

CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article Title: Rapid Deoxyfluorination of Alcohols with 4-Chloro-Ntosylbenzene-1-Sulfonimidoyl Fluoride (SulfoxFluor) at Room Temperature Authors: Jinbo Hu, Junkai Guo, Cuiwen Kuang, Jian Rong, Lingchun Li, and Chuanfa Ni This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article. To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201901176 Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201901176 **Supported by** ACES WILEY-VCH

WILEY-VCH

Rapid Deoxyfluorination of Alcohols with 4-Chloro-*N***-tosylbenzene-1-Sulfonimidoyl Fluoride (SulfoxFluor) at Room Temperature**

Junkai Guo, Cuiwen Kuang, Jian Rong, Lingchun Li, Chuanfa Ni*, and Jinbo Hu*

Abstract: The deoxyfluorination of alcohols is a fundamentally important approach to access alkyl fluorides, and thus the development of shelf-stable. easy-to-handle, fluorine-economical, and highly selective deoxyfluorination reagents is highly desired. This article describes the development of a crystalline compound, 4-chloro-N-tosylbenzene-1-sulfonimidoyl fluoride (SulfoxFluor), as a novel deoxyfluorination reagent that possesses all of the aforementioned merits, which is rare in the arena of deoxyfluorination. Endowed by the multi-dimensional modulating ability of the sulfonimidoyl group, SulfoxFluor is superior to 2-pyridinesulfonyl fluoride (PyFluor) in fluorination rate, and is also superior to perfluorobutane sulfonyl fluoride (PBSF) in fluorine-economy. Its reaction with alcohols not only tolerates a wide range of functionalities including the more sterically hindered alcoholic hydroxyl groups, but also exhibits high fluorination/elimination selectivity. Since SulfoxFluor is readily available from inexpensive materials and can be safely handled without special techniques, it promises to serve as a practical deoxyfluorination reagent for the synthesis of various alkyl fluorides.

Alkyl fluorides constitute a valuable class of organofluorine compounds for pKa modulation, lipophilicity tuning, and selective blocking of oxidative metabolism in medicinal chemistry, chemical biology and drug discovery.¹ As a consequence, many fluorination methods have been developed for their synthesis.² Among them, deoxyfluorination of alcohols, typically proceeding through in situ activation of the hydroxyl group followed by its displacement by a fluoride anion in a bimolecular nucleophilic substitution (S_N2) manner, represents the most straightforward method due to the abundance of both natural and synthetic alcohols (Scheme 1a).^{2,3} Since the first N,N-diethyl-2-chloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethylamine application of (Yarovenko's reagent) for deoxyfluorination of alcohols,⁴ an arsenal of state-of-the art reagents, such as SF4,⁵ DAST,⁶ Deoxo-Fluor, 4-tert-butyl-2,6-dimethylphenylsulfur XtalFluor.8 trifluoride (Fluolead),⁹ Ishikawa's reagent,¹⁰ PhenoFluor,¹¹ AlkylFluor,¹² perfluorobutanesulfonyl fluoride (PBSF),13 2-pyridinesulfonyl fluoride and 3,3-difluoro-1,2-diarylcyclopropenes (CpFluors), (PvFluor)¹⁴ have been developed to achieve efficient transformation of alcohols. However, these efforts mainly focus on addressing the safety, the cost, and/or the selectivity problems associated with the deoxyfluorination process.⁴⁻¹⁵ Moreover, in many cases, to promote the C-F bond formation, the addition of extra fluoride sources such as amine-HF complex and CsF is needed due to the relatively low reactivity of the activated intermediate towards fluoride anion.^{8,11-13} From the viewpoint of atom economy of fluorine (fluorine-economy),16 only very few deoxyfluorination processes such as PyFluor deoxyfluorination can incorporate fluorine atoms into an alcohol with high efficiency, though prolonged reaction time is usually required.¹⁴ To the best of our knowledge, there still lacks a fluorine-economical method that can convert alcohols into alkyl fluorides within a short period of reaction time.

