Sesquiterpenoids from the Fruits of *Alpinia oxyphylla* and Their Anti-Acetylcholinesterase Activity

by Ping Chen, Pei-Pei Wang, Ze-Zhao Jiao, and Lan Xiang*

School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University, Jinan 250012, P. R. China (phone: +86-531-88382028; fax: +86-531-88382548; e-mail: xianglan02@sdu.edu.cn)

Fourteen sesquiterpenoids were isolated from the fruits of Alpinia oxyphylla MIQ. Their structures were elucidated based on NMR analyses (1H, 13C, DEPT, 1H, 1H-COSY, HMQC, HMBC, and NOESY) and identified as 12-nornootkaton-6-en-11-one (3), (+)-(3S,4aS,5R)-2,3,4,4a,5,6-hexahydro-3-isopropenyl-4a,5-dimethyl-1,7-naphthoquinone (5), nootkatene (6), $\beta\beta$ -hydroxynootkatone (7), 2β -hydroxy- δ cadinol (8), 4-isopropyl-6-methyl-1-tetralone (11), oxyphyllone E (12), oxyphyllone D (13), oxyphyllanene B (15), oxyphyllone A (16), oxyphyllol E (17), (9E)-humulene-2,3;6,7-diepoxide (18), mustakone (20), and pubescone (21). Among them, 3 was a new norsesquiterpenoid, 8 was a new natural product, and 5, 6, 11, 20, 21 were isolated from A. oxyphylla for the first time. Twenty sesquiterpenoids, 1-5 and 7-21, were investigated for their in vitro acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activities, including previously isolated seven sesquiterpenoids from A. oxyphylla, (11S)-12-chloronootkaton-11-ol (1), (11R)-12-chloronootkaton-11-ol (2), nootkatone (4), oxyphyllenodiol A (9), oxyphyllenodiol B (10), 7-epiteucrenone B (14), and alpinenone (19). TLC-Bioautographic assay indicated that 1-4, 7, 14, 16, 18, 19, and 21 displayed anti-AChE activities at 10 nmol. Microplate assay confirmed that 19, 18, 16, and 21 displayed moderate-to-weak anti-AChE activities at the concentration of 100 µM, and 19 was the most potent inhibitor with an IC_{50} value of $81.6 \pm 3.5 \,\mu$ M. The presence of anti-AChE sesquiterpenoids in A. oxyphylla may partially support the traditional use of this fruit for the treatment of dementia.

Introduction. – *Alzheimer*'s disease (AD), the most common form of dementia in elderly, is a chronic, progressive neurodegenerative disorder with characteristic cognition impairment [1]. According to cholinergic hypothesis, loss of cholinergic neurons in the AD brain leads to decreased acetylcholine (ACh) level and causes impairment in cognition function. Use of acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (AChEI) to improve the acetylcholine level is an important strategy for the treatment of AD. Medicinal plants have attracted attention for the discovery of AChEI due to their potential roles in the treatment of dementia and less side-effects compared with the synthetic AChEIs [2].

Previously, we screened anti-AChE activities of 48 traditional Chinese medicines which are commonly used for the treatment of dementia or related diseases. An EtOH extract (0.1 mg/ml) of the fruits of *Alpinia oxyphylla* MIQ. (Zingiberaceae) showed the highest activity ($44.5 \pm 3.7\%$ inhibition) among the 48 tested plant species [3]. The fruits of *A. oxyphylla*, called '*Yi Zhi Ren*' in China, is used as a traditional medicine and also a medicinal food for the treatment of intestinal disorders, diuresis, and dementia [4]. Sesquiterpenoids are the major characteristic constituents of *A. oxyphylla*, which are divided into eremophilane, eudesmane, cadinane, guaiane, oplopanone, and humulane types. Several sesquiterpenoids showed potent inhibitory effects on nitric

© 2014 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

oxide (NO) production [5-9]. To date, these sesquiterpenoids have not been investigated regarding their AChE activities. The discovery of anti-AChE constituents in *A. oxyphylla* will provide insight with respect to the functional mechanism of this plant for the treatment of dementia.

Herein, we report isolation and structure elucidation of 14 sesquiterpenoids and *in vitro* effects of 20 sesquiterpenoids on AChE activity.

