

Enzymatic Resolution of α -Methyleneparaconic Acids and Evaluation of their Biological Activity

KUHELI CHAKRABARTY,¹ IVANA DEFRENZA,² NUNZIO DENORA,² SARA DRIOLI,³ CRISTINA FORZATO,³ MASSIMO FRANCO,² GIOVANNI LENTINI,² PATRIZIA NITTI,^{3*} AND GIULIANA PITACCO³

¹Department of Chemistry, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

²Dipartimento di Farmacia – Scienze del Farmaco, Università degli Studi di Bari 'Aldo Moro', Bari, Italy

³Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche e Farmaceutiche, Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

ABSTRACT Both enantiomers of three biologically relevant paraconic acids—MB-3, methylenolactocin, and C75—were obtained with enantioselectivities up to 99% by kinetic enzymatic resolutions. Good enantiomeric excesses were obtained for MB-3 and methylenolactocin, using α -chymotrypsin and aminoacylase as enantiocomplementary enzymes, while C75 was resolved with aminoacylase. They all were evaluated for their antiproliferative, antibacterial, and antifungal activities, showing weak effects and practically no difference between enantiomers in each case. At high concentrations (16–64 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), (–)- C75 acted as an antimicrobial agent against Gram-positive bacteria. *Chirality* 27:239–246, 2015. © 2015 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

KEY WORDS: α -methylene- γ -butyrolactones; MB-3, methylenolactocin; C75

INTRODUCTION

The α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone moiety is part of a variety of natural compounds, most of which belong to the sesquiterpene series and are associated with a wide spectrum of biological activities both in vitro and in vivo.¹ γ -Butyrolactones containing a β -carboxy group are classified as "paraconic acids." They are generally lichen products and many of them were isolated and characterized as antibiotic, antiviral, antifungal, and antitumor agents.^{2–4}

A few structures of known α -methyleneparaconic acids are reported in Figure 1, which also shows the correct configuration for the enantiomer which is dextrorotatory in chloroform. It must be underlined that the active diastereomer is that having the substituents in *trans* relationship, namely 2*R**,3*S**. Compound (+)-**1a** (indicated as MB-3 by Sigma-Aldrich in the racemic form) is a toxin known to be responsible for the black spots observed on fruit peel⁵ and for its activity as inhibitor of acetyltransferase Gcn5 of human histone.^{6,7} Methylenolactocin (–)-**1b**, found in the culture filtrate of *Penicillium sp.*,⁸ is active against some Gram-positive bacteria and Ehrlich carcinoma.⁹ Compound C75 **1c**, although not natural, is a fatty acid synthase (FAS) inhibitor,^{10–15} which also shows antitumor,^{16–23} antiviral,^{24,25} and antiinflammatory activity²⁶ and it is active against mycobacteria of the tuberculosis complex.²⁷ Nephrosterinic acid (+)-**1d**, isolated from the lichen *Nephromopsis endocrocea*,²⁸ showed antibacterial²⁹ and antitumor³⁰ properties. Protolichesterinic acid (+)-**1e**, isolated from various sources of lichens,^{31–37} exhibits in vitro and in vivo antiinflammatory activity,³⁸ in vitro antibacterial activity against *Helicobacter pylori*,³⁹ inhibited 5-lipoxygenase,⁴⁰ and it also showed in vitro antiproliferative effects on malignant cell lines.^{41–43}

The cytotoxic and antitumor activity of these α -methyleneparaconic acid derivatives is generally attributed to the interaction between the external double bond and nucleophilic sites of biologically important molecules.^{44–46} This mechanism was proposed for both molecules exhibiting cytotoxic activity and those showing allergenic properties.

These findings stimulated interest in this class of compounds and new syntheses of a variety of racemic and enantiopure paraconic acids are reported.^{47–56}

As a part of our continuing study on enzymatic resolutions of esters of α -methyleneparaconic acids,⁵⁷ we have taken into account compounds **1a–d** (Fig. 1) with a twofold purpose: to achieve their resolution by means of enzymatic methods and to evaluate the biological activity of both enantiomers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet AVATAR 320 FT/IR spectrophotometer. ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra were run on a Jeol (Tokyo, Japan) EX-400 spectrometer (400 MHz for proton, and 100 MHz for carbon), and on a Jeol EX-270 spectrometer (270 MHz for proton, 68 MHz for carbon) using deuteriochloroform as a solvent and tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Coupling constants are given in Hz. Optical rotations at 589 nm were determined on a Perkin-Elmer (Boston, MA) Model 241 polarimeter; optical rotatory power values are given in 10^{–1} deg cm² g^{–1}. CD spectra were obtained on Jasco (Tokyo, Japan) J-710 spectropolarimeter (0.1 cm pathlength cell); $\Delta\epsilon$ values are given in L cm^{–1} mol^{–1}. Capillary gas chromatographic measurements were performed on a Carlo Erba (Milan, Italy) GC 8000 instrument and on a Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) GC-14B instrument, equipped with a flame ionization detector, the capillary columns being OV 1701 (25 m x 0.32 mm) (carrier gas He, 40 KPa, split 1:50) and a Chiraldex type G-TA, trifluoroacetyl γ -cyclodextrin (γ -CDX) (40 m x 0.25 mm) (carrier gas He, 180 KPa, split 1:100, isotherm 150 °C) or DiMePe β -cyclodextrin (25 m x 0.25 mm) (β -CDX) (carrier gas He, 110 KPa, split 1:50, isotherm 150 °C). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) measurements were performed on a Hewlett Packard (Corvallis, OR) series 1100 instrument, the column being Lux 5 μ Cellulose-2 Phenomenex, detector UV 220 nm, a mixture of hexane-isopropanol in a 9:1 ratio as the eluent, flow 1 ml/min. Melting points

*Correspondence to: Patrizia Nitti, Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche e Farmaceutiche, Università di Trieste, via Licio Giorgieri 1, I-34127 Trieste, Italy. E-mail: pnitti@units.it

Received for publication 13 August 2014; Accepted 18 November 2014

DOI: 10.1002/chir.22419

Published online 9 January 2015 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).




Fig. 1. Examples of α -methyleneparaconic acids.

were measured with a Büchi apparatus and were not corrected. Enzymatic hydrolyses were performed using a pH-stat Controller PHM290 Radiometer (Copenhagen, Denmark). Mass spectra were recorded on an ion trap instrument Finningan (San Jose, CA) GCQ (70 eV) and on an ESI-MS ion trap Bruker (Karlsruhe, Germany) Esquire 4000 instrument. Thin-layer chromatographies (TLC's) were performed on Polygram Sil G/UV₂₅₄ silica gel precoated plastic sheets (eluent: light petroleum-ethyl acetate). Flash chromatography was run on silica gel 230–400 mesh ASTM (Kieselgel 60, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Light petroleum refers to the fraction with b.p. 40–70 °C and ether to diethyl ether. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) was prepared by distillation over sodium benzophenone ketyl. The following enzymes were used in enzymatic hydrolyses of **5a–c**: Acylase I from *Aspergillus* immobilized on Eupergit C (Fluka), 102 U/g; 44 U/mmol substrate in 1 mM CoCl₂; Aminoacylase from *Aspergillus melleus* (Amano Acylase from Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), >30,000 U/g; 1:1 w/w; Acylase I porcine kidney (Sigma), 3442 U/mg; 78019 U/mmol; α -Chymotrypsin (α -CT) from bovine pancreas (Fluka), 90 U/mg; 2400 U/mmol; Lipase from *Candida antarctica* “Novozym 435” (Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark), $\geq 10,000$ U/g; 1:1 w/w; Pig pancreatic lipase (PPL type II, Sigma, crude), 46 U/mg of protein 6133 U/mmol; Esterase from hog liver (PLE, Fluka), 250 U/mg; 5000 U/mmol; Liver acetone powder equine (HLAP, Sigma), 1:1 w/w; Porcine liver acetone powder (PLAP, Sigma), 27 U/mg; 6230 U/mmol substrate; SPRIN Lipo CALB, adsorbed immobilized preparation of CALB on polystyrene DVB cross-linked (300–800 μm), >2000 U/g dry; 1:1 w/w; Lipase from *Pseudomonas cepacea* immobilized by SPRIN: 1:1 w/w; Lipase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Fluka), 42.5 U/mg; 1:1 w/w; Protease from *Bacillus subtilis*, 11.6 U/mg; 1:1 w/w.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Racemic Substrates

