

# Biomimetic Synthesis of $(\pm)$ -Merochlorin B

Robin Meier, Sebastian Strych, and Dirk Trauner\*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Integrated Protein Science, University of Munich (LMU), Butenandtstraße 5-13, 81377 München, Germany

**Supporting Information** 



**ABSTRACT:** A short total synthesis, guided by biosynthetic considerations, of racemic merochlorin B is presented. The formation of its isomer, merochlorin A, was not observed under the conditions. Key steps include a directed *ortho*-metalation (DoM), a selective demethylation, an *ortho*-allylation, and an oxidative [3 + 2]-cycloaddition mediated by an iodine(III) reagent.

T he merochlorins, 1-4, are a small family of meroterpenoids recently isolated from the sediment bacterium *Streptomyces spectrabilis* strain CNH 189 (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> Merochlorins A, 1, and B, 2, have attracted considerable attention due to their unusual structures and their high activity against methicillin-resistant strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*.<sup>1</sup>



**Figure 1.** Merochlorins A–D, 1–4, isolated from *Streptomyces spectrabilis* strain CNH-189.

Merochlorin A, 1, features a tetracarbocyclic ring system with four contiguous stereocenters, two of which are quaternary. Its isomer, merochlorin B, 2, possesses a ring system that incorporates a heterocycle, a chlorinated vinylogous ester, and, like merochlorin A, 1, a propan-2-ylidenecyclopentane. Furthermore, 2 features three contiguous stereocenters, one of which is quaternary.

Biosynthetically, all members of the merochlorin family have been proposed to derive from a common chlorinated tetrahydroxynaphthalene (THN) derivative **5** (Scheme 1).<sup>1,2</sup> Moore and co-workers identified the biosynthetic gene cluster associated with **5** and proposed that a THN synthase, a polyprenyl synthase, a prenyltransferase, and a vanadium-dependent haloperoxidase are involved in its formation.<sup>1b</sup> Its subsequent cyclization to merochlorin A, **1**, and B, **2**, would proceed via epoxidation or chlorination of the central double bond.

This proposal was modified by George and co-workers who recently disclosed a biomimetic synthesis of merochlorin A,  $1.^2$  They assumed that oxidation of the electron-rich aromatic core of **5**, possibly by an enzyme that contains an iron–sulfur cluster, would give rise to phenoxonium ion **6**. This reactive intermediate would then cyclize to merochlorin A, **1**, or B, **2**, via a [5 + 2]- or [3 + 2]-cycloaddition depending on the orientation of the terpenoid side chain (Scheme 1).

We now report the total synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -merochlorin B, 2, that was guided by similar biosynthetic considerations. Interestingly, the formation of merochlorin A, 1, was not observed under our conditions, whereas George and co-workers did not observe the

 Received:
 March 17, 2014

 Published:
 May 7, 2014



formation of merochlorin B, **2**, under theirs. As such, our work provides another example for a subtle variation of a substrate that results in a markedly different outcome of a cascade reaction.

Retrosynthetically, we envisioned that 1 and 2 could be obtained from naphthalene 8, which is a protected version of 5 (Scheme 2).<sup>3</sup> Compound 8 could be accessed by a late stage

Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Merochlorins A, 1, and B, 2



allylation with a terpenoid side chain ultimately derived from commercially available geranyl bromide, **10**. Furthermore, the incorporation of the chlorine atom could be achieved by a directed *ortho*-metalation (DoM) of a protected dimethoxy-naphthalene derivative which in turn could be accessed via Dieckmann condensation starting from phenylacetic acid methyl ester **9**.

Accordingly, our synthesis commenced with the preparation of 6,8-dihydroxy-1,3-dimethoxynaphthalene, **12**, from phenylacetic acid **9** using a modification of a known procedure (Scheme 3).<sup>4</sup> Friedel–Crafts acylation of **9** then afforded acetophenone **11** which underwent Dieckmann cyclization in excellent yield upon treatment with sodium hydride.<sup>5,6</sup> Protection of both phenolic hydroxyl groups with TBS or TIPS triflate afforded naphthalenes **13** and **14**. The use of the more reactive triflate reagents was



necessary at this point to achieve the protection of the sterically more hindered hydroxyl group in the *peri*-position of the naphthalene core **12**.

