Development of a Multigram Synthesis of URB937, a Peripherally Restricted FAAH Inhibitor

Claudio Fiorelli,*^{,†} Rita Scarpelli,[†] Daniele Piomelli,^{†,‡} and Tiziano Bandiera^{*,†}

[†]Drug Discovery and Development, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, via Morego 30, I-16163 Genova, Italy

[‡]Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-4625, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A new synthetic approach to URB937 was developed starting from the inexpensive and widely available 4benzyloxyphenol. A reproducible four-step procedure, requiring no chromatographic purifications, was optimized that allowed the preparation of 100 g of URB937 in 45% overall yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fatty-acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) is an intracellular membrane-bound serine hydrolase that catalyzes the hydrolysis of the endocannabinoid signaling molecule anandamide and of other noncannabinoid fatty-acid ethanolamides such as oleoylethanolamide and palmitoylethanolamide.^{1,2} Natural FAAH substrates, such as anandamide, play important physiological roles and have been implicated in several disease conditions, which might be caused in part by deficits in their normal function.³ Inhibition of FAAH activity may reduce such deficits and, therefore, is of considerable pharmaceutical interest. Among the different chemical classes of FAAH inhibitors disclosed so far,⁴ the O-aryl carbamates⁵ and the piperidine/piperazine ureas⁶ have been shown to have favorable profiles with respect to both selectivity and pharmacological activity in vivo. The O-aryl carbamates comprise N-cyclohexylcarbamic acid O-aryl ester derivatives such as URB597 (1, Figure 1), which demonstrated in animals an interesting





combination of anxiolytic, antidepressant, and analgesic properties.⁷ URB937, [3-(3-carbamoylphenyl)-4-hydroxyphenyl]-*N*cyclohexylcarbamate (**2**, Figure 1), a close analogue of URB597, is a potent inhibitor of FAAH (*rat* FAAH IC₅₀: 26.8 nM) and is unique among the *O*-arylcarbamate FAAH inhibitors because it is actively extruded from the central nervous system (CNS) thus increasing anandamide levels exclusively in peripheral tissues.⁸ Recent studies have suggested that ABCG2 is the major transporter responsible for extrusion of URB937 from the CNS.⁹ Despite its peripherally restricted distribution, URB937 exhibited marked analgesic properties in rodent models of nociceptive and inflammatory pain^{8,10} and therefore represents the prototype of new analgesic agents devoid of central side effects. To investigate in detail the pharmacology of URB937, multigram amounts of compound are required.

The previously described synthesis of URB937^{8,10} starting from the commercially available 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzalde-hyde 3 is depicted in Scheme 1.





(a) BnCl (1.1 equiv), Cs_2CO_3 (1.1 equiv), DMF, 23 °C, 3 h; (b) *m*-CPBA (3.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 23 °C, 4 h; (c) 1 M NaOH, MeOH, 23 °C, 18 h; (d) 3-carbamoylphenylboronic acid (1.2 equiv), 5% Pd(PPh_3)_4, Na_2CO_3 (5 equiv), toluene/H₂O, reflux, 18 h; (e) *c*-hexNCO (1.2 equiv), Et₃N (0.15 equiv), EtOH/MeCN, reflux, 4 h; (f) H₂ (4 atm.) 10% Pd/C, EtOAc/EtOH, 50 °C, 4 h.

This versatile six-step procedure allowed a quick exploration of the structure-activity relationships of URB937 close analogues. In fact, different carbamate residues and distal phenyl rings can be introduced late in the synthesis, thus making possible a time-efficient preparation of an array of differently substituted derivatives for the investigation of the impact of structural modifications on the inhibition of FAAH

Received: October 24, 2012 Published: February 5, 2013

Organic Process Research & Development

activity. However, this route suffers from suboptimal yield in several steps, and required chromatographic purifications in order to isolate the intermediates and the final compound in pure form. When this synthetic procedure was scaled up to prepare 1 g of URB937, a 5% overall yield was obtained, thus making this synthesis unsuitable for the preparation of large quantities of the compound.

Herein, we report the results of our studies which led to a more efficient, higher-yielding, and robust route for the preparation of URB937 in up to 100 g amount.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our retrosynthetic plan (Scheme 2) envisaged the formation of the biphenyl system by a Suzuki coupling reaction on the aryl

Scheme 2. New Retrosynthetic Approach to URB937



halides 10a-b obtained by regioselective halogenation of the *O*-aryl carbamate 9, which in turn can be prepared by carbamoylation of the cheap and widely available 4-benzylox-yphenol 8.

2.1. Synthesis of Aryl Halides 10a and 10b. The synthesis of the carbamate 9 was easily accomplished by reaction of 8 with *c*-hexylisocyanate in the presence of Et_3N (Scheme 3). The use of a 1:1 mixture of absolute EtOH and

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Intermediates 9, 10a, and 10b



(a) c-hexNCO (1.2 equiv), Et₃N (0.6 equiv), EtOH/MeCN, r.t., 16 h; (b) NBS (1.4 equiv), DMF, 75 °C, 16 h; (c) I_2 (1.25 equiv), HIO₃ (2 equiv), H₂SO₄ (1 equiv), DMF, 60 °C, 6 h.

