FULL PAPERS

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.200606106

Efficient, Enantioselective Organocatalytic Synthesis of Trichostatin A

Shilei Zhang,^a Wenhu Duan,^{a, b,*} and Wei Wang^{b, c,*}

^a Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Shanghai Institutes of Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, People's Republic of China Phone: (+86)-21-5080-6032; e-mail: whduan@mail.shcnc.ac.cn

^b Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, East China University of Science & Technology, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China

^c Department of Chemistry, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA Phone: (+1)-505-277-0756; Fax: (+1)-505-277-2609; e-mail: wwang@unm.edu

Received: March 15, 2006; Accepted: May 9, 2006

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://asc.wiley-vch.de/home/.

Abstract: An efficient, highly stereocontrolled total synthesis of trichostatin A (1) has been achieved in 9 steps with 17.4% overall yield and >99% optical purity from readily available achiral starting materials. The key features of this synthesis include the L-proline-promoted, highly enantioselective cross-aldol reaction as a crucial step for the construction of the

Introduction

The intriguing biological activity of trichostatin A (1) on histone deacetylases (HDACs) has elicited a considerable amount of attention from both the synthetic and the biological communities (Figure 1).^[1] As a potent and specific HDAC inhibitor,^[2] trichostatin A (1) has been extensively used as a valuable biological tool for studying the functions of the enzyme and as a lead compound for developing new anti-cancer agents.^[1-3] To date, surprisingly, only two methods for its total synthesis have been described, reported by the research groups of Fleming^[4] and Mori,^[5] respec-



Figure 1. (+)-Trichostatin A (1) and trichostatic acid (2).

1228

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 1228-1234

tively. In Mori's asymmetric synthesis of trichostatin A (1), the approach starting from chiral (*R*)-methyl 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate suffers from a long synthetic sequence (18 steps) and a low overall yield (6.1 %).^[5] In this paper, we disclose a practical, enantioselective organocatalytic route to the preparation of the title compound in 9 steps with a significantly improved yield (17.4% overall) from readily available, achiral starting materials.

C-6 chiral center and the minimization of racemiza-

tion by final step oxidation of the OH group to a

Keywords: aldol reaction; asymmetric organocataly-

sis; HDAC inhibitors; proline; trichostatin A

Results and Discussion

ketone at position 7.

Our synthesis strategy is described in Scheme 1. To develop an efficient, enantioselective approach to the synthesis of (+)-trichostatin A (1), two critical issues need to be addressed: building the chiral center at C-6 and minimizing its racemization during the course of the synthesis because of the adjacent carbonyl chiral center C-6 easily undergoes racemization. We postulate that one stone can kill two birds at the same time by using a catalytic, enantioselective aldol reaction as a key step to form a chiral β -hydroxy aldehyde **4**, which can be accessed by an L-proline-catalyzed cross-aldol reaction between aldehydes **5** and **6**.^[6-10] The aldol adduct **4** serves as a precursor for the synthesis of the key intermediate **3** *via* the Wittig reac-



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of trichostatin A (1).

tion. Oxidation of the hydroxy group in **3** in the last step can lead to the target molecule **1** so that racemization can be significantly minimized during the synthesis. As demonstrated, the synthetic route we explore here can allow us to obtain **1** with an *ee* value of >99%.

After surveying several sets of reaction conditions, we found that by using MacMillan's protocol,^[7d] the L-proline-catalyzed cross-aldol reaction between *p*-ni-trobenzaldehyde (5) and propionaldehyde (6) afford-