19

The ability of methoxy groups to direct the metalation of aromatic systems is well described in the literature.<sup>7</sup> In our case, the cooperative interaction of the two methoxy groups led to a completely regioselective metalation. Treatment of naphthalene **13** or **14** with *n*-BuLi and TMEDA formed the corresponding lithiated species, which was quenched with hexachloroethane  $(C_2Cl_6)$  to form the chlorinated naphthalene cores **15** and **16**, respectively, in high yield. The advantages of  $C_2Cl_6$  over other Cl<sup>+</sup>-sources have been documented in the literature.<sup>8</sup>

Initial experiments to access the terpenoid side chain using a Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons strategy<sup>9</sup> did not lead to acceptable results in our case. We therefore took recourse to a Peterson olefination<sup>10</sup> starting from commercially available silyl acetate **1**7 which was alkylated with geranyl bromide, **10**, in good yield (Scheme 3).<sup>11</sup> Subsequent treatment with LDA followed by the addition of acetone gave  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester **18**.<sup>12</sup> Reduction followed by Appel reaction then provided the sensitive bromide **19** in good yield.

With compounds 15, 16, and 19 in hand we investigated the formation of cyclization precursor 21 (Scheme 4). Our initial plan was to incorporate the side chain by a second directed *ortho*metalation of the aromatic core 15 followed by reaction of the resulting organolithium compound 20 with the bromide 19.<sup>13</sup> Although the regioselective formation of 20 could be proven by  $D_2O$  quench, it was impossible to connect side chain 19 in that manner. The transmetalation of 20 with various metals (Cu, Mg, Zn, SnMe<sub>3</sub>) and addition of 19 with and without palladium catalysis did not lead to the desired coupling product 21 either. As a consequence, we changed the order of events and



investigated demethylation of the chlorinated naphthalenes **15** and **16**. After extensive optimization, compound **16** could be selectively deprotected by boron tribromide in good yield. The addition of proton sponge and use of the TIPS protected core **16** was found to be essential for a fast and clean conversion to naphthol **22** in the presence of silyl protecting groups.<sup>14</sup> Importantly, double demethylation was not observed under these conditions.

Gratifyingly, naphthol **22** underwent clean *ortho*-allylation by treatment with sodium hydride and subsequent trapping with allylic bromide **19**.<sup>15</sup> The resulting prenylated naphthol **23** was obtained in good yield as an inseparable 4:1 mixture of isomers, presumably due to an isomerization at the double bond indicated in Scheme 4. An analogous isomerization was observed by George and co-workers in their synthesis of merochlorin A, **1**.<sup>2</sup>

In an attempt to synthesize both merochlorin A, 1, and B, 2, we treated 23 with lead(IV) tetraacetate (LTA). These conditions, also used by George and co-workers,<sup>2</sup> resulted in a complex mixture of products. Based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR and mass spectroscopy data, we determined that the major component of this mixture resulted from Wessely oxidation (not shown).<sup>16</sup> A much cleaner reaction was observed by changing the oxidant to lead(IV) tetrabenzoate, which can be prepared from LTA via ligand exchange,<sup>17</sup> and use of a mixture of dichloromethane and trifluoroethanol (TFE) as a solvent (Scheme 5). Under these conditions, the Wessely oxidation product 24 could be isolated in moderate yield. Inspired by the work of Horne et al.,<sup>3d</sup> we tried to use 24 as a precursor of the requisite phenoxonium ion. However, when 24 was treated with a variety of Brønsted and Lewis acids, no [5 + 2]-or [3 + 2]-cycloaddition products could be observed.

