# A Short Synthesis of the Mould Metabolite (*R*)-(+)-Carolinic Acid from (*S*)-Lactic Acid

David Linder Rainer Schobert

Organisch-chemisches Laboratorium der Universität Bayreuth, Gebäude NW I, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany Rainer.Schobert@uni-bayreuth.de

D. Linder, R. Schobert



Received: 25.07.2016 Accepted after revision: 27.07.2016 Published online: 02.09.2016 DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1562625; Art ID: ss-2016-t0388-op

**Abstract** (*R*)-(+)-Carolinic acid was prepared in seven steps and 59% yield from inexpensive benzyl L-lactate, the configuration of which was inverted by a Mitsunobu reaction with trifluoroacetate. The resulting benzyl D-lactate was cyclised by a domino addition–Wittig alkenation reaction with Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO. The product tetronic acid was acylated with a second equivalent of this ylide to give a 3-acylylidenetetronic acid, which was olefinated directly with *tert*-butyl glyoxylate. The product alkene was hydrogenated and deprotected to afford pure crystalline (*R*)-(+)-carolinic acid, which proved inactive against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* mutant D21f2.

**Key words** tetronic acids, carolinic acid, Wittig reaction, natural products, stereoinversion

Over 100 naturally occurring tetronic acids are known to date, a good deal of them featuring a 3-acyl residue and exhibiting biological activities associated with their metal affinity and stereoelectronic resemblance to inorganic phosphate.<sup>1-5</sup> Although tetronic acids have been known<sup>6-8</sup> since the 1880's they were not identified as part of natural products until 1934 when Clutterbuck et al. isolated five closely related 5-substituted derivatives from the mould Penicillium charlesii G. Smith grown on glucose.<sup>9</sup> Amongst them were carlosic acid (1),<sup>10</sup> which is also an intermediate in the biosynthesis of penicillic acid, as well as carolic acid (2)<sup>11,12</sup> and carolinic acid (3) (Figure 1). The absolute configuration of 2 and 3 was elucidated by Boll et al. in 1968.<sup>13</sup> Racemic carolinic acid **3** was synthesised by Haynes et al.,<sup>14</sup> Svendsen and Boll,<sup>15</sup> and Ley et al.<sup>16</sup> The first two syntheses cyclised a  $\gamma$ -halo- $\beta$ -ketoester with the required succinyl residue already attached to the  $\alpha$ -carbon atom, whereas the Ley group obtained 3 by Pd-catalysed succinylation of methyl 3-stannyltetronate.



Figure 1 Tetronic acids produced by Penicillium charlesii G. Smith

Herein, we report an expeditious synthesis of the natural (R)-(+)-enantiomer of carolinic acid starting from inexpensive L-lactic acid, which utilises the cumulated ylide Ph<sub>3</sub>P=C=C=O<sup>17,18</sup> for both the closure of the five-membered ring and the 3-acylation reaction.

Given that L-lactic acid 4 is much cheaper than its D-enantiomer, which is required for the synthesis of the natural (*R*)-carolinic acid **3**, we inverted the configuration of its benzyl ester (S)-5 in two steps and 91% yield (Scheme 1). Mitsunobu esterification with trifluoroacetic acid afforded diester 6, which was selectively hydrolysed with lithium carbonate in aqueous methanol to leave benzyl lactate (R)-5. This was cyclised with ketenylidenetriphenylphosphorane, Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO, under pH-neutral, non-racemizing conditions to furnish tetronate 7 in 92% yield. This domino reaction proceeds through addition of the OH-group of (R)-5 across the C=C bond of the starting ylide to give a new stabilised ester ylide, which undergoes an intramolecular olefination of its ester carbonyl group.<sup>18</sup> Tetronic acid **8** was then liberated by catalytic hydrogenolysis of tetronate 7. The 3-acylation of tetronic acids can be achieved in various ways, e.g., by reaction with the respective acyl chlorides and BF<sub>3</sub>-diethyl etherate according to Jones,<sup>19</sup> or with carboxylic acids and various condensation agents according to