ed the desired aldol adduct 4 with the best results (> 99% ee, 16/1 anti/syn ratio) (Scheme 2). It should be noted that we found that, in this case, it was not necessary to add 6 dropwise to a solution of 5 for the cross-aldol reaction, as was required in MacMillan's procedure since the more reactive p-nitrobenzaldehyde (5) was used.^[7d] Because of its quick decomposition on silica gel,^[11] compound **4** was directly converted into acetal 7. The more stable 7 enabled us to determine the stereoselectivities by its conversion into the respective Mosher esters (see Supporting Information).^[12] Without purification, crude 4 was transformed into α , β -unsaturated ester **8** by a Wittig reaction with $Ph_3P=CH(CH_3)CO_2Me$ in 93% yield in two steps. The DIBAL-H mediated reduction was followed by MnO_2 oxidation^[13] to give aldehyde **10a** as a major product in 80% yield in a two-step conversion and the overoxidized dicarbonyl species 10b was identified as a minor product (9% yield).

Alternatively, compound **10a** could been obtained by reaction of **4** with 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)propionaldehyde (**11**) in one step,^[14] however, the low yield and poor Z/E selectivity were observed (Scheme 3).

The resulting aldehyde **10a** underwent a Horner-Emmons reaction with triethyl phosphonoacetate **(13)** to produce conjugated ester **14** in an excellent yield (Scheme 4).^[15] It is realized that the C-6 chiral center in trichostatin A **(1)** is prone to racemization under both acid and basic conditions, and in Mori's approach, it took four more steps to prepare **1** from trichostatic acid **(2)** (Figure 1) in only 27% yield.^[5] Therefore, as discussed earlier, our strategy was to perform the oxidation of the alcohol in the last step in order to avoid this problem. The nitro group in **14**



Scheme 2. Synthesis of intermediate 10a.

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 1228-1234

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1229





Scheme 3. An alternative approach to synthesis of 10a.

was selectively reduced to the amine in 15 by Lindlar's catalyst-promoted hydrogenation without touching the unsaturated conjugated system.^[16] Pure product 15 was obtained by recrystallization from a mixture of ethyl acetate and petroleum ether in 62% yield. Reductive amination^[17] by the treatment with HCHO and NaBH(OAc)₃ in THF furnished the dimethylamine 16, which was subsequently exposed to NH₂OH/MeOH solution to provide the hydroxamic acid 3. Finally, benefiting from the strong electron-donating effect of the *p*-dimethylamine group, the benzylic hydroxy group of **3** was selectively oxidized by DDQ in dioxane without affecting the hydroxamic acid group to give the target trichostatin A (1).^[5] The spectral data for the synthesized trichostatin A (1) matched those of the naturally occurring com-pound^[18] and its optical purity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis with $> 99\% ee.^{[19]}$

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have achieved a short, enantioselective synthesis of trichostatin A (1) in 9 steps with 17.4% overall yield. The key step in the synthesis is the utilization of L-proline-promoted aldol reactions to establish the chiral center in a completely stereocontrolled manner. Optically pure target molecule (> 99% *ee*) has been obtained by the developed synthetic route. To our knowledge, this synthesis is the shortest reported so far using readily available, cheap achiral materials. Our current efforts are directed toward applying this strategy for the large-scale synthesis of trichostatin A (1) and the preparation of its analogues to explore their biological activities.

Experimental Section

General Remarks

All non-aqueous reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of dry Ar, unless otherwise specified. THF was freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone under Ar; CH₂Cl₂ was freshly distilled from CaH₂ under Ar. All other solvents were reagent grade. Petroleum ether refers to a mixture of alkanes with the boiling range 60-90 °C. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury-300 and Varian Mercury-400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s= singlet, d=doublet, t=triplet, q=quartet, dd=doublet of doublets, m=multiplet, br=broad. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 750 FT-IR. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer model 341 polarimeter. Mass spectrometry was performed on Finnigan MAT 95 and Finnigan LCQ Deca spectrometers. High resolution mass spectra were measured on Finnigan MAT 95 and MicroMass Q-Tof ultimaTM mass spectrometers.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of trichostatin A (1).