Sulfonimidoyl compounds are the monoaza analogues of sulfonyl compounds; however, the former display much more diverse reactivity due to the additional modulation potential given by the nitrogen

| [*] | J. Guo, C. Kuang, J. Rong, Dr. L. Li, Dr. C. Ni, Prof. Dr. J. Hu |
|-----|---|
| | Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry |
| | Center for Excellence in Molecular Synthesis |
| | Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry |
| | University of Chinese Academy of Sciences |
| | Chinese Academy of Sciences |
| 1 | 345 Ling-Ling Road, Shanghai 20032, China |
| | Email: jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn |
| | Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of |
| | the document |
| | |

Scheme 1. Deoxyfluorination of Alcohols with Various Reagents





b) Evolution of fluorinated sulfone and sulfoximine reagents



$$\begin{array}{c|c} OH \\ R^{1} \\ R^{2} \\ \hline DBU, 10-30 \text{ min, RT} \\ \hline R^{1} \\ \hline R^{2} \\ \hline SulfoxFluor \\ \hline$$

substitutent.¹⁷ In recent years, fluoroalkyl sulfoximines have been developed as more versatile and powerful fluoroalkylation reagents than their sulfone analogues and widely used for the incorporation of various fluoroalkyl groups into organic molecules by us and others (Scheme 1b).¹⁸ Sulfonimidoyl fluorides can be easily prepared and generally possess the similar stability as sulforyl fluorides,¹⁹ but their application as deoxyfluorination reagents is still unknown. Furthermore, the nucleophilic displacement of the sulfonimidate group in an alkyl sulfonimidate ester is also rare.²⁰ Inspired by our previous studies on the synthetic application of fluorinated sulfones²¹ and sulfoximines¹⁸ as well as Doyle's elegant work on deoxyfluorination of alcohols with 2pyridinesulfonyl fluoride (PyFluor) (Scheme 1c),^{14a} we envisioned that sulfonimidoyl fluorides might be able to serve as more powerful deoxyfluorination reagents than sulfonyl fluorides because both the nitrogen and the sulfur substituents of the former can be modified (Scheme 1b). Herein, we report our development and use of crystalline 4-chloro-N-tosylbenzene-1-sulfonimidoyl fluoride (SulfoxFluor) as a new bench-stable, easy-to-prepare and highly reactive deoxyfluorination reagent, which can rapidly convert various alcohols into alkyl fluorides at room temperature with high fluorine-economy (Scheme 1d).²

SulfoxFluor (1a) can be easily prepared in large scale from inexpensive 4-chlorobenzenesulfonyl chloride (6) via the treatment with several readily available reagents including chloramine-T and potassium fluoride (Scheme 2).²³ We find that SulfoxFluor (1a) is a stable crystalline compound (m.p. 110–112 °C) that can be operated under air atmosphere and we have stored it in a rubber septa-sealed glass vial on the benchtop for over two years without decrease in reactivity. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis showed that SulfoxFluor (1a) does not decompose endothermically in the range

WILEY-VCH

Scheme 2. Large-Scale Preparation and Application of SulfoxFluor (1a)



of 0–330 $\,{\rm C}$ (see the Supporting Information), indicating that it is a very safe reagent.

We first evaluated the deoxyfluorination ability of sulfonimidoyl fluorides 1a-1e with secondary alcohol 2a as a model substrate, organic base 1,8-diazabicyclo-[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) as activator,^{13,14} and toluene as solvent at room temperature (Table 1; for details, see the Supporting Information). The preliminary results showed that the electronic nature of the substituents on both the sulfur atom and the nitrogen atom of a sulfonimidoyl fluoride could significantly influence the yield of the fluorination product 3a, with 4chloro-N-tosylbenzene-1-sulfonimidoyl fluoride (SulfoxFluor, 1a) being the most effective (Table 1, entry 1). Changing the S-substituent to an electron-neutral phenyl or more electron-deficient 4-nitrophenyl group resulted in a decrease of the yield of 3a and an increase of the side product alkene 3a' (Table 1, entries 2-3). Replacing the Nsubstituent from tosyl to less electron-withdrawing benzoyl also led to an inferior result (Table 1, entry 4). Moreover, in the case of 1e with an *N*-alkyl substituent, a full recovery of **1e** was observed (Table 1, entry 5). With SulfoxFluor (1a) as the optimal reagent, a further screening of the reaction conditions showed that a highest yield (74%) of 3a could also be obtained in 30 min by performing the reaction with 1.2 equiv of 1a and 1.6 equiv of DBU (Table 1, entries 6-7). For comparison, we conducted the deoxyfluorination of 2a with PyFluor^{14a} and perfluorobutanesulfonyl fluoride (PBSF)¹³ under similar conditions. In the case of PyFluor, a stirring of the reaction mixture at room temperature for 30 min afforded only trace amount of 3a (Table 1,