# Syn thesis

В

Yoshii,<sup>20</sup> Yoda,<sup>21</sup> or Moloney,<sup>22</sup> or with the ylide Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO.<sup>23</sup> In the latter case, stabilised phosphorus ylides such as **9** result in quantitative yield. They can be deprotonated by potassium *tert*-butoxide in tetrahydrofuran (THF) to give an anionic species that undergoes Wittig alkenation reactions with aldehydes.<sup>24</sup>



**Scheme 1** Inversion of benzyl L-lactate **5** and synthesis of 3-[(triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetyl]tetronic acid **9**. *Reagents and conditions*: (i) (a) KOH, DMF, 100 °C, 1 h; (b) BnBr, DMF, 100 °C, 17 h; (ii) diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD), TFA, Ph<sub>3</sub>P, THF, r.t., 7 h; (iii) Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (8:1), r.t., 20 min; (iv) Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO, benzoic acid, THF, reflux, 48 h; (v) 5% Pd/C, H<sub>2</sub> (1 bar), MeOH, r.t., 1.5 h; (vi) Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO, THF, reflux, 14 h.

To obtain carolinic acid in this way, ylide **9** was prepared in situ, deprotonated, and immediately reacted with *tert*butyl glyoxylate **14**, which was accessible in four steps and 22% yield by an optimised literature procedure starting from fumaric acid **10** (Scheme 2).

Fumaric acid **10** was converted into its methyl ester **11**, which was transesterified with *n*-butyllithium and *tert*-butyl alcohol to afford di-tert-butyl ester **12**.<sup>25,26</sup> This was oxidised with KMnO<sub>4</sub> and the resulting tartrate **13** was treated with lead tetraacetate to furnish the Criegee cleavage product 14.<sup>27</sup> Its Wittig olefination with the anion generated in situ by treating ylide 9, freshly prepared from tetronic acid 8 and Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO, with potassium *tert*-butoxide, afforded 3enoyltetronic acid 15 in 82% yield and as a mixture of isomers/tautomers, two of which were observable in the NMR spectra. Hydrogenation of the alkene 15 and subsequent cleavage of the product ester 16 left optically pure carolinic acid (R)-(+)-**3** in 59% overall yield with respect to starting benzyl L-lactate. Its specific optical rotation was  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +23  $(c \ 0.33, H_2O)$  and  $[\alpha]_{546}^{25}$  +53 [Lit.<sup>9</sup> +60  $(c \ 0.33, H_2O)$ ]. The stereochemical purity of the synthesised product was additionally confirmed by chiral HPLC analysis. In preliminary tests, the synthetic (R)-(+)-carolinic acid proved inactive



**Scheme 2** Reagents and conditions: (i)  $H_2SO_4$ , MeOH, reflux, 2 h; (ii) BuLi, t-BuOH, THF, r.t., 2.5 h; (iii) KMnO<sub>4</sub>, t-BuOH, r.t., 0.5 h; (iv) Pb(OAc)<sub>4</sub>, THF, r.t., 0.5 h; (v) t-BuOK, THF, reflux, 20 min; (vi) **14**, THF, reflux, 2 h; (vii) 5% Pd/C,  $H_2$  (1 bar), EtOAc, r.t., 0.5 h; (viii) TFA,  $CH_2CI_2$ , 0 °C, 6 h.

against Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* (DSM346) and the drug-sensitive, Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* mutant D21f2 at concentrations as high as  $20 \mu g/mL$ .

Melting points (uncorrected) were obtained with an Electrothermal 9100 apparatus. Optical rotations were obtained with a Perkin–Elmer polarimeter 241 ( $\lambda$  = 589 nm, 546 nm). IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum One FTIR spectrophotometer with ATR sampling unit. NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer, chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) downfield from TMS<sub>int</sub>. Mass spectra were obtained with a Varian MAT 8500 (EI, 70 eV). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained with a Thermo Fisher Scientific Q Exactive in ESI<sup>+</sup> ESI<sup>-</sup> mode. Chiral HPLC column was a Macherey-Nagel Nucleodex beta-OH. For flash chromatography Merck silica gel 40–60 (230–400 mesh) was used.

