Accepted Manuscript

Synthesis of the hexasaccharide from *Trypanosoma cruzi* mucins with the $Galp(1 \square \rightarrow \square 2)$ (Garlit constructed with a superarmed thiogalactopyranosyl donor

Gustavo A. Kashiwagi, Carmen R. Cori, Rosa M. de Lederkremer, Carola Gallo-Rodriguez

PII: S0008-6215(19)30339-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2019.06.013

Reference: CAR 7734

To appear in: Carbohydrate Research

Received Date: 31 May 2019

Revised Date: 19 June 2019

Accepted Date: 21 June 2019

Please cite this article as: G.A. Kashiwagi, C.R. Cori, R.M. de Lederkremer, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, Synthesis of the hexasaccharide from *Trypanosoma cruzi* mucins with the Galp $(1\Box \rightarrow \Box 2)$ Galit constructed with a superarmed thiogalactopyranosyl donor, *Carbohydrate Research* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2019.06.013.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





Synthesis of the hexasaccharide from *Trypanosoma cruzi* mucins with the $Galp(1\rightarrow 2)Galf$ unit constructed with a superarmed thiogalactopyranosyl donor

Gustavo A. Kashiwagi,^{1,2} Carmen R. Cori,^{1,2} Rosa M. de Lederkremer^{1,2} and

Carola Gallo-Rodriguez^{1,2}*

¹Universidad de Buenos Aires. Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales. Departamento de Química Orgánica. Buenos Aires, Argentina; ²CONICET- Universidad de Buenos Aires. Centro de Investigaciones en Hidratos de Carbono (CIHIDECAR). Buenos Aires, Argentina.

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: cgallo@qo.fcen.uba.ar

Hexasaccharide β -D-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[β -D-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- β -D-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 6)-[β -D-Galp(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-Galf(1 \rightarrow 4)]-D-GlcNAc (1) was found *O*-linked in mucins of *Trypanosoma cruzi* epimastigotes and metacyclic trypomatigotes. Studies on the biological pathways and functionalities of the mucin oligosaccharides are prompted in order to understand the interactions of these molecules with the insect host. Trisaccharide constituent β -D-Galp(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-Galf(1 \rightarrow 4)-D-GlcNAc was constructed from the reducing to the non-reducing end. We discuss the difficulties to introduce a Galp unit at the *O*-2 position of a partially protected galactofuranosyl unit which were overcome using an anchimerically superarmed donor. By this route and employing a [3+3] nitrilium convergent approach hexasaccharide 1 was synthesized in moderate yield.

Keywords: superarmed donor; galactofuranoside; galactofuranose; oligosaccharide; mucins; *Trypanosoma cruzi*.

ACCEPTEIIntroduction RIPT

Around eight million people living mainly in rural areas of Latin America, and 300 thousand people in the United States are infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the protozoan parasite responsible of Chagas disease [1]. Triatomine bugs act as transmission vectors in endemic areas. In recent years, migration to non-endemic regions and/or countries increased the risk of transmission by blood transfusion and organ transplants [2-3]. *T. cruzi* epimastigotes replicate in the midgut of a triatomine insect, and down the intestine, they transform into non-proliferative metacyclic trypomastigotes that pass from the hindgut to the feces. The infective metacyclic trypomastigotes enter the vertebrate host through a skin wound or through mucosal membranes, invade cells and differentiate into amastigotes which, after several cycles of binary division, differentiate back into trypomastigotes. The non-replicative trypomastigotes are released into circulation upon host-cell rupture [4].

T. cruzi presents a surface covered by a dense glycocalyx, whose composition depends on the differentiation stage of the living cycle [5-6]. The most abundant surface glycoproteins are GPI anchored, highly glycosylated, mucin-like proteins (GP 35-50kDa). Mucins, composed by 60% in mass of *O*-linked oligosaccharides, become negatively charged by accepting sialic acid residues (SA) from host glycoconjugates [7]. A *trans*-sialidase from the parasite (TcTS), catalyzes the transfer of sialic acid, a crucial process in pathogenesis [8-10]. The enzyme has been cloned [11] and used for *in vitro* sialylation of oligosaccharides [12].

The structure of the mucin oligosaccharides depends not only on the differentiation stage of the parasite, but also on the strains which were grouped into six evolutionary lineages classified as TcI to TcVI on the basis of genetic and phenotypic characteristics [13]. A particular aspect of the *O*-type oligosaccharide chains from Gp35/50 kDa mucins is that they are α -linked to threonine residues via *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) instead of *N*-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) as found in mammalian mucins. Depending on the parasite strain, up to 20% of these α -D-GlcNAc residues may remain unsubstituted or they may be elongated and branched, with various units of β -galactose in different types of linkages and forms. The *O*-linked oligosaccharides may be derived from two cores:

β -D-Gal $p(1\rightarrow 4)$ -GlcNAc or β -D-Gal $f(1\rightarrow 4)$ -GlcNAc [5,14]. Whereas the oligosaccharides carring the first core contain galactose in the pyranose form [15-18], those with the latter core contain galactose in both forms [19-23]. The biological reason of the diversity of this family of oligosaccharides is still not known.

In addition to β -galactopyranose (β Galp) residues, TcI parasite strains display antigenic β galactofuranose (β Galf) units in the oligosaccharides. Several of these oligosaccharides have been characterized [19-23] and most of them were chemically synthesized by our group [24-30]. It was recently shown that the trisaccharide (Gal $f\beta$ 1-4[Gal $p\beta$ 1-6]GlcNAc α) and higher oligosaccharides that contain this motif, in the mucins of *O*-linked glycans, inhibit the binding of *T. cruzi* epimastigotes to the insect hindgut tissues [31].

Very recently, the ¹³C labeled tetrasaccharide alditol β -D-Galp(1-3)- β -D-Gal $p-(1\rightarrow 6)$ [D-Gal $f-(1\rightarrow 4)$]-D-GlcNAc-ol, was synthesized and offered to the scientific community as a standard to facilitate analysis of native mucin *O*-glycans by mass spectroscopy [32]. The synthesis of a tetrasaccharide from the Y strain mucins which contains only Galp has been described [33].

Hexasaccharides **1** and **2** (Figure 1) are the largest oligosaccharides present in mucins of *T*. *cruzi* epimastigotes. These oligosaccharides were found not only in TcI strains but also in Tulahuen strains classified as TcVI [23]. Accordingly, several Tulahuen-derived clones displayed TcI-like features suggesting that the original 'strain' may have contained a mixture of parasite genotypes. [34].

Isomers 1 and 2 differ in the form of the galactose residue $\beta(1-2)$ linked to the internal galactofuranose, which is pyranose in 1 and furanose in 2. We have already synthesized 1 and 2, and their alditols [29,30], confirming the chemical structure found in the mucins. The synthesis of 2, the Gal*f*(1→2)Gal*f* analogue, was accomplished with complete diastereoselectivity in each glycosylation step[29]. The benzyl glycoside 4 was used as substrate of sialylation with recombinant TcTS and a preparative synthesis allowed the characterization of the sialylated product establishing unequivocally the site of sialylation at the less hindered (1-3)linked galactopyranose [30].



Figure 1. Galf-containing hexasaccharides found in mucins of T. cruzi epimastigotes.

In the case of the the $\operatorname{Gal}p(1\rightarrow 2)\operatorname{Gal}f$ analogue, HPAEC analysis of the trans-sialylation reaction on benzyl glycoside **3** showed two monosialylated products whose relative abundance changed with time. The low yield of the synthesis of **3** inhibited our ability to perform a preparative sialylation reaction for characterization of the products [30].

The previous synthesis of **1** [30] involved the synthesis of linear trisaccharide β -D-Gal $p(1\rightarrow 2)$ - β -D-Gal $f(1\rightarrow 4)$ -D-GlcNAc [28] using D-galactono-1,4-lactone (**5**) as the internal galactofuranosyl precursor. The glycosylation steps showed low stereoselectivity.

In order to afford enough material for biological studies, we looked for other strategies for the synthesis of hexasaccharide **1**. In the present work we describe a new synthesis of hexasaccharide **1** which was planned with the aim of improving the stereoselectivity of each glycosylation step.

2. Results and discussion

Hexasaccharide **1** could be obtained by a [3+3] nitrilium based glycosylation of branched trisaccharide imidate **6** [27] and a trisaccharide acceptor **7** (Scheme 1). Trisaccharide donor **6** has a 2-*O*-galactopyranosyl substituent, thus, lacking anchimeric assistance and was previous successfully used for the synthesis of **1** [30] and other mucin oligosaccharides [27,29].

Compound 7 (Scheme 1) would be synthesized by glycosylation of known acceptor 9 [29]

with galactopyranosyl donor **8** carrying an acyl group at O-2. Acceptor **9** was previously used for synthesis of the analogous trisaccharide with a terminal β -D-Gal*f* unit. Now **9** was synthesized using benzyl α -D-galactofuranoside **10**, obtained in one step from free galactose. This strategy would ensure the β -diastereoselective construction of the β -D-Gal $p(1\rightarrow 2)$ -D-Galf glycosidic bond considering the 2-*O*-acyl substituent in compound **8**.



Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of 1 using linear trisaccharide 7 constructed in the upstream direction.

2.1 Synthesis of trisaccharide 7 following the upstream elongation

For glycosylation of **9** we first employed the trichloroacetimidate method [35]. However, with the acyl trichloroacetimdate donors **11** [36] and **12** [37] (Table 1), no glycosylation product was obtained (entries 1 and 2, Table 1) and the acceptor **9** was recovered together with the by-product of hydrolysis of the donor (**13** and **14**). These unfavorable results suggested that a case of armed - disarmed donor or acceptor selective reciprocity RDAS should be considered [38]. For this reason, a glycosylation reaction was tested with a more reactive armed donor, the tetra benzyl trichloroacetimidate **15** [39], using acetonitrile as solvent to favor the formation of the β product by the nitrile effect [40-45] (entry 3, Table 1). However, the expected trisaccharide product was not detected and acceptor **9** was recovered unaltered together with trichloroacetamide **16** [46]. The formation of transposition products in the trichloroacetimidate method is associated with

35,47,48].

