

Tetrahedron Letters 40 (1999) 1583-1586

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

# THE ALKYLATION OF A NOVEL ACETAL DERIVED FROM (2*R*,3*R*)-(+)-TARTARIC ACID: AN UNEXPECTED REARRANGEMENT

M. Teresa Barros,<sup>b</sup> Anthony J. Burke,<sup>a</sup> and Christopher D. Maycock<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológia, Rua da Quinta Grande 6, Apartado 127, 2780 Oeiras, Portugal.

<sup>b</sup>Faculdade de Ciencias e Tecnologia da Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Departamento de Química, 2825 Monte da Caparica,

Portugal.

Received 19 October 1998; accepted 14 December 1998

Abstract: The novel chiral bis-acetal dioxane 2a derived from (2R,3R)-(+)-tartaric acid was shown to undergo an unexpected rearrangement upon treatment with lithium amide base to give the chiral dioxolane 3a in optically active form. Alkylation and aldol studies were performed on the diisopropyl ester of this dioxolane 3b. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tartaric acid is a chiral building block, useful for the asymmetric synthesis of natural products,<sup>1</sup> the synthesis of ligands for asymmetric catalysis<sup>2</sup> and the synthesis of inclusion complex host molecules.<sup>3</sup> Recently we have prepared a novel  $C_2$  symmetric chiral *bis*-acetal compound **2a**, namely dimethyl (2*R*,3*R*,5*R*,6*R*)-dimethoxy-2,3-dimethyl-1,4-dioxane-5,6-dicarboxylate derived from (*L*)-(+)-tartaric acid 1. It was prepared in good yield using an acetal exchange reaction with 2,2,3,3-tetramethoxybutane (TMB) a reagent recently used extensively as a protecting group for vicinal diols particularly in cyclic systems.<sup>4</sup>



#### Scheme 1

Compound 2a is a highly crystalline substance at normal temperatures, with a rigid conformation which is controlled by a double anomeric effect, making it a potentially useful substrate for various stereoselective transformations. Seebach and Naef<sup>5</sup> have previously shown that the enolate of dimethyl (2R,3R)-Oisopropylidene-L-tartrate could be successfully deprotonated with LDA and subsequently quenched with several electrophiles to give alkylated and hydroxyalkylated products in good yields and with high diastereoselectivity. Later, Evans and coworkers<sup>6</sup> demonstrated that the silyl ketene acetal of di-*tert*-butyl (2S,3S)-O-cyclopentylidene-D-tartrate undergoes a variety of highly diastereoselective Mukaiyama type aldol reactions with various prochiral aldehydes and activated ketones. Prompted by these literature reports we were interested in examining the propensity of compound 2a to form lithium enolates and dienolates and to trap these with various electrophiles in an attempt to mono and dialkylate the molecule. However, initial lithium amide deprotonation/ reprotonation experiments showed that a mixture of three compounds was obtained, which were identified as the unusual dioxolane  $3a^7$  and the dimethoxydioxane diastereomers 4a and 5a, respectively (Scheme 2). These latter compounds correspond to the protected forms of D- and meso-tartaric acid respectively. This interesting<sup>8</sup> reaction was explored further and the results are outlined in the Table. The configurations of compounds 4a and 5a have been unambiguously assigned by X-ray crystallographic analysis. Dioxolane 3a was found to be optically active  $\{[\alpha]_{D}^{21}$ -129.7 (c 1.42, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\}$  thus confirming the *trans* disposition of the ring methoxyl groups, indicating that chirality has been transferred from the tartaric acid backbone to that of the dioxolane. A mechanism is proposed (Scheme 3) which also accounts for the formation of the optically active dioxolane species 3a. It is thought that the mono-enolate that results from treatment of 2a with LDA,  $\beta$ -eliminates forming a dimethyl maleate anionic species 7 which closes in a 5-*exo*-trig manner *via* an intra-molecular Michael addition to afford the dioxolane 3a after protonation of the intermediate enolate 9. Scheme 2



(a) LDA, THF, -100°C to -70°C; (b) MeOH, -100°C to -70°C; (c) 10% NH₄Cl(aq.) -70°C to r.t.

LDA (equivs)	Reaction Time (mins)	Proton Source	Products <sup>a</sup>			
			5a (%) 4a (%) 3a (%) 2a(%)			
1.0	5	MeOH	7	6	50	12
1.0	18	MeOH	11	8	44	8
1.0	40	MeOH	10	9	51	5
1.0	60	MeOH	9	7	56	5
2.1	5	MeOH	24	18	41	0
2.1	18	MeOH	29	14	44	0
2.1	60	MeOH	22	18	37	0