To a solution of 17.35 ml of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS) (1.0 M in THF), cooled to -78 °C, 1.0 g (6.94 mmol) of methyl hemi-ester of itaconic acid in anhydrous THF (10.0 ml) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h. The suitable aldehyde (9.7 mmol) in 1.0 ml of anhydrous THF was then added and the mixture was stirred for a further 5 h at -78 °C under argon atmosphere. The reaction was quenched with 6 N H₂SO₄ (5 ml). It was then extracted with diethyl ether and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed and the residue was added with a solution of 250.0 μl of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dichloromethane (10.0 ml) and stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed and traces of TFA were removed by coevaporation with diethyl ether (three times). The residue was a mixture of diastereomeric lactones which were separated by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate-light petroleum, gradient from 2% up to 10%). Before charging the column with the crude reaction mixture, the column was eluted with 1% ethyl acetate in light petroleum with 1 ml of acetic acid added to avoid isomerization of the α -methylene- γ -lactones into their α,β -butenolide isomers.

Methyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-propyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5a⁵⁸. Oil, 18% yield, after purification; IR (neat): ν = 1769, 1742, 1663 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 6.42 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H; =CH), 5.93 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H; =CH), 4.82 (dt, $J_1 = J_2 = 5.6$ Hz, $J_3 = 7.5$ Hz, 1H; H2), 3.80 (s, 3H; OCH₃), 3.58 (dt, $J_1 = J_2 = 2.8$ Hz, $J_3 = 5.7$ Hz, 1H; H3), 1.80–1.63 (m, 2H; CH₂), 1.60–1.40 (m, 2H, CH₂), 0.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H; CH₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 169.7 (s), 168.3 (s), 133.0 (s), 125.15 (t), 78.8 (d), 52.9 (q), 49.8 (d), 37.8 (t), 18.1 (t), 13.6 (q); MS (ESI, m/z): 221 (100) [M + Na]⁺.

Chiral HRGC: β -CDX: retention time [t_R] = 11.7 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-**5a**, t_R = 12.1 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-**5a**. Chiral HRGC, γ -CDX: t_R = 17.3 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-**5a**, t_R = 18.1 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-**5a**.

Methyl (2R*,3R*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-propyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 6a⁵⁸. Oil, 23% yield, after purification; IR (film): ν = 1770, 1740, 1667 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 6.42 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H; =CH), 5.83 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H; =CH), 4.64 (m, 1H; H2), 4.00 (dt, $J_1 = J_2 = 2.2$ Hz, $J_3 = 7.7$ Hz, 1H; H3), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.7–1.5 (m, 3H), 1.45 (m, 1H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H; CH₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 169.5 (s), 169.0 (s), 133.6 (s), 125.0 (t), 77.9 (d), 52.4 (q), 49.1 (d), 33.5 (t), 18.9 (t), 13.7 (q); MS (ESI, m/z): 221 (100) [M + Na]⁺.

Methyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-pentyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5b. Yellow oil, 22% yield, after purification. All spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported in the literature.^{58–61} Chiral HRGC, β -CDX: t_R = 28.9 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-**5b**, t_R = 30.2 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-**5b**. Chiral HRGC, γ -CDX: t_R = 34.9 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-**5b**, t_R = 37.0 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-**5b**.

Methyl (2R*,3R*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-pentyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 6b. Yellow oil, 32% yield, after purification. Spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported in the literature.^{58–60}

Methyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-octyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5c. All spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported in the literature.⁵⁷ Chiral HRGC, β -CDX: t_R = 122.5 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-**5c**, t_R = 126.9 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-**5c**. Chiral HRGC, γ -CDX: t_R = 155.6 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-**5c**, t_R = 164.5 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-**5c**.

Methyl (2R*,3R*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-octyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 6c. All spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported in the literature.⁵⁷

Methyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-undecyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5d. Yellow solid, 23% yield, after purification, mp 38–39 °C (lit.⁶¹ mp 38 °C). The other spectroscopic data are also in accordance with those reported in the literature.⁶¹ Chiral HPLC: t_R = 10.0, 10.7 min for (\pm)-**5d**.

Methyl (2R*,3R*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-undecyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 6d. Yellow solid, 33% yield, after purification, mp 43–44 °C; IR (neat): ν = 1760, 1732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 6.41 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H; =CH₂), 5.83 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H; =CH₂), 4.60–4.64 (m, 1H; H2), 4.01 (dt, $J_1 = 2.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.6$ Hz, 1H; H3), 3.76 (s, 3H; OCH₃), 1.53–1.63 (m, 2H; CH₂), 1.21–1.39 (m, 18H; 9 CH₂), 0.88 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H; CH₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 169.3 (s), 168.8 (s), 133.6 (s, C4), 125.0 (t, =CH₂), 78.2 (d, C2), 52.3 (q, OCH₃), 49.1 (d, C3), 31.9 (t), 31.5 (t), 29.6 (2t), 29.4 (t), 29.34 (t), 29.30 (t), 29.2 (t), 25.5 (t), 22.6 (t), 14.1 (q). EIMS (m/z (%)): 310 (24) [M⁺], 251 (100) [M⁺ - CO₂CH₃]⁺, 233 (46), 205 (36), 187 (49), 179 (39), 165 (35), 155 (35), 149 (42), 137 (39), 121 (44), 119 (45), 109 (36), 95 (45), 93 (45), 79 (52), 67 (56).

Enzymatic Hydrolyses: General Procedure for Small-Scale Enzymatic Hydrolyses

Three slightly different procedures were used owing to the different solubility of the substrates in diethyl ether. For compounds **5a** and **5b**, the enzyme was added to the substrates (0.15 mmol) in phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 (10 ml), the mixture was stirred for the time indicated in Table 1, while maintaining the pH value constant by addition of 1 M NaOH, and eventually extracted with ether. From the ethereal solution the unreacted ester **5a** (or **5b**) was recovered after evaporation of the solvent, while the acid **1a** (or **1b**) was isolated from the remaining buffer solution, by acidification with 2 M HCl to pH 2 and extraction with ether. In order to measure the enantiomeric excess of the acids by chiral HRGC, they were esterified with ethanol (2 ml) and Me₃SiCl⁶² (20 μl) to give the corresponding derivatives **5'a** and **5'b**.