Results and Discussion. – Previously, we screened three fractions from the EtOH extract of A. oxyphylla for their AChE activity, and found that AcOEt fraction displayed $30.4 \pm 2.6\%$ inhibition of the AChE activity at 0.1 mg/ml, higher than BuOH fraction $(9.1 \pm 4.2\%)$ and aqueous fraction $(6.5 \pm 3.5\%)$. Our previous phytochemical investigation on AcOEt fraction led to isolation of seven sesquiterpenoids, 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 14, and 19 (*Fig. 1*), among which 1 and 2 were two new halogenated sesquiterpenoids [10]. In our continuous search for bioactive constituents of the AcOEt fraction of A. oxyphylla, fourteen sesquiterpenoids, 3, 5-8, 11-13, 15-18, 20, and 21 (Fig. 1) were isolated, and they were identified as 12-nornootkaton-6-en-11-one (3), (+)-(3S,4aS,5R)-2,3,4,4a,5,6-hexahydro-3-isopropenyl-4a,5-dimethyl-1,7-naphthoquinone (5) [11], nootkatene (6) [12], $\beta\beta$ -hydroxynootkatone (7) [13], 2β -hydroxy- δ -cadinol (8) [14], 4-isopropyl-6-methyl-1-tetralone (11) [15], oxyphyllone E (12) [16], oxyphyllone D (13) [17], oxyphyllanene B (15) [7], oxyphyllone A (16) [18], oxyphyllol E (17) [9], (9E)-humulene-2,3;6,7-diepoxide (18) [19], mustakone (20) [20], and pubescone (21) [21] (Fig. 1). Among them, 3 was a new norsesquiterpenoid, 2β hydroxy- δ -cadinol (8) was a new natural product, and 5, 6, 11, 20, 21 were isolated from A. oxyphylla for the first time.

Compound **3** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. Its molecular formula was deduced as $C_{14}H_{18}O_2$, with six degrees of unsaturation, based on the peak at m/z 219.1375 ($[M + H]^+$, $C_{14}H_{19}O_2^+$; calc. 219.1380) in HR-ESI-MS. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **3** (*Table*) showed signals of two olefinic H-atoms at $\delta(H)$ 5.90 (br. *s*, 1 H) and 6.78 (br. *s*, 1 H), three Me groups at $\delta(H)$ 1.14 (d, J = 6.6, 3 H), 1.26 (s, 3 H), and 2.35 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR, DEPT, and HSQC spectra (*Table*) revealed the presence of 14 C-atoms, including three Me, three CH₂, and three CH groups, which include two olefinic C-atoms. These data suggested that **3** was a norsesquiterpenoid.

The ¹H,¹H-COSY correlations indicated the presence of $-CH=C-CH_2-CH_2$ and $-CH_2-CH(Me)-C-CH = C-$ fragments (*Fig.* 2). HMBC Spectrum (*Table, Fig.* 2) also showed the correlations from H–C(1) (δ (H) 5.90) to C(3), C(5), and C(9), from H–C(6) (δ (H) 6.78) to C(5), C(7), C(8), C(10), C(11), and C(15), from Me(13) (δ (H) 2.35) to C(7) and C(11), from Me(14) (δ (H) 1.14) to C(3) and C(5), and from Me(15) (δ (H) 1.26) to C(4), C(6), and C(10). Based on these correlations, the planar structure of **3** was determined as a 12-noreremophilane sesquiterpenoid (*Fig.* 2). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR assignments are compiled in the *Table*.

The relative configuration of **3** was determined by NOESY correlations (*Fig. 3*). The correlations $Me(14)/H_{\alpha}-C(3)$, $H_{\alpha}-C(8)$; $H_{\beta}-C(8)/H_{\beta}-C(4)$, $H_{\beta}-C(3)$; and $Me(15)/H_{\alpha}-C(3)$ indicated that Me(14) and Me(15) were α -oriented. Therefore, **3** was determined as a 12-norreemophilane and identified as 12-nornootkaton-6-en-11-one.



