

Iron-Catalyzed Direct Arylation through Directed C-H Bond Activation

Jakob Norinder, Arimasa Matsumoto, Naohiko Yoshikai, and Eiichi Nakamura* Department of Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan Received February 1, 2008; E-mail: nakamura@chem.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Iron has long been used in organic synthesis as a catalyst for oxidation and Friedel–Crafts reactions, and until recently, its use in homogeneous catalysis has attracted much less attention.¹ We have focused for some time on iron-catalyzed reactions of main-group organometallics and have reported on asymmetric olefin carbozincation² and cross-coupling reactions.^{3,4} Herein, we report on the cross-coupling of an arylzinc reagent and 2-arylpyridine and its congeners. This reaction represents a rare example of a synthetically viable iron-catalyzed C–C bond formation by way of a C–H bond activation.^{5,6}



The phenylation of α -benzoquinoline with a phenylzinc reagent shown in eq 1 is a representative reaction. A THF mixed solution of phenylmagnesium bromide and ZnCl₂•TMEDA (where TMEDA = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine, half-equiv to the Grignard reagent) was allowed to react with α -benzoquinoline (0.4 mmol) at 0 °C in the presence of Fe(acac)₃ (10 mol %), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen, 10 mol %), and 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane (1). After stirring for a period of 16 h, the reaction afforded the phenylated product in a yield of 99% (98% on a 1 g scale).

We carried out extensive investigations to define the best reaction conditions, and Table 1 lists representative data obtained for the phenylation of 2-phenylpyridine 2. The reaction afforded a monophenylated and a diphenylated product, 3 and 4, respectively (Table 2, entry 1). The product 4 was derived from 3, and the rate of this second phenylation was slower than that of the first reaction (vide infra). Although FeCl₃ itself (15 mol %) did not catalyze the phenylation reaction at all (entry 1), it showed some catalytic effect (15% yield of 3, entry 2) in the presence of 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy, 15 mol %). When 1,2dichloroethane (3 equiv) was present in the reaction, the catalytic turnover dramatically increased, which gave the products 3 and 4 in yields of 53 and 2%, respectively (entry 3).⁷ Examination of a variety of dihalide compounds showed that 1 was the most effective additive and effected a near quantitative conversion of 2 to the phenylated product in a period of 24 h (82% for 3 and 9% for 4, entry 4). There was no sign of the formation of metallic zinc or iron, and the dihalide was converted to the corresponding olefin (see Supporting Information).⁸

Further screening indicated that the reaction took place much faster when 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) was used in place of bpy (entries 5 and 6). The FeCl₃/phen/1 catalytic system achieved a quantitative conversion of 2 with less catalyst (10 mol %) after a period of 9 h. In contrast to bpy and phen, sterically congested neocuproine and tridentate terpyridine were entirely ineffective (entries 7 and 8). The oxidation state and the counteranion of the iron salt had only a minor effect on the

| Table 1. | Screening C | Conditions | for the | Iron-Catalyze | d Reaction of |
|----------|---------------|------------|----------|----------------------|---------------|
| 2-Pheny | lpyridine (2) | with Phen | vlzinc F | Reagent ^a | |

| | | | | yield/% ^b | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|----|
| entry | catalyst (mol %) | additive (equiv) | time (h) | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | FeCl ₃ (15) | none | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | FeCl ₃ /bpy (15) | none | 36 | 15 | 0 |
| 3 | FeCl ₃ /bpy (15) | $Cl(CH_2)_2Cl(3)$ | 72 | 53 | 2 |
| 4 | FeCl ₃ /bpy (15) | 1 (3) | 24 | 82 | 9 |
| 5 | FeCl ₃ /bpy (10) | 1 (2) | 9 | 53 | 2 |
| 6 | FeCl ₃ /phen (10) | 1 (2) | 9 | 79 | 16 |
| 7 | FeCl ₃ /neocuproine (10) | 1 (2) | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | FeCl ₃ /terpy (10) | 1 (2) | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | FeCl ₂ /phen (10) | 1 (2) | 9 | 81 | 16 |
| 10 | Fe(acac) ₃ /phen (10) | 1 (2) | 9 | 83 | 13 |
| 11^{c} | FeCl ₃ /bpy (15) | 1 (3) | 24 | 15 | <1 |