TABLE 1. Enzymatic hydrolyses of esters 5a–c

Entry	Enzyme	Hydrolysis of 5a				Hydrolysis of 5b				Hydrolysis of 5c				
		Conv. ^b (%)	Product (ee, ^c %)	Ester (ee, ^d %)	E ^e	Time	Conv. ^b (%)	Acid (ee, ^c %)	Ester (ee, ^d %)	E ^e	Time	Conv. ^b (%)	Acid (ee, ^c %)	Ester (ee, ^d %)
1	Acylase I on Eupergit C	27	(+)-1a (80)	(-)-5a (29)	11	50 min	10	(+)-1b (84)	(-)-5b (9)	12	18	(+)-1c (96)	(-)-5c (21)	60
2	Aminoacylase	23	(+)-1a (79)	(-)-5a (23)	10	30 min	30	(+)-1b (76)	(-)-5b (32)	10	17	(+)-1c (90)	(-)-5c (18)	22
3	Aminoacylase Buffer/IPE ^f	25	(+)-1a (79)	(-)-5a (27)	11	6 h 4d	10	(+)-1b (87)	(-)-5b (10)	15	19	(+)-1c (95)	(-)-5c (22)	48
4	Acylase I porcine kidney	13	(+)-1a (13)	(-)-5a (2)	1.3	2.6 h	58	(+)-1b (70)	(-)-5b (96)	21	0	-	-	-
5	α -CT	34	(-)-1a (74)	(+)-5a (38)	10	22 min	22	(-)-1b (80)	(+)-5b (22)	11	0	-	-	-
6	Novozym 435	6	(-)-1a (15)	(+)-5a (1)	1.4	3 h	19	(-)-1b (69)	(+)-5b (17)	6	6	(-)-1c (65)	(+)-5c (4)	5
7	PPL	25	(-)-1a (49)	(+)-5a (16)	3	1.5 h	7	(-)-1b (40)	(+)-5b (3)	2	0	-	-	-
8	PLE or H LAP	100	-	-	-	2 h	100	-	-	-	100	-	-	-

^aThese results partially appeared in Ref. 57. ^bCalculated values Ref. 74. ^cDetermined by chiral HRGC of its ethyl ester. ^dDetermined by chiral HRGC. ^eRef. 73. ^fSubstrate, 30 mg; aminoacylase, 30 mg; phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 5 ml; diisopropyl ether (IPE), 5 ml.

Ethyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-propyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5'a. HRGC, β -CDX: t_R =14.4 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-5'a, t_R =14.8 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-5'a. HRGC, γ -CDX: t_R =19.9 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-5'a, t_R =20.4 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-5'a.

Ethyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-pentyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5'b. HRGC, β -CDX: t_R =36.3 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-5'b, t_R =37.5 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-5'b. HRGC, γ -CDX: t_R =44.1 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-5'b, t_R =47.6 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-5'b.

As for compound 5c, at the end of the procedure described above for 5a and 5b, the unreacted ester could not be separated from its hydrolysis product 1c since both were soluble in ether. Therefore, compound 1c was separated from its ester 5c treating the ethereal phase with 5% aqueous solution of NaHCO₃. The organic phase containing the ester was dried on anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and, after elimination of the solvent under vacuum, the unreacted ester 5c was obtained. The basic aqueous phase was acidified with 2 M HCl to pH 2, extracted with ether and dried on anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent afforded the acid 1c which was esterified with ethanol and Me₃SiCl⁶² to give 5'c, in order to measure its enantiomeric excess (ee) by chiral HRGC.

Ethyl (2R*,3S*)-4-methylene-5-oxo-2-octyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 5'c. HRGC, β -CDX: t_R =153.0 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-5'c, t_R =159.0 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-5'c. HRGC, γ -CDX: t_R =183.6 min for (+)-(2R,3S)-5'c, t_R =201.7 min for (-)-(2S,3R)-5'c.

Similarly, compound 1d was recovered in admixture with its ester 5d but it could not be separated from it following the procedure used for 1c and 5c, and therefore the two compounds were separated by flash chromatography (eluent: gradient from 7.5% ethyl acetate, 92% light petroleum, 0.5% acetic acid up to 35.5% ethyl acetate, 64% light petroleum, 0.5% acetic acid). The ee's of 1d and 5d were determined by chiral HPLC. During HPLC elution both compounds largely isomerized into their α,β -butenolide isomers.

Large-Scale Enzymatic Hydrolyses

Hydrolysis of 5a with α -CT. To an emulsion of 5a (565 mg, 2.85 mmol) in phosphate buffer (40 ml) α -CT (74 mg) was added under vigorous stirring. After 10 min, following the above-described procedure, the unreacted ester (+)-5a with 11% ee was isolated (390 mg, 69% yield), together with (-)-1a with 74% ee (76 mg, 14% yield). (-)-(2S,3R)-1a: white solid, mp 77–80 °C (Lit.⁵ mp 77–80 °C); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -2.9 (c =0.25 in = -2.9 (c =0.25 in chloroform); CD: $\Delta\epsilon_{263}$ = -0.2, $\Delta\epsilon_{225}$ = +6.1 (MeOH).

The unreacted ester (+)-5a (390 mg, 1.97 mmol) having 11% ee was hydrolyzed in phosphate buffer (36 ml) with α -CT (51 mg) for 73 min. After the usual workup, (+)-5a with 68% ee was isolated in admixture with 33% of its α,β -butenolide isomer (144 mg, 37% yield), together with (-)-1a with 39% ee (163 mg, 45% yield).

(+)-(2R,3S)-5a: $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +15.1 (c =0.24 in methanol) in admixture with 33% of its α,β -butenolide isomer.

Hydrolysis of 5a with Aminoacylase. The unreacted ester (+)-5a (144 mg with 33% of its α,β -butenolide isomer) having 68% ee was hydrolyzed with aminoacylase (130 mg) in phosphate buffer (30 ml) for 95 min. After the usual workup, a mixture of 22% of (-)-5a with 26% ee and 78% of its α,β -butenolide isomer with 30% ee was isolated in 55% yield, together with (+)-1a with 81% ee (49 mg, 37% yield).

(+)-(2R,3S)-1a: white solid, mp 69–73 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +3.7 (c =0.35 in chloroform); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = -5.3 (c =0.15 in methanol) {Lit.⁵ mp 77–80 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +18.2 (c =0.22 in chloroform for the (2R,3S) enantiomer); CD: $\Delta\epsilon_{267}$ = +0.1, $\Delta\epsilon_{225}$ = -4.8 (MeOH).

All the other spectroscopic data are in accordance with those found in the literature.^{5,6}

Hydrolysis of 5b with α -CT. To an emulsion of 5b (370 mg, 1.64 mmol) in phosphate buffer (90 ml), α -CT (36 mg) was added under vigorous stirring. After 7 h, following the above-described procedure, the unreacted ester (+)-5b with 79% ee was isolated (143 mg, 39% yield) together with (-)-methyleneolactocin 1b with 73% ee (63 mg, 18% yield).

After recrystallization from ethyl acetate-light petroleum, the *ee* of (–)-**1b** increased to 98%. (–)-(2*S*,3*R*)-**1b**: white solid, mp 81–83 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -7.5$ ($c = 0.71$ in methanol); [Lit.⁹ mp 82.5–83.5 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{26} = -2.37$ ($c = 3.00$ in methanol); Lit.⁴⁸ mp 81–83 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -7.0$ ($c = 0.12$ in methanol); Lit.⁵⁰ mp 82–84 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{24} = -17.13$ ($c = 1.96$ in chloroform); Lit.⁵¹ $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23} = -6.46$ ($c = 0.5$ in methanol); Lit.⁵² $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -10$ ($c = 0.5$ in methanol); Lit.⁵³ mp 83–84 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -2.23$ ($c = 1.56$ in methanol); Lit.⁶³ mp 82–83 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -12.4$ ($c = 0.5$ in methanol); Lit.⁵⁹ mp 82.5 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{32} = -18.8$ ($c = 0.31$ in chloroform); Lit.⁶⁴ mp 82–83 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{32} = -8.5$ ($c = 0.31$ in methanol); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{29} = -11.6$ ($c = 0.31$ in chloroform); Lit.⁶⁵ $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -6.77$ ($c = 0.52$ in methanol); Lit.⁶⁶ mp 82–84 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{26} = -6.7$ ($c = 0.5$ in methanol)]; CD: $\Delta\epsilon_{261} = -0.27$, $\Delta\epsilon_{224} = +11.1$ (MeOH).