| Table 1. Screening | of Reaction | Conditions |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|
|--------------------|-------------|------------|

| | он Т | reagent, DBU | - A | | ~ ~ | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Ph | \sim - | toluene (0.1 M), rt, time | Ph | + Ph | | | | |
| | 2a | | 3a | alk | ene (3a') | | | |
| O, NTs O, NBz F, O | | | | | | | | |
| S F R = CI (1a), SulfoxFluor | | | | | | | | |
| R = H(10) $R = NO_2(1c)$ | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | la-1c | | 1d | $R_f = CF_2$ | SO ₂ Ph (1e) | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Entry | Reagent | 2a/reagent/DBU | Time (min) | 3a (%) | 3a/3a' ^b | | | |
| 1 | 1a | 1.0:1.1:1.1 | 720 | 74 | 20:1 | | | |
| 2 | 1b | 1.0:1.1:1.1 | 720 | 53 | 10:1 | | | |
| 3 | 1c | 1.0:1.1:1.1 | 720 | 56 | 7:1 | | | |
| 4 | 1d | 1.0:1.1:1.1 | 720 | 52 | 6:1 | | | |
| 5 | 1e | 1.0:1.1:1.1 | 720 | 0 | ND^{c} | | | |
| 6 | 1a | 1.0:1.1:1.1 | 30 | 68 | 22:1 | | | |
| 7 | 1a | 1.0:1.2:1.6 | 30 | 74 | 22:1 | | | |
| 8 | PyFluor | 1.0:1.2:1.6 | 30 | < 5 | ND^{c} | | | |
| 9 | PBSF | 1.0:1.2:1.6 | 30 | 62 | 9:1 | | | |

^aReactions were conducted on 0.2-mmol scale. Yields of **3a** were determined by ¹⁹F NMR using 1-fluoronaphthalene as an internal standard. ^bDetected by GC-MS analysis. ^cNot determined.

entry 8), a result similar to previous report.^{14a} When PBSF was used instead of SulfoxFluor (**1a**), although the reaction could be finished in 30 min, it afforded a little lower yield of **3a** (62%) and relatively higher yield of the elimination product **3a'** (Table 1, entry 9). Despite its comparable reactivity, the high fluorine content of PBSF makes it fall short of the concept of fluorine-economy. Obviously, SulfoxFluor (**1a**) is more suitable for both fluorine-economical and rapid deoxyfluorination of alcohols. Moreover, we found that the deoxyfluorination of primary alcohols could complete in 10 min by using only slightly excess amount of **1a** (1.2 equiv) and DBU (1.2 equiv) (see the Supporting Information).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then examined the substrate scope by reacting SulfoxFluor (1a) with different alcohols (Table 2). Generally, a broad range of primary and secondary monoalcohols underwent rapid deoxyfluorination at room temperature to afford the corresponding alkyl fluorides in moderate to excellent yields (Table 2, 3b-3x, and 5a-5j). The reaction of enantioenriched secondary alcohols proceeded with inversion of configuration and high enantiospecificity (30, 3p and 3s). Many functional groups, such as alkene (31), alkyne (3m), sulfonamide (3n), amide (3o), and carbamate (3p and 3q), are compatible with this deoxyfluorination process. Heterocycles such as pyridine (3r), thiazole (3v) and benzofuran (3w)were also tolerated under the present conditions. Moreover, carbohydrate derivatives (3i), steroids (3j), and idebenone (3k) were fluorinated in 58-90% yields, indicating the capability of this fluorination method for late-stage modification of complex biomolecules and their derivatives. The deoxyfluorination process is operationally simple and can be easily scaled up. For examples, under the aforementioned optimized conditions, alcohol 2n can be deoxyfluorinated on 5-mmol in 88% yield. When multiple alcohols in which the hydroxyl groups are separated by several carbon centers, were used as the substrates, the reaction selectively took place at the less sterically hindered position to afford the monofluorination products in synthetically useful yields in 30 min (Table 2, 5a-5j). All these results showed that SulfoxFluor (1a) is a unique fluorination reagent for discriminating the steric hindrance of alcohols.

