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#### Abstract

An efficient enantioselective synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline has been achieved by two routes, via proline-catalyzed $\alpha$-aminooxylation as well as $\alpha$-amination of phenylpropanaldehyde as the key step. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

$N$-Methyl- $N$-((R)-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)prop-2-yn-1-amine (1) (Selegiline), a levorotatory acetylenic derivative of phenethylamine is commonly referred to in the clinical and pharmacological literature as L-deprenyl. It is a selective, irreversible inhibitor of monoamineoxidase-B (MOA) and is quite effective in the treatment of Parkinson's disease as well as Alzheimer's disease ${ }^{1}$ when used along with L-DOPA. Selegiline (1) has been reported to retard the further deterioration of cognitive functions to more advanced milestones in Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{2}$ The propargylamine pharmacophore of selegiline (1) and related compounds also appears to have neuroprotective activity independent of MAO inhibition. ${ }^{3}$ All these biological properties make selegiline (1) a very attractive synthetic target. Even though several methods are available for the synthesis of racemic selegiline, ${ }^{4}$ scant attention ${ }^{5}$ has been given to the enantioselective synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline (1).

(R)-Selegiline 1

All the methods for the synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline (1) make use of either $(R)$-deoxyephedrine, or L-phenyl alanine as the starting material, or classical resolution of amines using D-tartaric acid. Recently, we have reported the synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline (1) using $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation. ${ }^{6}$ All these methods suffer from the fact that

[^0]they involve resolution of racemic selegiline where half of the material is lost, or involve use of a toxic osmium catalyst. In this context, a process for its direct production of the pure enantiomer from a prochiral substrate is highly desirable. As a part of our research program aimed at developing stereocontrolled synthesis of bioactive molecules, ${ }^{7}$ herein we report a short and effective procedure for the synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline (1) in high enantiomeric purity using pro-line-catalyzed $\alpha$-aminooxylation and $\alpha$-amination of commercially available 3 -phenylpropanaldehyde (2).

## 2. Result and discussion

The field of asymmetric organocatalysis is rapidly developing and attracts an increasing number of research groups around the world. In particular, organo-catalytic asymmetric syntheses have provided several new methods for obtaining chiral compounds in an efficient manner. ${ }^{8}$ In this context, proline, an abundant, inexpensive amino acid available in both enantiomeric forms, has emerged as arguably the most practical and versatile organocatalyst. ${ }^{9}$ Proline has also been found to be an excellent asymmetric catalyst for $\alpha$-functionalization ${ }^{10,11}$ of carbonyl compounds. In this paper we describe the enantioselective synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline (1) by two routes employing proline-catalyzed $\alpha$-aminooxylation ${ }^{10}$ and $\alpha$-amination ${ }^{11}$ of 3-phenylpropanaldehyde (2).

Firstly, $\alpha$-aminooxylation ${ }^{10 e}$ of 3-phenylpropanaldehyde (2) was carried out using nitrosobenzene and l-proline $(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish an aminooxy aldehyde in situ, which on reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ afforded the $\alpha$-aminooxy alcohol 3. Aminooxy alcohol 3 was then reduced to the corresponding diol $\mathbf{4}$ in $88 \%$ yield using hydrogenation conditions ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The diol 4 was activated as its primary tosylate and then converted in to the
corresponding epoxide 5 on treatment with NaH . The epoxide 5 was then subjected to selective reductive ring opening at the terminal position with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ to give the secondary alcohol 6 in very good yield ( $92 \%$ ). The optical purity of the alcohol 6 was determined from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis of its Mosher ester, which showed the enantiomeric excess to be $99 \%$. The alcohol 6 was then converted to N -methylamine 10 in a four-step reaction sequence: (i) mesylation of alcohol 6 and treatment with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ to give the corresponding azide 7; (ii) reduction of azide 7 to amine $\mathbf{8}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%\right)$; (iii) protection of amine $\mathbf{8}$ with $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $N$-Boc protected amine 9 ; (iv) reduction of $N$-Boc group in 9 using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ to give $N$-methylamine 10. Propargylation of amine $\mathbf{1 0}$ using propargyl bromide furnished ( $R$ )-selegiline (1) in $26 \%$ overall yield and $99 \%$ ee; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.7$ (c 6.5, EtOH); $\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{12}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.8$ (c 6.4, EtOH) \}.

The synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline (1) using $\alpha$-amination (List's protocol) ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ not only reduces the total number of steps (increase the overall yield) but also rules out the inversion of the chiral center, which is required in the $\alpha$-aminooxylation approach. Thus, 3-phenylpropanaldehyde (2) was reacted with dibenzyl azodicarboxylate in the presence of D-proline ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) to give an aminoaldehyde, which on reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ afforded the protected amino alcohol 11 in $95 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ The amino alcohol 11 was then hydrogenated using Raney-nickel as catalyst to give aminoalcohol, which was converted to the corresponding carbamate 12 using $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The primary alcohol was then tosylted ( $p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ), which on reduction with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ gave the methylamine $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $81 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.36$ (c 4.0, EtOH); $\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{13}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{21}-10.9$ $(c 4.2, \mathrm{EtOH})\}$. The secondary amine was propargylated using propargyl bromide under standard conditions to give $(R)$ selegiline (1) in $37 \%$ overall yield; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.28$ (c 6.5, $\mathrm{EtOH}) ;\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{12}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.8(c 6.4, \mathrm{EtOH})\right\}($ Schemes 1 and 2).

## 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully applied proline-catalyzed $\alpha$-aminooxylation and $\alpha$-amination strategies toward the synthesis of $(R)$-selegiline $(\mathbf{1})$. The reactions are rapid, and require a relatively low amount of an inexpensive and


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) dibenzyl azodicarboxylate, d-proline ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 95 \%$; (ii) (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 60 psi ), Raney-Nickel, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$ for two steps; (iii) (a) $p-\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$ for two steps; (iv) propargylbromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.
nontoxic proline-catalyst that is available in both enantiomeric forms. The high overall yields ( $26 \%$ via $\alpha$-aminooxylation and $37 \%$ via $\alpha$-amination) and the reduced number of steps render our approach a good alternative to the known methods.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. General information

Solvents were purified and dried by standard procedures before use. Melting points were uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured using sodium D line on a JASCO-181 digital polarimeter. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC-200 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out on a Carlo Erba CHNS-O analyzer.
4.1.1. (2R)-3-Phenyl-2-( $N$-phenylaminooxy)propan-1-ol (3). To a stirred solution of 3-phenylpropanaldehyde (2) $(3.35 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and nitrosobenzene $(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 30 mL ) was added L-proline ( $483 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in one portion at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , the temperature was lowered to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by dilution with anhyd MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and careful addition of excess $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(1.44 \mathrm{~g}$, 38 mmol ). The reaction was quenched after 10 min by pouring the reaction mixture into a vigorously stirred biphasic solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) PhNO, l-proline ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DMSO, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ then $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, 86 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, $88 \%$; (iii) (a) p-TsCl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$ for two steps; (iv) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; (v) (a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}{ }_{2}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$ for two steps; (vi) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (vii) $(\mathrm{Boc}){ }_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (viii) LiAlH 4 , THF, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (ix) propargyl bromide, anhyd $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.

EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc/Pet. ether (40:60) as eluant to give pure aminooxy alcohol 3.

Yield: $4.4 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$; gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+42.08$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{e}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+42.1\left(\right.$ c $\left.\left.0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 700, 736, 908, 1029, 1070, 1265, 1454, 1494, 1600, 2925, 3028, 3060, 3280, 3404; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : 2.84 (dd, $J=13.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.02 (dd, $J=13.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.1$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.13-7.31 (m, 7H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 36.6,64.4,84.9,114.5,122.3,126.5,128.3,128.7$, 129.3, 137.6, 148.0. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 74.05$; H, 7.04; N, $5.76 \%$; found C, $74.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.95$; N, $5.70 \%$.
4.1.2. (R)-3-Phenylpropane-1,2-diol (4). The aminooxy alcohol 3 ( $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 15 mL ) and to the solution was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mg})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred in a hydrogen atmosphere ( 1 atm , balloon pressure) for 12 h . After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC) the reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad, concentrated, and the crude product was then purified by silica gel chromatography using $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Pet. ether $(30: 70)$ as eluant to give pure diol 4.

Yield: $0.67 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$; colorless solid; mp: $46-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C},\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{14}$ mp: $\left.46-47{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right\} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+35.1(c 1, \mathrm{EtOH}) ;\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{14}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{18}+35.4$ (c 1, EtOH) \}; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 557,657,700,750,771$, 858, 1031, 1089, 1176, 1218, 1456, 1602, 2860, 3026, 3392; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 2.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72$ (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (dd, $J=11.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 39.7,65.7,73.1$, 126.4, 128.4, 129.3, 138.0. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C , $71.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.95 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 71.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.84 \%$.
4.1.3. (R)-(2,3-Epoxypropyl)benzene (5). To a stirred solution of ( $R$ )-3-phenylpropane-1,2-diol $4(457 \mathrm{mg}$, 3 mmol ) and triethylamine ( $460 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $572 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , the mixture was poured into ice water ( 30 mL ), washed with $20 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, and dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to give the crude tosylate. This was then dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ) followed by the addition of sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ oil dispersion, $120 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion (monitored by TLC) the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of water and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc/Pet. ether (5:95) as eluant to give pure epoxide 5.

