ " drove us to explore the binding kinetic profile of **17b** at the hA<sub>3</sub>AR, and specifically its dissociation rate and residence time (RT). Previously, the  $k_{on}$  ( $k_1$ = 0.281 ± 0.04 ×10<sup>8</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) and  $k_{off}$  ( $k_2$  = 0.3992 ± 0.02 min<sup>-1</sup>) values of [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 at 25 °C had been determined in our laboratory by traditional association and dissociation assays. Here we performed a competition association assay to characterize the binding kinetics of **17b** and **19** following previously reported procedures from our research group.<sup>7</sup> Using the on- and off-rate constants from [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB, the  $k_{on}$  ( $k_3$ ) and  $k_{off}$  ( $k_4$ ) values for **17b** were determined using the equations from the (equilibrium) Motulsky and Mahan model.<sup>22</sup> **17b** had a much slower association rate ( $k_{on}$  = 3.48 ± 0.22 x10<sup>-12</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>), yielding an almost infinite residence time (RT =

 [Type text]

 $7.63 \pm 1.19 \times 10^{11}$  min), indicative of irreversible receptor binding by **17b**. The inadequacy of the Motulsky-Mahan equations to fit this data is further evidence for the non-equilibrium features of the binding of **17b** to the receptor. Compound **19** showed fast association and dissociation rate constants (Figure 3). Unfortunately, the data did not converge in the fitting procedure, possibly due to the low binding affinity of compound **19** ( $K_i = 525$  nM).

As detailed in Figure 3, the control curve represented the association curve of radioligand [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 alone, approaching equilibrium over time. Compound **19** equally associated to and dissociated from the receptor and reached equilibrium within 30 min, evidenced by the same curve shape as the control curve. Of note, **17b**'s behavior caused an initial 'overshoot' of the competition association curve, followed by a linear decline over time indicating that no equilibrium was reached. The shape of **17b**'s kinetic curve is a quintessential example for the irreversible interaction, similar to the reported covalent ligands' behavior for the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor<sup>21</sup> and mGlu2 receptor<sup>23</sup>.



**Figure 3.** Competition association assay of [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 in the absence (control) or presence of **17b** and **19** at the indicated concentration. Association and dissociation rate constants for the unlabeled ligands were calculated by fitting the data to the equations described in the experimental section ("data analysis"). Representative graphs are from one experiment performed in duplicate.

Wash-resistant interaction between 17b and hA<sub>3</sub>AR. Inspired by the negligible dissociation of compound 17b from the hA<sub>3</sub>AR, we performed a "washout" experiment to ascertain the irreversible binding between the ligand and receptor. A protocol previously reported by our laboratorv<sup>21</sup> was adapted. We first exposed hA<sub>3</sub>AR cell membranes to **17b** or **19** both at 10-fold  $K_i$  for 2 h, and without washing the samples were supplemented with [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 to assess the competitive binding capacity of the receptor ('Control group' in Figure 4). For washed samples, hA<sub>3</sub>AR cell membranes were subjected to four-cycle washing steps to remove unbound ligand following the pre-incubation ('4x wash group' in Figure 4), after which the membranes were exposed to  $[^{3}H]PSB-11$  to determine the remaining binding capacity. In the absence of ligand (labeled '+ vehicle' in Figure 4), we normalized membranes' binding ability to 100%. Following preincubation with 17b, membranes containing the hA<sub>3</sub>AR lost most of the ability to bind to the radioligand (11.3  $\pm$  1.2% binding remaining). Furthermore, after the pre-incubation, membranes were washed by cycles of centrifugation in an attempt to regenerate binding capacity. However, washing steps failed to restore hA<sub>3</sub>AR binding of  $[^{3}H]PSB-11$  (8.7 ± 3.8%). This was in contrast to preincubation of the  $hA_3AR$ -expressing membranes with ligand 19, in which binding function was completely restored from  $19.8 \pm 4.7\%$  to  $97.6 \pm 4.5\%$  following four washing steps. This result indicates 19 is a reversible ligand which can be rapidly washed off the membranes, while 17b forms a wash-resistant bond between the ligand and the receptor. Similar experiments on other GPCRs, such as adenosine  $A_1^{24, 25}$  and  $A_{2A}^{21}$  receptors and the metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 (mGluR2)<sup>23</sup>, demonstrated that the covalent interaction between the ligand and the receptor resulted in a wash-resistant bond formation.

[Type text]



**Figure 4**. hA<sub>3</sub>AR membranes pre-incubated with buffer (vehicle) or a  $10 \times K_i$  concentration of indicated ligand, followed by no washing (Control) or four-cycle washing treatment (4x wash) before being exposed to [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three individual experiments performed in duplicate, normalized to the vehicle (set at 100%). Statistics were determined using unpaired Student's *t* test. NS: no significant difference, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001, significant difference between indicated groups.

*Insurmountable antagonism caused by covalent interaction.* To further evaluate the effect of irreversible inhibition by covalent ligand **17b** on receptor function, we performed a membrane functional assay using [ $^{35}$ S]GTP<sub>7</sub>S, which is a typical readout for activation of receptor-coupled G<sub>i/o</sub> proteins <sup>26</sup>. Pretreatment of hA<sub>3</sub>AR with increasing concentrations of ligand **17b**, prior to the stimulation with hA<sub>3</sub>AR agonist 1-[2-chloro-6-[[(3-iodophenyl)methyl]amino]-9*H*-purin-9-yl]-1-deoxy-*N*-methyl-β-D-ribofuranuronamide (2-Cl-IB-MECA), produced rightward shifts of agonist concentration-response curves with a concomitant decline in maximal stimulation (Figure 5a). Therefore, the covalent ligand **17b** generated insurmountable antagonism in the pre-incubation experiment. In contrast, pretreatment of hA<sub>3</sub>AR with **19**, followed by 2-Cl-IB-MECA agonist exposure resulted in surmountable antagonism (Figure 5b), i.e. shifting dose-response curves to the right with no alteration of its maximum effect. The extent of the shifts was used to construct a Schild plot as previously described<sup>7</sup>, which would have a slope of unity if the interaction is competitive and the pA<sub>2</sub>-value corresponds to the pK<sub>i</sub> of the antagonist. The slope for **19** was

found to be  $1.1 \pm 0.1$  and the compound's pA<sub>2</sub> value was  $5.9 \pm 0.1$ , comparable with its pK<sub>i</sub> value (6.3 ± 0.03), suggesting that **19** competed with 2-Cl-IB-MECA for the same receptor binding site.

To unravel the molecular mechanism responsible for the insurmountable antagonism of **17b**, we also co-incubated either **17b** or **19** with hA<sub>3</sub>AR in the presence of 2-Cl-IB-MECA. Both ligands produced a rightward shift of the agonist's concentration–response curve (Figure 5c and 5d) with no suppression of maximal response, indicative of surmountable antagonism. The Schild plot showed that both antagonists inhibited receptor activation in a competitive manner, with their Schild-slopes close to unity  $(1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ for } 17b, 1.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ for } 19$ , Table 3). In addition, **19**'s pA<sub>2</sub> value was in agreement with that from the pre-incubation experiments ( $6.2 \pm 0.1$ , Table 3), and the pA<sub>2</sub> value of **17b** was also comparable with its pK<sub>i</sub> value ( $7.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs } 8.0 \pm 0.05$ ). Taken together, both ligands fully competed with 2-Cl-IB-MECA bound to hA<sub>3</sub>AR. Notably, it is likely that the insurmountable behavior relates to covalent binding of **17b** due to an irreversible blockade that reduces the total receptor population available.