Moreover, deoxyfluorination with SulfoxFluor (1a) is highly selective against elimination, which can be especially beneficial for the purification of the fluorination products. The reaction of primary alcohols seldom generated the alkene side products, even in the cases of homobenzylic alcohols that highly tend to undergo elimination (3t-**3w**). Although 2-(4-fluorophenyl)ethanol (2x) is further activated by the electron-withdrawing para-fluoro substitute, its reaction with SulfoxFluor (1a) still afforded the fluorination product 3x in 57% yield with a fluorination/elimination selectivity up to 15:1 (determined by ¹⁹F NMR), which is much higher than the reaction with PyFluor (see the SI). We attribute this remarkable selectivity to the high electrophilicity of the sulfonimidate ester intermediates, which accelerates the nucleophilic fluorination. Most secondary alcohols also showed high selectivity of fluorination over elimination ($\geq 20:1$); as an exception, the steroid epi-androsterone delivered 3j as the single diastereoisomer in 58% yield with a fluorination/elimination selectivity of 1.6:1.

In addition to its high reactivity and excellent selectivity, the byproduct of the reaction, that is, the ammonium salt of 4-chloro-*N*-tosylbenzenesulfonamide, which is formed as a precipitate, can be recovered by filtration (see the Supporting Information), thus simplifying the purification process. Moreover, the so-obtained salt is potentially useful for other synthetic application, such as preparing the analogue of the known electrophilic fluorination reagent NFSI.²⁴

Nevertheless, the deoxyfluorination with SulfoxFluor (1a) in the absence of an external fluoride does have one disadvantage, that is, the high reactivity of the sulfonimidate ester intermediates can lead to the alkylation of DBU, especially in the cases of primary alcohol substrates, thus decreasing the yields of the desired alkyl fluorides to some extent. For example, the reaction of alcohol **2t** provided **3t** in

10.1002/chem.201901176

WILEY-VCH



Table 2. Scope of the Deoxyfluorination with SulfoxFluor (1a)^a

^{*a*}Unless otherwise noted, reactions were conducted on 0.2-mmol scale in a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube, and isolated yields are given. ^{*b*}DBU (1.6 equiv), 30 min. ^{*c*}DBU (1.6 equiv), TBAF(*/*BuOH)₄ (1.0 equiv), 30 min. ^{*f*}DBU (1.2 equiv), 10 min. ^{*c*}DBU (1.2 equiv), TBAF(*/*BuOH)₄ (1.0 equiv), 30 min. ^{*f*} in parentheses: result of a 5.0 mmol scale reaction. ^{*f*}The abbreviation e.s. refers to enantiospecifity, e.s. = (e.e. of 3)/(e.e. of 2)×100%. ^{*h*}Reaction time was 30 min. ^{*i*}Reaction was conducted on 0.5-mmol scale. ^{*j*}Yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR using 1-fluoronaphthalene as an internal standard. ^{*h*}Ia (1.5 equiv), DBU (2.0 equiv), 80 °C, 30 min.

only 79% yield, with the formation of the *N*-alkylation side product in about 16% yield. However, compared with the great conveniences brought by this method (short reaction time, operational simplicity, and high fluorination/elimination selectivity), this issue is trivial. Moreover, we found that the deoxyfluorination of primary alcohols could be improved about 10-20% yields by adding 1.0 equiv of TBAF(*t*BuOH)₄²⁵ to override the side reaction (Table 2, **3g**, **3k-3m**, **3r**, **3t-3x**, **5a**, **5b**, **5f** and **5i**). Note that even the benzyl alcohol that is the most susceptible to undergo elimination reaction could be deoxyfluorinated with increased yield and fluorination/elimination selectivity (**3x**). In contrast, the addition of external fluorides showed no positive effect on the reaction of the secondary alcohols (**3d** and **5h**).