# (S)-Benzyl 2-Hydroxypropionate [(S)-5]

A solution of L-(+)-lactic acid **4** (5.0 g, 55.5 mmol) in DMF (200 mL) was heated to 100 °C, treated with KOH (3.74 g, 66.6 mmol), and stirred for 1 h. Benzyl bromide (7.6 mL, 63.8 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred and heated at 100 °C for another 16 h. After cooling to r.t., the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in  $CH_2CI_2$  (200 mL), washed with  $H_2O$  (200 mL), and the aqueous phase was extracted with  $CH_2CI_2$  (200 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 60; hexanes/EtOAc, 4:1) to give (*S*)-**5**.

Yield: 6.94 g (78%); colourless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.59 (hexanes/EtOAc, 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  –14.4 (*c* 4.0, MeOH) [Lit.<sup>28</sup> –15.9 (*c* 4.0, MeOH)]. IR (ATR): 3424, 1731, 1198, 1122, 1043, 735, 696 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

© Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York – Synthesis 2016, 48, A–E

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.34 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.45 (s, 1 H, OH), 4.19–4.30 (m, 1 H, CH), 5.10 (s, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.21–7.29 (m, 5 H, Ar-CH).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 20.0 (C3), 66.6 (C2), 66.7 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 127.9, 128.1, 128.3 (Ar-CH), 135.1 (Ar-C<sup>q</sup>), 175.1 (C1).

MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 180 (3) [M<sup>+</sup>], 108 (4), 91 (100), 89 (3), 77 (6), 65 (12), 51 (2), 46 (3).

#### (R)-Benzyl 2-Trifluoroacetopropionate (6)

A solution of (S)-**5** (6.50 g, 36.07 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was treated with  $Ph_3P$  (11.35 g, 43.28 mmol), TFA (3.3 mL, 43.28 mmol), and DIAD (8.5 mL, 43.28 mmol), and stirred at r.t. for 7 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 60; hexanes/EtOAc, 8:1) to give **6**.

Yield: 9.18 g (93%); colourless oil;  $R_f = 0.53$  (hexanes/EtOAc, 6:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +38 (*c* 1.0, MeOH).

IR (ATR): 1790, 1749, 1672, 1547, 1199, 1151, 1125, 1090, 734, 696  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.64 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.23 (s, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.32 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CH), 7.32–7.44 (m, 5 H, Ar-CH).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 16.4 (C3), 67.7 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 71.7 (C2), 114.2 (q, *J* = 283.8 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 128.4, 128.5 (Ar-CH), 134.7 (Ar-C<sup>q</sup>), 156.8 (q, *J* = 42.5 Hz, CCF<sub>3</sub>), 168.2 (C1).

HRMS: *m*/*z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 276.0609; found: 276.0607.

# (R)-Benzyl 2-Hydroxypropionate [(R)-5]

A solution of  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$  (23 mg, 0.31 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added to a solution of **6** (1.09 g, 3.93 mmol) in MeOH (40 mL). After stirring for 20 min at r.t., the mixture was washed with brine, extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL) and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The organic phase was concentrated to give (*R*)-**5**.

Yield: 641 mg (98%); colourless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.36 (hexanes/EtOAc, 4:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +13.3 (*c* 0.72, MeOH) [Lit.<sup>29</sup> +13.2 (*c* 0.72, MeOH)].

IR (ATR): 3440, 1732, 1199, 1122, 1043, 735, 696 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.44 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.51 (s, 1 H, OH), 4.32 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.21 (s, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.33–7.40 (m, 5 H, Ar-CH).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 20.3 (C3), 66.9 (C2), 67.2 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 128.2, 128.5, 128.6 (Ar-CH), 135.3 (Ar-Cq), 175.5 (C1).

