

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 46 (2005) 6901-6905

Tetrahedron Letters

Chemoselective deprotection and functional group interconversion of ring-fused 2N,3O-oxazolidinones of *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine

Peng Wei and Robert J. Kerns*

Division of Medicinal & Natural Products Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

Received 8 July 2005; revised 29 July 2005; accepted 1 August 2005 Available online 19 August 2005

Abstract—These studies describe the chemoselective deprotection of *trans*-fused 2N,3O-oxazolidinone derivatives of *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosamine. Selective opening of the oxazolidinone ring or *N*-deacetylation without ring opening is demonstrated. Certain amines are shown to efficiently afford C-2 ureido sugars under mild conditions. This work demonstrates the high degree of chemoselective manipulation possible with ring-fused 2N,3O-oxazolidinone derivatives of *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

N-Substituted 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-hexopyranoside residues are important structural and functional components of numerous bioactive glycoconjugates. Protection and/or latentiation strategies for the 2-amino moiety of D-glucosaminyl donors play a critical role in stereoselective glycosylation reactions and postglycosylation chemical manipulation to obtain target glycosides.¹ We previously reported ring-fused 2N, 3Ooxazolidinone derivatives of phenyl 2-amino-2-deoxy-1-thio-D-glucopyranoside as glycosyl donors for the stereoselective synthesis of α -linked glycosides of D-glucosamine.^{2,3} Oxazolidinone protection of the 2-N and 3-O positions facilitates differentiation of the 3-hydroxyl moiety from other hydroxyl groups. Hydrolytic ring opening (deprotection) of N-unsubstituted oxazolidinones (1) under mild conditions affords 2-amino products (2, Fig. 1).² N-acetylglucosamine derivatives have been obtained through selective N-acetylation following hydrolysis of 2N,3O-oxazolidinones (3, Fig. 1).⁴ Treatment of the N-unsubstituted ring-fused oxazolidinones with alcohols in the presence of base affords ring-opening deprotection of the C-3 hydroxyl group with concomitant generation of the C-2 carbamate (4, Fig. 1).²

Certain inherent limitations of N-unsubstituted 2N, 3O-oxazolidinone protected D-glucosaminyl donors have

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2005.08.013

been overcome through acetyl substitution the oxazolidinone nitrogen.⁵ Substitution of the oxazolidinone nitrogen precludes N-glycosylation and blocks reaction with donor activating reagents. Moreover, thioglycosides of these donors can be activated using mild activating systems. Unlike N-unsubstituted ring-fused oxazolidinones (1, Fig. 1), we initially found hydrolysis of ring-fused 2N,3O-oxazolidinones of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (5, Fig. 1) to give product mixtures. These mixtures resulted from competition between N-deacetylation, N-deacetylation followed by oxazolidinone opening, and oxazolidinone opening with retention of the N-acetyl substituent (1, 2, 3, Fig. 1). Here we report studies investigating the chemoselective deprotection of 2N,3O-oxazolidinone protected N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and the selective formation of 2-carbamoyl and 2-ureido derivatives.

Chiral monocyclic *N*-acyl oxazolidinones are widely employed in asymmetric Aldol reactions. We initially envisioned established methods for selective *N*-deacylation of these monocyclic oxazolidinones might be applicable to selective *N*-deacetylation of the *trans*-fused oxazolidinones here. Initial attempts to selectively cleave the *N*-acetyl substituent from 4,6-di-*O*-acetyl protected derivatives of glycosides **5** afforded complex reaction mixtures. While *O*-acetyl cleavage was anticipated, mixtures obtained using various reaction conditions clearly demonstrated the carbonyl of these *trans*-fused *N*-acetyl substituted oxazolidinones are highly labile compared to monocyclic oxazolidinones. High torsion strain of

Keywords: Ureido sugars; Oxazolidinones; Glucosamine.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +319 335 8800; fax: +319 335 8766; e-mail: robert-kerns@uiowa.edu



Figure 1. Known interconversions of N-unsubstituted 2N, 3O-oxazolidinone protected **D**-glucosamine derivatives (solid arrows). Chemoselective manipulation of 2N, 3O-oxazolidinone protected N-acetyl **D**-glucosamine derivatives investigated here (dashed arrows).

the *trans*-fused oxazolidinone resulted in competing reactivity of the *N*-acetyl carbonyl and the internal carbonyl of the oxazolidinone ring.