Finally, to understand the mechanism, we monitored the reaction between 4-fluorophenethyl alcohol 2x and SulfoxFluor (1a) in toluene

using *in-situ* ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy at room temperature (Scheme 3a; for details, see the Supporting Information). In the presence of DBU, an extremely fast reaction between **2x** and **1a** gave the intermediate 2fluorobenzyl sulfonimidate **6x** quantitatively, which can be directly observed by ¹⁹F NMR (t = 0-3 min). Meanwhile, a relatively slow consumption of the sulfonimidate **6x** afforded the alkyl fluoride **3x** (t =0-10 min). However, in the absence of DBU, **2x** failed to react with **1a**. Compared with the reaction profile of PyFluor, ^{14a} it is obvious that the reactivity difference between these two reagents arises from the nucleophilic fluorination step rather than the activation step. The activation role of DBU was subsequently investigated. When mixing DBU and SulfoxFluor in the absence of the substrate alcohol, it was found that the reaction is very sluggish, so we ruled out the possibility of SulfoxFluor activation by DBU via the formation of a σ -complex.²⁶

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Considerations

a) Monitoring of the deoxyfluorination of 2x using ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy



 $\longrightarrow DBU-HF + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R-O \\ R \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R} R - F + [DBU-H]^{+} [ArSO_2NTs]$

We envisioned that DBU may serve as a base to deprotonate the alcohol, thus promoting the formation of the sulfonimidate ester via replacing the fluorine atom in SulfoxFluor, which is similar to previously reported reaction of PyFluor.^{14a} According to above results and rationalization, a proposed reaction pathway for the deoxyfluorination of alcohols with SulfoxFluor is shown in Scheme 3b. First, DBU deprotonates the alcohol to generate the alcoholate anion, which undergoes very fast nucleophilic addition to the SulfoxFluor to afford a pentacoordinated intermediate 7. Then the stabilization effect of the protonated DBU on fluoride promotes the quick release of the fluorine substituent from intermediate 7 to afford the sulfonimidate ester 6. Finally, the nucleophilic displacement of the sulfonimidate group by DBU-HF provided the alkyl fluoride products and the salt 8. We believe that the excellent leaving ability of the sulfonimidate group can be attributed to the electron-withdrawing nature of the Nsubstuituitent. The further activation of the leaving group by protonation on nitrogen^{20d} is less likely due to the weak acidity of the DBU-HF complex.

In summary, we have developed a bench-stable and crystalline sulfonimidoyl fluoride compound, SulfoxFluor, as a safe and practical reagent for rapid and efficient deoxyfluorination of alcohols. SulfoxFluor can be readily accessible from inexpensive materials and handled without special techniques. Its reaction with alcohols not only tolerates a wide range of functionalities, but also shows high selectivity against elimination. Therefore, this new deoxyfluorination protocol with SulfoxFluor reagent promises to find many industrial applications. Our results also provides new insights into the chemistry of fluorinated sulfoximines as well as the different reactivities of various deoxyfluorination reagents. Further investigation on the synthetic application of SulfoxFluor by utilizing its unique activation ability is underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

Financial support of this work by the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB931900), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFB0101200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21632009, 21372246, 21421002, 21472221), the Key Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (KGZD-EW-T08), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS (QYZDJ-SSW-SLH049), the Shanghai Academic Research Leader Program (15XD1504400), the Shanghai Rising-Star

Program(16QA1404600), and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (2014231) is gratefully acknowledged.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare the following financial interest: J.H., J.G. and C.N. have filed a patent application based on the results of this study.

Keywords: deoxyfluorination ·alcohols ·SulfoxFluor

(1) Q. A. Huchet, B. Kuhn, B. Wagner, N. A. Kratochwil, H. Fischer, M. Kansy, D. Zimmerli, E. M. Carreira, K. M üller, *J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 9041.

(2) P. A. Champagne, J. Desroches, J.-D. Hamel, M. Vandamme, J.-F. Paquin, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 9073; and references therein.

(3) a) R. P. Singh, J. M. Shreeve, *Synthesis* **2001**, *34*, 2561; b) N. Al-Maharik, D. O'Hagan, *Aldrichimica Acta* **2011**, *44*, 65; c) M.-O. Turcotte-Savard, O. Mahe, J.-F. Paquin, *Chim. Oggi* **2013**, *31*, 14; d) C. N. Neumann, T. Ritter, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *50*, 2822.

(4) a) N. N. Yarovenko, M. A. Raksha, V. N. Shemanina, A. S. Vasileva, J. Gen. Chem. USSR 1957, 27, 2246; b) A. Takaoka, K. Iwamoto, T. Kitazume, N. Ishikawa, J. Fluorine Chem. 1979, 14, 421.

(5) W. R. Hasek, W. C. Smith, V. A. Engelhardt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 543.

(6) W. J. Middleton, J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 574.