Fig. 1. Sesquiterpenoids 1-21 isolated from A. oxyphylla



Fig. 2. ¹*H*,¹*H*-COSY (-) and key HMB ($H \rightarrow C$) correlations of compound **3**

Combined with the seven sesquiterpenoids, 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, 14, and 19, previously isolated from *A. oxyphylla*, we evaluated the effects of 20 sesquiterpenoids (*Fig. 1*) on AChE activity. Although 6 was obtained in a relatively high amount, we found that it was easily converted to 4 when exposed to air or dissolved in MeOH; therefore, we did

390

1 5.90 (br. s)	124.9(d)	3, 5, 9
	109 2 (-)	- , - , -
2 –	198.5 (5)	
3 $2.40-2.43 (m, H_a), 2.37-2.40 (m, H_b)$	42.2(t)	1, 2, 4, 5, 14
4 2.13-2.20	37.2(d)	2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15
5 –	40.7 (s)	
6 6.78 (br. s)	143.3(d)	4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 15
7 –	137.9(s)	
8 $2.13-2.20$ (overlap, H_a), $2.8-2.86$ (m , H_{β})	24.7(t)	6, 7, 9, 10, 11
9 2.50-2.55 (<i>m</i>)	29.9(t)	1, 5, 7, 8, 10
10 –	168.0(s)	
11 –	198.5(s)	
13 $2.35(s)$	25.4(q)	6, 7, 11
14 $1.14(d, J = 6.6)$	15.1(q)	2, 3, 5
15 1.26 (s)	18.8(q)	4, 6, 10

Table. ¹*H- and* ¹³*C-NMR Data* (600 and 150 MHz, resp.; in CDCl₃) of Compound **3**. δ in ppm, *J* in Hz. Atom numbering as indicated in *Fig.* 1.



Fig. 3. Key NOSEY $(H \leftrightarrow H)$ correlations of compound 3

not test the activity of **6**. The methods frequently used for the determination of AChE activity are TLC bioautographic assay using Fast Blue B salt reagent, and 96-well microplate assay using *Ellman*'s reagent. Both of these two assays are considered suitable for the generation of new hits of AChE inhibitors. By comparison, the TLC assay is more suitable for the screening of crude extracts of plants as it allows separation and detection of active compounds at the same time, and the microplate assay is more appropriate for the screening of libraries of compounds, as it allows the possibility of automation and easy handling of large amounts of samples [22].

As shown in Fig. 4, the white spots in TLC autographic assay indicated that 1-4, 7, 14, 16, 18, 19, and 21 possessed anti-AChE activities at 10 nmol. The positive control galanthamine hydrobromide showed anti-AChE activity at 2 nmol. Nootkatone (4) is a major constituent of A. oxyphylla as shown in Fig. 4. This sesquiterpenoid was firstly isolated from heartwood of Alaska yellow cedar, and it was also detected in grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi*), pummelo (*Citrus grandis*), and vetiver (*Vetiveria zizanioides*) oil [23]. Miyazawa et al. evaluated the effect of nootkatone isolated from essential oils of C. paradisi on AChE from bovine erythrocytes using Ellman colorimetric assay and found that nootkatone showed 17.6% inhibition of AChE activity at the concentration



Fig. 4. Thin layer chromatogram (petroleum ether/AcOEt 3:1) of the crude EtOH extract (E, 10 mg/ml, 1 µl), 20 sesquiterpenoids (1-5 and 7-21, 10 mM, 1 µl) of A. oxyphylla, and the positive control galanthamine hydrobromide (G, 1 mM, 2 µl). a) Reference chromatogram sprayed with vanillin-sulfuric acid. b) TLC Bioautographic assay; spots indicate inhibition. c) Absence of white spots indicate that there was no false-positive result.