OTBDPS											
	BzO-BzO	OH OH 9 (1.0 equiv	OBn OBn	Galp Donor (1.1 Catalyst or Prom Solvent (anhyd) 4Å	equiv) Products NOTER NMS,						
Entry	Donor	Catalyst or promoter	Solvent	T/ °C (duration)	By-Product (yield)	17 (% recovered)					
1	AcO OAc AcO AcO CCl ₃	TMSOTf	CH ₂ Cl ₂	$\begin{array}{c} -15 \rightarrow 0 \\ (72h) \end{array}$	Aco OAc Aco Aco 13 (68%)	72%					
2	BzO OBz BzO BzO CCl ₃ 12 NH	TMSOTf	CH ₂ Cl ₂	$\begin{array}{c} -20 \rightarrow 0 \\ (48h) \end{array}$	BzO OBz BzO OBz OBz 14 (37%)	81%					
3	BnO OBn BnO BnO CCl ₃ 15 NH	TMSOTf	CH ₃ CN	$-15 \rightarrow 0$ (72h)	BnO OBn BnO OBn OBn OBn 16 (58%)	77%					
4	AcO OAC AcO STol ACO 17	NIS/TfOH	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-20	AcO OAc AcO OAc O OAc AcO AcO OAc AcO AcO 18 (46%)	72%					
5	BZO OBZ OBZO STOL BZO 19	NIS/TfOH	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-18	BZO OBZ BZO OBZ OBZ BZO BZO 20 (53%)	75%					
6	PivO OPiv O PivO PivO STol PivO 24 (<i>R</i> /S)	DTBMP/ Tf ₂ O	CH ₂ Cl ₂	$-78 \rightarrow rt$ (20h)	*	49%					

Table 1. Attempts of glycosylation of acceptor 9 with Galp donors 11, 12, 15, 17, 19 and 24.

^a in all cases, concentration of acceptor **9** was 1 to 10×10^{-2} M. *: undetermined

donor **17** [49] using NIS/TfOH [50] as the promoting system gave 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-1,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranose (**18**) in 46% yield and **9** was recovered unaltered (entry 4). Disaccharide **18** was previously obtained by condensation of 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- α -D-galactopyranosyl bromide with 1,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- α -D-galactopyranose as acceptor [51].

When the benzoyl analogue of 17, the thiogalactopyranoside 19 was employed, a similar byproduct 20 was obtained (entry 5). Disaccharides 18 and 20 could be formed by an internal migration of the acyl group at O-2 to the anomeric carbon, through a nucleophilic attack of triflic acid to the carbon of the acyloxonium. A proton rearrangement in the trioxolane ion would leave the O-2 position free to react as an acceptor and yield the self-condensed product disaccharide 18 or 20, respectively (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Suggested mechanism of formation of disaccharide 18 (and its benzoylated analogue 20).

The spectroscopic signals for the anomeric H and C of compound **18**, matched with those previously described [51]. In the case of **20**, anomeric ¹H- and ¹³C NMR displacements and $J_{\text{H1-H2}}$ couplings were unambiguosly assigned by comparison to those of **18**. Furthermore, HRMS analysis showed that the molecular formula matched the proposed structure.

Due to the unusual result obtained using thioglycoside **19**, we carried out the glycosylation of **21** [29], a galactofuranosyl derivative having the 2-OH free as a model reaction (Scheme 3). In this case only glycosylation products were obtained and neither acyl transfer nor self-condensation by-

glycosylate **17** or **19**. Despite the anchimeric assistance provided by the benzoyl group, a mixture of disaccharides **22** (β 1-2) and **23** (α 1-2) were produced in 9.5/1 β/α ratio (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Glycosylation of 29 with donor 19.

We also used the pivaloylated thiosulfoxide donor **24**, taking into account that the pivaloyl group has a lesser migratory aptitude, compared to benzoyl and acetyl groups [52] and the higher reactivity of the thiosulfoxide. Although after 20 h of reaction [54,55] thin layer chromathography showed total consumption of **24**, several products of higher polarity, difficult to separate, were formed (entry 6, Table 1) and no glycosylation product was detected.

To overcome the poor reactivity of acceptor **9**, the anchimerically superarmed [56-58] donor *p*-tolyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (**25**) [59] was synthesized as previously described, with slight modifications. Compound **25** was previously used as donor with several pyranosyl acceptors [59-62].

Coupling of donor **25** with acceptor **9** (Table 2), in the presence of NIS/TfOH system [50] in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C gave a new spot of higher polarity by TLC monitoring. As condensation products could not be isolated by column chromatography, the fraction obtained was analyzed by High Resolution Mass Spectroscopy (HRMS) and NMR, proving the presence of a mixture of trisaccharides with terminal β -D-Gal*p*- (1 \rightarrow 2) and α -D-Gal*p*-(1 \rightarrow 2) units (**26** $\alpha\beta$). The mixture could be separated after removal of the silyl ether group to afford the β diastereomer (**27**, ¹*J*_{H-H} = 8.0 Hz) and α diastereomer (**28**, ¹*J*_{H-H} = 4.0 Hz) (total yield 62%, β/α 1.0:1.2) (Table 2, entry 1).

In order to improve the stereoselectivity in favor of the desired β diastereomer, different temperatures, solvent systems and activators (conditions) were assayed (Table 2, entry 2-5). At -20

°C during 24 h (entry 2) the same yield of 62% was reached, but the diastereoselectivity was in a

small degree reversed (β/α 1.1:1.0). At -78 ° C (entry 3), we expected to obtain an improvement of the selectivity, but to our surprise, we did not obtain any glycosylation product. In an attempt to improve the diastereoselectivity by the nitrile effect, a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ with CH₃CN was used as solvent in relation 1/6 v/v at -18 °C (entry 4). Although the diastereoselectivity was substantially improved (β/α 2.5:1.0), the total yield of glycosylation dropped to 25%. Also, the use of *p*nitrophenyl sulfenyl chloride (*p*-NO₂PhSCl)/silver triflate as activator system [63] (entry 5) of glycosylation afforded a mixture of diastereomers with low yield (20%), favoring the unwanted diastereomer (β/α 1.0:1.4).

In summary, the best result was obtained using NIS/TfOH as the promoting system in CH_2Cl_2 as solvent at -20 °C. Under these conditions, glycosylation reached 62 % of total yield with β/α ratio 1.1:1.0, after two steps (Table 2, entry 4).

 Table 2. Glycosylation of superarmed donor 25 with disaccharide acceptor 9.



4	NIS/TfOH	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /CH ₃ CN	MAIN°CSC	R 1941 h	D	25% (2.5:1)
		1:6 v/v				
5	p-NO2PhSCl/ AgTfO	CH_2Cl_2	-78 °C	80 h	Е	20% (1.0:1.4)

Although coupling of donor 25 with acceptor 9 gave a diastereomeric mixture, this result was encouraging, since, after many efforts, it was the first time that a galactopyranosyl unit could be introduced. This would indicate that previously assayed donors (**Table 1**) lacked the appropriate reactivity, and the "O-2/O-5 cooperative effect" [56-58] in 25 would be a requirement for glycosylation.

The unexpected formation of the α -D-galactopyranoside diastereomer from **25** carrying an *O*-2 participating group was reported [61] as well as from other 2-*O*-acyl substituted galactopyranosyl donors [28,64,65].

Beyond having obtained a mixture of diastereomers, the conclusion that emerges is that the increase in reactivity provided by the mixed profile of protecting groups in **25** was the main responsible for the introduction of the Gal*p* unit to the unreactive acceptor **9** in the O-2 glycosylation reaction. The present route of synthesis of the trisaccharide $Galp(\beta 1\rightarrow 2)Galf(\beta 1\rightarrow 4)GlcNAc$ involving a non-reducing end elongation is a better option than the previously described following the opposite direction of elongation [28].

2.4 Synthesis of hexasaccharide 1

Despite the absence of anchimeric participation for glycosyation in trisaccharide donor **6**, our previous experience using this donor [27,29,30] moved us to follow a [3+3] convergent glycosylation with **27** using acetonitrile as participating solvent. The coupling reaction afforded the desired β -isomer **29** (Scheme 4) and no α -isomer was detected. Compound **29** co-eluted with the hydrolyzed product of trisaccharide imidate **6**. To isolate **29**, acetylation was carried out, affording hexasaccharide **29** with 43% yield after two steps. The by-product 1,4,6-tri-*O*-acetyl-2,3-di-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl)-D-galactopyranose (**31**) [66] was obtained in 16 %

yield (Scheme 4). On the other hand, unreacted acceptor 27 was also recovered (34%). In our previous synthesis of hexasaccharide 1 [30], the [3+3] glycosylation step gave 33% yield but acetylation of the column chromatography fraction was not required. Signals in the ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra of hexasaccharide 29 were assigned unequivocally by COSY, HSQC and NOESY experiments. The β configuration of the new Gal $p(1\rightarrow 6)$ GlcNAc glycosidic linkage was evident by the fact that C-1^D signal of the internal β -D-Galp moiety appeared at 102.0 ppm in the HSQC, and correlated with H-1^D (δ 4.68) with a coupling constant of ¹J_{H-H} = 7.5 Hz. H-1^D signal was partially overlapped with a benzyl methylene hydrogen. This assignment was in agreement with the NOESY H-H correlation signal between H-1^D of the internal β -D-Galp moiety and H-6a^A and H-6b^A of D-GlcNAc moiety (See supporting information). Hexasaccharide 29 was further deprotected by treatment with MeONa in MeOH to give 32 (78%) and in this case, H-1^D appeared at δ 4.48 (7.9 Hz). Hydrogenolysis of 32 gave 1 with excellent yields. The spectra of hexasaccharide 1 matched those previously described [30].



Scheme 4. Convergent synthesis of hexasaccharide 1.