MS (EI, 70 eV): *m*/*z* (%) = 180 (2) [M<sup>+</sup>], 108 (3), 91 (100), 89 (4), 77 (6), 65 (15), 51 (2), 46 (3).

#### (R)-4-Benzyloxy-5-methylfuran-2(5H)-one (7)

A mixture of (R)-**5** (2.17 g, 12.05 mmol), anhydrous THF (60 mL), Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO (4.74 g, 15.67 mmol), and a catalytic amount of benzoic acid was heated to reflux for 48 h. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 60; hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1) to give **7**.

Yield: 2.04 g (92%); white solid; mp 74 °C [Lit.<sup>30</sup> 84 °C for enantiomer];  $R_f = 0.65$  (hexanes/EtOAc, 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 11.8$  (*c* 1.33, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (ATR): 3122, 1745, 1617, 1349, 1294, 1235, 1162, 1077, 1059, 943, 916, 902, 858, 815, 757, 709, 699, 659  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.47 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.85 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 5.04 (s, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.10 (s, 1 H, 3-H), 7.31–7.43 (m, 5 H, Ar-CH).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 17.8 (5-Me), 74.3 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 75.4 (C5), 89.0 (C3), 127.8, 128.7, 128.9 (Ar-CH), 133.8 (Ar-Cq), 172.3 (C2), 182.0 (C4).

HRMS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 205.08592; found: 205.08575.

#### (R)-4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran-2(5H)-one (8)

Compound **7** (2.03 g, 9.94 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (50 mL), 5% Pd on charcoal (102 mg) was added, and the resulting mixture was purged with hydrogen gas and kept under an  $H_2$  atmosphere (1 bar) for 1.5 h while stirring. After filtration over a pad of Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo to leave **8** as a 85:15 mixture of enol and diketo tautomers.

Yield: 1.12 g (99%); yellow solid; mp 107 °C [Lit.<sup>30</sup> 118 °C for enantiomer of unspecified enol/diketo ratio];  $R_f$  = 0.38 tailing (acetone/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1:1); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +10.8 (*c* 1.22, MeOH) [Lit.<sup>30</sup> +20.4 (*c* 1.22, MeOH) for enantiomer of unspecified enol/diketo ratio].

IR (ATR): 2940, 2689, 1704, 1587, 1235, 1163, 1074, 1046, 960, 905, 807  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ): δ = 1.41 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.86 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.91 (s, 1 H, 3-H), 11.14 (s, 1 H, OH). *Diketone*: 1.41 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.27–3.34 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.91 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ): δ = 18.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 75.9 (C5), 89.0 (C3), 168.4 (C4), 182.9 (C2).

MS (EI, 70 eV): *m*/*z* (%) = 114 (14) [M<sup>+</sup>], 86 (14), 43 (100).

#### Dimethyl Fumarate (11)

A mixture of fumaric acid **10** (5.0 g, 43.08 mmol), MeOH (70 mL), and  $H_2SO_4$  (1.72 mL, 32.31 mmol) was stirred and heated to reflux for 2 h, then cooled to r.t., and neutralized with 10% aq  $Na_2CO_3$  solution. The precipitate was filtered off to give **11**.

Yield: 5.24 g (85%); white solid; mp 102 °C [Lit.<sup>25</sup> 102 °C];  $R_f$  = 0.37 (hexanes/EtOAc, 95:5).

IR (ATR): 3077, 2964, 1706, 1439, 1295, 1154, 990, 881, 774, 672 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 3.80 (s, 6 H,  $OCH_3$ ), 6.86 (s, 2 H, CH).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 52.5 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 133.7 (CH), 165.5 (CO).

# Di-tert-butyl Fumarate (12)

A mixture of *t*-BuOH (1.30 mL) and THF (20 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and treated first dropwise with BuLi (5.55 mL, 13.88 mmol), and after 15 min with **11** (1.00 g, 6.94 mmol). Stirring was continued for 1 h at 0 °C and then at r.t. for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and purified by column chromatography (silica gel 60; hexanes/EtOAc, 19:1) to give **12**.