of 1.62 µg/ml [24]. In contrast, *Anderson* and *Coats* found that nootkatone (ranging from 0 to 30,000 µm) did not inhibit the AChE in house fly (*Musca domestica*), yellow fever mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*), American dog tick (*Dermacentor variabilis*), and American cockroach (*Periplaneta americana*) [25]. *Ibrahim et al.*, on the other hand,

reported that the interference of nootkatone with AChE of worker termites fluctuated from slight inhibition to significant activation [26]. These contradictory results may be associated with the diversity in the sources of AChE. Compounds 1-3, 5, and 7 are derivatives of nootkatone (4). Concerning the structure–activity relationship of eremophilane-type sesquiterpenoid 1-7 observed by TLC autographic assay, it seems that halogenation at C(12) has no effect on AChE activity, as in the case of 1 and 2. The white color produced by the new compound 3 was weaker than that observed for 4, implying that the inhibition of AChE decreased when 4 was oxygenized into 3 at C(6) and C(11). When 4 was oxygenized to 7 at C(9), the activity was still retained, however, the inhibition disappeared when 7 was further oxygenized to 5. None of the cadinanetyped sesquiterpenoids, 8-13, exhibited anti-AChE activity.

However, when these 20 sesquiterpenoids were further evaluated by microplate assay at 100 μ M (25 nmol in soln.), it was observed that only guaiane-type sesquiterpenoid **19**, humulane-type sesquiterpenoid **18**, 4,5-secoeudesmane-type sesquiterpenoid **16**, and carabrane sesquiterpenoid **21** showed anti-AChE activities, with the inhibition values of 56.1 ± 1.9 , 22.2 ± 2.2 , 16.2 ± 1.1 , and $10.1 \pm 0.3\%$, respectively (*Fig. 5*). In a further microplate assay, the positive control galanthamine hydrobromide and alpinenone (**19**) showed anti-AChE activity in a concentration-dependent manner (*Fig. 6*). Their *IC*₅₀ values were 1.3 ± 4.3 and $81.6 \pm 3.5 \mu$ M, respectively, calculated according to the SIGMAPLOT logarithm equations, $Y = 11.879 \ln (X) + 46.687 (R^2 = 0.9530)$ and $Y = 22.604 \ln (X) - 49.512 (R^2 = 0.9801)$, respectively.

In our experiment, six sesquiterpenoids, 1-4, 7, and 14, were positive in TLC bioautographic assay, while inactive in microplate assay. Only the results of 16, 18, 19, and 21 in both assays were convergent. The reasons for the difference observed in these two different assays have been discussed in detail by *Reist* and co-workers in 2008, and might be explained by the interaction of either AChE or test compounds with the



Fig. 5. AChE Inhibitory activities of sesquiterpenoids 19, 18, 16, 21, and galanthamine hydrobromide $(100 \ \mu m)$ in the 96-well microplate assay (n=3)



Fig. 6. Concentration-dependent anti-AChE activities of alpinenone (19) and the positive control galanthamine hydrobromide (n=3)

silica of the TLC plates, resulting in an altered affinity of the enzyme for the compounds [22].

Although the activities of sesquiterpenoids tested in this experiment were lower than galanthamine hydrobromide, the possible synergic anti-AChE activities of these sesquiterpenoids present in *A. oxyphylla* may support the traditional use of this fruit for the treatment of dementia. Further *in vivo* experiment are needed to verify this hypothesis.

We thankfully acknowledge the financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81073005), Shandong Province Young and Middle-Aged Scientists Research Awards Fund (BS2010YY032), Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Overseas Scholars, Ministry of Education of China (No. 42), and Innovation Project of Shandong University (2012TS102).

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO₂; 200–300 mesh; Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Group Co., Ltd., P. R. China), ODS-C18 (75 µm, YMC Co., Japan), MCI gel (CHP-20P, 75–150 µm, Mitsubishi Chemical Co., Japan), and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, USA). Semi-prep. HPLC: Shimadzu Prominence LC-20A liquid chromatography, with LC-20AT pumps, SPD-20A UV detector (Shimadzu Co., Japan) and a YMC-Pack ODS-A column (250 mm × 10 mm, 5 µm) (YMC Co., Japan). TLC: Silica Gel 60 GF254 plates (Merck, Germany); visualization by heating the plates sprayed with 10% H₂SO₄ or 5% vanillin-sulfuric acid reagent. UV Spectra: UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Co., Japan). Optical rotations: Gyromat-Hp digital automatic polarimeter (Kernchen Co., Germany). NMR Spectra: Bruker AV-600; δ in ppm, with Me₄Si as an internal standard; J in Hz. ESI-MS: API 4000 mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems Co., USA). HR-ESI-MS: LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Co., USA), in m/z. Microplate reader (model 680 UV, Bio-Rad) was used in the experiment for anti-AChE assay. *Chemicals and Reagents.* AChE from electric eel (type VI-S, lyophilized powder, 265 U/mg solid, 410 U/mg protein), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCI), 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic) acid (DTNB), 1-naphthyl acetate, naphthalen-1-ol and Fast Blue B salt were purchased from *Sigma.* Galanthamine hydrobromide was purchased from *Aladdin Chemistry*, China. Petroleum ether (PE), AcOEt, CH₂Cl₂, BuOH, EtOH, MeOH, and all other reagents were of anal. grade.