1230 www.asc.wiley-vch.de

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

(2S,3R)-3-Hydroxy-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanal (4)

To a solution of *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (**5**; 3.02 g, 20 mmol) and L-proline (460 mg, 4 mmol, 0.2 equivs.) in 70 mL of DMF was added propionaldehyde (**6**; 2.88 mL, 40 mmol, 2.0 equivs.) in one portion at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was then stirred at same temperature for 5 h to reach completion. The reaction was quenched by addition of water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was used without further purification for next step; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =9.80 (1H, d, *J*=1.2 Hz), 8.22 (2H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz), 7.55 (2H, d, *J*=8.4 Hz), 4.97 (1H, d, *J*=8.0 Hz), 3.41 (1H, br), 2.77 (1H, m), 1.00 (3H, d, *J*=7.6 Hz).

(*1R*,*2S*)-3, 3-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-propan-1-ol (7)

To a solution of 4 (2 mmol) in 4 mL of MeOH was added trimethyl orthoformate (0.32 g, 3 mmol, 1.5 equivs.) and ptoluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (38 mg, 0.2 mmol, 0.1 equiv.). The resulting pale yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 6:1) to afford a colorless oil; yield: 0.45 g (88%, two steps from **5**); $[\alpha]_{D}^{23}$: -6.94° (*c* 3.10, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 3444$, 2939, 2834, 1666, 1606, 1520, 1456, 1348, 1196, 1107, 1068, 947, 852, 756, 708 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =8.20 (2H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz), 7.51 (2H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz), 4.72 (1H, d, *J*= 8.4 Hz), 4.31 (1H, d, J=5.7 Hz), 3.50 (3H, s), 3.42 (3H, s), 2.11 (1H, m), 0.69 (3H, d, J=7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 150.7, 147.7, 128.2, 123.8, 108.9, 75.8, 56.5, 54.1,$ 42.8, 12.6; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 256 [M⁺+H], 223 (2.0), 152 (10), 75 (100), 72 (67); HR-MS (EI): m/z = 256.1199, calcd. for $C_{12}H_{18}NO_5$ (M⁺+H): 256.1185.

(4R,5R,E)-Methyl 5-Hydroxy-2, 4-dimethyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)pent-2-enoate (8)

To a solution of 4 (20 mmol) in 40 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added (1-methoxycarbonylethylidene)triphenylphosphorane

(8.35 g, 24 mmol, 1.2 equivs.). The resulting yellow mixture was refluxed for 5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt, 5/1) to give a colorless oil; yield: 5.19 g (93 % in two steps); $[\alpha]_D^{24}$: +102.2° (*c* 1.024, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): ν = 3537, 2960, 1714, 1649, 1603, 1514, 1437, 1342, 1265, 1240, 1221, 1065, 856, 748, 704 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.17 (2H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz), 7.49 (2H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz), 6.69 (1H, dd, *J*=9.9, 1.5 Hz), 4.66 (1H, d, *J*=6.6 Hz), 3.71 (3H, s), 2.82 (1H, m), 2.61 (1H, s), 1.73 (3H, d, *J*=1.5 Hz), 0.95 (3H, d, *J*=6.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =168.3, 149.8, 147.4, 142.3, 129.4,

127.4, 123.5, 77.2, 51.9, 41.3, 16.4, 12.6; MS (ESI): m/z = 302.08 (M⁺+Na); HR-MS (ESI): m/z = 302.0995, calcd. for C₁₄H₁₇NO₅Na (M⁺+Na): 302.1004.