MeCN as the solvent resulted in compound 9 crystallizing out from the reaction mixture. A simple filtration allowed the isolation of 9 in 85% yield. This step was repeated several times employing up to 50 g of 8, and proved to be reproducible.

Different reaction conditions for the bromination and iodination of **9** were then studied: the results are summarized in Table 1.

Bromination reactions were conducted with Br_2 in AcOH, NaBr/Oxone, and N-bromosuccinimide (NBS), while iodination was performed with $I_2/HIO_3/H_2SO_4$ in AcOH or DMF. Br_2 in AcOH led to complete conversion of the starting material after 48 h at room temperature (entry 1). The use of NaBr/Oxone in MeOH has been reported as an efficient and

entry	reagents	equiv	solvent	Т (°С)	$^{t}_{(h)}$	$(\%)^a$
1	Br ₂ /NaOAc	1.4/1.4	AcOH	22	48	100
2	NaBr/Oxone	1.1/1.1	MeOH	22	96	46 ^b
3	NBS	1	DMF	22	96	83
4	NBS	1	AcOH	22	96	80
5	NBS	1.4	DMF	75	16	100 ^c
6	I ₂ /HIO ₃ / H ₂ SO ₄	1.25/2/1	AcOH	60	6	100
7	I ₂ /HIO ₃ / H ₂ SO ₄	1.25/2/1	DMF	60	6	100 ^d

 $^{^{}a}$ % conversion of compound 9 as measured by UPLC/MS analysis. b 9 was poorly soluble in the reaction mixture. c 88% isolated yield. d 64% isolated yield.

safe method for the bromination of electron-rich aromatic rings.¹¹ When this procedure was applied to our substrate, the reaction was exceedingly slow with only 46% conversion of compound 9 observed after 96 h (entry 2), most likely because of the limited solubility of 9 in MeOH.

The bromination reaction with NBS was run under various experimental conditions (entries 3-5). Incomplete conversion of the starting material after 96 h was observed when the reaction was conducted at room temperature with either DMF (entry 3) or AcOH (entry 4) as the solvent. Increasing the temperature to 75 °C (entry 5) led to complete conversion of **9** into **10a** after 18 h.

The iodo-derivative **10b** was prepared as an alternative reactant in the Suzuki coupling reaction (see Supporting Information). Iodination of compound **9** with the I_2/HIO_3 system¹² in the presence of H_2SO_4 in either AcOH (entry 6) or DMF (entry 7) provided compound **10b** in moderate yield (64% isolated yield, entry 7). The optimization of the synthesis of compound **10b** was not pursued, as in initial experiments the Suzuki coupling reaction of **10b** with 3-carbamoylphenyl boronic acid failed to produce the desired intermediate 7 (see section 2.2).

For our synthetic purposes, NBS was preferred to bromine due to its easier and safer handling. Bromination reactions of up to 53g of **9** were performed with 1.4 equivalents of NBS in DMF at 75 °C for 16 h. Bromination occurred at the *ortho* position to the benzyloxy group¹³ with >99:1 selectivity, as determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude material.¹⁴ Compound **10a** was easily isolated by precipitation with an aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution. After filtration and recrystallization from EtOH, **10a** was obtained in 88% yield as small white needles. This step was repeated several times and proved to have good reproducibility. The high yield of both the carbamoylation and the bromination reactions, coupled with their easy workup, allowed us to prepare more than 300 g of **10a** using common medicinal chemistry laboratory equipment and labware.

2.2. Synthesis of Intermediate 7. The Suzuki coupling reaction¹⁵ on the *O*-arylcarbamates 10a and 10b was expected to be a challenging synthetic step due to the base-sensitivity of the carbamate functionality of both the starting material (10a or 10b) and the product (7). In fact, under the basic conditions required for the reaction, compounds 10a, 10b, and 7 could hydrolyze to the corresponding phenols 5, 12, and 6, respectively (Table 2).

Table 2. Experimental Conditions and Outcome of Suzuki Coupling Reactions of Compounds 10a and 10b with 3-Carbamoyl Phenylboronic Acid 11^a



entry	aryl halide	catalyst	base	10 (%) ^b	5 (or 12) $(\%)^b$	6 (%) ^b	$7 (\%)^b$
1	10a	Α	KOAc	88	2	0	10
2	10a	Α	Na ₂ CO ₃	90	1	0	9
3	10a	Α	CsF	100	0	0	0
4 ^{<i>c</i>}	10a	Α	CsF	19	14	17	50
5^d	10a	Α	CsF	40	60	0	0
6^e	10a	Α	KOAc	85	2	1	12
7^c	10a	Α	KOAc	38	11	4	47
8	10a	В	CsOAc	31	5	7	57
9	10b	В	CsOAc	66	34	0	0
10 ^c	10b	Α	KOAc	72	28	0	0

^{*a*}General conditions: 1.5 equiv of 11, 2.5 equiv of base, 5% catalyst in anhydrous dioxane, 80 °C, 120 min; **A**: Pd(PPh₃)₄; **B**: PdCl₂dppf. ^{*b*}% of compound remaining (10a or 10b) or formed (5, 12, 6, and 7) as measured by UPLC/MS analysis after 120 min. ^{*c*}Addition of 50 equiv of H₂O. ^{*d*}Toluene/H₂O 1:1. ^{*e*}Addition of 10 equiv of H₂O.