Table: Deprotonation/Reprotonation of Compound 2a

<sup>a</sup> Product distribution established by <sup>1</sup>H nmr analysis

This reaction is an example where the 5-exo-trig ring closure mode seems to be preferred over 6-Endo-Trig ring closure. The use of two equivalents of base gave no recovered starting material and this was compensated for by enhancement of the yields of dioxanes 4a and 5a, respectively. At the present the reason for this has not been determined but may be due to dianion formation. The yield of rearrangement product 3awas slightly better in most of the cases where one equivalent of LDA was used. The mechanistic possibilities for this rearrangement are indicated in Scheme 3. The formation of the *trans*-diaxial isomer 4a can be explained in two ways: either by formation of the monoenolate 8 and protonation of the same or by protonation of the dienolate 10 formed from 6 or 8. That dienolate formation occurred has been established by deuteration experiments. A sample of 2a was treated with 1 equivalent of LDA and quenched with MeOD. From the integration values measured on the <sup>1</sup>H nmr spectrum of the crude product and from mass spectroscopic analysis of a purified sample of 4a, it was established that there was dideuterated 4a present and that the proportion of di- to monodeuterated 4a was *ca*. 1:1. Compound 5a is postulated to be formed by either protonation of monoenolates 6 and 8 or of the dienolate 10. That the transformation 7 to 8 is reversible was established when compound 5b was shown to give a mixture of 3b (34%), 2b (3%), 5b (23%) and 4b (6%) upon treatment with 1.3 equivalents of LDA for 35 min. That step  $a^2$  was not reversible was demonstrated when dioxolane 3a was treated with LDA (1.5 eq.). After a 30 min enolate formation time, reprotonation afforded only recovered 3a. That none of the protonated maleate diester species 7 was isolated from any of these experiments would seem to infer that ring closure is very fast.



## Scheme 3

Compound 3a proved difficult to isolate in a pure state owing to its tendancy to eluate with the diaxial isomer 4a during chromatographic separation attempts and thus it became necessary to look to other ester derivatives. The dioxalane derivative 3b { $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  -108.79 (c 4.66, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)} was synthesised in good yield *via* the diisopropyl ester derivative 2b (Scheme 4). Two equivalents of LDA were routinely used since purification was simpler and good yields of the dioxolane 3b were thus obtained.

Alkylation studies upon 3b have only been moderately fruitful thus far. The two best electrophiles to-date being methyl iodide and benzaldehyde. Attempted alkylations using electrophiles such as benzyl bromide, allyl bromide and ethyl iodide gave only starting material and decomposition products. Alkylation with methyl iodide gave the dioxolane derivative 11 as a mixture of two diastereomers in 40% yield and in a 2:1 ratio, whilst aldol reaction with benzaldehyde gave compound 12 in 70% yield as a 4:1 mixture of only two diastereomers.

## Scheme 4



a) Ti(O<sup>/</sup>Pr)<sub>4</sub>, (0.1 eq.), 2-propanol, reflux; b) LDA (2.1eq.), THF, -78°C, 15 min; c) MeOH (4 eq.), -78°C; d) NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (aq.), -78°C to r.t.

In conclusion, a potentially useful chiral dioxane derivative has been easily prepared in good yield from readily available and cheap L-(+)-tartaric acid. It was found that compound 2a undergoes an unusual, base induced rearrangement to give a chiral dioxolane compound in which the chirality has been transfered from the tartaric acid precursor onto the resulting dioxolane ring. Preliminary studies indicate that 2a and its rearrangement product 3b have potential as substrates for asymmetric synthesis and studies are continuing in this respect.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank FUNDAÇÃO PARA A CIENCIA E A TECNOLOGIA for financial support (to AJB).

## **REFERENCES and NOTES**

- Some examples are: Lee, Y.S.; Kang, D. W.; Lee, S. J.; Park, H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7149; Sawada, T.; Shirai, R.; Iwasaki, S. Tetrahedron Letters 1996, 37, 885. Fernandez, A-M.; Plaquevent, J-C.; Duhamel, L. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4007. Jayaraman, M.; Deshmukh, R. A. S.; Bhawal, B.M. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 932. Mikolajczyk, M.; Mikinia, M.; Wieczorek, M.W.; Blaszczyk, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1560.
- Some examples are: Bedekar, A.V.; Koroleva, E.B.; Anderson, P.G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2518. Harm, A.M.; Knight, J.G.; Stemp, G. Synlett 1996, 677. Imai, Y.; Zhang, W.; Kida, T.; Nakatsuji, Y.; Ikeda, I. Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1996, 7, 2453. Bussche-Hünnefeld, J. L.; Seebach, D. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 5719.
- Some examples are: Kuroda, Y.; Kato, Y.; Ito, M.; Hasegawa, J-Y.; Ogoshi, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10338. Toda, F.; Miyamoto, H.; Ohta, H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 1601. Weber, E.; Dorpinghaus, N.; Wimmer, C.; Stein, Z.; Krupitsky, H.; Goldberg, I. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6825.
- Ley, S. V.; Priepke, H. W. M.; Warriner, S. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994 33, 2290. Berens, U.; Leckel, D.; Oepen, S. C. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 8204. Montchamp J-L.; Tian, F.; Hart, M.E.; Frost J.W. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3897.
- 5. Naef, R.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng. 1981, 20, 1030.
- 6. Evans, D.A.; Trotter, B.W.; Barrow, J.C. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 8779.
- 7. Satisfactory spectroscopic and analytical data have been obtained for this compound.
- Preliminary communication: Barros, M. T.; Burke, A. J.; Maycock, C. D. 12th International Conference on Organic Synthesis (ICOS-12), Venice, Italy, June 28-July 2, 1998.