Yield: 886 mg (3.88 mmol); white solid; mp 69 °C [Lit.<sup>26</sup> 69 °C];  $R_f$  = 0.88 (hexanes/EtOAc, 8:1).

IR (ATR): 2982, 2940, 1703, 1368, 1138, 974, 846, 777, 767, 673 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.50 (s, 18 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.67 (s, 2 H, CH).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 28.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 81.6 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 134.7 (CH), 164.7 (CO).

HRMS: m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na: 251.12521; found: 251.12538.

Downloaded by: Cornell. Copyrighted material

Paper

#### D. Linder, R. Schobert

# Di-*tert*-butyl Tartrate (13)

A solution of **12** (5.15 g, 22.55 mmol) in *t*-BuOH (100 mL) was treated with a solution of KMnO<sub>4</sub> (5.35 g, 33.83 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL). The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The organic phases were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 60$  mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and diluted with *n*-hexane to precipitate **13**.

Yield: 2.74 g (10.45 mmol); colourless needles; mp 83 °C [Lit.<sup>27</sup> 84–85 °C];  $R_f$  = 0.49 (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1).

IR (ATR): 3481, 2975, 1731, 1367, 1248, 1091, 853, 755, 603 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.52 (s, 18 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.08 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CHOH), 4.37 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, OH).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 28.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 72.5 (CH), 83.6 (CCH<sub>3</sub>), 171.1 (CO).

HRMS: m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na: 285.13086; found: 285.13043.

#### tert-Butyl 2-Oxoacetate (14)

A mixture of **13** (147 mg, 0.56 mmol), THF (6 mL), and Pb(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (274 mg, 0.62 mmol) was stirred at r.t. for 30 min. The reaction mixture was passed through a syringe filter and the filtrate was used directly for the next reaction step without further purification;  $R_f$  0.28 (hexanes/EtOAc, 3:1).<sup>31,32</sup>

#### (*R*)-3-[3'-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)prop-(2'*E*)-enoyl]-5-methyltetronic Acid (15)

A boiling solution of tetronic acid 8 (277 mg, 2.43 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was slowly treated over 20 min with a solution of Ph<sub>3</sub>PCCO (733 mg, 2.43 mmol) in the same solvent (7 mL). Heating was continued for a further 14 h, then t-BuOK (269 mg, 2.40 mmol) was added and heating to reflux was continued for 30 min. tert-Butyl glyoxylate 14 (236 mg; 1.441 mmol), as obtained from the Criegee cleavage of 13, was added dropwise by using a syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred until completeness was indicated by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopic analysis (ca. 2 h). The reaction was quenched with KHSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (60 mL) and the pH was adjusted to 8.5 with aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to allow the tetronate salt to accumulate in the aqueous layer. After separation of the phases, the aqueous layer was acidified with 1 M HCl to liberate the tetronic acid, which was extracted with several portions of diethyl ether (70 mL). These extracts were dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuum to afford 15.

Yield: 524 mg (82%); yellow solid; 1:1 mixture of diketo and enol tautomers; mp 80 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.29 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 9:1); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> +11.5 (*c* 1.0, MeOH).

IR (ATR): 3090, 3057, 2988, 2940, 1761, 1670, 1652, 1571, 1390, 1369, 1311, 1154, 1087, 1051, 1026, 1000, 810, 690, 599  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.53 [s, 9 H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 1.55 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.77 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.00 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H, CHCOO), 7.94 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H, CHCHCOO).  $\delta$  (*enol*) = 1.53 [s, 9 H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 1.54 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.85 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.02 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H, CHCHOO), 7.95 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H, CHCHOO).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.7 (5-Me), 27.9 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 82.1 (C5), 82.5 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 98.0 (C3), 130.3 (=CHCO<sub>2</sub>), 136.4 (CH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>), 163.3 (=CHCO<sub>2</sub>), 174.0 (COH), 175.3 (C2), 194.5 (C4).  $\delta$  (*enol*) = 16.7 (5-Me), 27.9 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 77.8 (C5), 82.5 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 99.9 (C3), 130.7 (CH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>), 136.9 (=CHCO<sub>2</sub>), 166.5 (=CHCO<sub>2</sub>), 175.3 (C2), 177.8 (CCOC), 203.6 (C4). HRMS: *m*/*z* [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na: 291.08391; found: 291.08352.