[Type text]



**Figure 5.** Effects of **17b** and **19** on hA<sub>3</sub>AR activation as measured by [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP $\gamma$ S binding. (A, B) Compound **17b** (A) or **19** (B) were pre-incubated with hA<sub>3</sub>AR stably expressed on CHO cell membranes (25 °C) for 60 min prior to the addition of 2-Cl-IB-MECA at a concentration ranging from 0.1 nM to 10  $\mu$ M for 30 min. (C, D) Compound **17b** (C) or **19** (D) were co-incubated with 2-Cl-IB-MECA, at a concentration ranging from 0.1 nM to 10  $\mu$ M, for 30 min. The agonist curves were generated in the presence of increasing concentrations of antagonists, namely 0.3-, 1-, 3-, 10-fold their respective K<sub>i</sub> values. Data are from three independent experiments performed in duplicate, normalized according to the maximal response (100%) produced by 10  $\mu$ M 2-Cl-IB-MECA alone. The shift in agonist EC<sub>50</sub> values was determined to perform Schild analyses.

	Pre-inc	ubation	Co-incubation		
compound	$pA_2$	Schild slope	$pA_2$	Schild slope	mode of antagonism
17b	N.A.	N.A.	$7.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	Competitive Insurmountable
19	5.9 ± 0.1	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$6.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	Competitive Surmountable

**Table 3**. Functional Analysis of hA<sub>3</sub>AR Antagonism from [<sup>35</sup>S]GTPyS Binding Assays

Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM of three separate experiments each performed in duplicate.

Binding model for 17b in the hA<sub>3</sub>AR receptor-binding pocket. To examine the interaction between receptor residues possibly involved in covalent binding, we docked 17b into a ligand optimized homology model on the basis of the A<sub>2A</sub> receptor crystal structure (PDB: 4EIY<sup>27</sup>), as described previously.<sup>7</sup> As detailed in Figure 6, the core structure of compound **17b** interacted with the TM3, TM6 and EL2 regions. Additionally, the carbonyl-oxygen at the C<sup>4</sup>-position participated in H-bond formation with residue N2506.55 and the methoxyl moiety at the C8position functioned as H-bond acceptor with Q167<sup>EL2</sup>. Interestingly, the latter is a unique residue in  $hA_3AR$ , as it is not conserved in other subtypes of adenosine receptors. Due to the flexibility of the three carbon linker, the tyrosine residue Y265<sup>7.36</sup> is in close proximity of the ligand, and could therefore interact with the 4-fluorosulfonylbenzoic warhead to form a covalent sulfonyl amide. Similarly, the same residue Y271<sup>7.36</sup> located within the human adenosine  $A_1$  receptor has also been reported to covalently interact with the fluorosulfonyl warhead of compound  $2^{11}$ Comparison of the binding modes of compound 2 and ligand 17b in an  $A_1/A_3$  receptor overlay showed that key interactions between ligands and binding sites are preserved, such as a hydrogen bond with N<sup>6.55</sup> (Figure S1).

[Type text]



**Figure 6.** Proposed binding mode of compound **17b** (green carbon sticks) in a homology model (violet ribbons) of hA<sub>3</sub>AR. The hA<sub>3</sub>AR homology model was based on the high resolution antagonist-bound crystal structure of the adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor (PDB: 4EIY <sup>27</sup>). Atoms color code: red = oxygen, blue = nitrogen, white = hydrogen, yellow = sulfur, cyan = fluorine. Hydrogen bonds between ligand and receptor are indicated by yellow dashed lines. Residue Y265<sup>7.36</sup> is in the proximity of the fluorosulfonyl warhead.

*Y265<sup>7.36</sup> as an anchor point for the covalent bond.* Based on the docking study, we postulated that Y265<sup>7.36</sup> is the anchor point for covalent bond formation. To investigate our hypothesis this tyrosine was mutated to phenylalanine (hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup>), to remove the nucleophilic reactivity of the phenolic hydroxyl group. First we performed standard [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 displacement assays to investigate the binding affinity of **17b** and **19** using CHO-K1 cell membranes transiently transfected with either wild type (hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT) or mutant receptors (hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup>). As shown in Table 2 and Figure 7, the affinity of control compound **19** on hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> (pIC<sub>50</sub> =  $6.09 \pm 0.11$ ) was similar to the affinity to hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT (pIC<sub>50</sub> =  $5.95 \pm 0.03$ ), indicating that the mutation has no impact on the binding affinity of the reversible ligand. In marked contrast, **17b**'s affinity was decreased nearly 43-fold relative to WT, from an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 27 nM to 1072 nM, indicative of the loss of irreversible interaction. Moreover, there were no marked affinity differences on hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> between **17b** and **19**. This suggests that the chemically

dissimilar ligands **17b** (reactive) and **19** (nonreactive) exhibit a similar binding interaction with hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup>. We thus speculate the amino acid in position 7.36 plays a prominent role in the covalent bond formation between the fluorosulfonyl warhead and receptor. To support this idea, we repeated the "washout" assay on hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup>. Membranes treated with **17b** at 10-fold IC<sub>50</sub> inhibited the specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding to  $7.2 \pm 0.6\%$ . After extensive washing, hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> showed a complete recovery of [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding to  $91 \pm 2\%$  (Figure 7c). This full recovery for mutant hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> is in sharp contrast to the findings in the wild type washout assay (Figure 4), indicating Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> completely prevented the wash-resistant bond formation. In other words Y265<sup>7.36</sup> is the unique amino acid residue involved in the covalent attachment of **17b**'s fluorosulfonyl group within the hA<sub>3</sub>AR binding pocket. A similar approach was also adopted to pinpoint the anchor point between covalent probes and other subtypes of GPCRs, such as the adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor<sup>21</sup> mGlu2 receptor<sup>23</sup> and cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor <sup>28</sup>.

 [Type text]



**Figure 7**. (A, B) Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from transiently transfected hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT and hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> at 25°C by compound **17b** (A) and **19** (B) during an incubation of 2 h. (C) hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> cell membranes were pretreated with buffer (vehicle) or  $10 \times IC_{50}$  of compound **17b** for 2 h followed by no washing (Control) or four-cycle washing treatment (4x wash) before exposed to [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three individual experiments performed in duplicate, normalized to the vehicle (set at 100%). NS: no significant difference between groups; \*\*\*Significant difference between groups (P<0.001); Student's *t*-test

17b can be a useful structural biology tool as it would be expected to stabilize the 7TM domain in its inactive state, thereby potentially facilitating crystallization of receptor material. This could be highly valuable for the structure elucidation of  $hA_3AR$  which up to now remains unreported.

Furthermore, understanding the precise molecular interactions between ligand and receptor may stimulate the more rational design of novel ligands. Such ligands may have improved receptor subtype selectivity, fewer undesirable side effects, and enhanced potency and efficacy, leading to potentially attractive therapeutic agents that produce their effects by modulating the functionality of the adenosine system. Given that GPCR-targeted covalent drugs went through clinical success across various indications,<sup>29</sup> our covalent compound **17b** may serve as a probe to explore the problematic translation of hA<sub>3</sub>AR ligands into clinical utility in certain disease states such as eye disorder glaucoma, in which an increased A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor mRNA and protein level have been detected.

#### Conclusions

By introducing a reactive sulfonyl fluoride warhead onto the 1-benzyl-3-propyl-1*H*,3*H*-pyrido [2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione scaffold, we designed and synthesized a series of novel covalent hA<sub>3</sub>AR antagonists. Compound **17b** acted as the most potent antagonist, with a time dependent apparent affinity in the low nanomolar range. Meanwhile, we removed the warhead and inserted a methylsulfonyl moiety into the scaffold, to obtain ligand **19** as a reversible control compound. Ligand **17b** was then validated as covalent antagonist through its wash-resistant nature and insurmountable antagonism in [<sup>35</sup>S]GTP<sub>7</sub>S binding assays. *In silico* homology-docking suggested that Y265<sup>7,36</sup> is responsible for the covalent interaction. Site-directed mutagenesis showed that removal of the nucleophilic tyrosine phenolic hydroxyl group resulted in the complete loss of covalent binding, validating that Y265<sup>7,36</sup> is the only anchor point of reactive covalent ligand **17b**. The results contribute to a better understanding of pharmacological behaviors caused by covalent interaction with GPCRs. In the end, we developed a structured approach to quickly obtain a well-defined covalent ligand. Besides, we envisioned that a methylsulfonyl replacement would be