3. Conclusion

Hexasaccharide 1 was synthesized by a new strategy using benzyl α -D-galactofuranoside, as a precursor of internal Galf. The overall yield led to a 65% improvement respect to the previous

synthetic route [30]. Construction of the linear trisaccharide from the reducing end to the nonreducing end ensured the complete diastereoselectivity of the galactofuranosyl linkage. However, disaccharide acceptor **9** turned out to have limited reactivity. The use of anchimerically superarmed donor *p*-tolyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (**19**) enabled to overcome this issue, although, diastereoselectivity could not be controlled with a *O*-2 participating group.

4. Experimental

4.1 General Methods

TLC was performed on 0.2 mm Silica Gel 60 F254 aluminium supported plates. Detection was effected by exposure to UV light or by spraying with 10 % (v/v) H₂SO₄ in EtOH and charring. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AVANCE II 500 spectrometer at 500 MHz (¹H) and 125.8 MHz (¹³C) or with a Bruker AC 200 at 200 MHz (¹H) and 50.3 MHz (¹³C). Chemical shifts are given relative to the signal of internal acetone standard at 2.22 and 30.89 ppm for ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively when recorded in D₂O. ¹H and ¹³C assignments were supported by 2D COSY, and HSQC experiments. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a BRUKER micrOTOF-Q II electrospray ionization mass spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 343 polarimeter with a path length of 1 dm at 25 °C.

4.2 General procedure of glycosylation reaction using the trichloroacetimidate method.

A dry solution of acceptor benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2acetamido-3-*O*-benzoyl-6-*O*-ter-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (**17**, 1 equiv.) and the corresponding trichloroacetimidate (**11**, **12** or **15**, 1.1 equiv., **Table 1**) in freshly distilled anhyd solvent (1 mL/10mg of **9**), activated powdered 4 Å molecular sieves (30 mg/mL of solvent) was added and the mixture was vigorously stirred at rt under argon. After 10 min., the mixture was cooled to the corresponding temperature, TMSOTf (0.25 equiv.) was added. The reaction was quenched by addition of Et_3N after TLC monitoring showed starting material disappeard. Then mixture was filtered over celite and washed, the filtrates concentrated under vacuum and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography.

4.3. 2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -1,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- α -D-galactopyranose (18)

To a solution of *p*-tolyl tetra-*O*-acetyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (**17**, 33.5 mg, 0.074 mmol, 1.4 equiv.)[49], *N*-iodosuccinimide (16.0 mg, 0.071 mmol, I.3 equiv.) and benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactofuranosyl-(1→4)-2-acetamido-3-*O*-benzoyl-6-*O*-tert-butyl-diphenylsilyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside [29] (**9**, 60 mg, 0.053 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL), activated powdered 4 Å molecular sieves was added under argon atmosphere. After 5 min. of vigorous stirring at -20 °C, TfOH (3.5 µL, 0.040 mmol, 0.7 equiv.) was added. The stirring continued for 22 h when a TLC analysis showed unchanged acceptor **9** (R_f 0.31, 3: 2 hexane-EtOAc), a new polar compound (R_f 0.08, 1:1 hexane-EtOAc) and consumption of donor **7**. The reaction was quenched by addition of Et₃N (6 µL, 0.043 mmol), filtered through Celite washing with CH₂Cl₂. The filtrate was washed with Na₂S₂O₃ (2 x 30 mL), NaHCO₃ (2 x 30 mL), H₂O (1 x 30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated to give a residue which was purified by silica column chromatography (2:1 hexane-EtOAc).

The first fraction from the column gave unreacted acceptor **9** (49 mg, 72%). The next fraction gave an amorphous solid which was identified as 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-1,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- α -D-galactopyranose (**18**, 11.4 mg, 46% from donor **17**) with diagnostic signals similar to ¹H NMR spectrum already described [51]. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 6.32 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.9 Hz, H-1), 5.42 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.4, 1.4 Hz, H-4 or H-4²), 5.36 – 5.31 (m, 1H, H-4 or H-4²), 5.31 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 3.3 Hz, H-3), 5.10 (dd, *J* = 10.6, 7.6 Hz, H-2²), 4.95 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, H-3²), 4.57 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, H-1²), 4.27 – 3.87 (m, 7H, H-2, H-5, H-6^a, H-6b, H-5², H-

4.4. 2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -1,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl- α -D-galactopyranose (20)

To a solution of *p*-tolyl tetra-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (19, 55 mg, 0.079) mmol, 1.5 equiv.), N-iodosuccinimide (17 mg, 0.077 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and 9 (58 mg, 0.052 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL), activated 4Å powdered molecular sieves was added under argon atmosphere. The mixture was cooled to -18 °C and after 20 min of vigorous stirring, TfOH (3 µL, 0.034 mmol, 0.7 equiv.) was added and stirring continued for 2 h. Then the reaction was allowed to warm to 0 °C and the stirring continued for 16 h. TLC analysis showed the presence of unreacted acceptor 9 (R_f 0.25 3:2 hexane – EtOAc) and a new product (R_f 0,38 3:2 hexane – EtOAc). The reaction was quenched by addition of Et₃N (7 µL, 0.050 mmol) at 0 °C, filtered over Celite washing with CH₂Cl₂. The filtrate was washed with saturated aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (2 x 30 mL), saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 30 mL) and H₂O (1 x 30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (30 : 1 toluene – EtOAc) to give, in the first fraction, a colorless syrup identified as 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzoyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -1,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- α -D-galactopyranose (**20**, 25 mg, 53% from **19**). $[\alpha]_D$ +85.3 (*c* 1, CHCl₃), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz,): δ 8.24 – 7.14 (38H, H-arom.), 7.02 (d, 1H, J = 3.8 Hz, H-1), 6.94 – 6.91 (m, 2H, arom.), 6.01 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 1.0 Hz, H-4), 5.90 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 0.8 Hz, H-4'), 5.85 (dd, 1H, J = 10.4, 3.4 Hz, H-3), 5.63 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 7.8 Hz, H-2[^]), 5.44 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, H-3'), 5.05 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1'), 4.70 (dd, 1H, J = 11.3, 6.4 Hz, H-6a'), 4.68 – 4.62 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.57 (dd, 1H, J = 11.3, 6.2 Hz H-6a), 4.55 (dd, 1H, J = 10.4, 3.8 Hz, H-2), 4.42 (dd, 1H, J = 11.3, 6.8 Hz, H-6b[']), 4.37 - 4.33 (m, 1H, H-5[']), 4.32 (dd, 1H, J = 11.3, 7.3 Hz, H-6b). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): § 166.1, 165.9, 165.3 (x 2), 165.2, 165.07, 165.01, 164.7 (PhCO), 136.6 - 128.0 (arom.), 102.1 (C-1'), 92.2 (C-1), 74.0 (C-2), 71.4 (C-3'), 71.2 (C-5'), 69.7 (C-3), 69.5 (C-2'), 68.6

Unreacted 9 (49 mg, 75%) was recovered.

4.5. Benzyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-galactofuranoside (22) and benzyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-galactofuranoside (23)

A solution of *p*-tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (**19**, 655 mg, 0.93 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and dry benzyl 3,5,6-tri-O-benzoyl-α-D-galactofuranoside [29] (21, 361 mg, 0.62 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in freshly distilled anhyd CH₂Cl₂, N-iodosuccinimide (209 mg, 0.93 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and activated 4Å powdered molecular sieves (300 mg) was added under argon atmosphere. The mixture was cooled to – 15 °C, the stirring continued for 20 min and TfOH (38 µL, 0.43 mmol, 0.7 equiv.) was added. After 2.5 h, a TLC showed no acceptor 21 left (R_f 0.60, 3:2 hexane – EtOA) and the reaction was quenched by addition of Et_3N (65 µL, 0.47 mmol), filtered washing with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with Na₂S₂O₃ (2 x 60 mL), NaHCO₃ (2 x 70 mL), H₂O (1 x 60 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (2.5:1 hexane-EtOAc) to give in the first fraction 56 mg of 23 (8%) as a glassy solid. [α]_D +128.4 (c 0.7, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.03 – 7.12 (40H, H-arom.), 6.09 (dd, 1H, *J* = 7.3, 6.5 Hz, H-3), 6.01 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.6, 3.4 Hz, H-3[']), 5.98 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.4, 1.1 Hz, H-4[']), 5.76 (m, 1H, H-5), 5.72 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.6, 3.7 Hz, H-2[']), 5.54 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.7 Hz, H-1[']), 5.15 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz, H-1), 4.78 (d, 1H, J = 11.9, 4.4 Hz, H-6a), 4.70, 4.48 (2d, 2H, J = 12.3 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.67 (dd, 1H, J = 11.9, 6.2 Hz, H-6b), 4.67 (dd, 1H, J = 7.3, 4.4 Hz, H-2), 4.67 – 4.63 (m, 1H, H-5´), 4.46 (dd, 1H, J = 6.5, 5.0 Hz, H-4), 4.39 (dd, 1H, J = 11.2, 6.4 Hz, H-6a´), 4.26 (dd, 1H, J = 11.2, 6.9 Hz, H-6b⁽⁾;¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): δ 166.2, 165.9, 165.68, 165.67, 165.5, 165.4, 165.2 (PhCO), 136.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.11, 133.07, 133.0 (arom.), 130.0 – 127.7 (arom.), 98.1 (C-1), 95.9 (C-1'), 79.3 (C-2'), 79.0 (C-4), 75.3 (C-3), 71.5 (C-5), 69.5 (CH₂Ph), 68.82, 68.80 (C-2', C-4'),