Hydrolysis of 5b with Aminoacylase. The unreacted ester (+)-**5b** (143 mg, 0.63 mmol) recovered from the previous reaction and having 79% *ee* was hydrolyzed with aminoacylase (143 mg) in phosphate buffer (20 ml) for 30 min. After the usual workup, (+)-methyleneolactocin **1b** with 99% *ee* was isolated (20 mg, 15% yield).

(+)-(2*R*,3*S*)-**1b**: white solid, mp 80–81 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +6.8$ ($c = 0.28$ in methanol) [Lit.⁵¹ $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{23} = +6.5$ ($c = 1.5$ in methanol), Lit.⁵³ mp 82 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +2.25$ ($c = 1.46$ in methanol), Lit.⁶⁴ mp 82–83 °C $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{32} = +7.4$ ($c = 0.33$ in methanol), $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{30} = +16.6$ ($c = 0.33$ in chloroform)]; CD: $\Delta\epsilon_{262} = +0.16$, $\Delta\epsilon_{224} = -8.62$ (MeOH).

Hydrolysis of 5b with Aminoacylase IPE. To a solution of **5b** (40 mg, 0.18 mmol) in isopropyl ether (2 ml), aminoacylase (40 mg) in phosphate buffer (2 ml) was added under vigorous stirring. After 4 days, following the above-described procedure, the unreacted ester (–)-**5b** with 96% *ee* was isolated (10 mg, 24% yield) together with (+)-methyleneolactocin **1b** with 70% *ee* (5 mg, 12% yield).

(–)-(2*S*,3*R*)-**5b**: oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -4.7$ ($c = 0.42$ in methanol) [Lit.⁶³ $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -5.3$ ($c = 0.956$ in chloroform)]; CD: $\Delta\epsilon_{258} = -0.27$, $\Delta\epsilon_{223} = +7.98$ (MeOH).

All spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported in the literature.⁶³

Hydrolysis of 5c with Aminoacylase⁵⁷. To an emulsion of **5c** (362 mg, 1.35 mmol) in phosphate buffer (61.2 ml) and acetone (2 ml) aminoacylase (242 mg) was added under vigorous stirring. After 4 h 30 min, following the above-described procedure, the unreacted ester (–)-**5c** with 32% *ee* was isolated (159 mg, 44% yield), together with (+)-C75 **1c** with 91% *ee* (38 mg, 11% yield). After recrystallization from light petroleum the *ee* of (+)-**1c** increased to 98%.

(+)-(2*R*,3*S*)-**1c**: white solid, mp 88–90 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +8.4$ ($c = 0.15$ in methanol), [Lit.¹⁰ mp 88–89 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +9.5$ ($c = 0.15$ in methanol), $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +11.4$ ($c = 1.0$ in chloroform)]; CD: $\Delta\epsilon_{258} = +0.26$, $\Delta\epsilon_{225} = -10.58$ (MeOH).

The unreacted ester (–)-**5c** (158 mg, 0.59 mmol) having 32% *ee* was hydrolyzed with aminoacylase (158 mg), in phosphate buffer (26.5 ml) and acetone (4 ml) added for 24 h. After the usual workup, (–)-**5c** with 94% *ee* was isolated (87 mg, 55% yield), together with (+)-C75 **1c** with 89% *ee* (12 mg, 8% yield).

Hydrolysis of 5c with HLAP. To a suspension of (–)-**5c** (86 mg, 0.32 mmol) having 94% *ee* in phosphate buffer (27.3 ml) and acetone (2 ml) HLAP (86 mg) was added under vigorous stirring. After 22 h, following the above-described procedure, (–)-C75 **1c** with 94% *ee* was isolated (24 mg, 29% yield). After recrystallization from light petroleum, the *ee* of (–)-**1c** increased to 99%.

(–)-(2*S*,3*R*)-**1c**: white solid, mp 88–90 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -9.5$ ($c = 0.49$ in methanol) [Lit.¹⁰ mp 88–89 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -11.4$ ($c = 1.0$ in chloroform)].

All spectroscopic data are in accordance with those reported in the literature.^{10,14,57,67}

Hydrolysis of 5d with PLAP. To a solution of **5d** (82 mg, 0.26 mmol) in phosphate buffer (8 ml) and acetone (2 ml) PLAP (61 mg) was added under vigorous stirring. After 75 min, following the above-described procedure, the unreacted ester **5d** with 8% *ee* was isolated (22 mg, 27% yield), together with nephrosterinic acid **1d**^{47,49,61,64} with 11% *ee* (4 mg, 5% yield). Chiral HPLC: $t_{\text{R}} = 7.7, 8.8$ min for (±)-**1d**.

Chirality DOI 10.1002/chir

Biological Assays

Cytotoxicity assays. Cytotoxicity assays were carried out against human MCF-7 breast carcinoma and rat C6 glioma cells. MCF-7 cell lines were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Lonza) nutrient supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. The percentage of DMSO, the organic solvent in which the tested compounds were dissolved, never exceeded 1% (v/v) in the samples. In preliminary experiments, we verified that this amount did not affect cell viability. Cytotoxicity of the tested compounds is expressed as IC₅₀ values—the concentrations that cause 50% growth inhibition. A compound was considered inactive when its IC₅₀ value was >100 µM. The results were determined using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Cells were dispensed into 96-well microtiter plates at a density of 5000 cells/well. Following overnight incubation, cells were treated with a range of compound concentrations (0.5–100 µM). Then the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 72 h. An amount of 10 µL of 0.5% w/v MTT was further added to each well and the plates were incubated for an additional 4 h at 37 °C. Finally, the cells were lysed by addition of 100 µL of 1:1 v/v DMSO:EtOH solution. The absorbance at 570 nm was determined using a Perkin-Elmer 2030 multilabel reader Victor TM X3.

Antimicrobial Studies

Antibacterial studies. The in vitro minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs, µg/mL) were assessed by the broth microdilution method, using 96-well plates, according to CLSI guidelines.^{68,69} Stock solutions of the tested compounds were obtained in DMSO. Then twofold serial dilutions in the suitable test medium between 512 and 0.03 µg/mL were plated. To be sure that the solvent had no adverse effect on bacterial growth, a control test was carried out using DMSO at its maximum concentration along with the medium. Bacteria strains available as freeze-dried discs, belonging to the ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA), were used: *S. aureus* 29213, *E. faecalis* 29212 as the Gram-positive strains, and *E. coli* 25922 as the Gram-negative one. To preserve the purity of cultures and to allow reproducibility, a series of cryovials of all microbial strains in glycerolic medium were set up and stored at –80 °C. Precultures of each bacterial strain were prepared in Cation Adjusted Mueller–Hinton broth (CAMHB) and incubated at 37 °C until the growth ceased. The turbidity of bacterial cell suspension was calibrated to 0.5 McFarland Standard by the spectrophotometric method (625 nm, range 0.08–0.10), and further the standardized suspension was diluted 1:100 with CAMHB to have 1–2 × 10⁶ CFU/mL. All wells were seeded with 100 µL of inoculum. A number of wells containing only inoculated broth as control growth were prepared. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, and the MIC values were recorded as the last well containing no bacterial growth. Each assay was repeated twice in triplicate. Norfloxacin was used as the reference drug. A compound was considered inactive when its MIC was >64 µg/mL.