Yield: 0.33 g ( $81 \%$ for two steps); colorless liquid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}$ +17.6 (c $1.9, \mathrm{EtOH}) ;\left\{\right.$ lit. $^{15}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+17.5$ (c $\left.\left.1.94, \mathrm{EtOH}\right)\right\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 698,736,847,968,1030,1132,1259$, $1456,1506,1652,2854,2920 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 2.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-$ $3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 38.7,46.6,52.3,126.6,128.5,128.9,137.1$. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 80.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 80.67$; H, 7.55\%.
4.1.4. (S)-1-Phenylpropan-2-ol (6). To a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(137 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise a solution of ( $R$ )-(2,3-epoxypropyl)benzene (5) ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was quenched by addition of EtOAc. The reaction mixture was treated with $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, the white precipitate formed was filtered off and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Pet. ether ( $10: 90$ ) as eluant to give the corresponding alcohol 6.

Yield: $0.38 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$; colorless liquid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+50.8$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{16}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+39.7\left(c 0.515, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 742, 771, 941, 1032, 1080, 1121, 1219, 1339, 1458, 1541, 1652, 2930, 2968, 3031, 3296; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.0(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.88-4.04 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.28 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 22.4,45.5,68.5,126.0,128.1,129.2,138.5$. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 79.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.88 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 79.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.97 \%$.
4.1.5. Mosher's ester of 6 . To a stirred solution of $N, N^{\prime}-$ dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 2 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere was added dropwise a solution of alcohol $6(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min and $(R)$ - $\alpha$-methoxy-$\alpha$-trifluoromethylphenyl acetic acid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise followed by stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ), dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give Mosher ester of the alcohol 6.

Yield: $55 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$; gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+137.58\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 650,735,911,957,1015,1122,1217,1242$, 1348, 1495, 1519, 1606, 1753, 2850, 2927, 2952, 3158; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.79 (dd, $J=13.9,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (dd, $J=13.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.39$ (sextet, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.06-7.43 (m, 10H).
4.1.6. 1-(( $\boldsymbol{R})$-2-Azidopropyl)benzene (7). To a stirred solution of ( $S$ )-1-phenylpropan-2-ol ( 6 ) ( $408 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $460 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added methanesulfonyl chloride ( $232 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise via syringe. After stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h , the mixture was poured into ice water ( 30 mL ), washed with $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, and dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to give the crude mesylate, which was dissolved in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of sodium azide $(195 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was then heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h and then quenched by addition of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$,
the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc/Pet. ether (5:95) as eluant to yield pure azide 7.

Yield: 0.37 g ( $76 \%$ for two steps); gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-49.5$ (c 1.24, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 700,744,771,873,914,1032$, 1124, 1259, 1456, 1602, 2112, 2858, 2933, 3031; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.25$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.69 (dd, $J=13.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (sextet, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14-7.33 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 18.9,42.4,58.8,126.6,128.4,129.2$, 137.6. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.88 ; \mathrm{N}$, $26.07 \%$; found $67.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 26.15 \%$.
4.1.7. ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-1-Phenylpropan-2-amine (8). 1-(( $R$ )-2-Azidopropyl)benzene (7) ( $483 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to it under hydrogen atmosphere ( 1 atm , balloon pressure). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure to give $0.4 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$ of pure $(R)$-1-phenylpropan-2-amine (8).

Yield: $0.4 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$; gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-30.3(c 2.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{13}$ $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-30.2(c 2.55, \mathrm{MeOH})\right\} ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 700,750$, 810, 870, 1090, 1100, 1195, 1400, 1435, 1505, 1650, 2995, 3010, 3055, 3415; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.23$ (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (dd, $J=13.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.18-7.34 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 18.3$, 41.7, 50.4, 128.4, 129.9, 130.5, 137.3. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 79.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.36 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 80.06 ; \mathrm{H}$, 9.62 ; N, $10.31 \%$.
4.1.8. tert-Butyl (R)-1-phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate (9). To a stirred solution of amine $8(406 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added triethylamine $(460 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(654 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc/Pet. ether (15:85) as eluant to give pure carbamate 9 .

Yield: $0.67 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$; gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+7.62\left(c \quad 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 700,771,876,941,1061,1173,1250$, 1366, 1456, 1508, 1703, 2852, 2935, 2978, 3360; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.08$ (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.42 (s, 9H), 2.64 (dd, $J=13.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.84 (dd, $J=13.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37$ (br s, 1H), 7.14-7.32 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 20.1,28.4,43.0,47.41,78.9$, 126.2, 128.2, 129.4, 138.2, 155.0. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 71.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.95 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 71.5 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.97; N, 5.91\%.