We next turned to iodine(III) reagents<sup>18</sup> as oxidants. After extensive screening, we found that a variant of Koser's reagent [PhI(OH)OTs],<sup>19</sup> generated *in situ*, gave a desired product. Mixing iodosobenzene (PhIO) with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid,<sup>20</sup> followed by addition to naphthol **23**, afforded merochlorin B Letter



derivative **26**, which still contained one of the two silyl ethers of the starting material (Scheme 5). Subsequent deprotection afforded merochlorin B, **2**, as a single diastereomer and in 30% overall yield from **23**. The spectral data of our synthetic compound are in accordance with those reported by Moore et al., <sup>1b</sup> and Prof. Moore agrees with this analysis (see Supporting Information).<sup>21</sup>

Interestingly, we did not observe the formation of merochlorin A, 1, under our oxidative conditions. We believe that this is not due to a variation in the oxidant but rather due to the presence of a methyl ether in intermediate 25. In the case of George's merochlorin A, 1, synthesis,<sup>2</sup> the corresponding cyclization precursor bears a free hydroxyl group at the C-1 position, which can be deprotonated, rendering the resultant enolate highly nucleophilic. Presumably, the methyl substituent in intermediate 25 increases the relative nucleophilicity of the carbonyl, thus favoring the [3 + 2]-pathway. The resulting oxocarbenium ion is either directly demethylated by nucleophilic attack or forms a labile acetal that is lost upon aqueous workup.

In conclusion, we have achieved the first total synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -merochlorin B, **2**, a biologically active chlorinated meroterpenoid, by a longest linear sequence of eight steps starting from commercially available phenylacetic acid methyl ester **9**. Key steps include a directed *ortho*-metalation (DoM), a chemoselective demethylation of a naphthalene, and a biosynthetically inspired oxidative [3 + 2]-cycloaddition using an iodine(III) reagent generated *in situ*. Attempts to achieve asymmetric syntheses of the merochlorins are currently underway in our laboratories and will be reported in due course.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## Supporting Information

Experimental details as well as spectroscopic and analytical data for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: dirk.trauner@lmu.de.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 749) is acknowledged. Additionally, the authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Tatsuya Urushima (LMU, München) for helpful discussions and experimental assistance.

## REFERENCES

(1) (a) Sakoulas, G.; Nam, S.-J.; Loesgen, S.; Fenical, W.; Jensen, P. R.; Nizet, V.; Hensler, M. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e29439. (b) Kaysser, L.; Bernhardt, P.; Nam, S.-J.; Loesgen, S.; Ruby, J. G.; Skewes-Cox, P.; Jensen, P. R.; Fenical, W.; Moore, B. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 11988.

(2) Pepper, H. P.; George, J. H. Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 12392; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12170.

(3) For literature precedents of oxidative [3 + 2]- and [5 + 2]cycloadditions, see the following and references therein: By preformed quinone derivatives: (a) Büchi, G.; Chu, P.-S. *Tetrahedron* 1981, 37, 4509. (b) Grieco, P. A.; Walker, J. K. *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 8975. (c) Engler, T. A.; Scheibe, C. M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6247. (d) Horne, D. A.; Yakushijin, K.; Büchi, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 5443. By electrochemical approaches: (f) Quideau, S.; Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2004, 8, 113. (g) Yamamura, S.; Nishiyama, S. *Synlett* 2002, 4, 533. By one- or two-electron oxidants: (h) Gates, B. D.; Dalidowicz, P.; Tebben, A.; Wang, S.; Swenton, J. S. J. *Org. Chem.* 1992, 57, 2135. (i) Wu, K.-L.; Wilkinson, S.; Reich, N. O.; Pettus, T. R. R. *Org. Lett.* 2007, 9, 5537. (j) Zhao, J.-C.; Yu, S.-M.; Liu, Y.; Yao, Z.-J. *Org. Lett.* 2013, 15, 4300. (k) Green, J. C.; Pettus, T. R. R. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 1603. (l) Wu, K.-L.; Mercado, E. V.; Pettus, T. R. R. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 6114.

(4) (a) Bycroft, B. W.; Roberts, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2063.
(b) Beekman, A. M.; Martinez, E. C.; Barrow, R. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1109. (c) Bycroft, B. W.; Roberts, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 4868.