Antifungal Studies

Antifungal studies^{68,70} were carried out against *C. albicans* 10231, *C. parapsilosis* 22019, *C. tropicalis* 750, *C. krusei* 6258, belonging to the ATCC collection. Preparation of stock solutions and purity of cultures preservation were obtained as above described for antibacterial studies. Precultures of each yeast strain were prepared in Sabouraud broth 2% glucose (SAB), and incubated at 37 °C until the growth ceased. The turbidity of yeast stock suspension was calibrated to 0.5 McFarland Standard by the spectrophotometric method (530 nm, range 0.12–0.15), and further the standardized suspension was diluted first 1:50 with SAB and then 1:20 in the same medium to have 1–5 × 10⁶ CFU/mL. All wells were seeded with 100 µL of inoculum. A number of wells containing only inoculated broth as control growth were prepared. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 h, and the MIC values were recorded as the last well containing no fungal growth. Each assay was repeated twice in triplicate. Fluconazole was used as the reference drug. A compound was considered inactive when its MIC was >64 µg/mL.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Racemic Substrates

The methyl esters of the target compounds **1a–d** to be subjected to enzymatic kinetic resolutions, namely **5a–d**, were synthesized using a slightly modified version of the procedure reported by Carlson and Oyler⁴⁷ (Scheme 1). Methyl hemi-ester of itaconic acid **2**,⁷¹ prepared by nucleophilic ring fission of itaconic anhydride, was converted into its lithium enolate and reacted with the suitable aldehyde (RCHO = butanal, hexanal, nonanal, and dodecanal). Acidification of the resulting reaction mixture carried out at -78°C gave the corresponding *anti* and *syn* hydroxy hemi-esters **3a–d** and **4a–d** in the ratio of 2:3, identified only by ^1H NMR analysis. Their lactonization, carried out with TFA in CH_2Cl_2 , furnished the corresponding ($2R^*,3S^*$) and ($2R^*,3R^*$) lactonic esters **5a–d** and **6a–d**, respectively, in about 50% total yield. Compounds **5a–d** were separated from **6a–d** by flash chromatography using as stationary phase a silica gel pretreated with acetic acid to avoid the double bond isomerization.

Attempts were made to isomerise compounds **6a–d** into their respective diastereomers **5a–d**; however, unsuccessfully. The first strategy involved protection of the double bond via a Michael addition of a thiol (ethanethiol and dodecanethiol),⁷² equilibration, and deprotection via a retro-Michael reaction. This reaction sequence was attempted on **6d**, but in the first step, conversion into its α,β -butenolide isomer occurred, probably because of the slightly basic conditions required by the procedure. Following a second strategy proposed in the literature for the transformation of **6b**,^{58,59,63} compound **6a** was treated with 6 M HCl in butanone under reflux for 2 h, leading to a complex inseparable mixture of compounds.

Enzymatic Hydrolyses of Lactonic Esters 5a–d

Prior to enzymatic hydrolysis, it was important to determine whether the lactonic esters **5a–d** underwent chemical hydrolysis under the conditions used. This was tested on compound **5b**. On standing in phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 for 3.5 h, **5b** was recovered unchanged, except for the presence of less than 5% of its α,β -butenolide isomer. Incidentally, under the same conditions, the diastereomer **6b** underwent more important modifications not including hydrolysis.

Enzymatic hydrolyses were carried out using a series of commercially available enzymes, namely, Acylase I on Eupergit, Aminoacylase, Acylase I from porcine kidney, α -chymotrypsin (α -CT), *Candida antarctica* lipase (Novozym 435), Porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL), Esterase from hog liver (PLE), and Liver acetone powder equine (HLAP). A preliminary evaluation of enzyme selectivity was performed on 30–50 mg of substrates **5a–d** in 10 mL of phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. The results obtained for compounds **5a–c** are listed in Table 1. Compound **5d** is not included in the table because it was hydrolyzed only by porcine liver acetone powder (PLAP, 20% conversion, 2 h, $E^{73} = 1.3$); however, with negligible enantioselection, whereas the other enzymes checked, namely, Acylase I on Eupergit C, Aminoacylase, α -CT, Lipase from *Candida antarctica* (CAL-B), Lipase from *Candida antarctica* (Novozym 435), PLE, Lipase from *Pseudomonas cepacea*, Lipase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, Proteases from *Bacillus subtilis* and PPL, were ineffective.


As it is evident from the E values listed in Table 1 for the various hydrolyses, enantioselectivity was good only for **5c**. In fact, at low conversion values the corresponding acid **1c**

was isolated with ee 's ranging from 90 to 96% using acylases from *Aspergillus* sp.⁵⁷ (Entries 1–3). Novozym 435 was enantiocomplementary to the previous enzymes, although with poor enantioselectivity (Entry 6), and Acylase I from porcine kidney, α -CT and PPL were ineffective. The best enzymes for lactones **5a** and **5b** were acylases from *Aspergillus* sp. and α -CT (Entries 1–3, 5), although with less enantioselectivity, as indicated by the ee 's of their respective acids **1a** and **1b** that ranged from 70% to 87%. Interestingly, however, acylases and α -CT were enantiocomplementary. On the contrary, Acylase I from porcine kidney, Novozym 435 and PPL were found poorly enantioselective (Entries 4, 6, 7). As is evident from Table 1 (Entry 8), PLE and HLAP were able to hydrolyze all substrates; however, with no enantioselectivity, and therefore they were used as alternatives to chemical hydrolysis⁶³ of enantiopure methyl α -methyleneparaconates. Attempts to increase the enantioselectivity of these hydrolyses by adding acetone or ethanol as cosolvents were unsuccessful, while addition of isopropyl ether resulted in a slight increase of the E values (Entry 3).


In scaling up the enzymatic hydrolyses, both enantiomers of **1a** and **1b** were obtained from the corresponding methyl esters **5a** and **5b** using α -CT and aminoacylase, which were enantiocomplementary. However, as their efficiencies were not high, as demonstrated by their low E values, a particular procedure was followed to take advantage of both enzymes. For compound **5b**, in a first run, performed with α -CT, the hydrolysis reaction was stopped at about 50% conversion. The acid (–)-**1b** was isolated with 73% ee in 18% yield and the unreacted ester (+)-**5b** was isolated with 79% ee in 39% yield. To improve the optical yield of both compounds, the acid (–)-**1b** was fractionally crystallized, thus increasing its ee to 98%, while the ester (+)-**5b** was subjected to a further resolution with aminoacylase that allowed the isolation of (+)-**1b** with 99% ee (Scheme 2). However, since optical yield optimization was detrimental to chemical yield, the biological assays were performed with (+)-**1b** and (–)-**1b** having 92 and 93% ee , respectively.

The strategy adopted for obtaining (+)-**1b** and (–)-**1b** in pure enantiomeric forms was not as satisfactory when applied to **1a**, as fractional crystallization of enantioenriched acids did not improve their respective ee 's significantly; (+)- and (–)-**1a** (optical rotations determined for chloroform solutions) were obtained with 81% and 74% ee , respectively.