### 4.1.9. (S)-2-Amino- $N, N$-dibenzyloxycarbonylhydrazino-

 3-phenylpropan-1-ol (11). To a stirred mixture containing dibenzyl azodicarboxylate ( $660 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $S$ )-proline ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\left(20 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3-phenylpropanaldehyde (2) ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was addedand stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was warmed to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ within 1 h during, which time it became colorless. It was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with ethanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(80 \mathrm{mg})$, and stirred for 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc/Pet. ether (30:70) as eluant to yield the amino alcohol 11 in $95 \%$ yield.

Yield: $1.24 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}: 38-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+29.86$ (c 0.4 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.80,67.81,68.2,68.15,68.28$, $127.25,128.38,128.46,128.71,129.12,135.25,135.78$. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C, $69.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.03 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.45 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 69.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.06 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.41 \%$.
4.1.10. tert-Butyl (S)-1-hydroxy-3-phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate (12). Aminoalcohol 11 ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred slurry of Raney-Nickel $(300 \mathrm{mg}$, pre-washed with dry methanol) in dry methanol ( 10 mL ) and AcOH ( 12 drops). The mixture was hydrogenated $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ 60 Psi ) in a Parr shaker for 16 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered over Celite, and the solvent was evaporated in a rotavapour to give the crude product ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ), which was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of triethylamine $(139 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then quenched by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc/Pet. ether (25:75) as eluant to give pure $N$-Boc protected amino alcohol 12.

Yield: 0.1 g ( $66 \%$ for two steps); $\mathrm{mp}: 96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-26.6$ (c 1.0, MeOH), $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{13}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}-27(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})\right\} ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 775, $885,1007,1168,1269,1316,1456,1526,1684$, 2925, 2980, 3356; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.4$ (s, 9H), 2.84 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.53 (dd, $J=11.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.64 (dd, $J=11.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.84 (br s, 1 H ), 4.9 (br s, 1H), 7.19-7.29 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 28.19,37.33,53.49,63.43,79.39,126.19,128.27$, 129.19, 137.94, 156.01. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires C, $66.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.42 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.57 \%$; found C, $66.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.37$; N, $5.51 \%$.
4.1.11. ( $R$ )- $N$-Methyl-1-phenylpropan-2-amine (10). (1) $\alpha$-Aminooxylation approach: to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(76 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise a solution of tert-butyl ( $R$ )-1-phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate (9) ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h and then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was quenched by addition of EtOAc. The reaction mixture was treated with $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the white precipitate formed was filtered off and the residue was washed with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, solvent
was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as eluant to yield the corresponding pure N -methyl amine $\mathbf{1 0}$.

Yield: 137 mg (92\%); gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.87$ (c 1.0, EtOH); $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{13}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{21}-10.9(c 4.2, \mathrm{EtOH})\right\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 771$, 878, 1036, 1130, 1219, 1344, 1458, 1541, 1651, 2829, 2928, 3252; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 1.07$ (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 19.2,33.4,43.0$, $56.1,126.0,128.2,129.0,139.0$; Mass ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}, \%$ rel intensity): 149 (M+, 4), 134 (10), 119 (5), 117 (5), 91 (45), 65 (16), 58 (100). Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 80.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.12 ; \mathrm{N}$, $9.38 \%$; found C, $80.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.12 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.45 \%$.
(2) $\alpha$-Amination approach: to a stirred solution of N -Boc protected amino alcohol $12(251 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ) were added triethylamine ( $153 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride $(210 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then quenched by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated on rotavapour to give the crude tosylate, which dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ), and added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was quenched by addition of EtOAc. The reaction mixture was treated with $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the white precipitate formed was filtered off, and the residue was washed with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc layers were dried over anhyd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as eluant to yield the corresponding pure N -methyl amine 10; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.36$ (c 4.0, EtOH); $\left\{\right.$ lit. ${ }^{13}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{21}-10.9$ (c 4.2, EtOH) \}.
4.1.12. $N$-Methyl- $N$-((R)-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)prop-2-yn-1-amine: ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-selegiline (1). (1) $\alpha$-Aminooxylation approach: to a stirred solution of $(R)$-2-(methylamino)-1phenylpropane (10) $(200 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( 10 mL ) were added anhyd $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(277 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and propargyl bromide $(478 \mathrm{mg}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solid residue formed was then filtered off, and the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as eluant to give pure $(R)$-selegiline $\mathbf{1}$.

Yield: $0.18 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$; gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.7$ (c 6.5, EtOH); $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{12}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.8(c \quad 6.4, \mathrm{EtOH})\right\} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 21.1,31.4,46.8,51.9,60.8,68.1$, 82.2, 125.6, 128.3, 128.6, 140.2. Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 83.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.14 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.47 \%$; found $\mathrm{C}, 83.34 ; \mathrm{H}$, 9.11; N, 7.53\%.
(2) $\alpha$-Amination approach: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.28$ (c 6.5, EtOH); $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{12}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-10.8(c 6.4, \mathrm{EtOH})\right\}$.
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