Benzyl glycoside **9** was prepared to facilitate studies toward identifying reagents and reaction conditions to selectively cleave the *N*-acetyl substituent and selectively open the oxazolidinone ring (Scheme 1). Synthesis of **9** began with 2N,3O-oxazolidinone protected thioglycoside **6**, which was prepared using procedures as previously reported.^{2,5} Selective protection of the C-6 hydroxyl group as the silyl ether,⁶ followed by allyloxycarbonyl protection of the C-4 hydroxyl group,⁷ afforded **7**. Subsequent acetylation afforded *N*-acetyl donor **8**.⁵ Glycosidation of **8** with benzyl alcohol employing 1-benzylsulfinylpiperidine (BSP) and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (Tf₂O) as donor activating agents proceeded smoothly to afford **9** in high yield and stereoselectivity.⁸

We first investigated methods to hydrolyze oxazolidinone 9 by varying temperature and concentration of inorganic base. As shown at the top of Table 1, there are two discrete pathways for hydrolysis. Hydrolytic conditions that selectively remove the *N*-acetyl group first will afford *N*-unsubstituted oxazolidinone 10 (Pathway A). Further hydrolysis of oxazolidinone 10 then affords ring opening and yields complete deprotection of the 3-hydroxyl and 2-amine groups.³ In contrast, hydrolytic conditions that selectively open the oxazolidinone ring first will afford the 2-N-acetyl sugar (Pathway B), which is stable to further hydrolysis and thus directly affords N-acetyl glucosamine derivatives. Simple hydrolysis of 9 employing excess NaOH at 0 °C, as well as many other hydroxide derivatives, afforded a mixture of products 11 and 12 (Table 1, entry 1).9 Under these conditions hydroxide attack is non-specific. Attack on the internal carbonyl of the oxazolidinone ring is slightly favored. Selective N-acetylation of reaction mixtures containing 11 and 12 provided 12 in excellent overall yield. Thus non-specific hydrolysis followed by selective N-acetylation of the reaction mixture does provide an effective two-step route to the N-acetyl product.

Crich and Vinod recently reported selective ring-opening cleavage of *N*-acetyl substituted 2*N*,3*O*-oxazolidinone protected glucosamine derivatives employing barium hydroxide in hot ethanol to give the *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine products.¹⁰ However, in our system these conditions afforded a product mixture (competing pathways A and B). Extensive screening of reaction conditions varying time, temperature, and concentration of inorganic bases revealed temperature and concentration of bases as important, if not more important, than the



TBDPS = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl, PhMe = 4-methylphenyl





^a Complete loss of Alloc occurred under these reaction conditions.

NaOMe (excess), CH₃OH, rt

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

hydroxide counter ion present for controlling hydrolysis. Ultimately, we found that increasing the rate of Ndeacetylation over cleavage of the oxazolidinone ring (Pathway A) is favored under mild hydrolysis conditions. For example, selective removal of the N-acetyl group was favored over cleavage of the oxazolidinone ring by employing slow addition of a 1 N sodium hydroxide solution (4–8 equiv total) to glycoside 9 in THF at 0 °C (Table 1, entry 2). Both temperature control and slow rate of hydroxide addition was necessary to achieve preferential cleavage of the acetyl group before oxazolidinone opening. Chemoselective cleavage of the N-acetyl substituent was also observed under reduction conditions using LiBH₄ (Table 1, entry 3).¹¹

The greatest chemoselectivity achieved for cleavage of the N-acetyl group to afford 10 was found using LiOOH mediated hydrolysis (Table 1, entry 4, 10:12 > 9:1).¹² Here, substrate 9 in 3:1 THF/H₂O was treated with 4-8 equiv of 30% H_2O_2 at -40 °C (phase separation between H₂O and THF was observed), followed by addition of 2 equiv of LiOH. The reaction mixture was quickly brought to 0 °C and quenched within minutes by adding Na₂SO₃ solution. It was found essential to add lithium hydroxide at low temperature to minimize oxazolidinone ring opening prior to cleavage of the Nacetyl group. In situ treatment of N-unsubstituted oxazolidinone 10 with excess 1 N sodium hydroxide in THF afforded free amine 11 in high yield.³