 [Type text]

suitable for providing a non-reactive sulfonyl-bearing control compound. The rational design of covalent probes may have further value in receptor structure elucidation or in new technologies such as affinity-based protein profiling<sup>15, 30</sup> with the perspective of imaging or structurally probing GPCRs.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Chemistry** All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical grade. Demineralized water is simply referred to as H<sub>2</sub>O, and was used in all cases unless stated otherwise (i.e. brine). <sup>1</sup>H were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 liquid spectrometer (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 400 MHz) at ambient temperature and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra was performed on a Bruker AV 600 liquid spectrometer (<sup>13</sup>C NMR, 125 MHz) at indicated temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), using residual solvent as the internal reference in all cases. The values are given in  $\delta$  scale. Coupling-constants are reported in Hz and are designated as J. Analytical purity of the final compounds was determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Phenomenex Gemini  $3\mu$ m C18 110Å column (50 × 4.6 mm, 3 µm), measuring UV absorbance at 254 nm. Sample preparation and HPLC method was as follows: 0.3-1.0 mg of compound was dissolved in 1 mL of a 1:1:1 mixture of MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O/tBuOH and eluted from the column within 15 minutes at a flow rate of 1.3 mL/min with a three component system of  $H_2O/MeCN/1\%$  TFA in  $H_2O$ . The elution method was set up as follows: 1-4 min isocratic system of H<sub>2</sub>O/MeCN/1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O, 80:10:10, from the 4th min, a gradient was applied from 80:10:10 to 0:90:10 within 9 min, followed by 1 min of equilibration at 0:90:10 and 1 min at 80:10:10. All final compounds showed a single peak at the designated retention time and are at least 95% pure. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

analyses were performed using Thermo Finnigan Surveyor - LCQ Advantage Max LC-MS system and a Gemini C18 Phenomenex column ( $50 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}$ , 3 µm). High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analyses were performed using a Thermo Scientific<sup>TM</sup> LTQ Orbitrap XL<sup>TM</sup> Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer. The sample preparation was the same as for HPLC and HRMS analysis. The compounds were eluted from the column within 15 minutes after injection, with a three component system of H<sub>2</sub>O/MeCN/0.2% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O, decreasing polarity of the solvent mixture in time from 80:10:10 to 0:90:10. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was routinely consulted to monitor the progress of reactions, using aluminum coated Merck silica gel F254 plates. Purification by column chromatography was achieved by use of Grace Davison Davisil silica column material (LC60A 30-200 micron). Solutions were concentrated using a Heidolph Laborota W8 2000 efficient rotary evaporation apparatus. All reactions in the synthetic routes were performed under nitrogen atmosphere unless stated otherwise. The procedure for a series of similar compounds is given as a general procedure for all within that series, annotated by the numbers of the compounds.

**1-benzyl-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1***H*,3*H*-pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione (1).<sup>7, 8</sup> To a stirred suspension of **6** (6.0 g, 19 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeCN (120 mL) were added 1-bromopropane (5.6 mL, 57mmol, 3.0 equiv) and DBU (50 mL, 57 mmol, 3.0 equiv). This mixture was stirred at 70 °C overnight. Conversion of starting material was confirmed by TLC (2% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was suspended in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (200 mL) and the organic phase was washed with 1M HCl (200 mL), H<sub>2</sub>O (200 mL), brine (200 mL) and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude was purified by column chromatography (0.5% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) to obtain **1** as a white solid (5.0 g, 14 mmol, 73%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.82 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.34 – 7.22 (m, 3H),

[Type text]

6.98 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (s, 2H), 4.04 – 3.97 (m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 1.76 – 1.65 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H)

**6-amino-1-benzyl-1,3-dihydropyrimidine-2,4-dione (5)**<sup>7, 8</sup>. The synthesis of the compounds adapted from the procedure reported before.<sup>7, 8</sup> Benzylurea (**3**) (25 g, 167 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and **4** (16 g, 191 mmol, 1.1 equiv) were dissolved in acetic anhydride (100 mL). This mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 2 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diethyl ether (150 mL) was added followed by 1 hour of stirring at room temperature. The precipitate was filtered off and suspended in a mixture of EtOH (75 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (150 mL). This mixture was heated to 85 °C and 3 M NaOH (aq.) (50 mL) was added dropwise. After 1 h, the mixture was concentrated and neutralized dropwise with HCl (37 %). The precipitate was filtered off and washed with acetone, obtaining **5** as a white solid (9.0 g, 42 mmol, 25%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  10.42 (brs, 1H), 7.48 – 7.08 (m, 5H), 6.85 (brs, 2H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 1H)

**1-benzyl-8-methoxy-1***H*,3*H*-pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione (6).<sup>7, 8</sup> To the intermediate (5) (9.0 g, 42 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and NBS (15 g, 83 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added MeCN (100 mL). This mixture was stirred at 80 °C. After 1.5 h conversion of starting material was confirmed by TLC (10% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), 4-methoxypyridine (13 g, 125 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 4.5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the precipitate was filtered off and washed with diethyl ether and MeOH, yielding product **6** as a white solid (8.5 g, 26 mmol, 64%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  11.31 (br s, 1H), 8.70 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 – 7.16 (m, 6H), 6.90 (dd, *J* = 7.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H)

8-methoxy-3-propyl-1H,3H-pyrido[2,1-f]purine-2,4-dione (7).<sup>7, 8</sup> To a mixture of the intermediate 1 (1.1 g, 3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C (2.0 g, 14 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and ammonium formate (0.20 g, 3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added EtOH (250 mL). During the

reaction, five portions of ammonium formate (0.20 g, 3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added, after which completion of the reaction was observed on TLC (5% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). The reaction was filtered over Celite and the residue was extracted with hot DMF. Purification of the crude product by column chromatography using 2-10% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to obtained **5** as a white solid (0.30 g, 1.2 mmol, 40%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  12.05 (s, 1H), 8.73 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, J = 7.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.85 – 3.78 (m, 2H), 1.64 – 1.52 (m, 2H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H)

General procedure for the synthesis of fluorosulfonylbenzoic acids (9a–b). To a solution of chlorosulfonylbenzoic acid (8a-b) (2.2 g, 10 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dioxane (25 mL) was added a solution of HF:KF (15 mL, 2.0 M, 3.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at room temperature. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was dilute with EtOAc (80 mL). The organic phase was washed with  $H_2O$  (50 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*.

**3-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoic acid (9a).** White solid (1.9 g, 8.7 mmol, 87%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.47-8.44 (m, 2H), 8.4 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H).

**4-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoic acid (9b).** White solid (2.0 g, 9.0 mmol, 90%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  13.86 (s, 1H), 8.28 (s, 4H)

General procedure for the synthesis of bromoalkyl (fluorosulfonyl)benzoates (11a-c and 12a-c) A mixture of thionyl chloride (8 mL) and fluorosulfonylbenzoic acid (9a-b) (1 equiv) was refluxed at 75 °C for 3 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum. And the product was used in the next step without further analysis. Dry dioxane (6 mL) was added to the (fluorosulfonyl)benzoyl chloride (10a-b). To this solution the corresponding bromoalkylalcohol (0.85 equiv) was added and the mixture was refluxed overnight. After completion was observed

[Type text]

on TLC ( $CH_2Cl_2$ ) the volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the crude product was purified by column chromatography using  $CH_2Cl_2$  as an eluent to afford the products.

**2-bromoethyl-4-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (11a)** Colorless oil (0.088 g, 0.28 mmol, 23%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 8.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H).

**3-bromopropyl-4-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (11b)** White solid (2.0 g, 6.2 mmol, 50%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H ), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.54 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.54(d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (m, 2H).

**4-bromobutyl-4-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (11c)** White solid (0.30 g, 0.89 mmol, 45%) Compound was used without further purification.

**2-bromoethyl-3-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (12a).** Colorless Oil (0.51 g, 1.7 mmol, 55%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.69 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.25 – 8.20 (m, 1H), 7.78 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H).

