

CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article

Title: Organophotocatalytic N-Demethylation of Oxycodone using Molecular Oxygen

Authors: Yuesu Chen, Gabriel Glotz, David Cantillo, and C. Oliver Kappe

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201905505

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201905505

Supported by ACES



WILEY-VCH

Organophotocatalytic *N*-Demethylation of Oxycodone using Molecular Oxygen.

Yuesu Chen,^{[a][b]} Gabriel Glotz,^{[a][b]} David Cantillo^{*[a][b]} and C. Oliver Kappe^{*[a][b]}

 [a] Y. Chen, G. Glotz, Dr. D. Cantillo, Prof. Dr. C. O. Kappe Center for Continuous Flow Synthesis and Processing (CC FLOW), Research Center Pharmaceutical Engineering GmbH (RCPE), Inffeldgasse 13, 8010 Graz (Austria), E-mail: david.cantillo@uni-graz.at; oliver.kappe@uni-graz.at
 [b] Y. Chen, G. Glotz, Dr. D. Cantillo, Prof. Dr. C. O. Kappe

Institute of Chemistry, University of Graz, Heinrichstrasse 28, 8010 Graz (Austria), E-mail: david.cantillo@uni-graz.at; oliver.kappe@uni-graz.at

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: *N*-Demethylation of oxycodone is one of the key steps in synthesis of important opioid antagonists like naloxone or analgesics like nalbuphine. The reaction is typically carried out using stoichiometric amounts of toxic and corrosive reagents. Herein we present a green and scalable organophotocatalytic procedure that accomplishes the *N*-demethylation step using molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant and an organic dye (rose bengal) as effective photocatalyst. Optimization of the reaction conditions under continuous flow conditions using visible-light irradiation led to an efficient, reliable and scalable process, producing noroxycodone hydrochloride in high isolated yield and purity after a simple workup.

Introduction

Thebaine (1) is one of the naturally occurring opiate alkaloids.^[1] It is extracted from Papaver bracteatum (Iranian poppy), in which it can be found in large abundance (up to 26% wt. of dried latex, 98% wt. of total alkaloids).[2] Thebaine is utilized as starting material for the synthesis of several drugs. The most well-known analgesic synthesized from thebaine is oxycodone (2), which is produced over two synthetic steps (Scheme 1A) and widely used in clinics to alleviate severe pain caused by surgeries or cancers.^[3] Overdose of opioid analgesics gives rise to respiratory depression and death.^[4] Naloxone (4a), a shortacting opioid antagonist, has been used for the treatment of acute poisoning of narcotic opioids since the 1960s.^[5] As this relatively inexpensive medication can completely reverse the effects of opioid overdose,^[6] naloxone is included in the World Health Organization's Model List of Essential Medicines.^[7] Naloxone can be prepared from oxymorphone by replacing the N-methyl by an N-allyl group, with oripavine as the naturally occurring staring material.^[8] However, the natural abundance of oripavine (up to 1.5 wt% of dried latex of P. orientale, or 27 wt% of total alkaloids) is much lower than thebaine.^[9] Thus, the synthesis from thebaine via oxycodone 2 is an attractive alternative (Scheme 1A). In this case the synthetic route also includes an O-demethylation step with BBr3 at rt.[10] In both approaches (from thebaine or oripavine), the key intermediates are the so-called nor-compounds (noroxycodone 3 and noroxymorphone 3a), which are prepared by the Ndemethylation. Indeed, the following N-functionalization provides a facile route not only to naloxone, but also to other important

medicines such as nalbuphine (4c) by varying the alkylating agent. $^{\left[11\right] }$