This consideration prompted us to first investigate the stability of **10a** under different experimental conditions commonly used in Suzuki coupling reactions. Various combinations of solvents and bases were tried. As expected, a fast hydrolysis ($t_{1/2} < 20$ min as measured by UPLC/MS analysis) occurred upon heating compound **10a** at 80 °C in polar solvents like DMF or MeCN, even employing weak bases such as KOAc or CsF. On the contrary, under the same conditions the stability of compound **10a** was acceptable¹⁶ when the polar solvents were replaced with THF, dioxane, and toluene. With these data in hand, we started the optimization of the Suzuki coupling reaction: the results are summarized in Table 2.

The bromide **10a** was initially reacted with 3-carbamoyl phenylboronic acid **11** in dioxane at 80 °C using $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ as the catalyst and KOAc or Na_2CO_3 as the base. Under these reaction conditions, compound **10a** was stable, even on prolonged heating, but a maximum of 10% conversion was observed (entries 1 and 2).

In order to verify whether a different base could accelerate the reaction, compound **10a** was reacted in the presence of CsF, which was successfully used in Suzuki coupling reactions on labile systems, such as aryl trifluoroacetamides¹⁷ and nitroaryl esters.¹⁸ Under our experimental conditions, CsF was ineffective (entry 3): no formation of the desired product 7 was observed after 2 h. Interestingly, adding a small amount of water greatly improved the conversion of the starting material (entry 4), while a biphasic toluene/water system led exclusively to the formation of the hydrolysis product **5** (entry 5).

The observed increase in the amount of compound 7 when water was added to the reaction mixture led us to speculate that the low conversion of 10a (entries 1, 2, and 3) could be due, at least in part, to the poor solubility of 3-carbamoyl phenylboronic acid 11 in dioxane. Unfortunately, the higher reaction rate in the presence of water was accompanied, as expected, by an increase in the formation of the hydrolysis products 5 and 6,

as observed also with KOAc as the base (entries 6 and 7). Several attempts were made to adjust the amount of water in order to obtain a more favorable ratio between the conversion of the starting material and the amount of desired product. Difficulties encountered in obtaining reproducible results led us to abandon this approach.

We then turned our attention to the $PdCl_2dppf/CsOAc$ system, which has been recently employed with success in a Suzuki coupling reaction under anhydrous conditions on hydrolytically labile systems such as [3-(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)phenyl]acetate.¹⁹ When $PdCl_2dppf$ was used as the catalyst and CsOAc as the base (entry 8), the desired compound 7 was the major component (57%) of the reaction mixture, with the combined hydrolysis products **5** and **6** accounting for only 12%.

The aryl iodide **10b** did not lead to the desired compound 7 when reacted under the reaction conditions (entries 9 and 10) which gave the best results with the bromide **10a**. This is in sharp contrast with literature reports on Suzuki reactions on dialkoxyaryl iodides, where high yields were observed in several cases.²⁰

Encouraged by the good result obtained by reacting 10a with $PdCl_2dppf$ and CsOAc in refluxing dioxane (entry 8), we directed our efforts to the identification of a suitable 3-carbamoyl phenylboronic acid derivative with higher solubility in our experimental conditions. We focused our attention on boronic acid esters 13-15 (Scheme 4). The pinacol ester 13 is commercially available, while esters 14 and 15 were synthesized in situ by heating 11 with the corresponding diol (see Supporting Information).

Pinacol-derived boronic esters are commonly used in Suzuki coupling reactions due to their exceptional stability while maintaining good reactivity, and many are commercially available, such as **13**. Catechol-derived boronic esters have not received as much attention as their pinacol counterparts due to their easy hydrolysis. For this reason, they have been Scheme 4. Preparation of Boronic Acid Esters 14 and 15



used more as protective group of the boronic acid moiety²¹ than as reagents for C–C bond formation.²² Boronic esters derived from ethylene glycol have found more widespread use, particularly in the asymmetric synthesis of chirotropic biaryls, where the steric hindrance of the reagents can affect the enantiomeric excess of the final product.²³ The results obtained in the reaction of compound **10a** with boronic acid esters are reported in Table 3.