^{*a*} Unless otherwise noted, the reaction was carried out on a 0.4 mmol scale at 0 °C. The amount of phenylzinc reagent used was 5 equiv for entries 1-4 and 11 and 4 equiv for entries 5-10. ^{*b*} The yield was determined using GC, employing *n*-tridecane as an internal standard. ^{*c*} The same reaction as in entry 4, except that TMEDA was absent.

catalytic activity. Thus, FeCl₂ and Fe(acac)₃ showed almost the same catalytic performance as FeCl₃ did (entries 9 and 10). Due to the convenience in handling, Fe(acac)₃ was the catalyst of choice. Finally, we note that TMEDA was also an indispensable additive, without which the reaction gave the desired product in a much lower yield (entry 11). Neither of the Ph₂Zn reagents prepared from phenyllithium, Mg-free Ph₂Zn and PhZnBr, afforded the phenylated product either in the absence or in the presence of TMEDA. Attempts to speed up the reaction at an elevated temperature resulted in a reduction in the product yield.

The reaction by default was found to require 2 equiv of Ph₂Zn (PhZnBr was ineffective). Thus, 1 equiv is required for the desired arylation reaction, and 1 equiv is required to remove the hydrogen atom (as revealed by deuterium-labeling experiments; see Supporting Information). The biphenyl forming side reaction also consumes the reagent (up to ca. 50 mol % relative to phenylpyridine). To reduce the amount of the phenyl group attached to the zinc atom, we examined a zinc reagent prepared from equimolar amounts of ZnCl₂•TMEDA, PhMgBr, and Me₃SiCH₂MgBr and other related reagents. However, these reagents at best effected only a modest conversion of the starting material (see Supporting Information).

Table 2 summarizes the scope of the iron-catalyzed arylation reaction. The 2-phenylpyridine derivatives bearing either an electrondonating or an electron-withdrawing substituent on the 4-position of the phenyl ring reacted smoothly to give the corresponding phenylated products in excellent yields (entries 1-4), while the reaction took place much faster when the phenyl group bore an electron-donating group (entry 2 versus entries 3 and 4). The 4-dimethylaminopyridine compound in entry 5 showed a reactivity that was comparable to that of the standard reference compound **2**.

The presence of a 3-methyl group on the phenyl ring of **2** (entries 6-11) allowed the phenylation to take place exclusively on the side opposite to the methyl group, probably due to steric hindrance. The rate of the reaction of a variety of arylzinc reagents indicated that the reaction was insensitive to the

Table 2. Iron-Catalyzed Arylation of 2-Arylpyridine Derivatives with Arylzinc Reagents²



^a Unless otherwise noted, the reaction was carried out on a 0.4 mmol scale at 0 °C using 10 mol % of Fe(acac)₃/phen, 3 equiv of ZnCl₂•TMEDA, 6 equiv of arylmagnesium bromide, and 2 equiv of 1. ^b Isolated yields of the monoarylated product and (if applicable) the diarylated product. ^c Carried out using 1 g of the substrate. ^d Carried out with 15 mol % of Fe(acac)₃/phen, 5 equiv of ZnCl₂•TMEDA, 10 equiv of PhMgBr, and 3 equiv of 1.

electronic effect of the substitutent on the aryl group (entries 6-10), while it was very sensitive to any steric effects, as suggested by the extremely slow reaction of the 2-tolylzinc reagent (entry 11). Neither of zinc reagents prepared from MeMgBr or BuMgBr gave corresponding alkylated products.

Entries 12-14 show the reactions of the substrates having only one ortho C-H bond on the phenyl ring. The α -benzoquinoline example shown in entry 12 has already been discussed in eq 1. The 2-(biphenyl-2-yl)pyridine (3) in entry 13 is the monophenylated product obtained from 2 (entry 1). We found that the reaction was slower than that of 2 but still took place to give 4 in a yield of 60% after a period of 48 h (entry 13). The reaction of 2-o-tolylpyridine was very slow and gave the arylation product in a yield of only 15% (entry 14). We surmise that the restricted conformational possibility of these compounds is responsible for the low reactivity.

Nitrogen-containing heterocycles other than pyridine also undergo the present reaction. 2-Phenylpyrimidine reacted more slowly than the pyridine counterpart 2 did but still gave the corresponding mono- and diphenylated products in comparable yields (entry 15). The reaction was very slow for 4-phenylpyrimidine (entry 16). The reaction of 1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole was also slow but gave the desired product in a moderate yield (entry 17). The reaction of 1-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazole or 1-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole gave only a trace amount of the desired product (data not shown). We consider it likely that the extra remote nitrogen groups cause a nonproductive coordination of the metal atom and, hence, interfere with the progress of the desired C-H activation reaction.