(5) Gonzalez-Bello, C.; Abell, C.; Leeper, F. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1017.

(6) McCulloch, M. W. B.; Barrow, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 7619.

(7) (a) Gschwend, M. W.; Rodriguez, H. R. Org. React. 1979, 26, 1.
(b) Slocum, D. W.; Jennings, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3653.

(c) Slocum, D. W.; Dumbris, S.; Brown, S.; Jackson, G.; LaMastus, R.; Mullins, E.; Ray, J.; Shelton, P.; Walstrom, A.; Wilcox, J. M.; Holman, R. W. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 8275. For a review on directed *ortho*metalation, see: (d) Snieckus, V. *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, *90*, 879.

(8) Mani, N. S.; Jablonowski, J. A.; Jones, T. K. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 8115.

(9) Mitasev, B.; Porco, J. A., Jr. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2285.

(10) For a review on Peterson olefination, see: (a) Ager, D. J. Org. React. 1990, 38, 1. (b) Van Staden, L. F.; Gravestock, D.; Ager, D. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2002, 31, 195.

(11) Gembus, V.; Poisson, T.; Oudeyer, S.; Marsais, F.; Levacher, V. *Synlett* **2009**, *15*, 2437. (b) Andrey, O.; Glanzmann, C.; Landais, Y.; Parra-Papado, L. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 2835.

(12) (a) Greene, A. E.; Le Drian, C.; Crabbe, P. J. Org. Chem. **1980**, 45, 2713. (b) Tiseni, P. S.; Peters, R. Angew. Chem. **2007**, 119, 5419; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 5325.

(13) Raikar, S. B.; Nuhant, P.; Delpech, B.; Marazano, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 1358.

(14) Only a few examples of a Lewis acidic demethylation in the presence of phenolic silyl protecting groups have been described so far: (a) Clive, D. L. J.; Daigneault, S. J. Org. Chem. **1991**, *56*, 5285. (b) Tanaka, T.; Kumamoto, T.; Ishikawa, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 10229. (c) Yamaguchi, S.; Kobayashi, M.; Harada, S.; Miyazawa, M.; Hirai, Y. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2008**, *81*, 863.

(15) (a) Yamada, S.; Takeshita, T.; Tanaka, J. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.
1986, 59, 2901. (b) Hoarau, C.; Pettus, T. R. R. Synlett 2003, 1, 127.
(c) Le Noble, W. J. Synthesis 1970, 1, 1. (d) Kornblum, N.; Lurie, A. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 2705. (e) Bates, R. W.; Gabel, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3547.

(16) (a) Wessely, F.; Lauterbach-Keil, G.; Sinwel, F. Monatsh. Chem. **1950**, 81, 811. (b) Wessely, F.; Sinwel, F. Monatsh. Chem. **1950**, 81, 1055.

(17) (a) Bachman, G. B.; Wittmann, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 65.
(b) Buston, J. E. H.; Howell, H. J.; Moloney, M. G.; Manson, V. C.; Thompson, R. M. Main Group Met. Chem. 1998, 21, 51.

(18) For the use of hypervalent iodine(III) reagents in oxidative dearomatization reactions, see the following and references therein:
(a) Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D.; Quideau, S. *Tetrahedron* 2010, 66, 2235.
(b) Quideau, S.; Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D. *Synlett* 2008, 4, 467.
(c) Silva, L. F., Jr.; Olofsson, B. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2011, 28, 1722.

(19) (a) Koser, G. F.; Wettach, R. H.; Troup, J. M.; Frenz, B. A. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3609. (b) Moriarty, R. M.; Vaid, R. K.; Koser, G. F. Synlett 1990, 365.

(20) (a) Kitamura, T.; Furuki, R.; Taniguchi, H.; Stang, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 703. (b) Kitamura, T.; Furuki, R.; Taniguchi, H.; Stang, P. J. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 7149.

(21) Moore, B. S. Personal communication.