# (*R*)-3-[3'-(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)propanoyl]-5-methyltetronic Acid (16)

Compound **15** (832 mg, 3.10 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous EtOAc (30 mL), 5 % Pd on charcoal (42 mg) was added, and the resulting mixture was purged with hydrogen gas and kept under a  $H_2$  atmosphere (1 bar) for 30 min while stirring. After filtration over a pad of Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo to give **16**.

Yield: 778 mg (93%); yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.29$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 9:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +6.3 (*c* 1.0, MeOH).

IR (ATR): 2980, 1762, 1726, 1653, 1599, 1366, 1236, 1148, 1047, 1005, 845  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.42 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 1.53 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 3.18 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 4.88 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 11.78 (br. s, 1 H, OH).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 17.1 (5-Me), 28.1 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 29.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 32.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 75.2 (C5), 81.2 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 100.7 (C3), 167.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 171.2 (C2), 196.7 [3-C(O)CH<sub>2</sub>], 198.1 (C4).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na: 293.09956; found: 293.09877.

# (R)-(+)-Carolinic Acid [(R)-3]

A solution of ester **16** (176 mg, 0.65 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (12 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and treated with TFA (1.3 mL). After stirring for 6 h, the solvent was removed at 0 °C in vacuo to leave (*R*)-(+)-carolinic **3** acid as a light-yellow solid.

Yield: 131 mg (94%); recrystallised from acetonitrile to afford white crystals; mp 141 °C (Lit.<sup>15</sup> 141–142 °C);  $R_f$  = 0.20 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 9:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +23 /  $[\alpha]_{546}^{25}$  +53 (*c* 0.33, H<sub>2</sub>O) [Lit.<sup>9</sup>  $[\alpha]_{546}$  +60 (*c* 0.33, H<sub>2</sub>O)].

IR (ATR): 3279, 2443, 1745, 1729, 1652, 1594, 1374, 1229, 1158, 1056, 1021, 954, 825, 793, 771, 671, 588  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ = 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, 5-Me), 2.43 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.96 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 4.81 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, 5-H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 17.5 (5-Me), 27.6 (CH\_2CH\_2CO\_2), 35.0 (CH\_2CH\_2CO\_2), 74.1 (C5), 98.9 (C3), 170.4 (C2), 174.0 (CH\_2CH\_2CO\_2), 190.5 [3-C(O)CH\_2], 193.0 (C4).

HRMS: m/z [M–H]<sup>-</sup> calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: 213.03936; found: 213.03999.

#### Acknowledgment

We thank Prof. Dr. Ursula Bilitewski and Sonja M. Höhmann (Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany) for preliminary antibacterial tests.

# **Supporting Information**

Supporting information for this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1562625.

# References

- (1) Haynes, L. J.; Plimmer, J. R. Q. Rev., Chem. Soc. 1960, 14, 292.
- (2) Tejedor, D.; Garcia-Tellado, F. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2004, 36, 35.
- (3) Zografos, A. L.; Georgiadis, D. Synthesis 2006, 3157.
- (4) Schobert, R.; Schlenk, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008, 16, 4203.
- (5) Georgiadis, D. Tetronic Acids, In Natural Lactones and Lactams; Janecki, T., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2013.