(7) G. S. Lal, G. P. Pez, R. J. Pesaresi, F. M. Prozonic & H. Cheng, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7048.

(8) F. Beaulieu, L.-P. Beauregard, G. Courchesne, M. Couturier, F. LaFlamme, A. L'Heureux, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 5050.

(9) T. Umemoto, R. P. Singh, Y. Xu, N. Saito, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 18199.

(10) N. Ishikawa, H. Iwakiri, A. Takaoka, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *52*, 3377.
(11) F. Sladojevich, S. I. Arlow, P. Tang, T. Ritter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 2470.

(12) N. W. Goldberg, X. Shen, J. Li, T. Ritter, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 6102.

(13) a) B. Bennua-Skalmowski, H. Vorbrüggen, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, *36*, 2611; b) H. Vorbrüggen, *Synthesis* 2008, 1165.

(14) a) M. K. Nielsen, C. R. Ugaz, W. Li, A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 9571; b) For a most recent report on deoxyfluorination with various sulfonyl fluorides, see: M. K. Nielsen, D. T. Ahneman, O. Riera, A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140, 5004.

(15) L. Li, C. Ni, F. Wang, J. Hu, Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 13320.

(16) S. J. Tavener, J. H. Clark, In *Fluorine and the Environment: Agrochemicals, Archaeology, Green Chemistry & Water (Advances in Fluorine Science; Vol. 2),*A. Tressaud, Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, **2006**; p 177.

(17) a) M. Reggelin, C. Zur, *Synthesis* 2000, *1*, 1; b) U. Lücking, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 9399; c) V. Bizet, R. Kowalczyk, C. Bolm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, *43*, 2426.

(18) For reviews, see: a) X. Shen, J. Hu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 4437; b) V. Bizet, R. Kowalczyk, C. Bolm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, 43, 2426. For a recent example, see: c) Q. Liu, X. Shen, C. Ni, J. Hu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 619.

(19) J. Dong, L. Krasnova, M. G. Finn, K. B. Sharpless, *Angew., Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 9430.

(20) a) T. J. Maricich, R. A. Jourdenais, T. A. Albright, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5831; b) C. R. Johnson, E. U. Jonsson, C. C. Bacon, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2055; c) B. C. Challis, J. N. Iley, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1985, 699; d) T. J. Maricich, M. J. Allan, B. S. Kislin, A. I-T. Chen, F.-C. Meng, C. Bradford, N.-C. Kuan, J. Wood, O. Aisagbonhi, A. Poste, D. Wride, S. Kim, T. Santos, M.

Chemistry - A European Journal

COMMUNICATION

WILEY-VCH

Fimbres, D. Choi, H. Elia, J. Kaladjian, A. Abou-Zahr, A. Mejia, *Synthesis* **2013**, 45, 3361.

(21) For reviews, see: a) C. Ni, M. Hu, J. Hu, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 765; b) W. Zhang, C. Ni, J. Hu, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2012, *308*, 25. For recent examples, see: c) Y. Zhao, F. Jiang, J. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 5199; d) J. Rong, L. Deng, P. Tan, C. Ni, Y. Gu, J. Hu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 2743; e) W. Miao, C. Ni, Y. Zhao, J. Hu, *Org. Lett.* 2016, *18*, 2766; f) W. Miao, Y. Zhao, C. Ni, B. Gao, W. Zhang, J. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 880.

(22) J. Hu, J. Guo, C. Ni, China Patent Application CN 107245023 A, 2017.
(23) a) B. Skillinghaug, C. Sköld, J. Rydfjord, F. Svensson, M. Behrends, J. Sävmarker, P. J. R. Sjöberg, M. Larhed, *J. Org. Chem.* 2014, *79*, 12018; b) R. Kowalczyk, A. J. F. Edmunds, R. G. Hall, C. Bolm, *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 768.

(24) a) E. Differding, H. Ofner, *Synlett* **1991**, 187; b) G. S. Lal, G. P. Pez, R. G. Syvret, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 1737.

(25) D. W. Kim, H.-J. Jeong, S. T. Lim, M.-H. Sohn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8404.

(26) B. Bennua-Skalmowski, U. Klar, H. Vorbrüggen, Synthesis 2008, 1175.

Chemistry - A European Journal

COMMUNICATION

10.1002/chem.201901176

WILEY-VCH



Accepted Manuscrit

WILEY-VCH