The next fraction gave **22** (546 mg, 76%) as a glassy solid: $R_f 0.4$ (11: 1 toluene-EtOAc), $[\alpha]_D +96,7$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.10 – 6.97 (40H, H-arom.), 6.02 (dd, 1H, J = 8.1, 6.8 Hz, H-3), 5.95 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 0.8 Hz, H-4′), 5.89 (dd, 1H, J = 10.4, 8.0 Hz, H-2′), 5.70 (m, 1H, H-5), 5.51 (dd, 1H, J = 10.4, 3.4 Hz, H-3′), 5.24 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz, H-1), 5.03 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, H-1′), 4.95, 4.65 (2d, 2H, J = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.73 (dd, 1H, J = 11.9, 4.2 Hz, H-6a), 4.59 (dd, 1H, J = 11.9, 5.2 Hz, H-6b), 4.58 (dd, 1H, J = 8.1, 4.4 Hz, H-2), 4.46 (dd, 1H, J = 6.8, 5.3 Hz, H-4), 4.36 (dd, 1H, J = 11.4, 6.8 Hz, H-6a′), 4.34 (dd, 1H, J = 11.4, 4.6 Hz, H-6b), 4.24 (m, 1H, H-5′); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): δ 165.90, 165.89, 165.7, 165.54, 165.47, 165.0, 164.9 (PhCO), 137.4, 133.7, 133.4, 133.3, 133.1, 132.92, 132.89, 132.8 (arom.), 130.0 – 127.6 (arom.), 101.9 (C-1′), 100.4 (C-1), 82.9 (C-2), 78.2 (C-4), 74.6 (C-3), 71.69, 71.66, 71.6 (C-3′, C-5, C-5′), 70.0 (CH₂Ph), 69.3 (C-2′), 68.0 (C-4′), 62.9 (C-6), 62.0 (C-6′). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₆₈H₅₆NaO₁₈ (M+Na⁺): 1183.33589. Found: 1183.34158.

4.6. (S) and (R)-p-Tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-pivaloyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside S-oxide (24)

The procedure described for the phenyl analogue [53]was followed.

To a stirred solution of *p*-tolyl 1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (185 mg, 0.64 mmol) and DMAP (39 mg, 0.32 mmol) in anhyd pyridine (1.9 mL) at rt, freshly distilled pivaloyl chloride (0.80 mL, 0.64 mmol) was added and warmed to 110 °C. After 18 h of stirring at 110°C, the reaction was cooled to rt, and quenched by slow addition of methanol (2.5 mL) and diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL). The mixture was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 15 mL), saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 15 mL) and H₂O (1 x 15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (25:1 hexane – EtOAc) to give *p*-tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-pivaloyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (159 mg, 40%). R_f 0.45 (10:1 hexane – EtOAc); [α]_D – 9.0° (*c* 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.09 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, arom.), 7.89 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, arom.), 5.39 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, H-1), 4.18 (m, (t, 1H, *J* = 9.8 Hz, H-2), 5.10 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.0, 3.1 Hz, H-3), 4.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, H-1), 4.18 (m,

 $(CH_3)_3$ CCO). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): δ 177.9, 177.2, 176.8, 176.4 ((CH₃)₃CCO), 138.7, 134.3, 129.6, 127.3 (arom.), 85.9 (C-1), 74.6 (C-5), 72.2 (C-3), 66.9 (C-4), 66.6 (C-2), 61.4 (C-6), 38.9, 38.7, 38.7, 38.7 ((CH₃)₃CCO), 27.2, 27.1, 27.04, 26.95 ((CH₃)₃CCO), 21.2 (CH₃Ph). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₃₃H₅₀O₉SNa (M+Na⁺): 645.30677. Found: 645.30775.

To a solution of *p*-tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-pivaloyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (43 mg; 0.069 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.8 mL) cooled at -75 °C, was added *m*-CPBA (77%) (20.4 mg, 0.083 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 mL). After 3 h of vigorous stirring, TLC showed no starting material left and two morepolar products (R_f 0.32 and 0.17, 4: 1 hexane - AcOEt). The mixture was quenched by addition of saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (3 mL) stirring for 5 min at rt. The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and organic phase was extracted with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 10 mL), H₂O (1 x 10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Purification of the residue by silica gel column chromatography (4:1 hexane – EtOAc) gave a first fraction of the column afforded less polar tentatively assigned (*R*)-diastereomer (R_f 0.32, 1:1 hexane – EtOAc) of **24** (12.6 mg, 28%) as a colorless syrup. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 7.54 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz, arom.), 7.33 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H arom.), 5.53 (t, 1H, *J* = 9.8 Hz, H-2), 5.36 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.0 Hz, H-4), 5.16 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.9, 3.0 Hz, H-3), 4.42 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, H-1), 4.14 – 3.88 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6a, H-6b), 2.42 (s, 3H, CH₃Ph), 1.23, 1.13, 1.11, 1.10 (4s, 36H, (CH₃)₃CCO). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₃₃H₅₀NaO₁₀S (M+Na⁺): 661.30169. Found: 661.30210.

The second fraction from the column gave 24.3 mg of a syrup tentatively identified as (*S*)diasteromer of **24** (55 %). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ 7.66 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz, arom.), 7.33 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz, arom.), 5.30 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.8 Hz, H-4), 5.14 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.6, 2.8 Hz, H-3), 5.02 (t, 1H, *J* = 9.8 Hz, H-2), 4.64 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.9 Hz, H-1), 4.15 – 4.00 (m, 2H), 3.82 – 3.70 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H, CH₃Ph), 1.24, 1.15, 1.07, 0.93 (4s, 36H, (CH₃)₃CCO). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₃₃H₅₀NaO₁₀S (M+Na⁺): 661.30169. Found 661.30354.

¹³C NMR of (*R*) and (*S*) mixture: (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz): δ 129.6, 129.3, 127.3, 126.0, 92.0 (C-1 maj.), 89.2 (C-1 min.), 75.5 (min.), 74.9 (maj.), 72.2 (min.), 71.8 (maj.), 66.5 (min.), 66.1 (maj.),

(CH_3Ph). The absolute sulfoxide configuration of each diastereomer was tentatively assigned based on differences in the chemical shifts C-1 in ¹³C-NMR spectra comparing to the acetyl analogues [67].

4.7. Reaction of benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-acetamido-3-*O*-benzoyl-6-*O*-ter-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (9) with (S)/(R)-p-tolyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-pivaloyl-1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside S-oxide (24)

To a stirred mixture of (*R/S*)-**24** (33 mg, 0.051 mmol), 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine (DTBMP) (21 mg, 0.10 mmol, 2 equiv.) and activated 4 Å powdered molecular sieves, in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (3.5 mL) cooled to – 78 °C, was added Tf₂O (10 μ L, 0.059 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) under argon atmosphere. After 30 min. of vigorous stirring, a solution of acceptor **9** (59 mg, 0.052 mmol, 1 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.8 mL) was added. The mixture was kept at -78 °C for 19 h until a TLC examination showed disappearance of thiosulphoxide derivatives and several dispersed spots which are presumed as decomposition products, together with a spot that comigrated with the acceptor **9**. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (5 mL) and the organic phase was successively washed with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 15 mL) and H₂O (2 x 15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (6:1 hexane – EtOAc) giving several fractions lacking glycosylation product. 26 mg of unreacted acceptor **9** was recovered (49%).

4.8. Benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-acetamido-3-*O*-benzoyl-2-deoxy-α-D-glucopyranoside (27) and benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl-α-D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-acetamido-3-*O*-benzoyl-2-deoxy-α-D-glucopyranoside (28)

molecular sieves (800 mg) in 20 mL of freshly distilled anhyd CH₂Cl₂ under argon atmosphere, was added a solution of *p*-tolyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside [59] (**25**, 744 mg, 1.24 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) and *N*-iodosuccinimide (305 mg, 1.36 mmol, 1.7 equiv.) in 30 mL of freshly distilled CH₂Cl₂ *via cannula*. The mixture was vigorously stirred for 10 min at rt and then cooled to -20 °C under argon. After 5 min, TfOH (50 µL, 0.57 mmol, 0.7 equiv.) was added. After 24 h of stirring at -20 °C, a TLC showed no donor left and a new spot (R_f 0.28, 7: 4 hexane-EtOAc, twice developed). The mixture was diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL), washed with saturated aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (3 x 70 mL), saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (2 x 70 mL) and H₂O (2 x 70 mL), dried (N_{a2}SO₄), filtered and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography (7: 4 hexane-EtOAc) gave a fraction that was analyzed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and showed the presence of two diastereomers benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-

galactofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-acetamido-3-O-benzoyl-6-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy- α -D-

glucopyranoside (**26** β) and benzyl 3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl- α -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2-acetamido-3-*O*-benzoyl-6-*O*-tert-

butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy-α-D-glucopyranoside (**26***α*). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ: anomeric signals 107.0 (C-1', β anomer), 105.5 (C-1', α anomer), 100.5 (C-1'', β anomer), 96.1 (C-1'', α anomer), 95.9 (C-1, α and β anomers). HMRS (ESI/APCI) analysis of this fraction gave two peaks m/z C₉₉H₉₈NO₂₁Si (MH⁺): 1664.6395 and C₉₉H₉₇NNaO₂₁Si (M+Na⁺): 1686.6215, found 1664.6429 and 1686.6271, respectively.

