


An Alternative to the Classical α -Arylation: The Transfer of an Intact 2-Iodoaryl from $\text{ArI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2^{**}$

Zhiyu Jia, Erik Gálvez, Rosa María Sebastián, Roser Pleixats, Ángel Álvarez-Larena, Eddy Martin, Adelina Vallribera,* and Alexandre Shafir*

Abstract: The α -arylation of carbonyl compounds is generally accomplished under basic conditions, both under metal catalysis and via aryl transfer from the diaryl λ^3 -iodanes. Here, we describe an alternative metal-free α -arylation using $\text{ArI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ as the source of a 2-iodoaryl group. The reaction is applicable to activated ketones, such as α -cyano-ketones, and works with substituted aryliodanes. This formal C–H functionalization reaction is thought to proceed through a [3,3] rearrangement of an iodonium enolate. The final α -(2-iodoaryl)ketones are versatile synthetic building blocks.

The transfer of an aryl group to a position α to a carbonyl is an important class of C–C bond-forming reactions, popularized with the introduction of the metal-catalyzed (mainly Pd and Cu) coupling of aryl halides (or equivalent) to enolates.^[1,2] Predating these advances, the metal-free α -arylation has been in use since the 1960s, following reports by Beringer et al. on the ability of the diaryl- λ^3 -iodanes (e.g. $[\text{Ph}_2\text{I}]_{\text{Cl}}$) to transfer an aryl ligand to an enolate (Scheme 1).^[3,4] Recent studies showed that both the C- and the O-iodonium enolate intermediates can lead to the product through a [1,2] or [2,3] shift, respectively.^[5]


This methodology, including its asymmetric versions,^[6] has since then gained importance as complementary to the cross-coupling, in turn stimulating further research into diaryl λ^3 -iodanes.^[7,8] Despite the attractiveness of the method, one of the two aryl groups must act as a “spectator” ligand extruded in the form of ArI . The choice of such a group (e.g. mesityl) is often the key to a selective arylation using asymmetric



Scheme 1. The Beringer-type arylation of β -ketoesters using diaryliodine salts.

diaryliodanes.^[7b] Although the use of the monoaryl iodonium species (e.g. PhIX_2) would thus be attractive, examples of such usage are scarce.^[9] As part of our own research on hyper-valent iodine reactivity,^[10] we wish to report an α -arylation protocol that employs monoaryl iodonium species, exemplified by phenyliodine bis(trifluoroacetate) (PIFA, **2a**).

We found that exposing the β -ketoester **1** to **2a** in CH_3CN led to an unexpected *ortho*-idoaryl species **4** in 17% yield (Scheme 2); in contrast, none of **4** was obtained using $\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$ or $\text{PhI}(\text{OH})(\text{OTs})$ (entries 1–3, Table 1). The



Scheme 2. The outcome of treating the β -ketoester **1** with $\text{PhI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ (**2a**).

Table 1: Screening of conditions in the arylation of **1** with **2a** (from Scheme 2).^[a]

Entry	Solvent	PhIX_2	Additive ^[b]	4 [%] ^[c]
1	CH_3CN	2a	–	17
2	CH_3CN	$\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$	–	–
3	CH_3CN	$\text{PhI}(\text{OH})(\text{OTs})$	–	–
4	CH_2Cl_2	2a	–	14
5	$\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	2a	–	34
6	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	2a	–	48
7	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	2a	$(\text{CF}_3\text{CO})_2\text{O}$	57 ^[d]
8	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	2a	$(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2)_2\text{O}$	< 5
9	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	2a	H_2O	< 5
10	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	$\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$	$(\text{CF}_3\text{CO})_2\text{O}$	23
11	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	$\text{PhI}(\text{OH})(\text{OTs})$	$(\text{CF}_3\text{CO})_2\text{O}$	26
12	$\text{CH}_3\text{CN}-\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$	PhIO	$(\text{CF}_3\text{CO})_2\text{O}$	52

[a] Using **1** (1.0 mmol) and **2a** (1.3 mmol) in 4 mL of solvent for 4 h at rt;

[b] 1.5 equiv; [c] GC yield corrected versus the internal standard $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{CN}$; [d] Yield of the isolated product.