As to the lactonic acid **1c**, both enantiomers were obtained with excellent ee [(+)-**1c**, 98% ee , (–)-**1c**, 99% ee], as reported in the literature.⁵⁷



Scheme 1. Synthesis of racemic substrates.



Scheme 2. Enzymatic resolution of lactonic esters **5a,b**.

The resolution outcome depends on the rate at which the α -methylene- γ -lactone turns into its α,β -butenolide isomer and this is a function of the chain length, being higher for C3 than for C8, under the experimental conditions used. In spite of the presence of this competitive reaction, α -methylene-paraconic acids can be obtained in the pure state because their α,β -butenolide isomers do not undergo hydrolysis within the enzymatic reaction times, and thus they can be easily separated from the esters.

The (2*R*,3*S*) absolute configuration was assigned to the enantiomers which in chloroform were dextrorotatory, by comparison with the signs of their optical rotation values with those reported in the literature (see Materials and Method) and by comparison with their CD spectra with that of (2*R*,3*S*)-(+)-protolichesterinic acid **1e** ($\Delta\epsilon_{257} = +0.32$, $\Delta\epsilon_{220} = -9.62$),⁷⁵ whose absolute configuration is known (compound **1a**: $\Delta\epsilon_{267} = +0.1$, $\Delta\epsilon_{225} = -4.8$ (MeOH); compound **1b**: $\Delta\epsilon_{262} = +0.16$, $\Delta\epsilon_{224} = -8.62$ (MeOH); compound **1c**: $\Delta\epsilon_{258} = +0.26$, $\Delta\epsilon_{225} = -10.58$ (MeOH)).

The optical rotation values for the α -methylene paraconic acid derivatives deserve a comment. The values reported in the literature for compounds having approximately the same high enantiomeric excess, at comparable concentrations, are rather variable. If one compares literature data for methylenolactocin (–)-**1b** optical rotation values obtained for methanolic solutions range from -6.46 (23 °C) to -12.4 (temperature not given), while those obtained for chloroform solutions, vary from -11.6 to -18.8 . The syntheses reported are multistep and either the chirality is already present in the parent molecule or it is introduced in a stereochemically controlled step of the synthesis. In no case, however, was the enantiomeric excess of the target molecule measured because it is assumed to be the same as in the parent molecule. On the contrary, in the present study the enantiomeric excess is measured on the final esterified products.

Finally, it is interesting to note that **1a** showed a solvent dependency of the optical rotation, which changed its sign, replacing methanol for chloroform, different from what was observed for **1b** (see Materials and Methods), **1c**¹⁰ and **1d**.⁶⁴

Biological Assays

Cytotoxicity assays. Antiproliferative activities of both enantiomers of lactonic acids **1a–c** were evaluated in both human breast carcinoma and rat glioma cells (MCF-7 and C6, respectively) by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. All tested compounds were inactive on both cell

lines but (+)- and (–)-**1c** displayed moderate cytotoxic effects at the middle micromolar level. Both enantiomers were about four-fold higher on C6 glioma cells ($\text{IC}_{50} = 12\text{--}18\ \mu\text{M}$) but still less active than cisplatin ($0.6 \pm 0.2\ \mu\text{M}$), taken as a reference compound. The observed antiproliferative activity was characterized by low, significant ($P < 0.01$) stereoselectivity in the MCF-7 assay, (–)-**1c** being more active than its enantiomer (IC_{50} values 68.4 ± 0.3 vs. $84.5 \pm 2.3\ \mu\text{M}$). This observation was in agreement with previously reported results.¹⁰ No stereoselectivity was observed when the activity on G6 cells was evaluated.

Antimicrobial Studies

Antibacterial studies. These studies were performed in agreement with a previously reported protocol.⁶⁸ According to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines,⁶⁹ compounds **1a–c** were tested against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the ATCC collection (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus* 29213; *Enterococcus faecalis*, *E. faecalis* 29212; *Escherichia coli*, *E. coli* 25922) using norfloxacin as a reference compound.

All tested compounds displayed no antibacterial activity against *E. coli*, while moderate activity was observed against Gram-positive bacteria. (–)-**1c** was the most active compound (MIC: $16\ \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$; $60\ \mu\text{M}$) about three times less potent than norfloxacin on *E. faecalis*.

Antifungal studies. These studies were performed in agreement with a previously reported protocol.⁶⁸ According to the CLSI guidelines,⁷⁰ compounds **1a–c** were tested against a panel of fungi strains belonging to the ATCC collection (*Candida albicans*, *C. albicans* 10231; *Candida tropicalis*, *C. tropicalis* 750; *Candida parapsilosis*, *C. parapsilosis* 22019; *Candida krusei*, *C. krusei* 6258) using fluconazole as a reference compound.

All tested compounds were inactive as antifungal agents.

CONCLUSION

Optically pure paraconic acids can be obtained by enzymatic resolutions of their methyl esters. High enantioselectivity for hydrolyses of **5a** and **5b** could be achieved taking advantage of enantiocomplementary enzymes. Thus, the unreacted esters, isolated from the reaction with α -CT, could be resolved successfully with aminoacylase.

As to the biological results, in agreement with previously reported results,¹⁰ C75 displayed moderate cytotoxic effects on human breast carcinoma and rat glioma cells. Both C75 enantiomers displayed higher cytotoxic effects on C6 glioma

cells with no stereoselectivity. (–)-C75 also acted as the most potent antimicrobial agent against Gram-positive bacteria. Unfortunately, the antibacterial activity required concentrations as high as the ones displaying cytotoxicity on the tumoral cells. This observation casts doubt on the possibility to develop antibacterials using C75 as the lead compound.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) (Project PRIN 2010FPTBSH_005 "NANO Molecular tEchnologies for Drug delivery – NANOMED") and to the University of Trieste (Fondo per la Ricerca d'Ateneo, FRA 2012) for financial support.