Plant Material. The fruits of *A. oxyphylla* were purchased from Shuyupingmin Pharmacy (Jinan, P. R. China) in March 2011 and authenticated by *L. X.* A voucher specimen (No. 090801) has been deposited with the Laboratory of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried fruits of A. oxyphylla (5 kg) were powdered and extracted with 95% EtOH (4×251) at r.t. The combined extracts were condensed to yield a dark brown crude extract (450 g). It was then suspended in 20% EtOH and partitioned with PE, AcOEt, and BuOH successively. The AcOEt extract (210 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column (1.4 kg, 200-300 mesh, $10 \times$ 75 cm) eluting with a gradient PE/AcOEt 100:1, 20:1, 10:1, 7:1, 5:1, 3:1, 2:1, 1:1 to yield twelve fractions, Frs. 1-12. Fr. 2 (6.7 g) was subjected to CC (silica gel; PE/AcOEt 80:1 \rightarrow 5:1) to yield six fractions, Frs. 2.1-2.6. Fr. 2.3 (230 mg) was purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 95:5) to obtain **11** ($t_{\rm R}$ 16.2 min; 4.2 mg). Crystallization (EtOH) of *Fr.* 2.5 yielded compound **18** (40 mg). *Fr.* 2.6 (1.0 g) was purified by MCI and silica-gel CC, and semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 75:25) to furnish 5 (t_R 23.0 min; 19.6 mg). Fr. 4 (1.6 g) was separated by CC (ODS-C18; EtOH/H₂O $22:78 \rightarrow 90:10$) to afford three fractions, Frs. 4.1-4.3. Fr. 4.2 (600 mg) was further purified by repeated CC (silica gel) and semiprep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 85:15) to give 6 (t_R 30.6 min; 51.3 mg). Fr. 5 (7.0 g) was subjected to CC $(MCI; EtOH/H_2O 35:65 \rightarrow 70:30)$ to afford three fractions, Frs. 5.1 – 5.3. Fr. 5.1 (1.2 g) was subjected to CC (silica gel; petroleum ether (PE)/CH₂Cl₂ 1:2 \rightarrow 1:40) to yield five fractions, Frs. 5.1.1 – 5.1.5. Fr. 5.1.2 (200 mg) was further purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 60:40) to give **20** (t_R 19.5 min; 26.0 mg) and 12 (t_R 27.6 min; 35.6 mg). Besides, Fr. 5.1.3 (120 mg) was further purified by semi-prep. HPLC $(MeOH/H_2O 64:36)$ to afford **17** (t_R 32.1 min; 18.8 mg) and **13** (t_R 35.2 min; 5.3 mg). Fr. 7 (5.8 g) was subjected to CC (MCI; EtOH/H₂O 20:80 \rightarrow 100:0) to afford three fractions, Frs. 7.1–7.3. Fr 7.2 (1.3 g) was then subjected to CC (silica gel; PE/CH₂Cl₂ 1:4 \rightarrow 0:1) to yield five fractions, Frs. 7.2.1-7.2.5. Fr. 72.3 (50 mg) was further purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 55:45) to yield **21** (t_R 40.5 min; 9.1 mg). Fr. 7.2.4 (82 mg) was further purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 52:48) to furnish 15 (t_R 20.1 min; 10.9 mg), 16 (t_R 32.5 min; 10.8 mg), and 3 (t_R 34.6 min; 7.0 mg). Fr. 8 (11.1 g) was purified by CC (ODS-C18; EtOH/H₂O 20:80 \rightarrow 100:0) to afford nine fractions, Frs. 8.1-8.9. Fr. 8.5 (1.8 g) was subjected to CC (silica gel; CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt 20:1 \rightarrow 4:1) to yield five fractions, Frs. 8.5.1-8.5.5. Fr. 8.5.2 (80 mg) was further purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 65:35) to provide 7 (t_R 37.5 min; 14.6 mg). Fr. 9 (25 g) was separated by CC (silica gel; $CH_2Cl_2/AcOEt$ (95:5 \rightarrow 5:5) to afford ten fractions, Frs. 9.1-9.10. Fr. 9.4 (5.1 g) was purified by repeated CC (silica gel) to yield twelve fractions, Frs. 9.4.1 – 9.4.12. Fr. 9.4.4 (82 mg) was separated by CC (silica gel; CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 10:1 \rightarrow 2:1) to afford six fractions, Frs. 9.4.4.1-9.4.4.6. Fr. 9.4.4.3 (15 mg) was further purified by CC (Sephadex LH-20; EtOH) to afford 8 (2.3 mg).