A first attempt of Suzuki coupling reaction was conducted with the pinacol ester 13, 5% PdCl₂dppf, and CsOAc as the base in dioxane (entry 1). The reaction proceeded slowly, and significant amounts of the hydrolysis products 5 and 6 were observed. We then tested the ethylene glycol ester 14 (entry 2). Under the previous experimental conditions, the reaction was faster, with only 13% of unreacted starting material 10a left after 120 min, and the combined hydrolysis products 5 and 6 accounting for only 8% of the reaction mixture.²⁴ The catecholderived boronic ester 15 showed comparable reactivity with respect to 14: almost complete consumption of 10a was observed after 120 min at 80 °C (entry 3), but the combined hydrolysis products 5 and 6 accounted for 12% of the reaction mixture. Replacement of CsOAc with CsF, KOAc, or K₂CO₃ coupled with the boronic acid ethylene glycol ester 14 resulted in low conversion (entries 4, 5, and 6). Compound 10a was instead quite reactive in the presence of K₃PO₄, even if hydrolysis to 6 still remained an issue, the latter compound accounting for 23% of the reaction mixture (entry 7). Interestingly, weak bases like K₂HPO₄ and KHCO₃ (entries 8 and 9) resulted in very low percentage of the hydrolysis products 5 and 6, but ca. 40% of the starting material was still present after 120 min. Addition of base and/or catalyst did not

Table 3. Experimental Conditions and Outcome of Suzuki Coupling Reactions of 10a or 10b with Boronic Acid Esters 13, 14, and 15^a

		O = O = O = O = O = O = O = O = O = O =	(RO) ₂ B Catalyst, Base, Dioxane, 80°C	$H_2 \rightarrow OH$ $H_2 \rightarrow H$ OH Y = Br	OH OBn	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		NH ₂	
		10b, X = I		12, X = I		0	,		
entry	ArX	$ArB(OR)_2$	catalyst	base	T (°C)	10^{b} (%)	5 or 12^{b} (%)	6 ^b (%)	7^{b} (%)
1	10a	13	В	CsOAc	80	25	18	19	38
2	10a	14	В	CsOAc	80	13	2	6	79
3	10a	15	В	CsOAc	80	7	6	6	81
4	10a	14	В	CsF	80	46	6	2	46
5	10a	14	В	KOAc	80	22	4	5	69
6	10a	14	В	K_2CO_3	80	52	3	3	42
7	10a	14	В	K ₃ PO ₄	80	6	3	23	68
8	10a	14	В	K ₂ HPO ₄	80	40	1	1	58
9	10a	14	В	KHCO3	80	42	1	2	55
10	10a	14	В	Et ₃ N	80	67	2	2	29
11	10a	14	В	DBU	80	0	70	30	0
12	10a	14	В	CsOAc	60	30	10	3	57
13	10a	14	В	CsOAc	100	3	7	49	41
14	10a	15	В	CsOAc	60	42	6	6	46
15	10a	14	Α	CsOAc	80	65	35	0	0
16	10a	14	С	CsOAc	80	9	4	25	62
17	10a	14	D	CsOAc	80	20	18	18	44
18	10a	14	D	K ₂ CO ₃	80	47	2	4	47
19 ^c	10a	14	В	CsOAc	80	30	5	7	58
20^d	10a	14	В	CsOAc	80	19	7	10	64
21 ^e	10a	14	В	CsOAc	80	21	18	15	46
22	10b	14	В	CsOAc	80	69	31	0	0

^{*a*}General conditions: 1.5 equiv of pre-formed boronic ester, 2.5 equiv of base, 5% catalyst in anhydrous dioxane at the reported temperature, 120 min; **A**: Pd(PPh₃)₄; **B**: PdCl₂dppf; **C**: 10% SPhos/5% Pd(OAc)₂; **D**: PEPPSI-Ipr. ^{*b*}% of compound remaining (**10a** or **10b**) or formed (**5**, **12**, **6**, and 7) as measured by UPLC/MS analysis after 120 min. ^{*c*}Toluene as solvent. ^{*d*}2Me-THF as solvent. ^{*e*}2.5% of PdCl₂dppf.

improve the conversion of the starting material. Soluble organic bases²⁵ were also tried. While Et_3N resulted in low conversion (entry 10), 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) led exclusively to the formation of hydrolysis products (entry 11).

The effect of the temperature was also investigated. When the reaction of **10a** with **14** was run using $PdCl_2dppf$ as the catalyst and CsOAc as the base, the best results were observed at 80 °C (entry 2). At 60 °C the reaction proceeded much more slowly (entry 12), while raising the temperature to 100 °C led to an increase in the reaction rate, along with an extensive hydrolysis of compound 7 to 6 (entry 13). A decrease in the conversion of compound **10a** with a decrease in the reaction temperature was also observed with the boronic ester **15** (entry 14).