(*4R*, *5R*, *E*)-2, 4-Dimethyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)pent-2-ene-1,5-diol (9)

To a solution of compound 8 (0.927 g, 3.33 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise DIBAL-H (11.5 mL, 1.0 m in toluene, 11.5 mmol, 3.45 equivs.) at -70 °C under an argon atmosphere over a period of 30 min. After being stirred at the same temperature for another 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated Rochelle salt solution (20 mL) and the temperature of the mixture was raised to room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h vigorously, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was used for the next reaction without further purification; $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$: +100.6° (*c* 0.638, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu =$ 3373, 2966, 2928, 2872, 1606, 1518, 1456, 1348, 1109, 1013, 851, 754, 704 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.18$ (2H, d, J=8.7 Hz), 7.50 (2H, d, J=8.7 Hz), 5.34 (1H, dd,J=9.9, 1.5 Hz), 4.46 (1 H, d, J=7.8 Hz), 4.01 (2 H, s), 2.69 (1 H, m), 2.6-1.8 (2 H, br), 1.61 (3 H, d, J=1.2 Hz), 0.84(3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 150.3$, 147.2, 137.9, 127.6, 126.3, 123.3, 78.0, 68.0, 40.5, 17.2, 14.1; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 233 [M⁺-H₂O], 216, 203, 185 (6.0), 153 (100), 142 (70), 136 (46), 106 (20), 100 (23), 82 (84), 77 (12), 67 (28), 60 (8).

(*4R*, *5R*, *E*)-5-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-pent-2-enal (10a)

To a solution of compound **9** in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added γ -MnO₂ (3.2 g). The resulting mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1 h to reach completion. The mixture was filtered and washed with a large amount of EtOAc. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt, 3/1) to give **10a** as a crystalline product; yield: 663 mg (80% in two steps). Compound **10b** was obtained as a by-product; yield: 73 mg (8.9% in two steps).

Compound **10a**: mp 92–94 °C; $[a]_{D}^{21}$: +76.5° (*c* 0.702, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 3518$, 2968, 2928, 1670, 1641, 1606, 1518, 1350, 1184, 1086, 1013, 845, 746 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.40$ (1H, s), 8.20 (2H, d, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.51 (2H, d, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.46 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.80 (1H, dd, J = 5.7, 2.7 Hz), 3.05 (1H, m), 2.36 (1H, d, J = 3.3 Hz), 1.60 (3H, d, J = 0.6 Hz), 1.08 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 195.2$, 154.4, 149.9, 147.3, 140.2, 127.1, 123.5, 76.9, 41.3, 16.6, 9.3; MS (EI): m/z (%) =249 [M⁺], 233 (11), 218 (7), 205 (3), 152 (27), 122 (4), 106 (8), 98 (100), 83 (17), 77 (10), 69 (13), 55 (4); HR-MS (EI): m/z = 249.1005, calcd. for C₁₃H₁₅NO₄ (M⁺): 249.1001.

Compound **10b**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =9.45 (1H, s), 8.34 (2H, d, *J*=9.0 Hz), 8.10 (2H, d, *J*=9.0 Hz), 6.70 (1H, dd, *J*=9.6, 1.5 Hz), 4.59 (1H, m), 1.89 (3H, d, *J*=1.5 Hz), 1.45 (3H, d, *J*=6.9 Hz).

(*4R*, *5R E*)-5-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)pent-2-enal (10a) and (*4R*, *5R*, *Z*)-5-Hydroxy-2,4-dimethyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)pent-2-enal (12)

To a solution of **4a** (0.5 mmol) in 1 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and 5 mL of toluene was added 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)-propionaldehyde (**11**; 318 mg, 1 mmol, 2 equivs.). The resulting yellow mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt, 2/1) to give the compound **10a** and **12** (yield: 54 mg, 43% in two steps). The ratio of **10a**:**12** was 5:3 determined by ¹H NMR analysis.