**3-bromopropyl-3-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (12b).**Colorless oil (0.12 g, 0.38 mmol, 23%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.65 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (p, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H).

**4-bromobutyl-3-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (12c).** Colorless Oil (0.84 g, 2.5 mmol, 83%,) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.65 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.11 – 1.85 (m, 4H).

#### General procedure for the synthesis of 13a-c and 14a-c

The synthesis of these compounds were adapted from the conditions previously described by Priego et al.<sup>6</sup> The scaffold, 8-methoxy-3-propyl-1H,3H-pyrido[2,1-f]purine-2,4-dione 7 (1.0

equiv), and  $K_2CO_3$  (1.6 equiv) were suspended in anhydrous DMF. The mixture was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the corresponding bromoalkyl (fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (**11a-c** or **12a-c**) (1.0 equiv) in anhydrous DMF (4 mL). The reaction was stirred at 50 °C overnight. After conversion on TLC was observed, excess amount of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was added. Then the mixture was washed with 1M HCl (aq.), water and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by column chromatography, followed to a prep TLC was used to further purify the compound if necessary.

#### 2-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purine-1(2H)-yl)ethyl 4-

(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (13a) Prepared from 11a and purified by column chromatography (1% CH<sub>3</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) to give the desired product as white solid (0.038 g, 0.07 mmol, 52%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.76-6.73 (m, 2H), 4.78 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 4.64 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 1.73-1.62 (m, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 505.1. HPLC: 9.99 min

# **3-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido**[**2,1-***f***]<b>purine-1**(*2H*)-**y**]**)propyl 4-**(**fluorosulfonyl)benzoate (13b)** Prepared from **11b** and purified by column chromatography (1% CH<sub>3</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) to give the desired product as white solid (0.096 g, 0.19 mmol, 76%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$ 8.76 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.38 (pentet, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.71 (sextet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 519.1. HPLC: 10.18 min

### **4-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-***f***]purine-1(2***H***)-yl)butyl <b>4-**(**fluorosulfonyl)benzoate(13c)** Prepared from **11c** and purified by column chromatography (2% CH<sub>3</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) to give the desired product as white solid (0.010 g, 0.019 mmol, 5.2%.) <sup>1</sup>H

[Type text]

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.83 (dd, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.28 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.02 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 2.05 – 1.90 (m, 4H), 1.77 – 1.68 (m, 2H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 533.1. HPLC: 9.40 min

#### 2-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purine-1(2H)-yl)ethyl 3-

(**fluorosulfonyl)benzoate** (14a) Prepared from 12a and without purification to give the desired product as white solid (0.19 g, 0.36 mmol, 57%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) ): δ 8.80 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.14 – 8.09 (m, 1H), 7.66 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 4.65 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 4.04 – 3.97 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 1.68 (sextet, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 505.1. HPLC: 8.47 min

**3-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido**[**2,1-***f***]<b>purine-1**(2*H*)-**y**]**propyl 3-**(**fluorosulfonyl)benzoate** (**14b**) Prepared from **12b** and purified by column chromatography (1% CH<sub>3</sub>OH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) to give the desired product as white solid (0.035 g, 0.068 mmol, 34%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.74 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.65 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.39 (pentet, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.70 (sextet, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 519.1. HPLC: 8.84 min

4-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-1(2*H*)-yl)butyl 3-(fluorosulfonyl)benzoate(14c) Prepared from 12c and purified by column chromatography (first 30% DCM in EtOAc). Further purification by another column (4:1= MTBE: PET) gives the desired product as white solid (0.20g, 0.37 mmol, 38%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.85 (d,

*J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.30 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.08 – 4.01 (m, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.10 – 2.00 (m, 2H), 2.00 – 1.89 (m, 2H), 1.81 – 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 533.1. HPLC: 9.14 min

#### General procedure for the synthesis 1-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)alkyl)-8-methoxy-3-

propyl-1H,3H-pyrido-[2,1-f]purine-2,4-dione (15a-c). To a mixture of the core (7) (0.8 mmol,

1 equiv), *N*-(bromoalkyl)phthalimide (1.2 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and  $K_2CO_3$  (1.2 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added anhydrous DMF (8 mL). The mixture was refluxed at 100 °C. After completion of the reaction, monitored by TLC (1% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and diluted with EtOAc (30 mL). The organic layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 30 mL), brine (15 mL) and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography using 1% MeOH as an eluent to give **15a–c** as solids.

#### 1-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1H,3H-pyrido-[2,1-f]purine-2,4-

**dione** (15a) Prepared from *N*-(2-bromoethyl)phthalimide and purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as white solid (0.20 g, 0.44 mmol, 5%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.77 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.64 (s, 2H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 3.89 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.58 – 1.45 (m, 3H), 0.86 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

#### 1-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)propyl)-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1H,3H-pyrido-[2,1-f]purine-

**2,4-dione (15b)** Prepared from *N*-(3-bromoethyl)phthalimide and purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as yellow solid (0.31 g, 0.66 mmol, 66%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.81 – 8.75 (m, 1H), 7.86 – 7.76 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 6.80 (s, 1H),

[Type text]

6.72 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.04 – 3.93 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.86 – 3.78 (m, 2H), 2.35 – 2.20 (m, 2H), 1.78 – 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.06 – 0.87 (m, 3H).

**1-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)butyl)-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1***H*,3*H*-pyrido-[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4dione (15c) Prepared from *N*-(4-bromoethyl)phthalimide and purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as white solid (0.37 g, 0.76 mmol, 96%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.82 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.8 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.8 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.04 – 3.96 (m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.95 – 1.85 (m, 2H), 1.85 – 1.77 (m, 2H), 1.74 – 1.65 (m, 3H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H).

General procedure for the synthesis 1-(2-aminoalkyl)-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1*H*,3*H*-pyrido-[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione (16a-c). To a stirred suspension of 15a-c (0.66 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (8 mL) was added excess hydrazine monohydrate (4.8 mL, 99 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 2–4 h at reflux. After conversion of the starting material, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The solvents were removed under vacuum and the residue was dissolved in 2 M NaOH (aq.) (25 mL). This aques phase was extracted three times with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo* to obtain 16a-c.

#### 1-(2-aminoethyl)-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1*H*,3*H*-pyrido-[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione (16a).

Prepared from **15a** and purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as white solid (0.13 g, 0.39 mmol, 90%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.84 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.05 – 3.99 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.15 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.78 – 1.67 (m, 2H), 0.99 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H).

**1-(3-aminopropyl)-8-methoxy-3-propyl-1***H***,3***H***-pyrido-[2,1-***f***]<b>purine-2,4-dione (16b).** Prepared from **15b** and purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as white

 solid (0.25 g, 0.75 mmol, 97%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.82 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.07 – 3.98 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 2.75 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.98 (p, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.78 – 1.65 (m, 2H), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

#### 1-(4-aminobutyl)- 8-methoxy-3-propyl-1H,3H-pyrido-[2,1-f]purine-2,4-dione (16c).

Prepared from **15c** and purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as white solid (0.23 g, 0.66 mmol, 86%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.84 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.06 – 3.98 (m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 2.77 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.92 – 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.78 – 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.63 – 1.53 (m, 2H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

#### 4-((2-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-1(2H)-

yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (17a) EDC (0.12 g, 0.60 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 mL). To this stirring solution was added the acid (9a) (0.11g, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv). The amine (16a) (0.16 g, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was suspended in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (6 mL), then was added dropwise via an automatic syringe at a rate of 0.2 mL/min. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature and monitored by TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: acetone = 3:2). After completion the solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was redissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (40 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl (40 mL), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 40 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo* to obtain 17a as white solid (0.20 g, 0.39 mmol, 78%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.83 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.07 – 8.00 (m, 5H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.62 – 4.55 (m, 2H), 4.03 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.94 – 3.89 (m, 2H), 1.68 (sextet, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 504.1. HPLC: 7.93 min.