[*] Dr. Z. Jia, Dr. E. Gálvez, Prof. Dr. R. M. Sebastián, Prof. Dr. R. Pleixats, Prof. Dr. A. Vallribera

Department of Chemistry and Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona Bellaterra (Spain)

E-mail: adelina.vallribera@uab.cat

Dr. Á. Álvarez-Larena

Servei de Difracció de Raigs X, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Dr. E. Martín, Dr. A. Shafir

Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ)

Avda. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona (Spain)


E-mail: ashafir@iciq.es

[**] This work was supported by the ICIQ, MICINN (CTQ2011-22649), the MEC (Cons. Ing. CSD2007-00006), the Generalitat de Catalunya (2014SGR1192 and 2014SGR1105), and the China Scholarship Council (fellowship to Z.J.). We thank MINECO for support through grant CTQ2013-46705-R and Severo Ochoa Excellence Accreditation 2014–2018 (SEV-2013-0319).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201405982>.

formation of **4** was found to be solvent-dependent (entries 1 and 4–6), with a 48% yield achieved using a 1:1 $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ mixture. The addition of the trifluoroacetic anhydride (1.5 equiv) led to a 57% yield of **4** after 2 h at room temperature; other additives proved detrimental (entries 7–9). Under the new conditions, the use of other hypervalent iodine reagents was now possible (entries 10–12), likely through the *in situ* formation of **2a**. Oxidative degradation of **1** accounts for the reaction mass balance.

To probe the reaction scope, the cyclic β -ketoesters **5–7** were transformed into products **11–13** in 2 h at room temperature (Scheme 3, X-Ray structure of **13** shown). Similarly, α -



Scheme 3. The α -idoarylation of β -dicarbonyl compounds using PIFA.

(2-iodoaryl)-diketones **14–16** were synthesized from the corresponding β -diketones **8–10**.


Particularly efficient was the arylation of α -cyanoketones (Table 2). Thus, the cyclic substrates **17–19** underwent a smooth reaction with PIFA to give a 76–80% yield of

Table 2: Iodoarylation of the α -cyanoketones.^[a]


17 , $n=1$	18 , $n=0$	19 , $n=2$	20–23
20a , 80%	21 , 76%	22 , 79%	X=F , 20b , 63%; X=Cl , 20c , 65%
		 3:1 [b] hindered rotation	
20d , 71%	20e , 50% Br		
			 R'=H: failed R'=Me: 60%[c]
20f , 76%	20g , 68%	20h , 49% Me	

[a] 1.3:1 ratio of **2/17**. Using **17** (1 mmol) in $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{CF}_3\text{COOH}$ (1:1, 4 mL). [b] From $m\text{-Br-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{I}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ (**2i**). [c] At 60°C for 48 h.

20a, **21**, and **22** after 6–8 h.^[11] Next, **17** was exposed to eight additional $\text{ArI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ reagents (**2b–2i**) prepared by a method developed by Zhdankin et al.^[12] The use of the halo derivatives **2b–2e** led to the formation of the dihaloaryl cyanoketones **20b** (63%), **20c** (65%), **20d** (71%), and **20e** (50%), with **20e** featuring the iodine flanked by a C–Br and a C–C bonds. A 76% yield of the carboxy-substituted **20f** was achieved and the *p*-NO₂ derivative **20g** was isolated in 68% yield. The transfer of a 2-iodo-3-methylphenyl group took place with a 49% yield (product **20h**). The coupling at the two *ortho* CH sites of the *meta*-Br iodane **2i** took place in a 3:1 ratio, with the minor isomer **20i'** (17%) observed as two rotamers (70:30) at –20°C (see the Supporting Information). Interestingly, although the secondary cyanoketones, including benzoylacetonitrile, proved unsuitable, the 2-benzoylpropionitrile, which only differs by a 2-methyl group, gave the expected **23** in 60% yield. Finally, the protocol was used to prepare a 19 g batch of **20a** (74%, Scheme 4).^[13]




Scheme 4. Gram-scale preparation of **20a**; α -arylation conditions as in Table 2.



Scheme 5. Two possible enolate rearrangement paths leading to **4**.

Although a mechanistic study is currently underway, this formal C–H alkylation may arise from a [3,3] shift of an O-enolate **A** (Scheme 5) as seen in a related sulfoxide-mediated α -arylation.^[14] Alternatively, the selectivity could be explained by a [1,3] shift of a C-enolate **B**.