LITERATURE CITED

- Kitson RRA, Millemaggi A, Taylor RJK. The renaissance of α -methylene- γ -butyrolactones: new synthetic approaches. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2009;48:9426–9451.
- Bandichhor R, Nosse B, Reiser O. Paraconic acids — the natural products from Lichen symbiont. *Top Curr Chem* 2005;243:43–72.
- Chhor RB, Nosse B, Sörgel S, Böhm C, Seitz M, Reiser O. Enantioselective synthesis of paraconic acids. *Chem Eur J* 2003;9:260–270.
- Forzato C, Nitti P, Pitacco G, Valentin E. Recent aspects of the synthesis of enantiopure 5-oxo-tetrahydro-3-furancarboxylic acids. In: Attanasi OA, Spinelli D, editors. *Targets in heterocyclic systems*. Rome: The Italian Society of Chemistry, 1999. p 93–115.
- He G, Matsuura H, Yoshihara T. Isolation of an α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone derivative, a toxin from the plant pathogen *Lasiodiplodia theobroma*. *Phytochemistry* 2004;65:2803–2807.
- Biel M, Kretsovali A, Karatzali E, Papamatheakis J, Giannis A. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a small-molecule inhibitor of the histone acetyltransferase Gcn5. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2004;43:3974–3976.
- Kuhn AN, Van Santen MA, Schwienhorst A, Urlaub H, Lührmann R. Stalling of spliceosome assembly at distinct stages by small-molecule inhibitors of protein acetylation and deacetylation. *RNA* 2009;15:153–175.
- Park BK, Nakagawa M, Hirota A, Nakayama M. Methyleneolactocin, a novel antitumoral antibiotic from *Penicillium* sp. *Agric Biol Chem* 1987;51:3443–3444.
- Park BK, Nakagawa M, Hirota A, Nakayama M. Methyleneolactocin, a novel antitumor antibiotic from *Penicillium* sp. *J Antibiot* 1988;41:751–758.
- Makowski K, Mera P, Paredes D, Herrero L, Ariza X, Asins G, Hegardt FG, García J, Serra D. Differential pharmacologic properties of the two C75 enantiomers: (+)-C75 is a strong anorectic drug (–)-C75 has antitumor activity. *Chirality* 2013;25:281–287.
- Li L-F, Lu Y-Y, Xiong W, Liu J-Y, Chen Q. Effect of centrally administered C75, a fatty acid synthase inhibitor, on gastric emptying and gastrointestinal transit in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2008;595:90–94 and references cited therein.
- Rendina AR, Cheng D. Characterization of the inactivation of rat fatty acid synthase by C75: inhibition of partial reactions and protection by substrates. *Biochem J* 2005;388:895–903.
- Thupari JN, Landree LE, Ronnett GV, Kuhajda FP. C75 increases peripheral energy utilization and fatty acid oxidation in diet-induced obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:9498–9502.
- Kuhajda FP, Pizer ES, Li JN, Mani NS, Frehywot GL, Townsend CA. Synthesis and antitumor activity of an inhibitor of fatty acid synthase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97:3450–3454.
- Chen C, Han X, Zou X, Li Y, Yang L, Cao K, Xu J, Long J, Liu J, Feng Z. 4-Methylene-2-octyl-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylic acid (C75), an inhibitor of fatty-acid synthase, suppresses the mitochondrial fatty acid synthesis pathway and impairs mitochondrial function. *J Biol Chem* 2014;289:17184–17194.
- Puig T, Vazquez-Martin A, Relat J, Pétriz J, Menéndez JA, Porta R, Casals G, Marrero PF, Haro D, Brunet J, Colomer R. Fatty acid metabolism in breast cancer cells: differential inhibitory effects of epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) and C75. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;109:471–479.
- Ho T-S, Ho Y-P, Wong W-Y, Chiu LC-M, Wong Y-S, Ooi VE-C. Fatty acid synthase inhibitors cerulenin and C75 retard growth and induce caspase-dependent apoptosis in human melanoma A-375 cells. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2007;61:578–587.
- Pizer ES, Chrest FJ, DiGiuseppe JA, Han WF. Pharmacological inhibitors of mammalian fatty acid synthase suppress DNA replication and induce apoptosis in tumor cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:4611–4615.
- Grube S, Dünisch P, Freitag D, Klausnitzer M, Sakr Y, Walter J, Kalf R, Ewald C. Overexpression of fatty acid synthase in human gliomas correlates with the WHO tumor grade and inhibition with Orlistat reduces cell viability and triggers apoptosis. *J Neurooncol* 2014;118:277–287.
- Cheng X, Li L, Uttamchandani M, Yao SQ. In situ proteome profiling of C75, a covalent bioactive compound with potential anticancer activities. *Org Lett* 2014;16:1414–1417.
- Uddin S, Jehan Z, Ahmed M, Alyan A, Al-Dayel F, Hussain A, Bavi P, Al-Kuraya KS. Overexpression of fatty acid synthase in middle eastern epithelial ovarian carcinoma activates AKT and its inhibition potentiates cisplatin-induced apoptosis. *Mol Med* 2011;17:635–645.
- Relat J, Blancafort A, Oliveras G, Cufí S, Haro D, Marrero PF, Puig T. Different fatty acid metabolism effects of (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate and C75 in adenocarcinoma lung cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2012;12:280.
- Flavin R, Peluso S, Nguyen, PL, Loda M. Fatty acid synthase as a potential therapeutic target in cancer. *Future Oncol* 2010;6:551–562.
- Poh MK, Shui G, Xie X, Shi P-Y, Wenkb MR, Gu F. U18666A, an intracellular cholesterol transport inhibitor, inhibits dengue virus entry and replication. *Antiviral Res* 2012;93:191–198 and references cited therein.
- Kim Y, George D, Prior AM, Prasain K, Hao S, Le DD, Hua DH, Chang K-O. Novel triacsin C analogs as potential antivirals against rotavirus infections. *Eur J Med Chem* 2012;50:311–318.
- Matsuo S, Yang W-L, Aziz M, Kameoka S, Wang P. Fatty acid synthase inhibitor C75 ameliorates experimental colitis. *Mol Med* 2014;20:1–9.
- Hughes MA, McFadden JM, Townsend CA. New α -methylene- γ -butyrolactones with antimycobacterial properties. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2005;15:3857–3859.
- Asahina Y, Yanagita M, Sakurai Y. Lichen substances, LXXVII. The lichen aliphatic acids from *Nephromopsis endocrocea*. *Ber Chem* 70B 1937;227–235.
- Bérdy J. *Handbook of antibiotic compounds*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1982, Vol IX, and references cited therein.
- Hirayama T, Fujikawa F, Kasahara T, Otsuka M, Nishida N, Mitsuno D. Anti-tumor activities of some lichen products and their degradation products. *Yakugaku Zasshi* 1980;100:755–759, *Chem Abstract* 1980;93:179563f.
- Gudjónsdóttir GA, Ingólfssdóttir K. Quantitative determination of protolicheterinic- and fumarprotocetraric acids in *Cetraria islandica* by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* 1997;757:303–306.
- Krivoshchekova OE, Maximov OB, Stepanenko LS, Mishchenko NP. Quinones of the lichen *Cetraria cucullata*. *Phytochemistry* 1982;21:193–196.
- Hesse O. Contribution to the knowledge of the lichens and their characteristic components. *J Prakt Chem* 1906;73:113–176.
- Zopf W. To the knowledge of the lichen substances. *Liebigs Ann Chem* 1902;324:39–78.
- Huneck S. Lichen constituents. Part 123. Chemistry of some yellow *Acarospora* species. *Lichenologist* 1980;12:239–242.
- Skult H. The *Parmelia omphalodes* (Ascomycetes) complex in Eastern Fennoscandia. Chemical and morphological variation. *Ann Bot Fenn* 1984;21:117–142.
- Sisodia R, Geol M, Verma S, Rani A, Dureja P. Antibacterial and antioxidant activity of lichen species *Ramalina roesleri*. *Nat Prod Res* 2013;27:2235–2239.
- Freysdóttir J, Omarsdóttir S, Ingólfssdóttir K, Víkingsson A, Olafsdóttir ES. In vitro and in vivo immunomodulating effects of traditionally prepared extract and purified compounds from *Cetraria islandica*. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2008;8:423–430.
- Ingólfssdóttir K, Hjalmsdóttir M, Sigurdsson A, Gudjónsdóttir G, Brynjólfsdóttir A, Steingrímsson O. In vitro susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* to protolicheterinic acid from the lichen *Cetraria islandica*. *Antimicrob Agents Ch* 1997;41:215–217.
- Ingólfssdóttir K, Breu W, Huneck S, Gudjónsdóttir A, Müller-Jakic B, Miller H. In vitro inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase by protolicheterinic acid from *Cetraria islandica*. *Phytomedicine* 1994;1:187–191.