(2E,4E,6R,7R)-Ethyl 7-Hydroxy-4, 6-dimethyl-7-(4-nitrophenyl)hepta-2, 4-dienoate (14)

To a suspension of sodium hydride (120 mg, 60% in oil, 3.0 mmol, 3.0 equivs.) in THF (5.0 mL) was added dropwise a solution of triethyl phosphonoacetate (13; 720 µL, 4.0 mmol, 4.0 equivs.) in THF (5.0 mL) at room temperature under argon over a period of 10 min. After being stirred at room temperature for another 20 min, a solution of 10a (249 mg, 1.0 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was then stirred for 30 min to reach completion. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and the mixture extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt, 5/1) to give a colorless oil; yield: 306 mg (96%); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{23}$: +128° (c 0.140, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu = 3466$, 3078, 2978, 1693, 1622, 1516, 1456, 1348, 1180, 1026, 847, 750, 704 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 8.19 (2 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}), 7.50 (2 \text{ H}, \text{d}, \text{d})$ J = 8.4 Hz), 7.30 (1 H, dd, J = 0.6, 15.9 Hz), 5.80 (1 H, d, J =15.3 Hz), 5.80 (1 H, d, J=10.5 Hz), 4.65 (1 H, d, J=6.6 Hz), 4.20 (2H, q, J=7.2 Hz), 2.87 (1H, m), 2.27 (1H, d, J= 3.0 Hz), 1.67 (3H, d, J=0.9 Hz), 1.29 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 0.97 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 167.5, 150.3, 148.9, 147.1, 141.7, 134.3, 127.3, 123.3, 116.6, 77.2, 60.3, 41.1, 16.9, 14.2, 12.3; MS (EI): m/z (%)=320 $[M^++H]$, 274 (7), 168 (100), 152 (23), 139 (34), 122 (24), 111 (13), 95 (81), 79 (18), 67 (6), 55 (5); HR-MS (EI): m/z =320.1481, calcd. for $C_{17}H_{22}NO_5$ (M⁺+H): 320.1498.

(2E,4E,6R,7R)-Ethyl 7-(4-Aminophenyl)-7-hydroxy-4,6-dimethylhepta-2, 4-dienoate (15)

To a solution of compound **14** (306 mg, 0.96 mmol) in 10 mL of MeOH was added 210 mg of Lindlar's catalyst and 90 μ L of quinoline. The resulting mixture was then stirred under an H₂ atmosphere for 10 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with EtOAc. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt, 2/1) to

give 244 mg of crude product and, after recrystallization from petroleum ether and AcOEt, the crystalline material; yield: 172 mg (62%); mp 126–128°C; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$: +177° (c 0.850, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v=3458, 3346, 2976, 1695, 1618, 1518, 1392, 1311, 1292, 1246, 1186, 1028, 986, 829, 582 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.36$ (1 H, dd, J = 15.6, 0.6 Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 6.66 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 5.84 (1H, d, J=10.2 Hz), 5.81 (1H, d, J=15.6 Hz), 4.36 (1H, d, J=7.5 Hz), 4.21 (2H, q, J=7.2 Hz), 3.67 (2H, br), 2.84 (1H, m), 1.77 (3H, d, J=1.2 Hz), 1.30 (3H, t, J= 7.2 Hz), 0.86 (3H, d, J=6.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 167.4$, 149.4, 145.9, 144.1, 133.7, 132.5, 127.7, 116.0, 114.8, 78.3, 60.1, 41.2, 16.8, 14.2, 12.4; MS (EI): m/z =289 [M⁺], 271 (2), 198 (5), 168 (14), 149 (5), 139 (5), 122 (100), 94 (16), 77 (11); HRMS (EI): m/z = 289.1687, calcd. for C₁₇H₂₃NO₃ (M⁺): 289.1678.

(2E,4E,6R,7R)-Ethyl 7-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-7-hydroxy-4,6-dimethylhepta-2,4-dienoate (16)