To ascertain how the catalyst could affect the reaction outcome, we first reacted compound 10a with 14 in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₄ and CsOAc (entry 15); under these conditions, only the hydrolysis product 5 was observed. The Buchwald's catalyst Sphos/Pd(OAc)₂²⁶ (entry 16) showed a comparable reactivity to PdCl₂dppf (entry 2). Finally, we tested the PEPPSI-Ipr catalyst, which was reported to be a general and effective system for various palladium catalyzed reactions.²⁷ However, in our hands this catalyst did not perform as well as PdCl₂dppf (entry 2), and a high percentage of the hydrolysis compounds 5 and 6 was observed (entry 17). When the reaction was run with K₂CO₃ as the base (entry 18), the conversion of the starting material was comparable to that observed with PdCl₂dppf as the catalyst (entry 6) but lower than the one observed with the PdCl₂dppf/CsOAc system (entry 2).

The influence of the solvent was briefly investigated by reacting compound **10a** under the reaction conditions of entry 2. Replacement of dioxane with toluene resulted in a lower conversion (entry 19), probably due to the low solubility of CsOAc in this solvent. 2-Methyl-THF was tested as a greener alternative to dioxane; the conversion was higher than in toluene (entry 20), but lower than in dioxane (entry 2).

Finally, an attempt was made to reduce the amount of palladium catalyst to 2.5% (entry 21). Unfortunately, this resulted in a decrease in the conversion of 10a and the formation of much higher percentage of the hydrolysis products 5 and 6.

A further attempt was made to replace the aryl bromide 10a with the iodide 10b as the substrate in the Suzuki coupling reaction. When 10b was reacted with the boronic ester 14 in the presence of $PdCl_2dppf$ and CsOAc in dioxane (entry 22), the hydrolysis compound 12 was the only product observed after 120 min at 80 °C, in keeping with the result obtained in the reaction with 3-carbamoylphenyl boronic acid.

From the previous work, the best results in the Suzuki coupling reaction were obtained using Wang-Sun's conditions $(5\% \text{ PdCl}_2\text{dppf}, \text{CsOAc} \text{ in dioxane at 80 °C})$ with the boronic acid esters 14 and 15. As the boronic acid ester 15 leads to the formation of catechol, which was difficult to separate from the final product, the ester 14 was selected for further scale-up of the reaction. Starting from these experimental conditions, a final optimization effort was undertaken to identify the reaction time that simultaneously maximized the conversion of bromide 10a into compound 7 and reduced the formation of the hydrolysis products 5 and 6. We found that after 100 min compound 7 and the combined hydrolysis products 5 and 6 accounted for 80% and 7%, respectively, of the reaction mixture

(see Supporting Information, Table 1). Therefore, a reaction time of 100 min was selected for the reaction's scale-up.

In conclusion, the scale-up of the Suzuki coupling reaction of **10a** with the boronic acid esters **14** was conducted in dioxane at 80 °C, for 100 min, using 5% $PdCl_2dppf$ as the catalyst and CsOAc as the base. Compound 7 was isolated in 66% yield after aqueous workup, extraction with EtOAc, evaporation of the solvent to small volume, precipitation with MeCN, and recrystallization from the same solvent. This step was repeated several times starting from up to 33 g of **10a**, and showed good reproducibility.

2.3. Debenzylation of 7 to 2 (URB937). The final step of the synthesis consisted of the removal of the O-benzyl group from intermediate 7. This reaction was previously performed on a 2 g scale by catalytic hydrogenation with H_2 (4 atm), 10% Pd/C in a mixture of EtOAc and MeOH as solvent. As the high pressure apparatus required for scaling up this reaction was not available in our laboratories, we decided to run a transfer hydrogenation reaction.

The different solubility of the starting material 7 and of 2 in the solvents commonly used in hydrogenation reactions, prompted us to carefully verify the compounds' solubility in order to avoid the formation of precipitate during the reaction. Alcohols like MeOH, EtOH, or *i*PrOH solubilize 2 well, while the starting material 7 is sparingly soluble in these solvents. Ethereal solvents like THF or dioxane solubilize both 7 and 2. Dioxane was selected for its higher boiling point that allows for a safer and faster reaction.

Many common reducing agents²⁸ were tested and the results are summarized in Table 4. Cyclohexene²⁹ gave a fast and





entry	reducing agent	solvent	equiv	T (°C)	$t \pmod{(\min)}$	conversion ^a (%)
1	cyclohexene	dioxane	10	80	180	/
2	cyclohexene ^b	dioxane	_	80	60	100
3	cyclohexene ^b	THF	-	65	150	100
4	γ -terpinene	dioxane	10	80	60	100
5	lpha-phellandrene	dioxane	10	80	60	23
6	PMHS	dioxane	10	80	60	100
7	HCO_2H/Et_N^c	_	-	80	300	74

^a% conversion of compound 7 as measured by UPLC/MS analysis. ^bCyclohexene/solvent 1:3 (v/v). ^cUsed as solvent.

efficient conversion of the starting material only when used as cosolvent (Table 4, entries 1–3). The more reactive γ -terpinene allowed reducing the amount of reducing agent to 10 equiv (entry 4). Surprisingly, its conjugated diene isomer, α -phellandrene, performed poorly, with only 23% conversion of the starting material observed under the same reaction conditions (entry 5). Transfer hydrogenation reaction with poly(methylhydroxysiloxane) (PMHS)³⁰ in dioxane ran to

completion in 60 min (entry 6), but the removal of excess polymeric reagent made the work-up difficult. The use of HCOOH as reducing agent in Et_3N as the solvent³¹ led to incomplete conversion of the starting material even after 300 min (entry 7). On the basis of these results, cyclohexene was selected due to its low boiling point, that allows easy removal, and low cost. This reaction was repeated several times starting from up to 32 g of 7.