A priori, the [1,3] shift appears less likely. Indeed, while the C-enolates are intermediates in the formation of the iodonium ylides^[15] and can be isolated,^[16] the existence (or intermediacy) of the quaternary analogues (such as **B** in Scheme 5) is less frequent.^[5b] Furthermore, heating the isolated C-enolates typically leads to the formation of the α -C–O (e.g. C–OTs) bond.^[16] In our hands, the isolated phenyliodonium ylide $\text{PhCOC}(=\text{IPh})\text{CN}$, expected to give a C-enolate upon protonation,^[15c] failed to undergo the aryl transfer under the reaction conditions. Thus, we favor a [3,3] shift of an iodonium O-enolate (**A**, Scheme 5), akin the iodonio–Claisen rearrangement introduced by Ochiai et al. in the 1990s.^[17,18] Despite our efforts, reaction intermediates have so far proven elusive, possibly due to the rearrangement proceeding faster than the I–O-enolate formation.^[19] Not even the *o,o*-disubstituted **2j** allowed for the trapping of the I-enolate, leading instead to non-arylate oxidation processes (Scheme 6A). It should also be noted that the iodine-free species **24** (5%), isolated during the synthesis of **20b**, proved




Scheme 6. Additional observations in the arylation of 17.


to be the *para*-fluoro regioisomer, rather than the initially assumed *meta* (Scheme 6B); the steps leading to **24** remain to be investigated.

The cyanoketones **20a** and **21** were readily converted to the amides **25** and **26**. Although PIFA was unsuitable for the arylation of the parent cyclohexanone, the arylketone **27** could, nevertheless, be obtained through the decarboxylation of **25** (Scheme 7, top). The substrates also underwent the Suzuki (see the Supporting Information) and Sonogashira coupling reaction (Scheme 7, bottom, products **28–30**).

The reduction of **25** led to the alcohol **31** as a 4:1 *trans/cis* mixture (Scheme 8), with the solid state structures of both **25** and **31-trans** showing an equatorial *o*-iodophenyl group.^[20] Preliminary tests showed that **31** can be converted to the hydroxy-spiroxindole **32** (X-ray structure shown for *trans*) using a Cu-catalyzed C=N coupling,^[21] with **32-cis** representing the spiroxindole portion of Gelsemine, a synthetically interesting natural product target (Scheme 8).^[22,23]



Scheme 7. Functional group manipulation in the α -(2-iodoaryl) derivatives.



Scheme 8. Some simple transformations of the ketoamide **25**.

In summary, the $\text{ArI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ reagents have been used in the α -arylation of β -dicarbonyls and α -cyanoketones. The aryl transfer takes place with retention of the iodide *ortho* to the newly formed C–C bond. The method is complementary to metal-catalyzed arylations, and could overcome the issues of the aryl loss associated with the use of diaryliodonium salts. In a more general sense, the concept of a reversible formation of an iodonium-based Claisen precursor, shown here with O-enolates, might open the door to the development of a range of new synthetic methods.

Received: June 6, 2014



Revised: July 11, 2014

Published online: ■■■■■

Keywords: α -arylation · C–H functionalization · iodonium-Claisen rearrangement · dehydrogenative C–C coupling · hypervalent iodine

- [1] a) M. Palucki, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 11108–11109; b) J. M. Fox, X. Huang, A. Chieffi, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1360–1370; c) B. C. Hamann, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 12382–12383.
- [2] For a review on metal-catalyzed α -arylation, see: a) C. C. C. Johansson, T. J. Colacot, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 676–707; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 686–718; b) F. Bellina, R. Rossi, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1082–1146.
- [3] a) F. M. Beringer, P. S. Forggione, *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, *28*, 714–717; b) F. M. Beringer, W. J. Daniel, S. A. Galton, G. Rubin, *J. Org. Chem.* **1966**, *31*, 4315–4318; c) C. H. Oh, J. S. Kim, H. H. Jung, *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 1338–1340.
- [4] For the related usage of the Ar–Pb and Ar–Bi species, see a) J. T. Pinhey, B. A. Rowe, *Aust. J. Chem.* **1979**, *32*, 1561–1566; b) D. H. R. Barton, J.-C. Blazejewski, B. Charpiot, D. J. Lester, W. B. Motherwell, M. T. Barros Papoula, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1980**, 827–829.
- [5] a) M. Ochiai, Y. Kitagawa, M. Toyonari, *Arkivoc* **2003**, 43–48; b) P. O. Norrby, T. B. Petersen, M. Bielawski, B. Olofsson, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 8251–8254.
- [6] a) M. Ochiai, Y. Kitagawa, N. Takayama, Y. Takaoka, M. Shiro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 9233–9234; b) V. K. Aggarwal, B. Olofsson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5516–5519; *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 5652–5655; c) A. E. Allen, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 4260–4263.
- [7] a) E. A. Merritt, B. Olofsson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9052–9070; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 9214–9234; b) J. Malmgren, S. Santoro, N. Jalalian, F. Himo, B. Olofsson, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 10334–10342.
- [8] For further reading on hypervalent iodine chemistry, see: V. V. Zhdankin, *Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry: Preparation, Structure and Synthetic Applications of Polyvalent Iodine Compounds*, Wiley, Chichester, **2014**.
- [9] PhIX₂ are also net 2e[−] oxidants in the α -arylation using ArH: a) Y. Kita, H. Tohma, K. Hatanaka, T. Takada, S. Fujita, S. Mitoh, H. Sakurai, S. Oka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 3684–3691; b) T. C. Turner, K. Shibayama, D. L. Boger, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 1100–1103.
- [10] E. Faggi, R. M. Sebastián, R. Pleixats, A. Vallribera, A. Shafir, A. Rodríguez-Gimeno, C. Ramírez de Arellano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 17980–17982.
- [11] The mass balance is made up by the ketone α -oxidation products C–OH and C–O₂CF₃ and those stemming from oxidative ring-opening.