12-Nornootkatone-6-ene-11-one (=(4R,4aR)-6-Acetyl-4,4a-dimethyl-4,4a,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2(3H)-one; **3**). White amorphous powder. [a]₂^b = +14.6 (c = 0.1, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 233 (4.01). ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see the *Table*. HR-ESI-MS: 219.1375 ([M + H]⁺, C₁₄H₁₉O⁺₂; calc. 219.1380).

Sample Preparation for Anti-AChE Assay. The EtOH extract of A. oxyphylla, together with the AcOEt, BuOH, and residual aq. fractions, were dissolved in MeOH to obtain the stock solns. with a concentration of 10 mg/ml. Compounds were dissolved in MeOH or deionized H_2O to yield 10 mM stock solns.

TLC Bioautographic Assay for AChE Inhibition Activity. The stock solns. (10 mM) of 20 sesquiterpenoids, together with the positive control galanthamine hydrobromide, were applied onto silica-gel plate and separated by proper developing solvent, and then applied to TLC bioautographic assay as described in [27]. Briefly, the TLC plate was sprayed with the enzyme soln. (1 U/ml in buffer A) and 1-naphthyl acetate soln. (1.5 mg/ml; dissolved in 40% EtOH) subsequently. The TLC plate was quickly blown with cold air to remove EtOH, then it was put in a closed vessel containing some H₂O for humidity (*Note:* the TLC plate may not contact H₂O) at 37°. After incubation for 15 min, the TLC plate

was sprayed with Fast Blue B salt (0.5 mg/ml; dissolved in distilled H₂O). As reaction of 1-naphthyl acetate and AChE led to the production of naphthalen-1-ol, which showed purple color when reacted with Fast Blue B salt, the compound which had AChE inhibitory activity gives a white spot on the purple background. To eliminate the false-positive results, 1-naphthyl acetate was replaced by naphthalen-1-ol in above experiment. A white spot with this reagent would indicate a false positive-result.