After debenzylation, compound 2 was obtained as white foam after filtration of the catalyst and removal of the solvents. Treatment with MeCN led to the formation of a white powder consisting of a 1:1 mixture of compound 2 and MeCN, as determined by NMR analysis. Several prolonged drying procedures failed to remove MeCN, thus suggesting that a solvate was likely formed. According to the ICH Q3C guidelines,³² MeCN is a class 2 solvent, and therefore its content in pharmaceutical products should be limited because of inherent toxicity. To remove MeCN, the solid was dissolved in absolute EtOH and then precipitated with H₂O as a freeflowing white powder in 91% yield. The compound showed >99% purity by UPLC/MS analysis, >98% purity by quantitative NMR (1.5% EtOH was the only impurity observed in the spectrum). The high percentage of the metal catalyst in the Suzuki coupling reaction raised the concern for the palladium content in the final product. Therefore, compound 2 was subjected to inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis: gratifyingly, the palladium content³³ was found to be ≤ 0.1 ppm, well below the 10 ppm limit set for drug substances.³⁴

In conclusion, a total of 100 g of URB937 was prepared in 45% overall yield via a four-step synthesis. The optimized synthesis is summarized in Scheme 5.



(a) *c*-hexNCO (1.2 equiv), Et_3N (0.6 equiv), EtOH/MeCN, 22 °C, 16 h; (b) NBS (1.4 equiv), DMF, 75 °C, 16 h; (c) **15** (1.5 equiv), 5% PdCl₂dppf, CsOAc (2.5 equiv), dioxane, 80 °C, 100 min; (d) 10% Pd/ C, cyclohexene/dioxane 3:10, 80 °C, 120 min.

3. CONCLUSIONS

We developed a new synthetic route to the *O*-arylcarbamate URB937 that allowed the preparation of this compound on a multigram scale. The key step of the synthesis is the construction of the biphenyl core of URB937 by a Suzuki coupling reaction. We optimized the reaction conditions by investigating the influence of solvent, base, catalyst, aryl boronic species, and reaction time. Although a relatively high catalyst loading was required for optimal yield, the palladium content in the final product was in the sub-ppm range. This four-step procedure proved to be robust and reproducible, required no chromatographic purifications, and provided URB937 in 45% overall yield.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. Anhydrous dioxane was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. For simplicity, solvents and reagents were indicated as follows: acetic acid (AcOH), acetonitrile (MeCN), Celite (diatomaceous earth), cesium acetate (CsOAc), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), ethanol (EtOH), ethylacetate (EtOAc), N-bromosuccinimmide (NBS), nitrogen (N₂), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), palladium on carbon (Pd/C), triethylamine (Et₃N), and water (H₂O). Melting points are uncorrected. NMR experiments were run on a Bruker Avance III 400 system (400.13 MHz for ¹H, and 100.62 MHz for ¹³C), equipped with a BBI probe and Z-gradients. Spectra were acquired at 300 K, using deuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO- d_6) or deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) as solvents. Chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C spectra were recorded in parts per million using the residual nondeuterated solvent as the internal standard (for CDCl₃: 7.26 ppm, ¹H; 77.16 ppm, ¹³C; for DMSO-*d*₆: 2.50 ppm, ¹H; 39.52 ppm, ¹³C). All compounds except 15 were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ¹H-¹H-MQF-COSY, and ¹H-¹³C-HSQC. Structure of compounds 10a and 10b was determined by ¹H-NOESY experiments. UPLC/MS analyses were run on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC/MS system consisting of a SQD (single quadropole detector) Mass Spectrometer (MS) equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface and a photodiode array detector. PDA range was 210-400 nm. Chromatographic analyses were performed on an ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 C_{18} column (50 × 2.1 mmID, particle size 1.8 μ m) with a VanGuard HSS T3 C₁₈ precolumn (5 × 2.1 mmID, particle size 1.8 μ m). The mobile phase was: (A) 10 mM NH₄OAc in H₂O, pH 5, and (B) 10 mM NH₄OAc in MeCN/ H₂O (95:5) pH 5, gradient 5% to 95% B over 3 min, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, temperature 40 °C. Accurate mass measurement was performed on a Synapt G2 Quadrupole-Tof Instrument (Waters, USA), equipped with an ESI ion source; compounds were diluted to 10 μ M in H₂O/MeCN and analyzed. Leucine Enkephalin (2 ng/mL) was used as lock mass reference compound for spectra calibration.