 [Type text]

#### 4-((3(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-

1(2H)vl)propvl)carbamovl)benzenesulfonvl fluoride (17b). To a suspension of EDC (0.22 g. 0.80 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and 9a (0.16 g, 0.80 mmol 1.05 equiv) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 mL). To this stirring solution was added the amine (16b) (0.25 g, 0.76 mmol, 1.0 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 2 h and monitored by TLC (3% MeOH in  $CH_2Cl_2$ ). After completion, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (40 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl (40 mL), twice with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 40$  mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo*. The product was purified by column chromatography using 2% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to afford the title compound as white solid (0.26 g, 0.50 mmol, 66%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.86 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 2H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.47 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.19–2.13 (m, 2H), 1.73 (sextet, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 348K) δ 164.0, 160.9, 153.4, 150.6, 150.5, 149.1, 141.3, 133.3 (d, *J* = 96 Hz), 128.5, 127.9, 127.3, 107.0, 99.8, 95.4, 55.7, 41.5, 40.6, 36.8, 27.1, 20.5, 10.6. MS: [ESI+H]+: 518.1. HRMS-ESI+: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd: 518.1510 found: 518.1540, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>5</sub>FS. HPLC: 8.27 min.

#### 4-((4-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-1(2H)-

yl)butyl)carbamoyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (17c) The acid 9a (0.11 g, 0.53 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was dissolved in an excess of thionyl chloride (20 mL) at 75 °C under nitrogen for 3 h. After removal of solvent and other volatiles in vacuum, 10a was obtained as colorless oil. Subsequently the amine 16c (0.12 g, 0.35 mmol, 1.0 equiv),  $K_2CO_3$  (0.073 g, 0.53 mmol, 1.5 equiv)) and dry DMF were added and the reaction as stirred at 40 °C overnight. After completion of the reaction, 1M HCl (200 mL) was added and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL). The organic layer was

washed with water (100 mL) and brine (100 mL). The organic layer was dried, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 1% methanol as eluent to give **17c** as white solid (5.0 mg, 0.0094 mmol, 4%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.87 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (brs, 1H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.80 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.68 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (pent, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.84-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.02 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 532.3 . HPLC: 8.28 min.

#### 3-((2-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-1(2H)-

yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (18a) EDC (0.12 g, 0.60 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 mL). To this stirring solution was added the acid 9b (0.11 g, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv). The amine 16a (0.16 g, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was suspended in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (6 mL), then was added dropwise via an automatic syringe at a rate of 0.2 mL/min. The reaction was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and monitored by TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: Acetone = 3:2). After completion, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was resolubilized in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (40 mL). The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl (40 mL), twice with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 40 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo* to give 18a as white solid (0.17g, 0.35 mmol, 70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.81 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 8.29 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (br s, 1H), 7.71 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.61 – 4.54 (m, 2H), 4.03 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.94 – 3.89 (m, 2H), 1.76 – 1.63 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 504.1. HPLC: 7.67 min.

#### 3-((3-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-1(2H)-

yl)propyl)carbamoyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride (18b) The acid 9b (0.42 g, 2.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was dissolved in thionylchloride (20 mL) and stirred for 3 h at 75 °C. The thionylchloride was

[Type text]

evaporated and the residue was co-evapped twice with toluene. Then the amine **14b** (0.23 mg, 0.7 mmol, 1.00 equiv), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.073 g, 0.53 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and dry DMF were added and the reaction as stirred at 40 °C overnight. 1M HCl (200 mL) was added and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (100 mL) and brine (100 mL). The organic layer was dried, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 1% methanol as eluent to give **18b** as white solid (0.0050g, 0.01 mmol, 2.7%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.88 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.55-8.50 (m, 2H), 8.20 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.47 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.17-2.12 (m, 2H), 1.74 (sextet, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.00 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H). MS: ESI [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 518.1 HPLC: 8.28 min.

#### 3-((4-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-1(2H)-

yl)butyl)carbamoyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (18c) EDC (0.13 g, 0.69 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL). The acid 9b (0.13 g, 0.63 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added to this solution and the mixture was stirred. The amine 16c (0.20 g, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (8 mL) and added dropwise via an automatic syringe at a rate of 0.2 mL/min to the stirring solution. After 3 h at room temperature the reaction was completed and the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 mL) and washed with 1 M HCl (40 mL) and twice with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 40 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: acetone = 3:2) gave the 18c as a white solid(0.14 g, 0.26 mmol, 47%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.84 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (br s, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 6.80 – 6.70 (m, 1H), 4.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H),

3.89 (s, 3H), 3.74 − 3.60 (m, 2H), 2.07 − 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.85 − 1.64 (m, 4H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). MS: [ESI+H]<sup>+</sup>: 532.3. HPLC: 8.21 min.

#### N-(3-(8-methoxy-2,4-dioxo-3-propyl-3,4-dihydropyrido[2,1-f]purin-1(2H)-yl)propyl)-4-

(methylsulfonyl)benzamide (19). To a solution of EDC (0.061 g, 0.32 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) was added 4-(methylsulfonyl)benzoic acid (0.060 g, 0.30 mmol, 1.1 equiv). The amine 16b (0.090 g, 0.27 mmol, 1 equiv) was taken up in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and was subsequently added dropwise via an automatic syringe at a rate of 0.15 mL/min. The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC (4% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). After 3 h the reaction was completed and CHCl<sub>3</sub> (50 mL) was added. The organic layer was washed with 1 M HCl (60 mL),  $H_2O$  (60 mL), brine (60 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography using 2% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to afford the title compound (0.075 g, 0.14 mmol, 54%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.86 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.90 - 6.71 (m, 2H), 4.45 - 4.28 (m, 2H), 4.13 - 3.99 (m, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.55 - 3.41 (m, 2H), 3.11 (s, 3H), 2.27 - 2.09 (m, 2H),1.83 - 1.61 (m, 2H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 318K):  $\delta$  164.7, 161.1, 153.5, 150.7, 150.6, 149.3, 142.8, 138.9, 127.9, 127.5, 126.7, 107.4, 99.9, 95.4, 56.0, 43.2, 41.6, 40.8, 36.8, 27.4, 20.7, 10.9. MS: [ESI+H]+: 514.2. HRMS-ESI+: [M + H]+ calcd: 518.1760 found: 518.1791, C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N<sub>5</sub>S. HPLC: 6.89 min.

**Computational studies** All calculations were performed using the Schrodinger Suite.<sup>31</sup> Since compound **17b** shares a high similarity with the ligands on which we previously published<sup>7</sup>, the same homology model based on the high resolution antagonist-bound crystal structure of the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor (PDB: 4EIY <sup>27</sup>) was used for the docking studies performed here. Based

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

[Type text]

on those proposed docking poses, we used induced fit docking<sup>32</sup> with core constraints on the pyridopurinedione to dock the different ligands.

**Biology** [ ${}^{3}$ H]8-Ethyl-4-methyl-2-phenyl-(8R)-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1*H*-imidazo[2,1-*i*]-purin-5one ([ ${}^{3}$ H]PSB-11, specific activity 56 Ci·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) was a gift from Prof. C.E. Müller (University of Bonn, Germany). Unlabeled PSB-11, 1-deoxy-1-[6-[(( ${}^{3}$ - and 2-chloro-N6-( ${}^{3}$ -iodobenzyl)adenosine-5'-N-methyluronamide (2-Cl-IB-MECA) were purchased from Tocris Ltd. (Abingdon, UK). 5'-N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Adenosine deaminase (ADA) was purchased from Boehringer Mannheim (Mannheim, Germany). Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) and BCA protein assay reagents were purchased from Pierce Chemical Company (Rockford, IL, USA). Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells stably expressing the human A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor (CHOhA<sub>3</sub>) were a gift from Dr. K-N Klotz (University of Würzburg, Germany). All other chemicals were obtained from standard commercial sources and were of analytical grade.

**Cell Culture and Membrane Preparation.** Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells, stably expressing the human A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor (CHOhA<sub>3</sub>), were cultured and membranes were prepared and stored as previously reported.<sup>7, 33</sup> Protein determination was performed based on the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method<sup>34</sup>.