To a solution of compound 15 (600 mg, 2.08 mmol) in THF (35 mL) was added formaldehyde (505 mg, 37% aqueous, 6.23 mmol, 3.0 equivs.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Then sodium triacetoxyborohydride (1.32 g, 6.23 mmol, 3.0 equivs.) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with EtOAc. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/AcOEt, $5/1 \rightarrow 3/1$) to give the compound 16; yield: 527 mg (80%); $[a]_D^{23}$: +180° (*c* 0.441, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): $\nu =$ 3466, 2978, 1705, 1616, 1522, 1446, 1348, 1308, 1165, 1026, 984, 945, 820 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.36$ (1H, dd, J=15.9, 0.6 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d, J=8.7 Hz), 6.70 (2H, d, J=8.7 Hz), 5.86 (1H, d, J=9.9 Hz), 5.81 (1H, d, J= 15.9 Hz), 4.37 (1 H, d, *J*=7.5 Hz), 4.20 (2 H, q, *J*=7.2 Hz), 2.94 (6H, s), 2.87 (1H, m), 1.97 (1H, br), 1.79 (3H, d, J= 1.2 Hz), 1.30 (3H, t, *J*=7.2 Hz), 0.85 (3H, d, *J*=6.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 167.5$, 150.3, 149.4, 144.2, 133.7, 130.3, 127.5, 116.1, 112.3, 78.4, 60.1, 41.2, 40.6, 16.9, 14.3, 12.5; MS (EI): m/z (%)=317 [M⁺], 299 (55), 226 (100), 211 (38), 150 (73); HR-MS (EI): m/z = 317.1973, calcd. for $C_{19}H_{27}NO_3$ (M⁺): 317.1991.

(2E,4E,6R,7R)-7-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]-N,7-dihydroxy-4, 6-dimethylhepta-2, 4-dienamide (3)

To a solution of compound **16** (140 mg, 0.44 mmol) in 4 mL of MeOH was added NH₂OH (10 mL, 1.5 M in MeOH). The resulting mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 2 h to reach completion. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and the mixture extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was used without further purification for the next step; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =7.24 (1H, d, *J*=15.6 Hz), 7.16 (2H, d, *J*= 8.4 Hz), 6.71 (2H, d, *J*=8.7 Hz), 5.80–5.73 (2H, m), 4.30

www.asc.wiley-vch.de

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

(1 H, d, *J*=7.5 Hz), 2.91 (6H, s), 2.81 (1 H, m), 1.72 (3 H, s), 0.76 (3 H, d, *J*=6.3 Hz).

Trichostatin A (1)

To a solution of compound 3 in dioxane (5 mL) was added dropwise DDQ (0.10 m in dioxane). The reaction process was monitored by TLC to avoid addition of excess DDQ. When compound 3 has disappeared, about 2.6 mL of DDQ (0.26 mmol, 0.59 equivs.) had been consumed. The resulting pale mixture was filtered and washed with dioxane. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH, 20/1) to give a yellow solid; yield: 65 mg (49% in two steps); mp 140–143 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{21}$: +106° (*c* 0.095, EtOH); IR (KBr): v=3423, 3232, 2924, 1655, 1595, 1547, 1375, 1244, 1188, 1171, 1059, 974, 824 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.85$ (2H, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.20 (1H, d, J =15.6 Hz), 6.66 (2H, d, J=9.0 Hz), 5.96 (1H, d, J=9.9 Hz), 5.78 (1H, d, J=15.9 Hz), 4.41 (1H, m), 3.08 (6H, s), 1.90 (3H, s), 1.30 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3:CD_3OD = 7.5:1$): $\delta = 199.5$, 165.0, 153.5, 144.8, 139.9, 132.5, 130.5, 123.2, 115.2, 110.5, 40.4, 39.6, 17.5, 12.2; MS (EI): $m/z = 302 [M^+]$, 287 (0.6), 274 (3.5), 148 (100); HRMS (EI): m/z = 302.1621, calcd. for C₁₇H₂₂N₂O₃ (M⁺): 302.1630; Daicel CHIRALPAK AS-H, hexane/EtOH, 50:50 with 0.1% TFA, flow rate 0.5 mLmin⁻¹, $\lambda = 254$ nm, $t_{\rm R} =$ 14.78 min (major), minor one is not observed, >99% ee.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from the Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, East China University of Science & Technology. We also thank Mr. Jian Wang for his help with the chiral HPLC analysis.