- [12] A. A. Zagulyaeva, M. S. Yusubov, V. V. Zhdankin, *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 2119–2122.
- [13] For the synthesis of α -cyanoketones: H.-J. Liu, T. W. Ly, C.-L. Tai, J.-D. Wu, J.-K. Liang, J.-C. Guo, N.-W. Tseng, K.-S. Shia, *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 1209–1226.
- [14] a) X. L. Huang, N. Maulide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8510–8513; b) X. L. Huang, S. Klimczyk, N. Maulide, *Synthesis* **2012**, 175–183; for a related Au-catalyzed process, see c) A. B. Cuenga, S. Montserrat, K. M. Hossain, G. Mancha, A. Lledós, M. Medio-Simón, G. Ujaque, G. Asensio, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4906–4909.
- [15] a) E. Malamidou-Xenikaki, S. Spyroudis, *Synlett* **2008**, 2725–2740; b) S. R. Goudreau, D. Marcoux, A. B. Charette, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 470–473; c) K. Gondo, T. Kitamura, *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 6625–6632.
- [16] G. F. Koser, A. G. Relenyi, A. N. Kalos, L. Rebrovic, R. H. Wettach, *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 2487–2489.
- [17] a) M. Ochiai, T. Ito, Y. Takaoka, Y. Masaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 1319–1323; b) M. Ochiai, T. Ito, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2274–2275; c) H. R. Khatri, J. L. Zhu, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12232–12236.
- [18] The mechanism was also invoked by Porco et al. to explain the formation of the species **C**: J. L. Zhu, A. R. Germain, J. A. Porco, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1239–1243; *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 1259–1263.
- [19] Only the $\text{PhI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ and the coupling product were detected by NMR during the reaction.
- [20] CCDC 1005725 (**13**), 1005726 (**25**), 1005727 (**31-trans**), 1005728 (**32-trans**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [21] For a review on Cu-catalyzed coupling, see: I. P. Beletskaya, A. V. Cheprakov, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2337–2364; for the Cu-catalyzed N-arylation of amides, see A. Klapars, J. C. Antilla, X. H. Huang, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7727–7729.
- [22] For strategies in Gelsemine synthesis: H. Lin, S. J. Danishefsky, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 36–51; *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 38–53.
- [23] In both cases, a competing C–O coupling yielded small amounts (5–15 %) of the dihydrobenzofuran **33** (Figure 2, Supporting Information).




Communications



Synthetic Methods

Z. Jia, E. Gálvez, R. M. Sebastián,
R. Pleixats, A. Álvarez-Larena, E. Martín,
A. Vallribera,* A. Shafir* —

An Alternative to the Classical α -Arylation: The Transfer of an Intact 2-Iodoaryl from $\text{ArI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$



- coupling *ortho* to iodine
- likely iodonio-Claisen mechanism
- complementary to metal-catalyzed arylation

[3,3] Iodonium enolate rearrangement: Activated ketone derivatives, including β -dicarbonyl and α -cyanoketones, react with $\text{ArI}(\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3)_2$ reagents to give an α -arylated product with the iodine atom retained *ortho* to the new C–C bond. The

reaction takes place under acidic conditions. This formal C–H functionalization reaction presumably proceeds through a [3,3] rearrangement of an iodonium enolate. The final α -(2-iodoaryl)ketones are versatile synthetic building blocks.