Microplate Assay for AChE Inhibition Activity. Above stock solns. were diluted ten times with buffer A (50 mM *Tris* · HCl; pH 8), and the diluted solns. were used in the 96-well microplate assay. AChE Inhibition activity was measured based on *Ellman*'s method with slight modifications [28]. In the 96-well microplate, 25 μ l of ATCI (15 mM in deionized H₂O), 125 μ l of DTNB (3 mM in buffer *C* (50 mM *Tris* · HCl, pH 8, containing 0.1M NaCl and 0.02M MgCl₂ · 6 H₂O)), 50 μ l of buffer *B* (50 mM *Tris* · HCl, pH 8, containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin), 25 μ l of sample soln. or blank (MeOH or deionized H₂O diluted with buffer *A*) were added, and the absorbance was measured at 405 nm every 25 s for five times. Thereafter, 25 μ l of 0.22 U/ml AChE (diluted with buffer *B*) was added, and the absorbance was measured again every 25 s for eight times. Galanthamine hydrobromide was used as the positive control. Percentage of inhibition was calculated by comparing the reaction rates for the sample to the blank. The results were expressed as mean ± SD.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Kása, Z. Rakonczay, K. Gulya, Prog. Neurobiol. 1997, 52, 511.
- [2] P. K. Mukherjee, V. Kumar, M. Mal, P. J. Houghton, Phytomedicine 2007, 14, 289.
- [3] P. Chen, P. P. Wang, G. H. Shao, L. Xiang, J. Chin. Pharm. Sci. 2013, 22, 106.
- [4] Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission, 'Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China', China Medical Science Press, Beijing, 2010, Vol. 1, p. 273.
- [5] O. Muraoka, M. Fujimoto, G. Tanabe, M. Kubo, T. Minematsu, H. Matsuda, T. Morikawa, I. Toguchida, M. Yoshikawa, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2001, 11, 2217.
- [6] T. Morikawa, H. Matsuda, I. Toguchida, K. Ueda, M. Yoshikawa, J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 1468.
- [7] J. J. Xu, C. J. Ji, Y. M. Zhang, J. Su, Y. Li, N. H. Tan, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 1660.
- [8] J. G. Luo, X. Q. Lü, X. B. Wang, L. Y. Kong, Phytochem. Lett. 2012, 5, 134.
- [9] X. Q. Lü, J. G. Luo, X. B. Wang, J. S. Wang, J. Luo, L. Y. Kong, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2011, 59, 402.
- [10] P. Chen, L. Qu, L. Tian, P. P. Wang, L. Xiang, Helv. Chim. Acta 2013, 96, 1163.
- [11] E. Demole, P. Enggist, Helv. Chim. Acta 1983, 66, 1381.
- [12] E. D. Lund, R. L. Coleman, M. G. Moshonas, Phytochemistry 1970, 9, 2419.
- [13] M. Furusawa, T. Hashimoto, Y. Noma, Y. Asakawa, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2005, 53, 1423.
- [14] Y. T. Lin, Y. S. Cheng, Y. H. Kuo, K. C. Lin, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 1974, 21, 31.
- [15] T. L. Ho, P. F. Yang, Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 181.
- [16] J. J. Xu, N. H. Tan, G. Z. Zeng, H. J. Han, Y. F. Peng, Chin. J. Nat. Med. 2010, 8, 6.
- [17] J. J. Xu, N. H. Tan, Y. S. Chen, X. L. Pan, G. Z. Zeng, H. J. Han, C. J. Ji, M. J. Zhu, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2009, 92, 1621.
- [18] J. J. Xu, N. H. Tan, J. Xiong, A. H. Adebayo, H. J. Han, G. Z. Zeng, C. J. Ji, Y. M. Zhang, M. J. Zhu, *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 2009, 20, 945.
- [19] J. J. Xu, N. H. Tan, G. Z. Zeng, H. J. Han, H. Q. Huang, C. J. Ji, M. J. Zhu, Y. M. Zhang, China J. Chin. Mater. Med. 2009, 34, 990.
- [20] B. Nyasse, R. G. Tih, B. L. Sondengam, M. T. Martin, B. Bodo, Phytochemistry 1988, 27, 3319.
- [21] R. Wang, L. L. Liu, Y. P. Shi, Helv. Chim. Acta 2010, 93, 2081.
- [22] S. Di Giovanni, A. Borloz, A. Urbain, A. Marston, K. Hostettmann, P. A. Carrupt, M. Reist, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2008, 33, 109.
- [23] M. A. Fraatz, R. G. Berger, H. Zorn, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2009, 83, 35.
- [24] M. Miyazawa, H. Tougo, M. Ishihara, Nat. Prod. Lett. 2001, 15, 205.
- [25] J. A. Anderson, J. R. Coats, Pestic. Biochem. Phys. 2012, 102, 124.

396

Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 97 (2014)

- [26] S. Ibrahim, G. Henderson, R Cross, J. Z. Sun, R. Laine, Afr. Crop Sci. Conf. Proc. 2007, 8, 1125.
- [27] Z. D. Yang, X. Zhang, D. Z. Duan, Z. W. Song, M. J. Yang, S. Li, J. Sep. Sci. 2009, 32, 3257.
 [28] K. Ingkaninan, P. Temkitthawon, K. Chuenchom, T. Yuyaem, W. Thongnoi, J. Ethnopharmacol. 2003, 89, 261.

Received March 7, 2013