References

- For recent reviews related to biological functions and applications of HDACs, see: a) A. Yarnell, *Chem. Eng. News* 2006, *84*, 43; b) P. A. Marks, V. M. Richon, R. Breslow, R. A. Rifkind, *Curr. Opin. Oncol.* 2001, *13*, 477; c) P. A. Marks, R. A. Rifkind, V. M. Richon, R. Breslow, T. Miller, W. K. Kelly, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2001, *1*, 194; d) M. Yoshida, A. Matsuyama, Y. Komatsu, N. Nishino, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2003, *10*, 2351; e) M. Jung, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2001, *8*, 1505; f) G. Bouchain, D. Delorme, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2003, *10*, 2359; g) V. Tamara, P. Peggy, E. Greetje, R. Vera, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2004, *11*, 1629.
- [2] M. Yoshida, M. Kijama, M. Akita, T. Beppu, J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 17174.
- [3] For selected examples using trichostatin A as a tool for studying biological functions of HDACs and drug discovery, see: a) M. S. Finnin, J. R. Donigian, A. Cohen, V. M. Richon, R. A. Rifkind, P. A. Marks, R. Breslow, N. P. Pavletich, *Nature* 1999, 401, 188; b) V. M. Richon, S. Emiliani, E. Verdin, Y. Webb, R. Breslow, R. A. Rif-

kind, P. A. Marks, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, *95*, 3003; c) R. Furumai, Y. Komatsu, N. Nishino, S. Khochbin, M. Yoshida, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 87; d) A. Saito, T. Yamashita, Y. Mariko, Y. Nosaka, K. Tsuchiya, T. Ando, T. Suzuki, T. Tsuruo, O. Nakanishi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1999**, *96*, 4592; e) K. M. Koeller, S. J. Haggarty, B. D. Perkins, I. Leykin, J. C. Wong, M.-C. J. Kao, S. L. Schreiber, *Chem. Biol.* **2003**, *10*, 397; f) S. J. Haggarty, K. M.; Koeller, J. C. Wong, C. M. Grozinger, S. L. Schreiber, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 4389; g) J. C. Wong, R. Hong, S. L. Schreiber, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 5586.

- [4] I. Fleming, J. Iqbal, E. P. Krebs, *Tetrahedron* **1983**, *39*, 841.
- [5] K. Mori, K. Koseki, Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 6013.
- [6] For selected recent reviews of organocatalysis, see:
 a) A. Berkessel, H. Groger, Asymmetric Organocatalysis From Biomimetic Concepts to Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2005;
 b) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 3840; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3726;
 c) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5248; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138.
- [7] For L-proline-promoted aldol reactions, see: a) B. List, R. A. Lerner, C. F. Barbas, III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395; b) K. Sakthivel, W. Notz, T. Bui, C. F. Barbas, III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5260; c) R. Thayumanavan, F. Tanaka, C. F. Barbas, III, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3541; d) A. B. Northrup, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6798; e) D. Enders, C. Grondal, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1235; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1210; f) J. Casas, M. Engqvist, I. Ibrahem, B. Kaynak, A. Córdova, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1367; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1343; g) Q. Pan, B. Zou, Y. Wang, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1009; h) S. Chandrasekhar, C. Narsihmulu, R. Reddy, S. S. Sultana, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 2450; i) A. B. Northrup, D. W. C. MacMillan, Science 2004, 305, 1752; j) J. T. Suri, D. B. Ramachary, C. F. Barbas, III, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1383.
- [8] For proline derivatives-catalyzed aldol reactions, see: a) N. Mase, F. Tanaka, C. F. Barbas III, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2474; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2420; b) M. Nakadai, S. Saito, H. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 8167; c) Z. Tang, F. Jiang, L.-T. Yu, X. Cui, L.-Z. Gong, A.-Q. Mi, Y.-Z. Jiang, Y.-D. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5262; d) P. Krattiger, R. Kovasy, J. D. Revell, S. Ivan, H. Wennemers, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1101; e) H. Torii, M. Nakadai, K. Ishihara, S. Saito, H. Yamamoto, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2017; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1983; f) A. J. A. Cobb, D. M. Shaw, D. A. Longbottom, S. V. Ley, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 84; g) Y. Hayashi, T. Sumiya, J. Takahashi, H. Gotoh, T. Urushima, M. Shoji, Angew. Chem. 2006, 117, 972; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 958; h) S. Samanta, J. Liu, R. Dodda, C.-G. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5221; i) Z. Tang, Z.-H. Yang, X.-H. Chen, L.-F. Cun, A.-Q. Mi, Y.-Z. Jiang, L.-Z. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9285; j) S. S. Chimni, D. Mahajan, V. V. S. Babu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5617; k) E. Bellis, K. Vasilatou, G. Kokotos, Synthesis 2005, 2407; 1) J.-R. Chen, H.-H. Lu, X.-Y. Li, L. Cheng, J. Wan, W.-