Chemoselective cleavage of the oxazolidinone ring to preferentially afford N-acetyl product 12 was also achieved by employing LiCl–LiOH hydrolysis (Pathway B, Table 1, entry 5).¹² The addition of lithium chloride (3–5 equiv) to glycoside 9 prior to the addition of lithium hydroxide was required to cleave the oxazolidinone ring without affecting the N-acetyl moiety. These unique

hydrolysis conditions provide a direct route to efficiently obtain the N-acetyl-D-glucosamine product.

Pathway C

13b,^a $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = OCH_3$, 85%

We previously demonstrated N-unsubstituted 2N,3Ooxazolidinone protected derivatives of D-glucosamine undergo efficient ring opening with alkoxides to afford C-2 carbamates.³ Here, we evaluated the reaction between methoxide and N-acetyl substituted 2N,3O-oxazolidinone 9 under forcing and non-forcing conditions. The addition of sodium methoxide to substrate 9 under non-forcing conditions was found to control the reaction of methoxide with substrate and provide high yields of N-acetyl methyl carbamate 13a (Table 1, entries 6 and 7).¹³ Under these reaction conditions the methoxide anion selectively attacks the carbonyl of the oxazolidinone ring leaving the N-acetyl group intact. When substrate 9 was treated with excess sodium methoxide in methanol over prolonged reaction time initial formation of the N-acetyl methyl carbamate 13a was followed by deacetylation to afford 13b (Table 1, entry 8). Methyl carbamates such as 13b are readily hydrolyzed to the corresponding free amine using established methods.¹⁴

It is notable that during the preparation of this letter, Oscarson and co-workers reported mild methoxide treatment of glycosides of 2N,3O-oxazolidione protected 4,6,-di-O-benzyl-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine directly afforded N-acetyl-D-glucosamine derivatives having a free 3-hydroxyl group.¹⁵ The high yields and clear chemoselective opening of the *trans*-fused oxazolidinone prior to acetyl cleavage by methoxide here contradict this result. However, anomeric configuration of substrates employed was not reported, and Crich and Vinod reported dramatic affects of anomeric configuration on oxazolidinone opening versus cleavage of the N-acetyl substituent for certain 2N,3O-oxazolidione protected derivatives of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine.¹⁰



Scheme 2.

Having observed chemoselective cleavage of the N-acetyl group, we anticipated it might be possible to exploit this differential reactivity to selectively remove the Nacetyl moiety in the presence of O-acetyl groups. To this end, we evaluated a number of amine-based reagents commonly employed for selective O-deacetylation of anomeric acetates in the presence of other primary and secondary O-acetyl groups.¹⁶ To our surprise, these reactions provided N-deacetylated C-2 ureido sugars in excellent yield (Scheme 2). Monitoring the reactions and reaction intermediates by thin-layer chromatography and NMR revealed that these reactions proceed through two different pathways (Scheme 2). Intermediates 15 and 16 are both produced. The ratio of 15 to 16 depends on the amine nucleophile employed. Formation of intermediate 15 is followed by slow conversion of 15 to 17. In contrast, 16 rapidly undergoes N-deacetylation under reaction conditions to afford 17. Relative rates were determined by comparing conversion of 14 to 17 and intermediates 15 and 16 to 17 under identical reaction conditions.