To a stirred solution of a mixture of the isolated diastereomers $26\alpha\beta$ (1.44 g) in DMF (15 mL) cooled to 0 ° C, a solution of 1M TBAF in anhyd THF (1.7 mL, 1.7 mmol) was added, followed by glacial acetic acid (104 µL, 1.8 mmol). After 24 h of stirring, a TLC showed no material left (R_f 0.65, 2:3 hexane-EtOAc, twice developed) and two new spots of higher polarity: R_f 0.27 and 0.25 (2: 3 hexane-EtOAc, twice developed). The mixture was diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL), washed with H₂O (4 x 110 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography of the residue (5: 4 hexane-EtOAc, then 1:1 hexane-EtOAc) gave a first fraction of

+82.9 (c 0.6, CHCl₃), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 8.02 (dd, 2H, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, arom.), 7.95 – 7.92 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.87 (dd, 2H, J = 8.4; 1.3 Hz, arom.), 7.77 (dd, 2H, J = 8.4; 1.2 Hz, arom.), 7.61 -7.14 (m, 35H, arom.), 5.69 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz, NH), 5.55 (dd, 1H, J = 4.3, 1.2 Hz, H-3²), 5.47 (t, 1H, J = 10.2 Hz, H-3), 5.46 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz, H-1^(*)), 5.34 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3, 4.0 Hz, H-2^(*)), 5.30 (ddd, 1H, J = 7.3, 4.7, 3.3 Hz, H-5[']), 5.11 (s, 1H, H-1[']), 4.94 (d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, H-1), 4.88 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.67 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.63 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.60 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.54 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.41 (ddd, 1H, J = 10.7, 9.6, 3.7 Hz, H-2), 4.39 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.30 (dd, 1H, J = 12.3, 7.3 Hz, H-6a'), 4.30 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz, H-2'), 4.25 (dd, 1H, H-2'), 4.25 (dd, 1H 12.3, 3.3 Hz, H-6b'), 4.20 (t, 1H, J = 6.8 Hz, H-5''), 4.07 (t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz, H-4), 4.02 (t, 1H, J = 4.7 Hz, H-4[']), 3.98 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3, 2.8 Hz, H-3^{''}), 3.95 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, H-4^{''}), 3.75 – 3.65 (m, 3H, H-5; H-6a; H-6b), 3.55 – 3.47 (m, 2H, H-6a'; H-6b'), 2.48 (dd, 1H, J = 5.9, 2.8 Hz, -OH), 1.74 (s, 3H, CH₃CONH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): δ 169.8 (CH₃CONH), 167.4 (PhCO), 166.8 (PhCO), 165.9 (PhCO), 165.4 (PhCO), 164.9 (PhCO), 106.5 (C-1'), 96.9 (C-1), 96.0 (C-1''), 84.4 (C-2'), 81.2 (C-4'), 77.4 (C-3'), 76.8 (C-3''), 74.9 (CH₂Ph), 74.3 (C-4''), 73.3 (CH₂Ph), 73.1 (C-4), 72.9 (CH₂Ph), 72.6 (C-2^{''}), 72.0 (C-3), 71.3 (C-5), 70.1 (CH₂Ph), 69.8 (C-5[']), 69.6 (C-5^{''}), 68.1 (C-6^{''}), 63.0 (C-6[']), 60.4 (C-6), 52.2 (C-2), 23.1 (CH₃CONH). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₈₃H₇₉NNaO₂₁ (M+Na⁺): 1448.5037. Found: 1448.5063.

Second fraction from the column afforded **27** (365 mg, 32%, two steps) as a glassy solid. (R_f 0.25, 1:1 hexane – EtOAc, twice developed); [α]_D +13,3 (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ 7.95 – 7.73 (m, 10H, arom.), 7.56 – 7.12 (m, 35H, arom.), 5.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.4 Hz, N*H*), 5.58 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.0, 8.0 Hz, H-2^{\cert}), 5.55 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.8, 9.3 Hz, H-3), 5.37 (s, 1H, H-1^{\cert}), 5.29 (m, 1H, H-5^{\cert}), 5.23 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.1, 1.9 Hz, H-3^{\cert}), 4.98 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.6 Hz, H-1), 4.92 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.73 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.67 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H-1^{\cert}), 4.58 (d, 1H, *J* = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.55 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.50 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.46 (d, 1H, *J* = 12.2 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.42 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 10.8, 9.4, 3.6 Hz, H-2), 4.40 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.7 Hz, CH₂Ph),

4.38 (s, 1H, H-2′), 4.33 (d, 1H, J = 11.7 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.19 (t, 1H, H-4′), 4.15 (t, 1H, H-4), 4.06 (dd,

1H, J = 12.2, 3.3 Hz, H-6a'), 3.98 (dd, 1H, J = 12.2, 7.7 Hz, H-6b'), 3.92 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz, H-4''), 3.91 – 3.87 (m, 1H, H-6a), 3.84 – 3.77 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6b), 3.61 (dd, 1H, J = 10.0, 2.7 Hz, H-3''), 3.54 – 3.50 (m, 3H, H-5'', H-6a'', H-6b''), 2.68 (t, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, OH), 1.75 (s, 3H, CH₃CO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): δ 169.8 (CH₃CONH), 167.1 (PhCO), 165.6 (PhCO), 165.2 (PhCO), 138.3 – 127.6 (C arom.); 107.9 (C-1'), 100.7 (C-1''), 96.7 (C-1), 85.7 (C-2'), 80.9 (C-4'), 79.6 (C-3''), 77.7 (C-3'), 74.5 (CH₂Ph), 74.4 (C-4), 73.8 (C-5''), 73.4 (CH₂Ph), 72.6 (C-4''), 72.5 (C-3), 71.9 (CH₂Ph), 71.5 (C-5), 71.3 (C-2''), 70.1 (C-5'), 69.8 (CH₂Ph), 68.3 (C-6''), 62.9 (C-6'), 60.8 (C-6), 52.4 (C-2), 23.1 (CH₃CONH). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₈₃H₇₉NNaO₂₁ (M+Na⁺): 1448.5037. Found: 1448.5099.

4.8.2.Method B

Same procedure described for Method A was followed at 0 °C for 5 h. Compound 9 (33 mg, 0.03 mmol) and 25 (25 mg, 0.04 mmol), gave 16 mg of 28 (33%) and 14 mg of 27 (29%).

4.8.3. Method C

Same procedure described for Method A was followed except that the reaction was carried out at -18 °C for 94 h using a mixture of 1:6 CH_2Cl_2 - CH_3CN as solvent. Compound **9** (66 mg, 0.06 mmol) and **25** (47 mg, 0.07 mmol), gave 7 mg of **28** (7%) and 17 mg of **27** (18%).

4.8.4. Method D

Same procedure described for Method A was followed at -78 °C for 45 h. From 51 mg of **9** (0.05 mmol) and 36 mg of **25** (0.05 mmol), no products of glycosylation were obtained.

4.8.5. Method E

A suspension of donor **9** (40 mg, 0.04 mmol), **25** (51 mg, 0.05 mmol), silver triflate (30 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) was stirred, with the exclusion of

light, at room temperature under argon. PAfter 10 min, the mixture was cooled to -78 °C and a solution of *p*-nitrobenzenesulfenyl chloride (9.2 mg, 0.05 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added. The reaction was stirred for 80 h and was quenched by addition of saturated aq. NaHCO3 solution (0.5 mL). The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and filtered. Then the mixture was treated as described in Method A to give 7 mg of **28** (12%) and 5 mg of **27** (8%).

4.9. Benzyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-4,6-di-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 6)- [3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-3,5,6-tri-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-2-

$acetamido-3-\textit{O-benzoyl-2-deoxy-}\alpha-D-glucopyranoside~(29)$

To a flask containing dried *O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-4,6-di-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl

trichloroacetimidate [27] (**6**, 358 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and activated powdered 4 Å molecular sieves, a solution of acceptor **27** (389 mg, 0.27 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in freshly distilled anhydr CH₃CN (16 mL) was added via *cannula*. The suspension was cooled to -20 ° C and after vigorous stirring for 10 min, TMSOTf (11 μ L, 0.061 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) was added. After 40 h of stirring, TLC analysis showwed no trisaccharide donor **6** left (R_f 0.48, 2: 3 toluene-EtOAc, TEA 0.2%) and a new product of higher polarity (R_f 0.33, 2: 3 toluene-EtOAc, TEA 0.2%). The reaction was quenched by addition Et₃N (10 μ L, 0.072 mmol), filtered whashing with CH₃CN, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a residue which was purified by column chromatography (1: 1 toluene-EtOAc, followed by 5:6 toluene-EtOAc). Unreacted acceptor **27** (133 mg, 34%, R_f 0.55, 2: 3 toluene-EtOAc) was recovered from the first fraction from the column. The next fraction afforded a mixture (405 mg) of the desired hexasaccharide **29** (R_f 0.23, 2:3 toluene-EtOAc) which co-eluted with hydrolyzed trisaccharide donor 2,3-di-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl)-4,6-di-*O*-acetyl- α , β -D-galactopyranose (**30**) as suggested by NMR spectroscopy [66]. This fraction was acetylated with acetic anhydride (4 mL) in anhydrous pyridine (4 mL) at room temperature for 40 min, followed by addition of MeOH at 0 °C. Evaporation of the mixture gave a residue which was purified by column