41. Ogmundsdottir HM, Zoega GM, Gissurason SR, Ingólfssdóttir K. Anti-proliferative effects of lichen-derived inhibitors of 5-lipoxygenase on malignant cell-lines and mitogen-stimulated lymphocytes. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1998;50:107–115.
42. Brisdelli F, Perilli M, Sellitri D, Piovano M, Garbarino JA, Nicoletti M, Bozzi A, Amicosante G, Celenza G. Cytotoxic activity and antioxidant capacity of purified lichen metabolites: an in vitro study. *Phytother Res* 2013;27:431–437.
43. Bessadottir M, Skuladdottir EA, Gown S, Eccles S, Omarsdottir S, Ogmundsdottir HM. Effects of anti-proliferative lichen metabolite, protolichesterinic acid on fatty acid synthase, cell signalling and drug response in breast cancer cells. *Phytomedicine* 2014;21:1717–1724.
44. Hoffmann HMR, Rabe J. Synthesis and biological activity of α -methylene- γ -butyrolactones. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 1985;24:94–110.
45. Higuchi Y, Shimoma F, Ando M. Synthetic method and biological activities of cis-fused α -methylene- γ -lactones. *J Nat Prod* 2003;66:810–817.
46. Le Lamer A-C, Authier H, Rouaud I, Coste A, Boustie J, Pipy B, Gouault N. Protolichesterinic acid derivatives: α -Methylene- γ -lactones as potent dual activators of PPARc and Nrf2 transcriptional factors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2014;24:3819–3822.
47. Carlson R, Oyler A. Direct methods for α -methylene lactone synthesis using itaconic acid derivatives. *J Org Chem* 1976;41:4065–4069.
48. Fernandes RA, Chowdhury AK. A concise synthesis of paraconic acids: (–)-methylenolactocin and (–)-phaseolinic acid. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2011;22:1114–1119.
49. Fernandes RA, Halle MB, Asim K, Chowdhury AK, Ingle AB. Diastereoselective synthesis of (+)-nephrosterinic acid and (+)-protolichesterinic acid. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2012;23:60–66.
50. Saha S, Roy SC. Titanocene (III) chloride mediated radical induced synthesis of (–)-methylenolactocin and (–)-protolichesterinic acid. *Tetrahedron* 2010;66:4278–4283.
51. Ghosh M, Bose S, Maity S, Ghosh S. Enantiodivergent synthesis of (–)-methylenolactocin and (+)-methylenolactocin from D-mannitol. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2009;50:7102–7104.
52. Blanc D, Madec J, Popowyc F, Ayad T, Phansavath P, Ratovelomanana-Vidal V, Genêt J-P. General enantioselective synthesis of butyrolactone natural products via Ruthenium- SYNPHOS-catalyzed hydrogenation reactions. *Adv Synth Catal* 2007;349:943–950.
53. Braukmüller S, Brückner R. Enantioselective butenolide preparation for straightforward asymmetric syntheses of γ -lactones — paraconic acids, avenaciolide, and hydroxylated eleutherol. *Eur J Org Chem* 2006;2110–2118.
54. Jongkol R, Choommongkol R, Tarnchompoo B, Nimmanpipug P, Meepowpan P. Syntheses of methylenolactocin and nephrosterinic acid via diastereoselective acylation and chemoselective reduction-lactonization. *Tetrahedron* 2009;65:6382–6389.
55. Zeller MA, Riener M, Nicewicz DA. Butyrolactone synthesis via polar radical crossover cycloaddition reactions: diastereoselective syntheses of methylenolactocin and protolichesterinic acid. *Org Lett* 2014;16:4810–4813.
56. Hodgson DM, Talbot EPA, Clark BP. Stereoselective synthesis of β -(hydroxymethylaryl/alkyl)- α -methylene- γ -butyrolactones. *Org Lett* 2011;13:2594–2597.
57. Chakrabarty K, Forzato C, Nitti P, Pitacco G, Valentin E. The first kinetic enzymatic resolution of methyl ester of C75. *Lett Org Chem* 2010;7:245–248.
58. Ghatak A, Sarkar S, Ghosh S. Strategic use of retro Diels-Alder reaction in the construction of β -carboxy- α -methylene- γ -lactones. Total synthesis of methylenolactocin and protolichesterinic acid. *Tetrahedron* 1997;53:17335–17342.
59. Hon Y-S, Hsieh C-H, Liu Y-W. Dibromomethane as one-carbon source in organic synthesis: total synthesis of (±)- and (–)-methylenolactocin. *Tetrahedron* 2005;61:2713–2723.
60. Loh T-P, Lye P-L. A concise synthesis of (±)-methylenolactocin and the formal synthesis of (±)-phaseolinic acid. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2001;42:3511–3514.
61. Lertvorachon J, Meepowpan P, Thebtaranonth Y. An aldol — bislactonization route to α -methylene bis-butyrolactones. *Tetrahedron* 1998;54:14341–14358.
62. Brook MA, Chan TH. A simple procedure for the esterification of carboxylic acids. *Synthesis* 1983;201–203.
63. Mawson SD, Weavers RT. Application of radical cyclisation/iodine atom transfer to the chiral synthesis of (–)-methylenolactocin. *Tetrahedron* 1995;51:11257–11270.
64. Kongsaeere P, Meepowpan P, Thebtaranonth Y. Synthesis of both enantiomers of methylenolactocin, nephrosterinic acid and protolichesterinic acid via tandem aldol – lactonization reactions. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2001;1:1913–1922.
65. Chandrasekharan M, Liu R-S. Synthesis of natural α -methylene butyrolactones via Tungsten- π -allyl complexes. Total synthesis of (–)-methylenolactocin. *J Org Chem* 1998;63:9122–9124.
66. de Azevedo MBM, Murta MM, Greene AE. Novel, enantioselective lactone construction First synthesis of methylenolactocin, antitumor antibiotic from *Penicillium* sp. *J Org Chem* 1992;57:4567–4569.
67. Sánchez C, Makowski K, Mera P, Farràs J, Nicolás E, Herrero L, Asins G, Serra D, Hegardt FG, Ariza X, García J. Convenient synthesis of C75, an inhibitor of FAS and CPT1. *RSC Adv* 2013;3:6564–6571.
68. Catalano A, Carocci A, Defrenza I, Muraglia M, Carrieri A, Van Bambeke F, Rosato A, Corbo F, Franchini C. 2-Aminobenzothiazole derivatives: search for new antifungal agents. *Eur J Med Chem* 2013;64:357–364.
69. CLSI. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically approved standard, 8th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2009 M7eA8.
70. CLSI. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeast approved standard, 3rd ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2008 M27eA3.
71. Baker BR, Schaub RE, Williams JH. An antimalarial alkaloid from hydrangea. XI. Synthesis of 3-[β -keto- γ -(3- and 4-hydroxymethyl-2-pyrrolidyl)propyl]-4-quinazolones. *J Org Chem* 1952;17:116–131.
72. Horthant D, Le Lamer A-C, Boustie J, Uriac P, Gouault N. Separation of a mixture of paraconic acids from *Cetraria islandica* (L.) Ach. employing a fluoros tag-catch and release strategy. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2007;48:6031–6033.
73. Chen C-S, Fujimoto Y, Girdaukas G, Sih CJ. Quantitative analyses of biochemical kinetic resolutions of enantiomers. *J Am Chem Soc* 1982;104:72–94.
74. Rakels JLL, Straathof AJJ, Heijnen JJ. A simple method to determine the enantiomeric ratio in enantioselective biocatalysis. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 1993;15:1051–1056.
75. Huneck S, Schreiber K, Höfle G, Snatzke G. Neodihydromurolo and murolic acid, two new γ -lactonecarboxylic acids from *Lecanora muralis*. *J Hattori Bot Lab* 1979;45:1–23.