Ichikawa and Pinter previously reported the use of *trans*-fused, *N*-unsubstituted, 1N,2O-oxazolidinones in the synthesis of C-1 urea glycosides.¹⁷ Our studies here, in conjunction with previous reports employing thiogly-cosides of 2N,3O-oxazolidione protected *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine as glycosyl donors,^{5,15} reveals an efficient route for the synthesis of C-2 urea glycoconjugates, which are present in a number of antimicrobial natural products and *N*-deacetylase inhibitors.^{18,19}

In summary, conditions for the chemoselective hydrolysis and methanolysis of highly strained *trans*-fused 2N,3O-oxazolidinone derivatives of *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosamine have been investigated and identified. Selective cleavage of the oxazolidinone ring or *N*-deacetylation without ring cleavage has been achieved on the same substrate. Certain amine nucleophiles have been shown to efficiently open the *trans*-fused *N*-acetyl oxazolidinone ring under mild conditions to afford C-2 ureido sugars with concomitant removal of the *N*-acetyl moiety. This work demonstrates the high degree of chemoselective manipulation possible with 2N,3O-oxazolidinone derivatives of *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine, and presents specific methods that will be valuable in elaborating sugar glycosides in the stereoselective synthesis of glycoconjugates containing variably substituted 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-hexopyranosides.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Department of Defense (DAMD17-01-1-0452) for supporting portions of this work.

References and notes

- 1. Banoub, J.; Boullanger, P.; Lafont, D. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1167–1195.
- Benakli, K.; Zha, C.; Kerns, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9461–9462.
- Kerns, R. J.; Zha, C.; Benakli, K.; Liang, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 8069–8072.
- 4. Crich, D.; Vinod, A. U. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1297-1300.
- 5. Wei, P.; Kerns, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4195-4198.
- Chaudhary, S. K.; Hernandez, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 20, 99–102.
- 7. Corey, E. J.; William Suggs, J. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 3223–3224.
- 8. Benzyl glycoside **9** was prepared from **5** in four steps, 68% overall yield. All products were characterized by mass spectroscopic (ESI) and NMR analysis. Select data for **8** and **9**: (8) $R_{\rm f} = 0.59$ (2:5, EtOAc/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.06 (s, 9H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 3.64 (m, 1H), 3.88 (m, 2H), 4.22 (m, 2H), 4.66 (d, 2H), 4.82 (d, 1H), 4.90 (m, 3H), 5.92 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, 2H), 7.44 (m, 8H), 7.74 (dd, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 698.1 (M+Na⁺). Compound (9) $R_{\rm f} = 0.55$ (2:5, EtOAc/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.92 (s, 9H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.78–3.99 (m, 3H), 4.11 (d, 1H), 4.15 (d, 1H), 4.70 (d, 1H), 4.95 (d, 1H), 5.12–5.29 (m, 3H), 5.82 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.35 (m, 11H), 7.50–7.60 (m, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 672.6 (M+Na⁺).
- 9. All products were characterized by mass spectroscopic and NMR analyses. Selected data for **10–12**, **13a–b**, **17a–c**: Compound (**10**) $R_{\rm f} = 0.32$ (2:5, EtOAc/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (s, 9H), 3.59–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.88 (d, 2H), 4.22 (dd, 1H), 4.58–4.71 (m, 4H), 4.89 (d, 1H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 5.27–5.41 (m, 3H), 5.86–5.97 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.45 (m, 11H), 7.65–7.78 (m, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) *m/z* 640.4 (M+Na⁺). Compound (**11**) $R_{\rm f} = 0.36$ (15:1, EtOAc/MeOH); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (s, 9H), 2.75 (t, 1H), 3.37–3.47 (m, 2H), 3.67 (t, 1H), 3.95–3.98 (dd, 2H), 4.25 (d, 1H), 4.53 (d,

1H), 4.85 (d, 1H), 7.30–7.50 (m, 11H), 7.71 (m, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 508.3 (M+H⁺).

Compound (12) $R_f = 0.27$ (EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.08 (s, 9H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 3.45–3.52 (m, 2H), 3.63 (m, 2H), 3.91–4.04 (dd, dd, 2H), 4.14 (dd, 1H), 4.40 (d, 1H), 4.55 (d, 1H), 4.81 (d, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H), 7.32–7.46 (m, 11H), 7.71 (m, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 572.5 (M+Na⁺).

Compound (13a) $R_{\rm f} = 0.21$ (1:1, EtOAc/Hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (s, 9H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 3.62–3.69 (m, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.84–3.96 (m, 2H), 4.57 (dd, 2H), 4.71 (d, 1H), 4.89 (d, 1H), 5.06–5.34 (m, 4H), 5.51 (d, 1H), 5.84 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.48 (m, 11H), 7.71 (m, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 714.5 (M+Na⁺).