There are many methods for the N-demethylation of alkaloids. Only a few of them are applied for 14-hydroxy opioids.^[12] Traditionally, N-demethylation is accomplished by refluxing the substrate solution with more than five equivalents of chloroformate over several hours (Scheme 1B), resulting in the nor-compounds with moderate to good yields after hydrolysis.^[13] Cyanogen bromide (BrCN) can also demethylate opioids after protection of the hydroxyl groups (von Braun reaction).^[14] These electrophile-based methods require the use of extremely corrosive and toxic reagents in large excess, generating a considerable amount of hazardous waste, limiting their wider practical use. More recently, several oxidative Ndemethylation methods have been explored.^[12] These catalytic reactions typically allow the use of green reagents such as H₂O₂^[15] and O₂^[16] as stoichiometric oxidants. In 2008, Hudlicky and coworkers developed a palladium catalyzed acylative Ndemethylation of morphine-type alkaloids using air or O2 as oxidant (Scheme 1B).[16-17] Recently, our research group found that in situ generated palladium nanoparticles (Pd(NP)) can catalyze the N-demethylation of 14-hydroxy opioids via an 1,3oxazolidine intermediate (e.g. 5a) without using acid anhydrides or O-acyl protection (Scheme 1B).[18] Oxazolidine 5a was generated via intramolecular nucleophilic addition of the 14hydroxy group to the iminium electrophile in intermediate 8a (Scheme 1B). Its O-protected counterpart 8b was postulated as intermediate for the N-demethylative acyl migration by Hudlicky (Scheme 1B)^[17].

Methods using microreactors for the Pd-catalyzed *N*demethylation of unprotected^[18-19] and 3,14-*O*-protected^[20] oxymorphone (**2a**) were successively developed, enabling the reliable and safe preparation of noroxymorphone (**3a**) on a kilogram scale.^[20] Despite the good isolated yield (~70%) and high productivity, the O₂/Pd(NP) procedure requires a precious noble metal catalyst and elevated temperatures (120 °C). In this context, an even more sustainable and economic *N*demethylation procedure for 14-hydroxy-opioids under mild condition using environmentally friendly solvents and oxidants is highly desirable.

WILEY-VCH



In 2001, Scammells and coworkers reported a photochemical N-demethylation method for some polycyclic alkaloids.^[21] The proposed mechanism for the successful examples involved an iminium ion intermediate (8) generated via a single electron transfer (SET) – proton transfer (PT)^[22] process, which subsequently decomposed to the secondary amine and formaldehyde. The authors also observed N-demethylative acetyl migration of 14-O-acetyl oxycodone (6) catalyzed by tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP), furnishing N-acetyl noroxycodone (7) in 34% yield along with an oxidation product after 6 h irradiation with a 300 W incandescent lamp in an O₂ atmosphere

(Scheme 1B). However, their attempt to demethylate unprotected oxycodone (2) failed. Based on our experience with Pd-catalyzed N-demethylations involving iminium intermediates (8a),^[18-20] we postulated that the reaction in principle should be feasible, although leading to an oxazolidine product (5) (Scheme 1B). Compared to the O2/Pd N-demethylation method, a photochemical approach would enable the reaction to be performed at room temperature using photons as a green energy source and eliminate the necessity to employ a noble metal catalyst. Moreover, mass^[23] and photon^[24] transfer limitations and safety issues associated with the use of molecular oxygen in batch reactors^[25] could be overcome by using a continuous flow photochemical setup.

Herein a continuous flow procedure for the photochemical *N*-demethylation of oxycodone (2) is presented (Scheme 1B). The method is based on the generation of an iminium intermediate (8d) by means of photoredox catalysis using molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant. Rose bengal has been employed as an inexpensive and readily available photocatalyst. The reaction conditions were optimized and scaled utilizing a commercial flow photoreactor equipped with visible light LEDs as the irradiation source. An isolation procedure was developed to afford noroxycodone hydrochloride (3·HCI) in good yield and purity (Scheme 1B).