(4-Benzyloxyphenyl)-N-cyclohexylcarbamate (9). To a suspension of 4-benzyloxyphenol 8 (50 g, 0.250 mol, 1 equiv) in absolute EtOH (220 mL) in a three-necked 1 L roundbottomed flask, equipped with a 50 mm magnetic stirrer bar, a thermometer, and a 100 mL dropping funnel, was added MeCN (220 mL) under stirring to give a clear brown solution. The addition of MeCN was endothermic and the temperature dropped to +6 °C. Et₃N (20.77 mL, 0.150 mol, 0.6 equiv) was then added in one portion with no observable changes. To this mixture, cyclohexylisocyanate (38.3 mL, 0.300 mol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwise under stirring over a period of 30 min. The reaction mixture was slowly allowed to reach +22 °C. After 15 min, a precipitate began to form and its quantity increased rapidly. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. Absolute EtOH (120 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to +4 °C with an ice-water bath for 1.5 h, then filtered on a Buchner funnel and washed with cold absolute EtOH (130 mL). The filter cake was dried in vacuo at 45 °C until stable weight. Light pink solid; 69 g, 85% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.29 (m, 5H), 6.99 (m, 2H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.47 (m, 5H), 1.40–1.00 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 155.7, 154.3, 145.2, 137.6, 128.9, 128.3, 128.1, 123.1, 115.6, 70.0, 50.2, 33.0, 25.6, 25.0. UPLC/MS analysis: Rt 3.01 min. MS (ESI): 326 (M+H)⁺; 324 (M-H)⁻. HRMS C₂₀H₂₃NO₃ [M+H]⁺: calculated 326.1756, measured 326.1763; Δppm 2.1. Mp: 144–146 °C.

(4-Benzyloxy-3-bromophenyl)-N-cyclohexylcarbamate (10a). To a solution of (4-benzyloxyphenyl) Ncyclohexylcarbamate 9 (53 g, 0.163 mol, 1 equiv) in DMF (580 mL) in a 2 L three-necked round-bottomed flask, NBS (40.64 g, 0.228 mol, 1.4 equiv) was rapidly added under vigorous stirring and the resulting clear orange solution was heated at 75 °C for 16 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to +22 °C, transferred in 3 L bottle and cooled to +4 °C with an ice-water bath. Under vigorous stirring, an aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate (0.3 M, 200 mL) was added over a period of 75 min. A precipitate formed and the mixture was stirred for additional 2 h. The yellow solid was filtered through a Buchner funnel and washed with H₂O (500 mL). The yellow cake was dried in vacuo at 45 °C until stable weight and then transferred in a 2 L one-neck round-bottomed flask and suspended in absolute EtOH (600 mL). The suspension was heated at reflux until a clear yellow solution was obtained. The mixture was maintained at the same temperature for additional 10 min and then allowed to reach +22 °C in about 1 h. A white solid rapidly crystallized out. The flask was cooled at +5 °C for 16 h; the solid was filtered through a Buchner funnel and dried in vacuo at 45 °C until stable weight. Small white needles; 57.6 g, 88% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.29 (m, 4H), 7.18 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 2.0-1.46 (m, 5H), 1.41-1.00 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 153.9, 152.2, 145.3, 137.1, 128.9, 128.4, 127.8, 126.9, 122.4, 114.6, 111.1, 70.9, 50.3, 32.9, 25.6, 25.0. UPLC/MS analysis: Rt 3.20 min. MS (ESI): 404 $(M+H)^+$, 406 $(M+H)^+$; 402 $(M-H)^-$, 404 $(M-H)^-$. HRMS: $C_{20}H_{22}NO_3Br [M+H]^+$: calculated 404.0861, measured 404.0862; Δppm 0.2. Mp: 136–138 °C.

[4-Benzyloxy-3-(3-carbamoylphenyl)phenyl]-N-cyclohexylcarbamate (7). To a solution of 3-carbamoylbenzeneboronic acid 11 (32.7 g, 0.198 mol, 1.5 equiv) in anhydrous dioxane (700 mL) in a three-necked 2 L round-bottomed flask, equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a reflux condenser, ethylene glycol (14.9 mL, 0.264 mol, 2 equiv) was added in one portion under stirring and under a static N₂ atmosphere, and the funnel washed with anhydrous dioxane (100 mL). The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C to give a slightly turbid solution. The conversion of 11 to 14 was monitored by ¹H NMR analysis. (4-Benzyloxy-3-bromophenyl)-N-cyclohexylcarbamate 10a (53.4 g, 0.132 mol, 1 equiv) was then added portionwise, washing the funnel with anhydrous dioxane (200 mL), followed by the addition of CsOAc (63.5 g, 0.330 mol, 2.5 equiv) in one portion and, after 5 min, PdCl₂diphenylphosphinoferrocene (4.8 g, 6.61 mmol, 0.05 equiv). The mixture turned rapidly from red to a dark brown color. Heating was continued for 100 min at 80 $^\circ$ C, then the mixture was allowed to cool to +22 °C and EtOAc (800 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was transferred through a cannula into a mixture of a 3% aqueous solution of citric acid (2