In summary, we have developed a new class of homogeneous iron catalysis: an iron-catalyzed C-C bond formation reaction that features C-H bond activation. The overall synthetic transformation formally represents the nucleophilic displacement of the orthohydrogen atom by an arylzinc nucleophile.⁹ We consider it remarkable that the reaction took place at 0 °C since C-H bond activation reactions often require a reaction temperature above 80 °C.6,9,10 This study shows that the combination of iron, zinc, magnesium, 1,10-phenanthroline, TMEDA, and 1,2-dichloro-2methylpropane is important for the success of the reaction. We speculate that the phenanthroline coordinates to the iron and TMEDA to the zinc, and that the reaction involves a redox cycle of iron with the dichloride acting as an electron acceptor,⁸ but so far, we have obtained no solid evidence that proactively supports such a conjecture. The mechanism and the synthetic scope of the reaction will be the next stage of our investigation.

Acknowledgment. We thank MEXT, Japan for financial support (Grant-in-Aid for scientific research, No. 18105004) and the Global COE Program for Chemistry Innovation) and T. Ichiki for initial experiments.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Bolm, C.; Legros, J.; Le Paih, J.; Zani, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217. (a) Nakamura, M.; Hirai, A.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122,
- 978. (b) Nakamura, M.; Matsuo, K.; Inoue, T.; Nakamura, E. Org. Lett. 2003. 5. 1373 (3)
- (a) Nakamura, M.; Matsuo, K.; Ito, S.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3686. (b) Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1297. (c) Martin, R.; Fürstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3955. (d) Bedford, R. B.; Bruce, D. W.; Frost, R. M. Chem. Commun. 2004, 2822. (e) Duplais, C.; Bures, F.; Korn, T.; Sapountzis, I.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3028. (f) Nakamura, M.; Ito, S.; Matsuo, K.; Nakamura, E. Synlett 2005, 11, 1794. (g) Fürstner, A.; Krause, H.; Lehmann, C. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 440.
 (4) Fürstner, A.; Martin, R. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 624.
 (5) Jones, W. D.; Foster, G. P.; Putinas, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5047.

- (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Murai, S. In Topics in Organometallic Chemistry; Murai, (6)S., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1999; Vol. 3, pp 47-79. (b) Kakiuchi, Murai, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 826. (c) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C. Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731. (d) Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1077. (e) Handbook of C-H Transformations; Dyker, G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005. (J Godula, K.; Sames, D. Science 2006, 312, 67. (g) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174. (h) Ackermann, L. In Topics in Organometallic Chemistry; Chatani, N., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2007; Vol. 24, pp 35-60.
- (7) Cross-coupling of 1,2-dichloroethane and the phenylzinc reagent also took place to give phenethyl chloride and 1,2-diphenylethane (ca. 50 and 30%, respectively). On the other hand, the dichloride 1 gave none of such products.
- (a) Nagano, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 491. (b) Cahiez, G.;
 (chaboche, C.; Mahuteau-Betzer, F.; Ahr, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1943.
 (a) Oi, S.; Fukita, S.; Inoue, Y. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2439. (b) Kakiuchi,
 F.; Kan, S.; Igi, K.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125,
- (9)1698. (c) Kakiuchi, F.; Matsuura, Y.; Kan, S.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5936. (d) Chen, X.; Li, J.-J.; Hao, X.-S.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 78. (e) Chen, X.; Goodhue, C.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12634
- (10) (a) Oi, S.; Fukita, S.; Hirata, N.; Watanuki, N.; Miyano, S.; Inoue, Y. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2579. (b) Kalyani, D.; Deprez, N. R.; Desai, L. V.; Sanford, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7330. (c) Ackermann, L. Org. Lett. 2005, 120, 7300. (c) Ackermann, Lett. 2005, 12000. (c) Ackermann, Lett. 20000. (c) Ackermann, Lett. 20000. (c) Ackermann, Lett. 20000. (c 7, 3123. (d) Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3657. (e) Ackermann, L.; Althammer, A.; Born, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2619-2622. (f) Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11904.

JA800818B