1,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2,3-di-O-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-D-galactopyranose (31) [66] (52 mg, 16 %, R_f 0.35, 1:2 hexane–EtOAc). The next fraction afforded **29** (270 mg, 43% two steps, R_f 0.25 (1:2 hexane – EtOAc)) as a glassy solid: $[\alpha]_D$ +11.3 (c 1, CHCl₃), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), 500 MHz): δ 8.01 – 7.64 (m, 10H, arom.), 7.55 – 7.04 (m, 35H, arom.), 5.83 (d, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz, NH), 5.56 (dd, 1H, J = 10.7, 8.60 Hz, H-3^A), 5.53 (dd, 1H, J = 9.7, 8.3 Hz, H-2^C), 5.41 (m, 2H, H-3^B) , H-4^{E}), 5.38 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz, H-4^{F}), 5.33 (s, 1H, H-1^{B}), 5.31 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz, H-4^{D}), 5.26 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3, 8.2 Hz, H-2^F), 5.18 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 3.3 Hz, H-3^E), 5.12 (dd, 1H, J = 10.4, 7.5 Hz, H- 2^{E}), 5.03 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 3.5 Hz, H- 3^{F}), 4.99 (m, 1H, H- 5^{B}), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz, H- 1^{A}), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, H-1^F), 4.96 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, H-1^E), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.88 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1^C), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, H-1^D), 4.68 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.53 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 11.7 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.45 (m, 1H, H-2^B), 4.37 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CH_2 Ph), 4.36 (d, 2H, J = 12.6 Hz, CH_2 Ph), 4.35 (bs, 2H, H-2^A), H-6a^E), 4.28 – 4.24 (m, 1H, H-4^B), 4.23 – 4.08 (m, 9H, H-6a^A, H-3^D, H-4^A, H-5^E, H-5^F, H-6a^B, H-6b^E, H-6a^F, H-6b^F), 4.01 – 3.86 (m, 8H, H-2^D, H-4^C, H-5^A, H-5^D, H-6b^A, H-6b^B, H-6a^D, H-6b^D), 3.61 - 3.56 (m, 3H, H-3^C, H-5^C, H-6a^C), 3.53 (m, 1H, H-6^C), 2.17, 2.05, 2.03, 2.00, 2.00, 1.99, 1.96, 1.94, 1.94, 1.90 (10s, 30H, CH₃CO), 1.74 (s, 3H, CH₃CONH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz): δ 170.4 (x 3), 170.3, 170.2, 170.1, 169.9, 169.7 (x 2), 169.3, 169.1 (11C, CH₃CO), 167.2, 165.4, 165.3, 164.8 (4C, PhCO), 138.0, 137.7, 137.4, 136.9 (4C, C arom.), 133.3, 133.2, 133.0, 132.7 (4C, C arom.), 130.0 – 127.5 (C arom.), 107.7 (C-1^B), 102.0 (C-1^D), 100.2 (C-1^F), 99.6 (C-1^E), 99.3 (C-1^C), 96.0 (C-1^A), 85.3 (C-2^B), 80.2 (C-3^C), 79.8(C-4^B), 78.4 (C-2^D), 76.4 (C-3^B), 75.8 (C-4^A or C-3^D), 75.7 (C-4^A) or C-3^D), 74.4 (CH₂Ph), 74.0 (C-5^C), 73.3 (CH₂Ph), 72.4 (C-3^A), 72.2 (C-4^C), 72.0 (CH₂Ph), 71.26 (C-2^C), 71.1 (C-3^F), 70.8 (C-5^E or C-5^F), 70.7 (C-5^A), 70.7 (C-5^E or C-5^F), 70.6 (C-3^E), 70.4 (C-5^D), 70.0 (C-2^E), 69.8 (C-5^B), 69.7 (C-6^F), 69.6 (CH₂Ph), 69.1 (C-4^D), 68.7 (C-6^A), 68.4 (C-6^C), 66.9 (C-4^E), 66.9 (C-4^F), 62.2 (C-6^B), 61.8 (C-6^D), 60.7 (C-6^E or C-6^F), 60.7 (C-6^E or C-6^F), 52.5 (C-2^A), 23.0, 21.0, 20.8, 20.7, 20.7, 20.6 (x 2), 20.6, 20.6, 20.5, 20.3 (11C, CH₃CO). HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₁₂₁H₁₃₀NO₄₆ (M⁺): 2332.7859. Found: 2332.7842.

4.10. Benzyl β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)]$ - β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ -[3,5,6-tri-O-benzyl- β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - β -D-galactofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)]$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (32)

To a solution of 29 (250 mg. 0.107 mmol) in CH₃OH (2.0 mL) cooled to 0 °C, a solution of NaOCH₃ in CH₃OH (2.6 M, 1.6 mL) was added under nitrogen atmosphere. After 4 h of stirring at rt, a TLC analysis of an aliquot previously neutralized by the addition of an Amberlite resin particle showed one new product ($R_f 0.27$; 7:1:1 n-propanol – EtOH - H₂O). The mixture was passed through an ion exchange column (Amberlite resin IR-120 plus, H⁺ form) eluting with 9: 1 CH₃OH-H₂O. The eluate was concentrated under reduced pressure and coevaporated with H₂O (5 x 1 mL) under high vacuum to remove the methyl benzoate. The residue was dissolved in deionized H₂O (2 mL) and purified by passing the solution through a reverse phase C18 cartridge eluting with deionized water. The concentration of the eluate afforded **32** (119 mg, 80%) as a glassy solid: $[\alpha]_D$ +15.5 (*c* 1, H₂O); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD- d^4 , 500 MHz): δ 7.37 – 7.35 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.29 – 7.10 (m, 18H, arom.), 5.60 (d, 1H, J = 2.1 Hz, H-1^B), 4.76 (d, 1H, J = 3.50 Hz, H-1^A), 4.71 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.70 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz, H-1^E), 4.68 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.65 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.60 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.52 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.48 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz, H-1^D), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1^C), 4.40 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1^F), 4.37 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.33 (d, 1H, I), 4.34 (d, 1H, I) = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.35 (d, 1H, I), 4.35 (d, 1H, I) = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.35 (d, 1H, I) = 11.9 Hz, I) = 11.9 Hz, I) = 11.9 Hz, I) = 11 J = 12.0 Hz, CH_2 Ph), 4.31 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CH_2 Ph), 4.21 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8, 2.1 Hz; H-2^B), 4.17 $(dd, 1H, J = 7.9, 4.8 Hz; H-3^{B}), 4.01-3.98 (m, 1H, H-6a^{A}), 3.98 (dd, 1H, J = 7.9, 3.1 Hz, H-4^{B}), 3.92$ -3.78 (m, 8H, H-4^A, H-2^A, H-2^C, H-2^D, H-6b^A, H-6a^{*}), 3.71 - 3.46 (m, 18H, H-6a^B, H-6b^B, H-6a^{*}, $H-6b^*$, $H-6a^*$, $H-6b^*$, $H-6b^*$, $H-6a^C$, $H-5^B$, $H-3^D$, $H-3^A$, $H-5^*$, $H-2^E$, $H-2^F$, $H-4^E$, $H-4^F$), 3.44 - 3.41 (m, 1H, H-3^C), 3.41 - 3.32 (m, 3H, H-3^E, H-5^{*}, H-6b^C), 3.30 - 3.26 (m; 2H; H-5^{*}; H-3^F), 1.97 (s, 3H, CH₃CONH). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD-d⁴, 125.8 MHz): δ 173.8 (CH₃CONH), 140.2, 140.2, 139.7; 139.0 (C-arom.), 130.0 – 128.9 (C-arom.), 109.3 (C-1^B), 106.2 (C-1^F), 104.8 (C-1^E), 103.6 (C-1^C), 103.4 (C-1^D), 97.9 (C-1^A), 89.1 (C-2^B), 85.9 (C-3^D), 83.8 (C-4^B), 83.7 (C-3^C), 80.6 (C-4^A), 77.2 (2C, C-3^B, C-5^{*}), 76.8 (C-2^D), 76.5, 76.0 (C-5^{*}), 75.7 (2C, CH₂Ph, C-3^E), 75.3, 75.1, 75.0, 74.53 (CH₂Ph),

69.0 (C-6^A), 64.5 (C-6^B), 62.8 (2C, C-6^{*}, C-6^{*}), 62.6 (C-6^{*}), 55.5 (C-2^A), 22.7 (*C*H₃CONH). *could be D, E or F. HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₆₆H₈₉NNaO₃₁ (M+Na⁺): 1414.5311. Found: 1414.5329.

4.11. β -D-Galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ - $[\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - β -D-galactofuranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]-2-acetamido-2-deoxy- α , β -D-glucopyranose (1)

A mixture of hexasaccharide 32 (39 mg, 28 µmol) and Pd/C 10% Deguzza type E101 NE/W (40 mg) in 9: 1 CH₃OH-H₂O (2.8 mL) was hydrogenated at 3 atm at rt. After 10 h, a TLC showed no **39** left and a new product ($R_f 0.22$, 7: 2:2.5 *n*-propanol - EtOH - H₂O, twice developed). The catalyst was filtered through a bed of Celite washing with H₂O (2 mL). The filtrate was concentrated at rt and the residue was purified by passing through C-18 reverse phase cartridge, to give 1 (29 mg, 99%) as a hygroscopic glassy solid, in an anomeric mixture of α/β 7:3: $[\alpha]_D$ +0.5 (c 1, H₂O), ¹H NMR (D₂O, 500 MHz): δ anomeric zone and diagnostic signals: 5.54 (m, 1H, H-1^B α and β anomers), 5.21 (d, 0.7H, J = 3.4 Hz, H-1^A α anomer), 4.83 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, Galp H-1), 4.70 (d, 0.3H, J = 8.4 Hz, H-1^A β anomer), 4.67 – 4.58 (m, 3H, Galp H-1, α and β anomers), 4.40 (dd, 0.7H, J = 4.1; 1.9 Hz, H-2^B α anomer), 4.37 (dd, 0.3H, J = 3.9; 1.7 Hz, H-2^B β anomer), 2.05 (s, 3H, CH₃CONH, α and β anomers). ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 125.8 MHz): δ 175.4, 175.10 (CH₃CONH, α and β anomers), 133.1, 129.4, 128.9 (C arom.), 107.6, 107.5 (C-1^B, α y β anomers), 104.7 (2C; C-1^F, α and β anomers), 103.5, 103.4 (2C, C-1^E, α and β anomers), 102.4, (2C, C-1^D, α and β anomers), 102.2 (2C, C-1^C, α and β anomers), 95.8 (C-1^A, β anomer), 91.4 (C-1^A, α anomer), 87.7 (C-2^B, β anomer), 87.6 (C-2^B, α anomer), 83.8, 83.2, 83.0, 78.7, 76.1, 76.1, 75.9, 75.8, 75.2, 73.7, 73.5, 73.2, 73.2, 72.1, 71.8, 71.6, 70.9, 70.0, 69.9, 69.7, 69.5, 69.4, 69.2, 63.4, 62.1, 61.8, 61.7, 61.5, 57.3 (C-2^A, β anomer), 54.8 (C- 2^{A} , α anomer), 22.8 (CH₃CONH, β anomer), 22.5 (CH₃CONH, α anomer). The NMR spectra matched with already described [30].

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from Universidad de Buenos Aires (20020150100070BA) and CONICET (PIP 11220150100366CO). R. M. de Lederkremer and C. Gallo-Rodriguez are research members of CONICET.