Results and Discussion

1. Catalyst Screening and Mechanistic Investigations.

Our study began with a series of batch experiments to establish the optimal photoredox catalyst and other reaction conditions for the demethylation reaction (Table 1). LEDs with emission wavelengths of 425 nm, 455 nm or 515 nm were utilized as light source. The wavelengths were selected to match the absorption maxima of the catalysts. In the catalyst screening experiments, a solution of oxycodone (2) (0.05 M) and catalyst (2 mol%) in DMF (1.5 mL) was irradiated for 1 h whilst bubbling O2 through the solution. Then, an aliquot of the reaction mixture was analyzed by HPLC-UV using an acetic anhydride derivatization method (see Supporting Information for details).^[18] Notably, xanthene dyes exhibited the best selectivities towards the formation of 5 (entries 7-8). Dyes containing more heavy atoms, i.e., eosin Y (EY) and rose bengal (RB) provided the highest yields (heavy atom effect; see Scheme S1 in Supporting Information). Interestingly, high conversions were achieved by catalysts featuring high intersystem crossing efficiency (ϕ_{ISC}), most of which are also potent singlet oxygen (1O2) sensitizers. Yet, sideproducts resulting from degradation by ¹O₂ were not observed. Instead, other reactive oxygen species (ROS), in particular hydrogen peroxide which is formed during the reaction (vide infra), provoked degradation of the organic compounds. Other photocatalysis, such as triphenylporphyrin (TPP), 2,4,5,6tetra(9H-carbazol-9-yl)isophthalonitrile (4CzIPN), or the typical Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ provided good conversions but poor selectivities (selectivity refers to the HPLC peak area of the desired product with respect to the sum of all peaks except the starting material) (entries 1, 3, 4 and 5). The poor performance observed for the other photocatalysts could be explained by the electronic properties of their excited states in some cases. 4CzIPN (entry 4), for example, is a relatively strong oxidant $E(^{3}cat^{+}/cat^{-}) = +$ 1.35 V (vs SCE),^[26] which may account for the low selectivity obtained. 9,10-Dicyanoanthracene (DCA) (entry 3) exhibits a large singlet-triplet gap ($\Delta E_{ST} = 1.09 \text{ eV}$)^[27] but a very low ISC efficiency ($\phi_{ISC} = 0.0085$)^[28] compared to the xanthene dyes, which might also point to a reduced selectivity. Remarkably, when organic halides (CBr₄ and BrCCl₃)^[29] were used as oxidants instead of O₂ (entries 9 and 10), relatively low conversions were achieved, even after adding 10 equiv of the halogenated reagents. No improvement was achieved under the same conditions using Et₃N as additive to neutralize the HBr generated during the reaction (see Figures S18 and S19 in the

Supporting Information). As expected, the reaction did not proceed in the absence of light or oxygen (entries 11 and 12). Eosin Y and RB delivered analogous results. RB was selected as catalyst for further optimizations due to its higher ISC efficiency and better solubility in some solvents.

Table 1. Catalyst screening in batch.[a]

		Alter			
$O_{2} (3.0 \text{ mL}_{N}/\text{min})$ $Photocat. (2 \text{ mol}\%)$ $O_{0} (0.05 \text{ M in DMF})$ $LED (\lambda, 50 \text{ W})$ $r, 1 \text{ h, atm.}$					
	2		batch	5	
entry	catalyst (λ_{max} , nm)		Comments	Conv (%) ^[c]	Yield 5 (%) ^[c]
1	TPP (419)	425		99	57
2	Rh6G (524)	515		40	23
3	DCA (422)	425		85	14
4	4CzIPN (425)	425		99	11
5	Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl _{2 (453)}	455		99	43
6	EB (517)	515		44	29
7	EY (520)	515		98	78
8	RB (549)	515		99	73
9	RB (549)	515	CBr4 as oxidant ^[d]	37	24
10	RB (549)	515	CBrCl3 as oxidant ^[d]	40	28
11	RB (549)	515	no oxidant ^[e]	-	-
12	RB (549)	515	no light ^[f]	-	-

[a] Conditions: **2** (0.05 M) + photocatalyst (2 mol%) in DMF (1.5 mL), O₂ (3.0 mLN/min). [b] Irradiation wavelength of the LED utilized. [c] Determined by calibrated HPLC. [d] 1 equiv and 10 equiv of halogenated oxidant were evaluated. The reaction mixture was purged with Ar. [e] The solution was purged with Ar instead of O₂ under irradiation. [f] The reaction vial was wrapped with aluminum foil.