**Y265F**<sup>7.36</sup> **Site-Directed Mutagenesis.** The single tyrosine mutation introduced in TM7 of the hA<sub>3</sub>AR was performed with the QuickChange II Site Directed Mutagenesis system (Stratagene, Huizen, The Netherlands). The wild type pcDNA3.1(+)-A<sub>3</sub>AR plasmid DNA with N-terminal 3 x HA-tag was used as a template for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mutagenesis. Mutant primers for directional PCR product cloning were designed using the online Quickchange primer design program (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), and obtained from Eurogentec

(Maastricht, The Netherlands). Forward primer used for this procedure was 5'cacagcttgtgctgttcatgggcatcctgct-3' and the reverse primer was 5'agcaggatgcccatgaacagcacaagctgtg-3'. All DNA sequences were verified by Sanger sequencing at LGTC (Leiden, The Netherlands).

Transient Expression of Wild Type (WT) and Mutant Receptors in CHO-K1 Cells. CHO-K1 cells were seeded into 150-mm culture dishes to achieve 60% confluence in the presence of 20 ml culture medium consisting of DMEM/F12 (1:1) supplemented with 10% (v/v) newborn calf serum, streptomycin (50  $\mu$ g/mL) and penicillin (50 IU/mL). Cells were transfected approximately 24 h later with plasmid DNA (20  $\mu$ g of DNA/dish) by the PEI method<sup>35</sup> (PEI:DNA = 3:1) and left for 24 h. Subsequently medium was removed and fresh medium containing 5 mM sodium butyrate was added (to enhance the receptor expression level<sup>36</sup>), and cells were grown for an additional 24 h at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Membrane preparation followed the procedure described above for the CHO cell membranes stably expressing hA<sub>3</sub>AR.<sup>7, 33</sup>

**Radioligand Displacement Assay.** Radioligand displacement experiments were performed as in previously published methods.<sup>7</sup> Membrane aliquots containing 15  $\mu$ g of protein were incubated in a total volume of 100  $\mu$ L assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, supplemented with 0.01% CHAPS and 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) at 25 °C for 120 min. Displacement experiments were performed using six concentrations of competing antagonist in the presence of ~10 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. Nonspecific binding (NSB) was determined in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M NECA and represented less than 10% of total binding. Incubation was terminated by rapid filtration performed on 96-well GF/B filter plates (Perkin Elmer, Groningen, the Netherlands) in a PerkinElmer Filtermate-harvester (Perkin Elmer, Groningen, the Netherlands). After the filter

#### [Type text]

plate was dried at 55 °C for 30 min, the filter-bound radioactivity was determined by scintillation spectrometry using a 2450 MicroBeta<sup>2</sup> Plate Counter (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA).

**Radioligand Competition Association Assay.** The competition association assay was performed by incubation of ~10 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 in the absence or presence of competing hA<sub>3</sub>AR antagonist at its IC<sub>50</sub> concentration with membrane aliquots. The amount of receptor-bound radioligand was determined at different time points up to 240 min. Incubations were terminated and samples were obtained as described under *Radioligand Displacement Assay*.

[<sup>35</sup>S] GTPyS Binding Assay. The assays were started by adding 15 µg of homogenized CHOhA3 membranes in an ice-cold assay buffer to a total volume of 80 µL containing 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% BSA and 1 mM DTT, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, supplemented with 1 µM GDP and 5 µg saponin. The assays were performed in a 96-well plate format, where stock solutions of the compounds were added to a total volume of 100 µL using an HP D300 Digital Dispenser (Tecan, Männedorf, Switserland). The final concentration of DMSO per assay point was  $\leq 0.1\%$ . The basal level of [<sup>35</sup>S] GTPyS binding was determined in the absence of ligand, whereas the maximal level of [35S] GTPyS binding was determined in the presence of 10 µM 2-Cl-IBMECA. For the insurmountability experiments, membrane preparations were pre-incubated with or without antagonists (1-, 3-, 10-fold  $K_i$  values) for 60 min at 25 °C, prior to the addition of 2-Cl-IBMECA (10 µM to 0.1 nM) and 20 µl [<sup>35</sup>S] GTP<sub>Y</sub>S (final concentration ~0.3 nM), after which incubation continued for another 30 min at 25 °C. For the surmountability (control) experiments, antagonists (1-, 3-, 10-fold K<sub>i</sub> values) and 2-Cl-IBMECA (10 µM to 0.1 nM) were co-incubated with [<sup>35</sup>S] GTPγS for 30 min at 25 °C. For all experiments, incubations were terminated and samples were obtained as described under Radioligand Displacement Assay, by using GF/B filters (Whatman International, Maidstone, UK).

**Data Analysis**. All experimental data were analyzed using the nonlinear regression curve fitting program GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). Data from the radioligand displacement assays were fit into one-site binding mode, and the obtained IC<sub>50</sub> values were converted into K<sub>i</sub> values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation to determine the affinity of the ligands.<sup>37</sup> The observed association rate constants (k<sub>obs</sub>) derived from both assays were obtained by fitting association data using one phase exponential association. The dissociation rate constants were obtained by fitting dissociation data to a one phase exponential decay model. The k<sub>obs</sub> values were converted into association rate constants (k<sub>on</sub>) using the equation k<sub>on</sub> = (k<sub>obs</sub> – k<sub>off</sub>)/[L], where [L] is the amount of radioligand used for the association experiments. Association and dissociation rate constants for unlabeled compounds were calculated by fitting the data into the competition association model using "kinetics of competitive binding". <sup>22</sup>

$$K_{A} = k_{1}[L] \cdot 10^{-9} + k_{2}$$

$$K_{B} = k_{3}[I] \cdot 10^{-9} + k_{4}$$

$$S = \sqrt{(K_{A} - K_{B})^{2} + 4 \cdot k_{1} \cdot k_{3} \cdot L \cdot I \cdot 10^{-18}}$$

$$K_{F} = 0.5(K_{A} + K_{B} + S)$$

$$K_{S} = 0.5(K_{A} + K_{B} - S)$$

$$Q = \frac{B_{\max} \cdot k_{1} \cdot L \cdot 10^{-9}}{K_{F} - K_{S}}$$

$$Y = Q \cdot (\frac{k_{4} \cdot (K_{F} - K_{S})}{K_{F} \cdot K_{S}} + \frac{k_{4} - K_{F}}{K_{F}} e^{(-K_{F} \cdot X)} - \frac{k_{4} - K_{S}}{K_{S}} e^{(-K_{S} \cdot X)})$$

Where X is the time (min), Y is the specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding (DPM),  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the  $k_{on}$  (nM<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>) and  $k_{off}$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) of [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11,  $B_{max}$  is the total binding (DPM), L is the radioligand concentration (nM), I is the concentration of the unlabeled competitor (nM). Association and dissociation rate constants for [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 ( $k_1 = 0.281 \pm 0.04 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$  and  $k_2 = 0.3992 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ) were obtained from Xia *et al.*<sup>7</sup> With that the  $k_3$ ,  $k_4$  and  $B_{max}$  were calculated, where  $k_3$  represents the  $k_{on}$  (nM<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>) of the unlabeled ligand,  $k_4$  stands for the  $k_{off}$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) of the 42

[Type text]

unlabeled ligand and  $B_{max}$  equals the total binding (DPM). All competition association data were globally fitted. The residence time (RT, in min) was calculated using the equation  $RT = 1/k_{off}$ , as  $k_{off}$  values are expressed in min<sup>-1</sup>. [<sup>35</sup>S] GTP $\gamma$ S binding curves were analyzed by nonlinear regression using "log (agonist) vs response-variable slope" to obtain potency, inhibitory potency or efficacy values of agonists and antagonists (EC<sub>50</sub> and E<sub>max</sub>, respectively). In the (in)surmountability assays, Schild EC<sub>50</sub> shift equations were used to obtain Schild-slopes and pA<sub>2</sub> values. All experimental values obtained are means of three independent experiments performed in duplicate.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website:

Additional figure for the overlay of crystal structure of compound **2** bound to human adenosine  $A_1$  receptor (PDB: 5UEN) and a h $A_3AR$  homology model with **17b** docked (PDF)

Homology Model of  $hA_3AR$  with **17b** (PDB)

Molecular formula strings with bioactivity (CSV)

#### **Homology Models**

The homology model was based on the crystal structure of the adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor (PDB:

4EIY). Authors will release the atomic coordinates upon article publication.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*Phone: + 31-71-527-4651; E-mail: ijzerman@lacdr.leidenuniv.nl.