Paper

#### D. Linder, R. Schobert

- (6) Demarcay, E. Ann. Chim. Phys. 1880, 20, 422.
- (7) Wolff, L. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1896, 291, 226.
- (8) Benary, E. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1907, 1079.
- (9) (a) Clutterbuck, P. W.; Haworth, W. N.; Raistrick, H.; Smith, G.; Stacey, M. Biochem. J. 1934, 28, 94. (b) Clutterbuck, P. W.; Raistrick, H.; Reuter, F. Biochem. J. 1935, 29, 300.
- (10) For syntheses of carlosic acid, see: (a) Bloomer, J. L.; Kappler, F. E. J. Org. Chem. **1974**, 39, 113. (b) Svendsen, A.; Boll, P. M. J. Org. Chem. **1975**, 40, 1927. (c) Svendsen, A.; Boll, P. M. Tetrahedron Lett. **1974**, 2821. (d) Booth, P. M.; Fox, C. M. J.; Ley, S. V. Tetrahedron Lett. **1983**, 24, 5143. (e) Booth, P. M.; Fox, C. M. J.; Ley, S. V. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1987**, 121. (f) Mitsos, C. A.; Zografos, A. L.; Igglessi-Markopoulou, O. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 5852. (g) Schobert, R.; Jagusch, C. Synthesis **2005**, 2421.
- (11) For a structural elucidation of carolic acid, see: Simonsen, O.; Reffstrup, T.; Boll, P. M. *Tetrahedron* **1979**, *36*, 795.
- (12) For the biosynthesis of **1** and **2**, see also: Bentley, R.; Bhate, D. S.; Keil, J. G. J. Biol. Chem. **1962**, 237, 859.
- (13) Boll, P. M.; Sorensen, E.; Balieu, E. Acta Chem. Scand. **1968**, 22, 3251.
- (14) (a) Haynes, L. J.; Plimmer, J. R.; Stanners, A. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 4661. (b) Haynes, L. J.; Plimmer, J. R. Chem. Ind. 1954, 37, 1147.
- (15) Svendsen, A.; Boll, P. M. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 4251.
- (16) Ley, S. V.; Trudell, M. L.; Wadsworth, D. J. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 8285.
- (17) Bestmann, H. J.; Sandmeier, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 634.
- (18) (a) Löffler, J.; Schobert, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 2799. (b) Schobert, R.; Gordon, G. J. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 1181. (c) Schobert, R.; Boeckman, R. K. Jr.; Pero, J. E. Org. Synth. 2005, 82, 140.

Paper

- (19) Jones, R. C. F.; Begley, M. J.; Peterson, G. E.; Sumaria, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1990**, 1959.
- (20) Hori, K.; Arai, M.; Nomura, K.; Yoshii, E. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 4368.
- (21) Sengoku, T.; Wierzejska, J.; Takahashi, M.; Yoda, H. Synlett **2010**, 2944.
- (22) Jeong, Y.-C.; Moloney, M. G. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1342.
- (23) Schobert, R.; Siegfried, S.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M.; Milius, W.; Hampel, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1723.
- (24) (a) Schlenk, A.; Diestel, R.; Sasse, F.; Schobert, R. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 2599. (b) Harras, M.; Bauer, T.; Kempe, R.; Schobert, R. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 3677.
- (25) Tapaswi, P. K.; Choi, M.-C.; Jung, Y. S.; Cho, H. J.; Seo, D. J.; Ha, C.-S. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. **2014**, *52*, 2316.
- (26) Geny, A.; Agenet, N.; Iannazzo, L.; Malacria, M.; Aubert, C.; Gandon, V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2009**, *48*, 1810.
- (27) Blake, J.; Tretter, J. R.; Juhasz, G. J.; Bonthrone, W.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. **1966**, 4061.
- (28) Shin, I.; Lee, J.; Jung, M.; Lee, W.; Yoon, J. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 7667.
- (29) Zhu, S.-F.; Chen, C.; Cai, Y.; Zhou, Q.-L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 932.
- (30) Schobert, R.; Stehle, R.; Walter, H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9401.
- (31) For the synthesis of **14** by means of periodate, see: Våbenø, J.; Brisander, M.; Lejon, T.; Luthman, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 9186.
- (32) For a synthesis of **14** through ozonolysis, see: Bishop, J. E.; O'Connell, J. F.; Rapoport, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5079.