Using RB as catalyst, a comparison of the reaction rate at different temperatures was carried out. For this purpose, the same batch setup as described for Table 1, equipped with a transparent water jacket for temperature control, was utilized. A solution of 2 (0.1 M) and RB (2 mol%) in DMF (1.5 mL) was irradiated over 35 min whilst bubbling O₂ through the solution. Temperatures ranging from 10 °C to 60 °C were evaluated. The reaction mixture was monitored by HPLC analysis. As expected, no significant temperature effects on the reaction rate were observed, which is common for photocatalytic reactions. The Stern-Volmer relationship for RB using 2 as quencher resulted in a curved F₀/F vs [2] plot (Figure 1), indicating the existence of more than one kinetically different quenching site. The data also suggested a diffusion controlled quench, as less viscous solvents proved to be more effective (MeOH > EtOH > n-PrOH). An additional control experiment using the triplet quencher azulene (10 mol%) as additive inhibited the formation of 5. These results support the assumption that the electron transfer takes place with RB in its triplet state ($\tau = 150 \ \mu s$), and explain that catalysts with high ϕ_{ISC} values exhibited the best performance.

3



Figure 1. Stern-Volmer quenching experiment of RB using **2** (OC) as quencher in different solvents (T = 21 °C, [RB] = 1 µmol·L⁻¹). Viscosity of the solvents: η (MeOH) = 0.5838 mPa·s, η (EtOH) = 1.170 mPa·s and η (*n*-PrOH) = 2.125 mPa·s.

Additional control experiments in deuterated solvents (CDCl₃ and CD₃OD) excluded the direct participation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ in side-reactions, as no significant changes in the amount of sideproducts could be observed (the life time of ¹O₂ is longer in deuterated solvents) (see Figure S13 in the Supporting Information). Yet, photochemically generated ROS were responsible both for catalyst bleaching and decreased selectivities. A fast photochemical bleaching of RB could be observed only in the presence of both O₂ and a tertiary amine, implying the potential formation of ROS during the photocatalytic cycle (see Figure S15 in Supporting Information). Hydrogen peroxide is a common ROS encountered in photooxidations. When H₂O₂ was added to the reaction mixture as additive, formation of side products and low product yields were observed (see Figure S16-17 in Supporting Information). Gratifyingly, degradation of 5 was determined to be comparatively slow (see Figure S14). The rate of degradation of 5 was ca. 30 times slower than its formation under the reaction conditions, thus explaining the good selectivities achieved. Additionally, these results also suggested that an immediate quench of H₂O₂ after the reaction (before workup) could be essential in order to obtain high yields, as observed experimentally (vide infra).

Based on the experiments described above, an overall reaction mechanism was formulated (Scheme 2). The reaction pathway starts with excitation of RB to its singlet state (¹RB^{*}), which is then converted to the triplet state (³RB^{*}). Oxycodone (2) undergoes an electron transfer with the triplet state of RB. The resulting radical cation (2⁻⁺) is then converted to iminium cation **8d** after the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) with the radical superoxide anion (O₂⁻⁻) generated during the catalyst turnover. Then, **8d** undergoes an intramolecular nucleophilic addition to afford **5** and a stoichiometric amount of H₂O₂. This mechanism is in agreement with the typical iminium cation generation pathways by means of photoredox catalysis.^[29]



WILEY-VCH



Scheme 2. Suggested reaction mechanism.

2. Optimization in Flow.

N-Demethylation of oxycodone (2) has often been carried out in high boiling polar aprotic solvents such as DMF, DMAc and DMSO (b.p. > 150 °C).[18-20] To enable a more sustainable process, in this work we sought for a greener solvent alternative.^[30] After an extensive solvent screening, a 1:1 mixture of methanol (MeOH) and n-butyl acetate (n-BuOAc, b.p. = 126 °C) was found to be able to dissolve 2 in a 0.1 M concentration at 40 °C, and also provided good results for the demethylation reaction (similar to DMAc or DMF, see Supporting Information). Our initial flow experiments using a commercially available flow photoreactor (Corning Lab Photo Reactor)^[31] were carried out using this solvent mixture. The continuous flow setup (Figure 2a) consisted of two feeds: the solution and oxygen were introduced with constant flow rates ($F_L = 0.9 \text{ mL/min}$, $F_G = 2.1$ mL_N/min; 1 equiv O₂) into the reactor, a glass microreactor plate (155 x 125 x 8 mm, 2.77 mL internal volume, temperature 40 °C) flanked by two LED panels. The system pressure was controlled by a back pressure regulator (p = 5 bar) at the outlet. The substrate solution (0.1 M 2 + 2 mol% RB) was introduced into the system using a sample loop (10 mL) heated to 50 °C through a six-way valve. The tubing between the injection loop and the flow plate was kept short to avoid cooling of the liquid mixture and potential clogging caused by crystallization of 2.