#### **Author Contributions**

X.Y., L.H.H., and A.P.IJ. conceived the study. L.H.H., D.V.D.E and A.P.IJ. supervised the project. The chemical synthesis was designed and supervised by X.Y. and J.P.D.V and performed by J.O., B.J.K and J.P.D.V. The bioassays were supervised by L.H.H and performed by X.Y. The computational work was performed by E.B.L. The manuscript was written by X.Y., L.H.H., D.V.D.E and A.P.IJ.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

 [Type text]

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Prof C.E. Mueller (Bonn University, Germany) for her kind help in obtaining [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11, the radiolabeled probe used in this study. We are also thankful to our colleague, Lindsey Burggraaff, for her assistance with computational modelling studies and Ing. G.K. Spijksma for assistance with HRMS. Xue Yang is supported by a grant from the Chinese Scholarship Council.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS USED**

ADA, adenosine deaminase. BCA, bicinchoninic acid. CHAPS, 3-[(3-Cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate. CHO, Chinese hamster ovary. CHO-K1, a subclone from the parental CHO cell line. 2-Cl-IB-MECA, 1-[2-chloro-6-[[(3iodophenyl)methyl]amino]-9*H*-purin-9-yl]-1-deoxy-*N*-methyl-β-D-ribofuranuronamide. DBU, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene. EDC, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide. E<sub>max</sub>, maximum response elicited by an unlabeled ligand in a functional assay (relatively to 2-Cl-IB-MECA) at membranes of CHO cells stably expressing the A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor. EtOAc, ethylacetate. FBS, fetal bovine serum. G418, geneticin. GTPyS, guanosine 5'-O-[ythio]triphosphate. hA<sub>1</sub>AR, human A<sub>1</sub> Adenosine Receptor . hA<sub>3</sub>AR, human A<sub>3</sub> Adenosine Receptor . MeCN, acetonitrile. NECA, 5'-(N-ethylcarboxamide)adenosine . PSB-11, 8-Ethyl-4methyl-2-phenyl-(8R)-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1H- imidazo[2,1-i]-purin-5-one. PET, petroleum ether

#### REFERENCES

1. Fredholm, B. B.; IJzerman, A. P.; Jacobson, K. A.; Klotz, K. N.; Linden, J. International Union of Pharmacology. XXV. Nomenclature and classification of adenosine receptors. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2001**, *53*, 527-552.

2. Ali, H.; Cunhamelo, J. R.; Saul, W. F.; Beaven, M. A. Activation of phospholipase-C via adenosine receptors provides synergistic signals for secretion in antigen-stimulated Rbl-2h3 cells - evidence for a novel adenosine receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, 265, 745-753.

3. Borea, P. A.; Varani, K.; Vincenzi, F.; Baraldi, P. G.; Tabrizi, M. A.; Merighi, S.; Gessi, S. The A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor: history and perspectives. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2015**, 67, 74-102.

Yang, H.; Avila, M. Y.; Peterson-Yantorno, K.; Coca-Prados, M.; Stone, R. A.; Jacobson,
 K. A.; Civan, M. M. The cross-species A<sub>3</sub> adenosine-receptor antagonist MRS 1292 inhibits adenosine-triggered human nonpigmented ciliary epithelial cell fluid release and reduces mouse intraocular pressure. *Curr. Eye Res.* 2005, 30, 747-754.

Brown, R. A.; Spina, D.; Page, C. P. Adenosine receptors and asthma. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2008, 153 Suppl 1, S446-456.

6. Priego, E. M.; Perez-Perez, M. J.; von Frijtag Drabbe Kuenzel, J. K.; de Vries, H.; IJzerman, A. P.; Camarasa, M. J.; Martin-Santamaria, S. Selective human adenosine A<sub>3</sub> antagonists based on pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-diones: novel features of hA<sub>3</sub> antagonist binding. *ChemMedChem* **2008**, 3, 111-119.

7. Xia, L.; Burger, W. A. C.; van Veldhoven, J. P. D.; Kuiper, B. J.; van Duijl, T. T.; Lenselink, E. B.; Paasman, E.; Heitman, L. H.; IJzerman, A.P. Structure-affinity relationships and structure-kinetics relationships of pyrido[2,1-*f*]purine-2,4-dione derivatives as human adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, 60, 7555-7568.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Priego, E. M.; Kuenzel, J. V.; IJzerman, A. P.; Camarasa, M. J.; Perez-Perez, M. J. Pvrido[2,1-f]purine-2,4-dione derivatives as a novel class of highly potent human A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor antagonists. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 3337-3344.

Weichert, D.: Gmeiner, P. Covalent molecular probes for class A G protein-coupled receptors: advances and applications. ACS Chem. Biol. 2015, 10, 1376-1386.

Murrison, E. M.; Goodson, S. J.; Edbrooke, M. R.; Harris, C. A. Cloning and characterisation of the human adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor gene. *FEBS Lett.* **1996**, 384, 243-246.

Glukhova, A.; Thal, D. M.; Nguyen, A. T.; Vecchio, E. A.; Jorg, M.; Scammells, P. J.; May, L. T.; Sexton, P. M.; Christopoulos, A. Structure of the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor reveals the basis for subtype selectivity. Cell 2017, 168, 867-877.

Li, A. H.; Chang, L.; Ji, X. D.; Melman, N.; Jacobson, K. A. Functionalized congeners of 1,4-dihydropyridines as antagonist molecular probes for A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors. *Bioconjug*.

Baraldi, P. G.; Cacciari, B.; Moro, S.; Romagnoli, R.; Ji, X. D.; Jacobson, K. A.; Gessi, S.; Borea, P. A.; Spalluto, G. Fluorosulfonyl- and bis-(beta-chloroethyl)amino-phenylamino functionalized pyrazolo[4,3-e]1,2,4-triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine derivatives: irreversible antagonists at the human A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor and molecular modeling studies. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44,

Ji, X. D.; Gallorodriguez, C.; Jacobson, K. A. A selective agonist affinity label for A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1994, 203, 570-576.

Yang, X.; Michiels, T. J. M.; de Jong, C.; Soethoudt, M.; Dekker, N.; Gordon, E.; van der Stelt, M.; Heitman, L. H.; van der Es, D.; IJzerman, A. P. An affinity-based probe for the human adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 7892-7901.

Picone, R. P.; Fournier, D. J.; Makriyannis, A. Ligand based structural studies of the CB<sub>1</sub>
 cannabinoid receptor. *J. Pept. Res.* 2002, 60, 348-356.

17. Narayanan, A.; Jones, L. H. Sulfonyl fluorides as privileged warheads in chemical biology. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, 6, 2650-2659.

Grimster, N. P.; Connelly, S.; Baranczak, A.; Dong, J. J.; Krasnova, L. B.; Sharpless, K.
 B.; Powers, E. T.; Wilson, I. A.; Kelly, J. W. Aromatic sulfonyl fluorides covalently kinetically stabilize transthyretin to prevent amyloidogenesis while affording a fluorescent conjugate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 5656-5668.

19. Muller, C. E.; Diekmann, M.; Thorand, M.; Ozola, V. [<sup>3</sup>H]8-Ethyl-4-methyl-2-phenyl-(8R)-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-1H-imidazo[2,1-*f*]-purin-5-one ([<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11), a novel high-affinity antagonist radioligand for human A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, 12, 501-503.