References

[1] CDC, Centers for Disease, Control and Prevention.

https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/chagas/epi.html, 2019 (accessed 8 April 2019).

[2] Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland,

2019 https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/chagas-disease-(american-

trypanosomiasis). 2019 (accessed 15 April 2019).

[3] R.L. Tarleton, R. Reithinger, J.A. Urbina, U. Kitron, R.E. Gürtler, The Challenges of Chagas

Disease—Grim Outlook or Glimmer of Hope?, PLOS Medicine 4 (2007) e332.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332

[4] A. Kollien, G. Schaub, The Development of Trypanosoma cruzi in Triatominae, Parasitol. Today

16 (2000) 381-387. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4758(00)01724-5

[5] R.M. de Lederkremer, R. Agusti, Glycobiology of trypanosoma cruzi, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem.

Biochem. 62 (2009) 311-366. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2318(09)00007-9

[6] J. Mucci, A.B. Lantos, C.A. Buscaglia, M.S. Leguizamón, O. Campetella, The Trypanosoma

cruzi Surface, a Nanoscale Patchwork Quilt, Trends in Parasitology 33 (2017) 102-112.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2016.10.

[7] V.L. Pereira-Chioccola, A. Acosta-Serrano, I. Correia de Almeida, M.A. Ferguson, T. Souto-

Padron, M.M. Rodrigues, L.R. Travassos, S. Schenkman, Mucin-like molecules form a negatively charged coat that protects *Trypanosoma cruzi* trypomastigotes from killing by human anti-alpha-galactosyl antibodies, J. Cell Sci., 113 (2000) 1299-1307.

[8] S. Schenkman, M.-S. Jiang, G.W. Hart, V. Nussenzweig, A novel cell surface trans-sialidase of

trypanosoma cruzi generates a stage-specific epitope required for invasion of mammalian cells, Cell, 65 (1991) 1117-1125. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90008-M</u>

[9] A.C.C. Frasch, Functional Diversity in the Trans-sialidase and Mucin Families in Trypanosoma cruzi, Parasitol. Today, 16 (2000) 282-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4758(00)01698-7
[10] M.A. Ferrero-Garcia, S.E. Trombetta, D.O. Sanchez, A. Reglero, A.C.C. Frasch, A.J. Parodi, The action of Trypanosoma cruzi trans-sialidase on glycolipids and glycoproteins, Eur. J. Biochem. 213 (1993) 765-771. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1993.tb17818.x

[11] A. Buschiazzo, A.C. Frasch, O. Campetella, Medium scale production and purification to homogeneity of a recombinant trans-sialidase from Trypanosoma cruzi, Cell. Mol. Biol. 42 (1996) 703–710.

[12] R. Agusti, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, R.M. de Lederkremer, Trypanosoma cruzi trans-sialidase. A tool for the synthesis of sialylated oligosaccharides, Carbohydr. Res. 479 (2019) 48-58, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2019.05.004.

[13] B. Zingales, M.A. Miles, D.A. Campbell, M. Tibayrenc, A.M. Macedo, M.M.G. Teixeira, A.G. Schijman, M.S. Llewellyn, E. Lages-Silva, C.R. Machado, S.G. Andrade, N.R. Sturm, The revised Trypanosoma cruzi subspecific nomenclature: Rationale, epidemiological relevance and research applications, Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 12 (2012) 240-253, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.12.009.

[14] L. Mendonça-Previato, L. Penha, T.C. Garcez, C. Jones, J.O. Previato, Addition of α-O-GlcNAc to threonine residues define the post-translational modification of mucin-like molecules in Trypanosoma cruzi, Glycoconj. J. 30 (2013) 659-666. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10719-013-9469-7</u>.

[15] J.O. Previato, C. Jones, M.T. Xavier, R. Wait, L.R. Travassos, A.J. Parodi, L. Mendonça-Previato, Structural Characterization of the Major Glycosylphosphatidylinositol Membrane-anchored Glycoprotein from Epimastigote Forms of Trypanosoma cruzi Y-strain, J. Biol. Chem., 270 (1995) 7241-7250, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.13.7241.

[16] M.L. Salto, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, CM Lima, S.R.MP Tde Lederkremer, Separation of

Galf β 1 \rightarrow XGlcNAc and Galp β 1 \rightarrow XGlcNAc (X = 3, 4, and 6) as the Alditols by High-pH Anion-Exchange Chromatography and Thin-Layer Chromatography: Characterization of Mucins from Trypanosoma cruzi, Anal. Biochem. 279 (2000) 79-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1999.4466</u>.

[17] G.D. Pollevick, J.M. Di Noia, M.L. Salto, C. Lima, M.S. Leguizamon, R.M. de Lederkremer, A.C. Frasch, Trypanosoma cruzi surface mucins with exposed variant epitopes, J Biol Chem. 275 (2000) 27671–27680. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M000253200.

[18] A.R. Todeschini, E.X. da Silveira, C. Jones, R. Wait, J.O. Previato, L. Mendonca-Previato, Structure of O-glycosidically linked oligosaccharides from glycoproteins of Trypanosoma cruzi CL-Brener strain: evidence for the presence of O-linked sialyl-oligosaccharides, Glycobiology 11 (2001) 47–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/11.1.47</u>.

[19] A.A. Serrano, S. Schenkman, N. Yoshida, A. Mehlert, J.M. Richardson, M.A. Ferguson, The lipid structure of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored mucin-like sialic acid acceptors of Trypanosoma cruzi changes during parasite differentiation from epimastigotes to infective metacyclic trypomastigote forms, J Biol Chem. 270 (1995) 27244–27253. doi:10.1074/jbc.270.45.27244.

[20] J.O. Previato, C. Jones, L.P. Goncalves, R. Wait, L.R. Travassos, L. Mendonca-Previato, O-glycosidically linked N-acetylglucosamine-bound oligosaccharides from glycoproteins of Trypanosoma cruzi, Biochem J. 301 (1994) 151–159. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3010151</u>.

[21] O.A. Agrellos, C. Jones, A.R. Todeschini, J.O. Previato, L. Mendonça-Previato, A novel sialylated galactofuranose-containing O-linked and glycan, Neu5Ac α 2 \rightarrow 3Galp β 1 \rightarrow 6(Galf β 1 \rightarrow 4)GlcNAc, is expressed sialoglycoprotein on the of Trypanosoma Dm28c. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 126 (2003)93-96. cruzi https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-6851(02)00245-1.

29

[22] A.R. Todeschini, E.G.d. Almeida, O.A. Agrellos, C. Jones, J.O. Previato, L. Mendonca-Previato, α-N-acetylglucosamine-linked O-glycans of sialoglycoproteins (Tc-mucins) from Trypanosoma cruzi Colombiana strain, Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, 104 (2009) 270-274. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762009000900035.

[23] C. Jones, A.R. Todeschini, O.A. Agrellos, J.O. Previato, L. Mendonca-Previato, Heterogeneity in the biosynthesis of mucin O-glycans from Trypanosoma cruzi Tulahuen strain with the expression of novel galactofuranosyl-containing oligosaccharides, Biochemistry 43 (2004) 11889–11897. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi048942u</u>.

[24] C. Gallo-Rodriguez, O. Varela, R.M. Lederkremer, First synthesis of beta-D-Galf(1-4)GlcNAc, a structural unit attached O-glycosidically in glycoproteins of Trypanosoma cruzi, J. Org. Chem. 61 (1996) 1886–1889. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jo951934m</u>.

[25] C. Gallo-Rodriguez, O. Varela, R.M. de Lederkremer, One-pot synthesis of beta-D-Galf($1\rightarrow4$)[beta-D-Galp($1\rightarrow6$)]-D-GlcNAc, a "core" trisaccharide linked O-glycosidically in glycoproteins of Trypanosoma cruzi, Carbohydr. Res. 305 (1997) 163–170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6215(97)00256-5.

[26] C. Gallo-Rodriguez, M.A. Gil-Libarona, V.M. Mendoza, R.M. de Lederkremer, Synthesis of β -d-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -d-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 6)-[β -d-Galf-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-d-GlcNAc, a tetrasaccharide component of mucins of Trypanosoma cruzi, Tetrahedron 58 (2002) 9373–9380. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(02)01226-7.

[27] V.M. Mendoza, R. Agusti, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, R.M. de Lederkremer, Synthesis of the Olinked pentasaccharide in glycoproteins of Trypanosoma cruzi and selective sialylation by recombinant trans-sialidase, Carbohydr. Res. 341 (2006) 1488–1497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2006.03.033 [28] V.M. Mendoza, G.A. Kashiwagi, R.M. de Lederkremer, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, Synthesis of trisaccharides containing internal galactofuranose O-linked in Trypanosoma cruzi mucins, Carbohydr Res. 345 (2010) 385–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2009.12.005.

[29] G.A. Kashiwagi, V.M. Mendoza, R.M. de Lederkremer, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, Synthesis of the O-linked hexasaccharide containing beta-D-Galf-(1-->2)-beta-D-Galf in Trypanosoma cruzi mucins, Org Biomol Chem. 10 (2012) 6322–6332. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ob25741f</u>.

[30] R. Agustí, M.E. Giorgi, V.M. Mendoza, G.A. Kashiwagi, R.M. Lederkremer, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, Synthesis of the O-linked hexasaccharide containing β -D-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 2)-D-Galf in Trypanosoma cruzi mucins. Differences on sialylation by trans-sialidase of the two constituent hexasaccharides, Bioorganic Med. Chem. 23 (2015) 1213–1222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.01.056.

[31] M.d.I.M. Cámara, V. Balouz, C. Centeno Cameán, C.R. Cori, G.A. Kashiwagi, S.A. Gil, N.P. Macchiaverna, M.V. Cardinal, F. Guaimas, M.M. Lobo, R.M. de Lederkremer, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, C.A. Buscaglia, Trypanosoma cruzi surface mucins are involved in the attachment to the Triatoma infestans rectal ampoule, PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 13 (2019) e0007418. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007418

[32] M.O. Sheikh, E. Gas-Pascual, J.N. Glushka, J.M. Bustamante, L. Wells, C.M. West, Trypanosoma cruzi13C-labeled O-Glycan standards for mass spectrometry, Glycobiology, 29 (2019) 280-284. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwy111</u>.