10.1002/chem.201905505

WILEY-VCH



Figure 2. Flow setup for the *N*-demethylation of **2** and optimization of the residence time and relative irradiation power (P/P_{max}).

Using green LEDs (λ = 540 nm) at their full power (P_{max} = 18.8 W), we achieved 90% conversion and 71% calibrated HPLC yield within 2.0 min residence time (t_R). A second processing of the reaction mixture through the reactor under the same conditions provided 98% conversion of **2** and 82% HPLC yield of **5** (total residence time $t_{R,tot}$ = 4.0 min). Then, several flow reactions under variable light irradiation power and residence time were carried out (Figure 2b). Best yields were achieved using the maximum power of the light source. Interestingly, an optimum value for the residence time (*ca.* 4 min) was clearly observed. Further prolonging the residence time led to the partial product decomposition by reaction of **5** with ROS.

To avoid the requirement of heating the substrate liquid feed to 50 °C and to ensure that no clogging of the system is caused by precipitation of 2 in the reactor during prolonged operation time, the solvent system was further refined. Using a ternary mixture of MeOH/MeCN/n-BuOAc (1:1:1 vol.), substrate 2 could be dissolved in a 0.1 M concentration at room temperature (20 °C). With this new solvent, N-demethylation of 2 was performed using a liquid flow rate of 0.5 mL_N/min and 6 bar backpressure. A conversion of 96% and 87% calibrated HPLC yield of **5** were obtained in a single pass ($t_R = 3.5$ min). Notably, the reaction temperature did not have a significant influence on the reaction outcome (Figure 3a), confirming the results already observed in batch. The catalyst (RB) loading could be reduced to 1 mol% without adverse effect. Upon further decrease of the catalyst loading (0.5 mol%), the conversion dropped (Figure 3b). In contrast, the use of EY as photocatalyst resulted in lower conversions (< 75%) even when with a catalyst loading of 4 mol% (Figure 3c).



Figure 3. Influence of reaction temperature and catalyst loading in the continuous photochemical generation of 5.

2.3. Oxazolidine (5) Hydrolysis and Product Isolation.

Once the continuous flow photochemical generation of oxazolidine 5 had been optimized, we turned our attention to the generation of the target nor-compound 3. The hydrolysis method developed in our previous research^[18] consisted of treating 5 with HCl (1 M, aq.) at 80 °C under reduced pressure (140 mbar) to enhance the elimination of formaldehyde from the reaction mixture. However, workup of the reaction mixture obtained after photolysis experiments at elevated temperatures provoked the formation of side-products, most probably due to the presence of ROS (mainly H₂O₂). For this reason we developed a novel workup protocol under atmospheric pressure. The crude photolysis mixture was stirred with 2 equiv of sodium ascorbate (NaAsc) to guench the ROS and then was refluxed with a 3:1 mixture of 1 M aqueous HCl and ethanol for 2 h. The refluxing mixture was sparged with argon to facilitate elimination of the released formaldehyde byproduct. Using the optimized Ndemethylation conditions in combination with this new hydrolysis procedure, noroxycodone (3) was prepared as its hydrochloride salt with good purity after an acid-base work-up (Table 2, entries 1-2). The yield of 3 from the larger scale run is slightly higher,

since the operation time at steady state is longer (Table 2, Entry 2). For synthesis on preparative scale (> 1 mmol), the substrate solution was delivered through the HPLC pump instead of a sample loop.^[32] The stability of the flow system was demonstrated by a long run over 124 min (6.2 mmol scale) at 20 °C reaction temperature (Table 2, Entry 3). From the collected reaction mixture, noroxycodone hydrochloride (**3**·HCl) was isolated in 68% yield (1.4 g) after hydrolysis.