Weichert, D.; Kruse, A. C.; Manglik, A.; Hiller, C.; Zhang, C.; Hubner, H.; Kobilka, B.
K.; Gmeiner, P. Covalent agonists for studying G protein-coupled receptor activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2014, 111, 10744-10748.

Yang, X.; Dong, G.; Michiels, T. J. M.; Lenselink, E. B.; Heitman, L.; Louvel, J.;
IJzerman, A. P. A covalent antagonist for the human adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor. *Purinerg. Signal.*2017, 13, 191-201.

22. Motulsky, H. J.; Mahan, L. C. The kinetics of competitive radioligand binding predicted by the law of mass-action. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1984**, 25, 1-9.

23. Doornbos, M. L. J.; Wang, X.; Vermond, S. C.; Peeters, L.; Perez-Benito, L.; Trabanco, A. A.; Lavreysen, H.; Cid, J. M.; Heitman, L. H.; Tresadern, G.; IJzerman, A. P. Covalent allosteric probe for the metabotropic glutamate receptor 2: design, synthesis, and pharmacological characterization. *J. Med. Chem.* **2018**, In Press, 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00051.

[Type text]

25. van Muijlwijk-Koezen, J. E.; Timmerman, H.; van der Sluis, R. P.; van de Stolpe, A. C.; Menge, W. M. P. B.; Beukers, M. W.; van der Graaf, P. H.; de Groote, M.; IJzerman, A. P. Synthesis and use of FSCPX, an irreversible adenosine A<sub>1</sub> antagonist, as a 'receptor knock-down' tool. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, 11, 815-818.

26. Strange, P. G. Use of the GTP gamma S ([<sup>35</sup>S]GTP gamma S and Eu-GTP gamma S) binding assay for analysis of ligand potency and efficacy at G protein-coupled receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2010**, 161, 1238-1249.

27. Liu, W.; Chun, E.; Thompson, A. A.; Chubukov, P.; Xu, F.; Katritch, V.; Han, G. W.; Roth, C. B.; Heitman, L. H.; IJzerman, A. P.; Cherezov, V.; Stevens, R. C. Structural basis for allosteric regulation of GPCRs by sodium ions. *Science* **2012**, 337, 232-236.

28. Picone, R. P.; Khanolkar, A. D.; Xu, W.; Ayotte, L. A.; Thakur, G. A.; Hurst, D. P.; Abood, M. E.; Reggio, P. H.; Fournier, D. J.; Makriyannis, A. (-)-7'-Isothiocyanato-11-hydroxy-1 ',1'-dimethylheptylhexahydrocannabinol (AM841), a high-affinity electrophilic ligand, interacts covalently with a cysteine in helix six and activates the CB1 cannabinoid receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2005**, 68, 1623-1635.

29. Singh, J.; Petter, R. C.; Baillie, T. A.; Whitty, A. The resurgence of covalent drugs. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2011**, 10, 307-317.

30. Soethoudt, M.; Stolze, S. C.; Westphal, M. V.; van Stralen, L.; Martella, A.; van Rooden,
E. J.; Guba, W.; Varga, Z. V.; Deng, H.; van Kasteren, S. I.; Grether, U.; IJzerman, A. P.; Pacher,
P.; Carreira, E. M.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Ioan-Facsinay, A.; Heitman, L. H.; van der Stelt, M.

Selective photoaffinity probe that enables assessment of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor expression and ligand engagement in human cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, 140, 6067-6075.

31. Goebel, U.; Siepe, M.; Schwer, C.; Schlensak, C.; Loop, T. Sevoflurane-induced preconditioning in the isolated mouse heart is mediated by A<sub>1</sub>-and A<sub>3</sub>-adenosine receptors. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* **2010**, 27, 72-72.

32. Sherman, W.; Day, T.; Jacobson, M. P.; Friesner, R. A.; Farid, R. Novel procedure for modeling ligand/receptor induced fit effects. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, 49, 534-553.

33. Heitman, L. H.; Göblyös, A.; Zweemer, A. M.; Bakker, R.; Mulder-Krieger, T.; van Veldhoven, J. P. D.; de Vries, H.; Brussee, J.; IJzerman, A. P. A series of 2,4-disubstituted quinolines as a new class of allosteric enhancers of the adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor. *J. Med. Chem.*2009, 52, 926-931.

Smith, P. K.; Krohn, R. I.; Hermanson, G. T.; Mallia, A. K.; Gartner, F. H.; Provenzano,
M. D.; Fujimoto, E. K.; Goeke, N. M.; Olson, B. J.; Klenk, D. C. Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. *Anal. Biochem.* 1985, 150, 76-85.

35. Boussif, O.; Lezoualch, F.; Zanta, M. A.; Mergny, M. D.; Scherman, D.; Demeneix, B.; Behr, J. P. A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into cells in culture and invivo - polyethylenimine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1995,** 92, 7297-7301.

36. Gorman, C. M.; Howard, B. H.; Reeves, R. Expression of recombinant plasmids in mammalian-cells is enhanced by sodium-butyrate. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1983**, 11, 7631-7648.

37. Cheng, Y.-C.; Prusoff, W. H. Relationship between the inhibition constant ( $K_1$ ) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition ( $I_{50}$ ) of an enzymatic reaction. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1973**, 22, 3099-3108.

[Type text]

#### **Table of Contents Graphic**



Compound 17b covalently binds to hA<sub>3</sub>AR





Figure 2. (A) Displacement of [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from hA<sub>3</sub>AR at 25 °C by **17b** with and without a preincubation of 4 h. (B) Displacement of [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from hA<sub>3</sub>AR at 25 °C by **19** with and without a pre-incubation of 4 h. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three individual experiments performed in duplicate.

177x55mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3. Competition association assay of [3H]PSB-11 in the absence (control) or presence of 17b and 19 at the indicated concentration. Association and dissociation rate constants for the unlabeled ligands were calculated by fitting the data to the equations described in the experimental section ("data analysis"). Representative graphs are from one experiment performed in duplicate.

76x38mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11binding

(%)

125

100

75

50

25

0

NS

10

×

\*\*\*\*

NS

\* Vehicle

Control

🐯 4x wash



58 59

60

Figure 4.hA<sub>3</sub>AR membranes pre-incubated with buffer (vehicle) or a  $10 \times K_i$  concentration of indicated ligand, followed by no washing (Control) or four-cycle washing treatment (4x wash) before being exposed to [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three individual experiments performed in duplicate, normalized to the vehicle (set at 100%). Statistics were determined using unpaired Student's t test. NS: no significant difference, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001, significant difference between indicated groups.

×

76x42mm (300 x 300 DPI)





177x127mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6. Proposed binding mode of compound 17b (green carbon sticks) in a homology model (violet ribbons) of hA3AR. The hA3AR homology model was based on the high resolution antagonist-bound crystal structure of the adenosine A2A receptor (PDB: 4EIY 27). Atoms color code: red = oxygen, blue = nitrogen, white = hydrogen, yellow = sulfur, cyan = fluorine. Hydrogen bonds between ligand and receptor are indicated by yellow dashed lines. Residue Y2657.36 is in the proximity of the fluorosulfonyl warhead.

84x58mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 7. (A, B) Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11 binding from transiently transfected hA<sub>3</sub>AR-WT and hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> at 25°C by compound **17b** (A) and **19** (B) during an incubation of 2 h. (C) hA<sub>3</sub>AR-Y265F<sup>7.36</sup> cell membranes were pretreated with buffer (vehicle) or 10 × IC<sub>50</sub> of compound **17b** for 2 h followed by no washing (Control) or four-cycle washing treatment (4x wash) before exposed to [<sup>3</sup>H]PSB-11. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three individual experiments performed in duplicate, normalized to the vehicle (set at 100%). NS: no significant difference between groups; \*\*\*Significant difference between groups (P<0.001); Student's t-test

177x137mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Scheme 2. Synthetic route towards the bromoalkyl fluorosulfonylbenzoates 13a-c and 14a-c.



Scheme 3. Synthetic route towards the amide-linker antagonists 17a-c, 18a-c and 19