[33] R.M. van Well, B.Y.M. Collet, R.A. Field, Synthesis of mucin glycans from the protozoon parasite Trypanosoma cruzi, Synlett (2008) 2175-2177. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1078249</u>.

[34] J.M. Di Noia, C.A. Buscaglia, C.R. De Marchi, I.C. Almeida, A.C.C. Frasch, A Trypanosoma cruzi small surface molecule provides the first immunological evidence that Chagas' disease is due to a single parasite lineage, J. Exp. Med. 195 (2002) 401-413. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20011433. [35] R. R. Schmidt, X. Zhu, Glycosyl Trichloroacetimidates. In *Glycoscience*. B. Fraser-Reid, K. Tatsuta, J. Thiem, Eds.; Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 451-453.

[36] P.-H. Amvam-Zollo, P. Sinaÿ, Streptococcus pneumoniae type XIV polysaccharide: synthesis of a repeating branched tetrasaccharide with dioxa-type spacer-arms, Carbohydr. Res., 150 (1986) 199-212. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(86)80016-7</u>.

[37] S. Rio, J.-M. Beau, J.-C. Jacquinet, Synthesis of glycopeptides from the carbohydrateprotein linkage region of proteoglycans, Carbohydr. Res. 219 (1991) 71-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(91)89043-F.

[38] Fraser-Reid, B.; López, J.C., Gómez, A.M., Uriel, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2004, 1387-1395

[39] R.R. Schmidt, J. Michel, M. Roos, Glycosylimidate, 12 Direkte Synthese von O-α- und O-β-Glycosyl-imidaten, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1984 (1984) 1343-1357.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jlac.198419840710</u>.

[40] P.O. Adero, H. Amarasekara, P. Wen, L. Bohé, D. Crich, The Experimental Evidence in Support of Glycosylation Mechanisms at the SN1–SN2 Interface, Chem. Rev., 118 (2018) 8242-8284. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00083</u>.

[41] J.-R. Pougny, P. Sinaÿ, Reaction d'imidates de glucopyranosyle avec l'acetonitrile. Applications synthetiques, Tetrahedron Lett., 17 (1976) 4073-4076. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)92578-4</u>.

[42] R. R. Schmidt, M. Behrendt, A. Toepfer, Nitriles as Solvents in Glycosylation Reactions: Highly Selective β-Glycoside Synthesis. Synlett, (1990) 694–696. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1990-</u> 21214.

[43] K. K. T. Mong, T. Nokami, N. T. T. Tran, P. B. Nhi, Solvent Effect on Glycosylation, in: C.S. Bennett (Ed.), Selective Glycosylations: Synthetic Methods and Catalysts, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2017; pp 59–77.

[44] I. Braccini, C. Derouet, J. Esnault, C.H.e./de Penhoat, J.M. Mallet, V. Michon, P. Sinaÿ, Conformational analysis of nitrilium intermediates in glycosylation reactions, Carbohydr. Res., 246 (1993) 23-41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-6215(93)84021-W</u>.

[45] D. Crich, M. Patel, On the nitrile effect in L-rhamnopyranosylation, Carbohydr. Res., 341(2006) 1467-1475. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2006.03.036</u>.

[46] A. Dondoni, A. Marra, A. Massi, Design and Use of an Oxazolidine Silyl Enol Ether as a New Homoalanine Carbanion Equivalent for the Synthesis of Carbon-Linked Isosteres of O-Glycosyl Serine and N-Glycosyl Asparagine, J. Org. Chem., 64 (1999) 933-944. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo981861h.

[47] H.M. Christensen, S. Oscarson, H.H. Jensen, Common side reactions of the glycosyl donor in chemical glycosylation, Carbohydr. Res., 408 (2015) 51-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.02.007.

[48] L. Gandolfi-Donadio, G. Gola, R.M. de Lederkremer, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, Synthesis of α -D-Galf-(1-2)-D-galactitol and α -D-Galf-(1-2)[β -D-Galf-(1-3)]-D-galactitol, oligosaccharide derivatives from Bacteroides cellulosolvens glycoproteins, Carbohydr. Res., 341 (2006) 2487-2497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2006.07.013

[49] K. Choudhury, A.K. Ray, N. Roy, Synthesis of tetrasaccharide repeating unit of the K-antigen from Klebsiella type-16, J. Carbohydr. Chem. 14 (1995) 1153–1163.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07328309508005401</u>.

[50] P. Konradsson, U.E. Udodong, B. Fraser-Reid, Iodonium promoted reactions of disarmed thioglycosides, Tetrahedron Lett., 31 (1990) 4313-4316.https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)97609-3.

[51] T. Fujiwara, K. Arai, Mass spectra of peracetates of some $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -linked disaccharides, Carbohydr. Res., 87 (1980) 11-17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6215(00)85186-1</u>

33

[52] A. Bérces, D.M. Whitfield, T. Nukada, I. do Santos, A. Obuchowska, J. J. Krepinsky, Can. J. Chem. 82 (2004) 1157-1171. https://doi.org/10.1139/v04-059.

[53] D. Kahne, S. Walker, Y. Cheng, D. Van Engen, Glycosylation of unreactive substrates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 6881-6882. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00199a081</u>.

[54] L. Yan, D. Kahne, Generalizing Glycosylation: Synthesis of the Blood Group Antigens Le^a, Le^b, and Le^x Using a Standard Set of Reaction Conditions, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 9239-9248. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9608555</u>

[55] D. Crich, S. Sun, Are glycosyl triflates intermediates in the sulfoxide glycosylation method? A Chemical and ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic investigation, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 119 (1997) 11217-11223. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ja971239r</u>.

[56] H.D. Premathilake, A.V. Demchenko, Superarmed and Superdisarmed Building Blocks in Expeditious Oligosaccharide Synthesis, in: B. Fraser-Reid, J. Cristóbal López (Eds.) Reactivity Tuning in Oligosaccharide Assembly, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 189-221.

[57] M.D. Bandara, J.P. Yasomanee, A.V. Demchenko, Application of Armed, Disarmed, Superarmed, and Superdisarmed Building Blocks in: Stereocontrolled Glycosylation and Expeditious Oligosaccharide Synthesis, in: C. S. Bennett (Ed.), Selective Glycosylations: Synthetic Methods and Catalysts, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2017; pp 29-58.

[58] M.N. Kamat, A.V. Demchenko, Revisiting the Armed–Disarmed Concept Rationale: S-Benzoxazolyl Glycosides in Chemoselective Oligosaccharide Synthesis, Org. Lett., 7 (2005) 3215-3218. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol050969y</u>.

[59] Z. Wang, L. Zhou, K. El-Boubbou, X.-s. Ye, X. Huang, Multi-component one-pot synthesis of the tumor-associated carbohydrate antigen Globo-H based on preactivation of thioglycosyl donors, J. Org. Chem., 72 (2007) 6409-6420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jo070585g</u>.

[60] C. Wang, H. Wang, X. Huang, L.-H. Zhang, X.-S.S.Ye, Benzenesulfinyl Morpholine: A New Promoter for One-Pot Oligosaccharide Synthesis Using Thioglycosides by Pre-Activation Strategy, Synlett, (2006) 2846-2850.

[61] Y. Zeng, Z. Wang, D. Whitfield, X. Huang, Installation of Electron-Donating Protective Groups, a Strategy for Glycosylating Unreactive Thioglycosyl Acceptors using the Preactivation-Based Glycosylation Method, J. Org. Chem., 73 (2008) 7952-7962. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo801462r.

[62] K.-K.T. Mong, Y.-F. Yen, W.-C. Hung, Y.-H. Lai, J.-H. Chen, Application of 2-Azido-2deoxythioglycosides for β-Glycoside Formation and Oligosaccharide Synthesis, Eur. J. Org. Chem, 2012 (2012) 3009-3017. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201200173</u>.

[63] D. Crich, F. Cai, F. Yang, A stable, commercially available sulfenyl chloride for the activation of thioglycosides in conjunction with silver trifluoromethanesulfonate, Carbohydr. Res., 343 (2008) 1858-1862. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.03.002</u>.

[64] L. Chen, F. Kong, Unusual α-glycosylation with galactosyl donors with a C2 ester capable of neighboring group participation, Tetrahedron Lett., 44 (2003) 3691-3695. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(03)00673-7.

[65] T. Ikeda, K. Yamauchi, D. Nakano, K. Nakanishi, H. Miyashita, S.-i. Ito, T. Nohara, Chemical trans-glycosylation of bioactive glycolinkage: synthesis of an α-lycotetraosyl cholesterol, Tetrahedron Lett., 47 (2006) 4355-4359. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.04.107</u>

[66] V.M. Mendoza, C. Gallo-Rodriguez, R.M. de Lederkremer, Synthesis of 2,3-di-*O*-(β-D-Gal*p*)-D-Gal*p*, a synthon for the mucin oligosaccharides of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, Arkivoc X (2003), 82-94. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/ark.5550190.0004.a10</u>.

[67] N. Khiar, Determination of the absolute configuration of sulfinyl glycosides: the role of the exo-anomeric effect. Tetrahedron Lett. 41 (2000), 9059-9063. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)01651-8.)

- β -D-Gal*p*-(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-Gal*f* containing hexasaccharide was characterized in mucins of *T. cruzi*.
- Construction of the linear trisaccharide acceptor was planned following the upstream direction.
- The OH-2 in β -D-Gal $f(1\rightarrow 4)$ -D-GlcNAc acceptor showed limited reactivity towards Galp donors.
- Unusual by-products were obtained in the glycosylation reaction.
- The limited reactivity of the acceptor was overcome by the use of an anchimerically superarmed Gal*p* donor.

CER HA