[a] Temperature of the photochemical step. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Determined by HPLC peak area integration. [d] 93% purity obtained after acid-base workup (see Supporting Information).

Conclusion

We have developed a photocatalytic procedure for the Ndemethylation of oxycodone (2) using molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant and rose bengal as an inexpensive, metal free organophotocatalyst. Mechanistic studies revealed that quenching of the triplet state of the catalyst (RB) with 2 plays an important role in the catalysis. Singlet oxygen does not contribute to the formation of 5. The ROS generated during the reaction are responsible for the decomposition of the opiates, requiring a reductive quench afterwards. A scalable methodology has been achieved by translating the process to a continuous flow reactor. Under optimal conditions, oxazolidine 5 could be obtained in 87% HPLC yield after a 3.5 min residence time. Acidic hydrolysis of 5 furnished the desired nor-derivative 3 as its hydrochloride salt with 75% isolated yield. This photochemical approach avoids the use stoichiometric amounts of electrophiles, metal catalysts, or harsh reaction conditions (Scheme 1). In addition, the reaction can be performed under mild reaction conditions in a relative benign solvent mixture.

Experimental Section

Photocatalytic N-Demethylation of Oxycodone (2) and H₂O₂ quenching. Using the setup shown in Table 2, (reactor settings: $F_L = 0.5$ mL_N/min, $F_G = 2.1$ mL_N/min, T = 40 °C, p = 6 bar), after warming of the light source and stabilization of the temperatures and pressure, a 0.1 M solution of oxycodone (2) and 2 mol% rose bengal (RB) in MeOH/MeCN/*n*-BuOAc (1:1:1 vol.) was pumped into the reactor. When the steady state was reached, the reaction mixture was collected at the reactor outlet with a graduated cylinder. As soon as the collection was finished, the volume of the reaction mixture was recorded; the collected reaction mixture was transferred into a 100 mL three-necked flask and stirred with 2 equiv sodium ascorbate (NaAsc) over 16 h at room temperature in the dark.

Hydrolysis of Oxazolidine (5) and Isolation of Noroxycodone Hydrochloride (3-HCl). The reaction mixture after the NaAsc treatment (H₂O₂ quenching) was evaporated to dry at 45 °C *in vacuo*, and then refluxed with HCl (1 M, aq.)/ethanol (3:1 vol.) for 2 h while argon bubbling. The hydrolysis mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* over 10 min at 40 °C, 100 mbar, added with 5 mL HCl (1 M, aq.) and extracted with 2 × 30 mL CHCl₃. The aqueous phase was collected and basified with 25% NH₃ solution to pH ≈ 10 and then extracted with 4 × 30 mL CHCl₃. The combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in 2 equiv HCl (1.25 M, MeOH solution), evaporated *in vacuo* overnight at 40 °C affording noroxycodone hydrochloride (3-HCl) as water soluble yellowish solid.

Acknowledgements

The CC FLOW Project (Austrian Research Promotion Agency FFG No. 862766) is funded through the Austrian COMET Program by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Transport, Innovation and Technology (BMVIT), the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (BMWFW), and by the State of Styria (Styrian Funding Agency SFG). The authors gratefully acknowledge Corning SAS for the generous loan of the Corning Advanced-Flow Lab Photo Reactor used in this study. We are also thank Prof. Dr. Till Opatz for his informative suggestions during this research.

Keywords: photocatalysis • gas/liquid reaction • continuous-flow • opioid • *N*-demethylation