Compound (13b) $R_{\rm f} = 0.44$ (3:1, EtOAc/Hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (s, 9H), 3.36–3.47 (m, 2H), 3.65–3.69 (m, 4H), 3.96–4.14 (m, 2H), 4.12 (dd, 1H), 4.46 (d, 1H), 4.56 (d, 1H), 4.86 (d, 1H), 7.30–7.47 (m, 11H), 7.69 (m, 4H). Mass spec. (ESI) *m/z* 588.6 (M+Na⁺).

Compound (17a) $R_{\rm f} = 0.42$ (EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.82 (s, 2H), 3.48–3.55 (m, 1H), 3.59–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.76 (t, 3H), 4.15–4.20 (dd, 1H), 4.26–4.32 (dd, 1H), 4.35–4.47 (m, 1H), 4.48 (d, 1H), 4.52 (d, 1H), 4.88 (d, 1H), 4.95 (dd, 1H), 5.78 (s, 1H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 5H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 419.4 (M+Na⁺).

Compound (17b) $R_{\rm f} = 0.46$ (EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.50–1.59 (m, 5H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 3.01 (d, 1H), 3.19–3.28 (m, 4H), 3.44–3.65 (m, 2H), 3.89 (dd, 1H), 4.25– 4.38 (dd, 1H), 4.48–4.64 (m, 3H), 4.88 (d, 1H), 4.96 (dd, 1H), 7.28–7.34 (m, 5H). Mass spec. (ESI) *m/z* 487.4 (M+Na⁺).

Compound (17c) $R_{\rm f} = 0.54$ (EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.01 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 3.35 (m, 1H), 3.51–3.55 (m, 1H),

3.64 (t, 1H), 4.09–4.29 (m, 3H), 4.34 (d, 1H), 4.41 (d, 2H), 4.52 (d, 1H), 4.80 (d, 1H), 4.91 (t, 1H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 5.91 (m, 1H), 6.90–7.36 (m, 10H). Mass spec. (ESI) m/z 509.4 (M+Na⁺).

- Crich, D.; Vinod, A. U. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1291– 1296.
- Evans, D. A.; Sjogren, E. B.; Bartroli, J.; Dow, R. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4957–4960.
- 12. Evans, D. A.; Britton, T. C.; Ellman, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 6141–6144.
- 13. Sypniewski, M.; Penke, B.; Simon, L.; Rivier, J. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6595–6600.
- Yeung, B. K. S.; Adamski-Werner, S. L.; Bernard, J. B.; Poulenat, G.; Petillo, P. A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3135–3138.
- 15. Boysen, M.; Gemma, E.; Lahmann, M.; Oscarson, S. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3044–3046.
- Mikamo, M. Carbohydr. Res. 1989, 191, 150–153; Rowell, R. M.; Feather, M. S. Carbohydr. Res. 1967, 4, 486–491.
- Ichikawa, Y.; Matsukawa, Y.; Isobe, M. Synlett 2004, 6, 1019–1022; Pinter, I.; Kovacs, J.; Toth, G. Carbohydr. Res. 1995, 273, 99–108.
- Ellestad, G. A.; Cosulich, D. B.; Broschard, R. W.; Martin, J. H.; Kunstmann, M. P.; Morton, G. O.; Lancaster, J. E.; Fulmor, W.; Lovell, F. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 2515–2524; Fernandes, P. B.; Swanson, R. N.; Hardy, D. J.; Hanson, C. W.; McDaniel, D.; Beyer, J.; Chen, R. H. *J. Antibiot.* **1989**, *42*, 538–541; Chen, R. H.; Whittern, D. N.; Buko, A. M.; McAlpine, J. B. *J. Antibiot.* **1989**, *42*, 533–537.
- Smith, T. K.; Gerold, P.; Crossman, A.; Paterson, M. J.; Borissow, C. N.; Brimacombe, J. S.; Ferguson, M. A. J.; Schwarz, R. T. *Biochemistry* 2002, *41*, 12395–12406.