1233

J. Xiao, Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 4543; m) H. Ikishima, Y. Sekiguchi, Y. Ichikawa, H. Kotsuki, *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 311.

- [9] Recently we have uncovered the pyrrolidine sulfonamide/amide system as effective organocatalysts for asymmetric organic transformations, see: a) aldol reaction: W. Wang, H. Li, J. Wang, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5077; b) Michael addition: W. Wang, J. Wang, H. Li, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1393; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1369; J. Wang, H. Li, L Zu, W. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 413; c) α-selenenylation: W. Wang, J. Wang, H. Li, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2817; J. Wang, H. Li, Y.-J. Mei, B. Lou, D.-G. Xu, D.-Q. Xie, H. Guo, W. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5678; d) Mannich elimination reaction: W. Wang, Y.-J. Mei, H. Li, J. Wang, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 601; e) α-aminoxylation: W. Wang, J. Wang, H. Li, L.-X. Liao, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7235; f) Mannich-type reaction: W. Wang, J. Wang, H. Li, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, 45, 7243; g) α-sulfenylation: W. Wang, H. Li, J. Wang, L.-X. Liao, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 8229.
- [10] For selected examples using L-proline-catalyzed aldol reactions in natural product synthesis, see: a) I. K. Mangion, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005,

127, 3696; b) P. M. Pihko, A. Erkkila, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 7607.

- [11] S. R. Chemler, W. R. Roush, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1319.
- [12] W. Wang, C. Xiong, J. Yang, V. J. Hruby, Synthesis 2002, 94.
- [13] a) E. J. Corey, J. W. Suggs, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975, 16, 2647; b) M. A. Brimble, M. R. Nairn, J. Park, *Org. Lett.* 1999, 1, 1459.
- [14] A. N. Cuzzupe, C. A. Hutton, M. J. Lilly, R. K. Mann, M. A. Rizzacasa, S. C. Zammit, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 191.
- [15] H. Toshima, H. Oikawa, T. Toyomasu, T. Sassa, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 8443.
- [16] D. A. Evans, S. J. Miller, M. D. Ennis, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 471.
- [17] A. F. Abdel-Magid, K. G. Carson, B. D. Harris, C. A. Maryanoff, R. D. Shah, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3849.
- [18] N. Tsuji, M. Kobayashi, K. Nagashima, Y. Wakisaka, K. Koizumi, J. Antibiot. 1976, 29, 1.
- [19] The optical rotation values, reported in the literature, are not consistent: naturally occurring trichostatin A: $[\alpha]$: 2.8° (EtOH) (ref.^[18]); synthesized trichostatin A by Mori (ref.^[5]) with 93% *ee*: $[\alpha]$: +77° (EtOH); our result with >99% *ee*: $[\alpha]_D^{21}$: +106° (*c* 0.095, EtOH).