- a) S. Berenyi, C. Csutoras, A. Sipos, *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2009, *16*(25), 3215–3242. b) A. F. Casy, R. T. Parfitt, *Opioid Analgesics*; Plenum Press: New York and London, 1986. c) H. Nagase, Ed. *Chemistry of Opioids*; Springer: Heidelberg, 2011.
- a) N. Sharghi, I. Lalezari, Nature 1967, 213(5082), 1244. b) J. W. Fairbairn, Planta Medica 1976, 29, 26-32. (c) L. E. Craker, J. E. Simon, Eds. Herbs, Spices, and Medicinal Plants: Recent Advances in Botany, Horticulture, and Pharmacology Vol. II. Haworth Press Inc., Binghamton NY, 1991. d) I. Schönfelder, P. Schönfelder, Der Kosmos Heilpflanzenführer: Über 600 Heil- und Giftpflanzen Europas, 4th Ed.; Franckh Kosmos Verlag: Stuttgart, 2019.
- a) A. O. Gallego, M. G. Baron, E. E. Arranz, *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* 2007, *9*, 298–307; b) J. Riley, E. Eisenberg, G. Müller-Schwefe, A. M. Drewes, L. Arendt-Nielsen, *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 2008, *24*, 175-192; c) S. J. King, C. Reid, K. Forbes, G. Hanks, *Palliat. Med.* 2011, *25*, 454-470.
- [4] a) United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, World Health Organization, Opioid overdose: preventing and reducing opioid

overdose mortality, United Nations, 2013. b) G. Willyard, Mitigating

- opioids' harm, *Nature* 2019, *573*, S17-S19.
 [5] M. S. Sadove, R. C. Balagot, S. Hatano, E. A. Jobgen, *J. Am. Med. Assoc*.1963, *183*, 666–668.
- [6] a) A. K. Clark, C. M. Wilder, E. L. Winstanley, J. Addict. Med. 2014, 8, 153-163; b) J. M. Chamberlain, B. L. Klein, Am. J. Emerg. Med.1994, 12, 650-660.
- [7] World Health Organization, World Health Organization Model List of Essential Medicines, 21st List, United Nations, 2019.
- [8] For the synthesis of Naloxone form oripavine, see: a) R. J. Kupper, U.S. Patent No. 7,939,543. 10 May, 2011. b) J. R. Giguere,; H. A. Reisch, S. Sandoval, J. L. Stymiest, U.S. Patent No. 8,921,556. 30 Dec. 2014.
- [9] a) J. D. Phillipson, A. Scutt, A. Baytop, N. Özhatay, G. Sariyar, *Planta Medica* **1981**, *43*, 261-271. b) A. Coop, J. W. Lewis, K. C. Rice, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6774-6774.
- [10] For the synthesis of Naloxone from thebaine, see: a) Z. Fang, J. Wang, W. Jiang, L. Zhang, R. Yu, D. Zhao, CN 104230945 A, Dec 24, **2014**. b)
 Y. Zheng, M. Lu, X. Wang, W. Sheng, Z. Qiu, *Fudan Xuebao, Yixueban* **2007**, *34*, 888-890. c) J. Cardot, W.-C. Zhang, U.S. Patent No. 9,701,687. 11 Jul. **2017**. d) M. Conza, V. Lellek, H. Zinser. U.S. Patent No. 9,701,688. 11 Jul. **2017**.
- [11] L. Werner, M. Wernerova, A. Machara, M. A. Endoma-Arias, J. Duchek, D. R. Adams, D. P. Cox, T. Hudlický, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 2706-2712.
- [12] S. Thavaneswaran, K. McCamley, P. J. Scammells, Nat. Prod. Commun. 2006, 1, 885–897.
- [13] For the *N*-demethlyation using chloroformate, see: a) A. Kimishima, C. J. Wenthur, B. Zhou, K. D. Janda, *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *12*, 36-0. b)
 R. A. Olofson, J. T. Martz, J. P. Senet, M. Piteau, T. Malfroot, *J. Org. Chem.***1984**, *49*, 2081-2082. c) M. A. Schwartz, R. A. Wallace, *J. Med. Chem.***1981**, *24*, 1525-1528.
- [14] For the *N*-demethlyation using BrCN, see: a) A. Machara, M. A. A. Endoma-Arias, I. Císařova, D. P. Cox, T. Hudlický, *Synthesis*, **2016**, *48*, 1803-1813. b) B. R. Selfridge, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Yin, P. M. Grace, L. R. Watkins, A. E. Jacobson, K. C. Rice, *J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 5038-5052.
- [15] For the *N*-demethlyation using H₂O₂, see: a) D. D. Do Pham, G. F. Kelso, Y. Yang, M. T. Hearn, *Green Chem.* 2014, *16*, 1399-1409. b) G. B. Kok, P. J. Scammells, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2010, *20*, 4499-4502. c) Z. Dong, P. J. Scammells, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, *72*, 9881-9885. d) K. McCamley, J. A. Ripper, R. D. Singer, P. J. Scammells, *J. Org. Chem.* 2003, *68*, 9847-9850.
- [16] For the *N*-demethlyation using O₂ and Pd, see: a) R. J. Carroll, H. Leisch, E. Scocchera, T. Hudlicky, D. P. Cox, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2008, 350, 2984-2992. b) A. Machara, L. Werner, M. A. Endoma-Arias, D. P. Cox, T. Hudlický, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, 354, 613-626.
- [17] A. Machara, D. P. Cox, T. Hudlicky, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2713-2718.
- [18] Gutmann, B.; Weigl, U.; Cox, D. P.; Kappe, C. O. Batch-and Continuous-Flow Aerobic Oxidation of 14-Hydroxy Opioids to 1, 3-Oxazolidines—A Concise Synthesis of Noroxymorphone. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 10393–10398.
- [19] B. Gutmann, D. Cantillo, U. Weigl, D. P. Cox, C. O. Kappe, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 914-927.
- [20] B. Gutmann, P. Elsner, D. P. Cox, U. Weigl, D. M. Roberge, C. O. Kappe, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2016, 4, 6048-6061.
- [21] J. A. Ripper, E. R. Tiekink, P. J. Scammells, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2001, *11*, 443-445.
- [22] a) J. W. Beatty, C. R. Stephenson, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1474-1484. b) G. Wu, Y. Li, X. Yu, Y. Gao, H. Chen, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 687-692.
- [23] a) P. Sobieszuk, J. Aubin, R. Pohorecki, *Chem. Eng. Techn.* 2012, *35*, 1346-1358. b) G. N. Doku, W. Verboom, D. N. Reinhoudt, A. van den Berg, *Tetrahedron* 2005, *61*, 2733–2742.
- [24] a) D. Cambie, C. Bottecchia, N. J. Straathof, V. Hessel, T. Noël, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 10276-10341. b) Y. Su, N. J. Straathof, V. Hessel, T. Noël, *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 10562-10589. c) K. Gilmore, P. H. Seeberger, *Chem. Rec.* 2014, *14*, 410-418. d) L. D. Elliott, J. P. Knowles, P. J. Koovits, K. G. Maskill, M. J. Ralph, G. Lejeune, L. J.

Edwards, R. I. Robinson, I. R. Clemens, B. Cox, D. D. Pascoe, *Chem.– Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 15226-15232. e) E. M. Schuster, P. Wipf, *Isr. J. Chem.* **2014**, *54*, 361-370.

- [25] C. A. Hone, D. Roberge, C. O. Kappe, ChemSusChem 2017, 10, 32-41.
- [26] T. Y. Shang, L. H. Lu, Z. Cao, Y. Liu, W. M. He, B. Yu, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 5408–5419.
- [27] A. P. Darmanyan, Chem. Phys. Lett. **1984**, *110*, 89–94.
- [28] R. C. Kanner, C. S. Foote, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 678-681.
- [29] a) N. A. Romero, D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 10075-10166.
 b) M. H. Shaw, J. Twilton, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Org. Chem.* 2016, *81*, 6898-6926. c) J. W. Tucker, C. R. J. Stephenson, *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77, 1617-1622.
- [30] C. J. Clarke, W.-C. Tu, O. Levers, A. Bröhl, J. P. Hallett, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 747-800.
- [31] Y. Chen, O. de Frutos, C. Mateos, J. A. Rincon, D. Cantillo, C. O. Kappe, ChemPhotoChem 2018, 2, 906-912.
- [32] This work was carried out with a 2.77 mL reactor. It should be noted that the commercial platform utilized can be readily scaled up even for gas liquid mixtures by simply using larger volume plates: N. Emmanuel, C. Mendoza, M. Winter, Horn, C. A. Vizza, L. Dreesen, B. Heinrichs, J.-C. M. Monbaliu, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2017, *21*, 1435-1438.

WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents



Institute and researcher Twitter usernames: @KappeLab @UniGraz @RCPE