L) and EtOAc (500 mL), in a 5 L bottle, kept under vigorous stirring. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h, the content was transferred into a 3 L separatory funnel, and the two phases separated. The organic phase was concentrated to yield a brown oil which upon treatment with MeCN (700 mL) led to the formation of a precipitate. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and then filtered through a Buchner funnel and washed with MeCN (100 mL). In a 2 L one-neck round-bottomed flask, the crude was suspended in MeCN (1.6 L) and the mixture was heated at reflux to obtain a clear solution, which was maintained at the same temperature for 15 min. The solution was then allowed to cool to room temperature in about 60 min and the compound rapidly crystallized out. The solid was filtered through a Buchner funnel and dried in vacuo at 45 °C until stable weight. Light grey solid; 32.3 g, 55% yield. Concentration of the mother liquor (150 mL) allowed the production of a second crop of product. Total amount: 38.7 g, 66% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.05 (m, 1H), 8.01 (bs, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (m, 2H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 3.29 (m, 1H), 1.46-2.0 (m, 5H), 1.41–1.00 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 168.3, 154.2, 152.6, 145.3, 137.7, 137.5, 134.8, 132.5, 130.5, 128.8, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 126.8, 124.2, 122.4, 114.3, 70.6, 50.2, 33.0, 25.6, 25.0. UPLC/MS analysis: Rt 2.76 min. MS (ESI): 445 (M $(+H)^+$. HRMS: $C_{27}H_{28}N_2O_4$ [M+H]⁺: calculated 445.2127, measured 445.2136; Δppm 2.0. Mp: 187-189 °C.

[3-(3-Carbamoylphenyl)-4-hydroxyphenyl]-N-cyclohexylcarbamate (2, URB937). To a suspension of [4benzyloxy-3-(3-carbamoylphenyl)phenyl] N-cyclohexylcarbamate 7 (32.3 g, 0.073 mol, 1.0 equiv) in dioxane (1L) in a 2 L three-necked round-bottomed flask, equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar, cyclohexene (300 mL) was added under a static N₂ atmosphere. The suspension was heated at 50 °C for 15 min in order to ensure complete dissolution. The mixture was allowed to cool to +22 °C and then 10% wet Pd/C (10g) was carefully added and the reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 120 min. Activated charcoal (7 g) was added and the mixture was allowed to cool to +22 °C. The mixture was filtered through a small pad of Celite and washed with dioxane (200 mL). The clear, colorless solution was concentrated to dryness to afford a white foam, which was treated with MeCN (200 mL) in one portion and under stirring leading to the formation of a white solid. The mixture was stored at +5 °C for 16 h, and then filtered on a Buchner funnel. The white solid was washed with cold MeCN (100 mL) and then dried under vacuum at 45 °C until stable weight. The solid was suspended in absolute EtOH (450 mL) in a 2 L round-bottomed flask, and the suspension heated at 80 °C until a clear colorless solution was obtained (10 min). After cooling to +22 $^{\circ}$ C, H₂O (1.2 L) was added dropwise over 30 min. A white precipitate rapidly formed. The stirring was maintained for 60 min, then the solid was filtered through a Buchner funnel, using Whatman grade 6 filtering paper, washed with H₂O (200 mL), and dried in vacuo at 30 °C until stable weight. White powder; 23.44 g, 91% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.02 (m, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (bs, 1H), 7.04 (m, 1H), 6.92 (m, 2H), 3.32 (m, 1H), 1.48–2.0 (m, 5H), 1.00–1.41 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 168.5, 154.5, 151.8, 144.1, 138.3, 134.7, 132.4, 128.5, 128.3, 127.7, 126.4, 123.8, 122.4, 116.7, 50.2, 33.1, 25.6, 25.1. UPLC/MS analysis: Rt 2.14 min.

MS (ESI): 355 $(M+H)^+$; 353 $(M-H)^-$. HRMS: $C_{20}H_{22}N_2O_4$ $[M+H]^+$: calculated 355.1658, measured 355.1669; Δ ppm 3.1. Mp: 193–195 °C.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Procedures for the preparation of compounds **10b**, **14**, and **15**, copies of NMR spectra of compounds **2**, **7**, **9**, **10a**-**b**, **14**, and **15**, and reaction time course of the Suzuki coupling reaction of compound **14** with **10a**. This material is available free of charge via Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: claudio.fiorelli@iit.it; tiziano.bandiera@iit.it.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Andrea Armirotti for high resolution mass experiments, Luca Goldoni for NMR support, Sine Mandrup Bertozzi for analytical support, and REDOX S.n.c., Monza (Italy) for ICP-MS analysis.

REFERENCES

(1) Cravatt, B. F.; Giang, D. K.; Mayfield, S. P.; Boger, D. L.; Lerner, R. A.; Gilula, N. B. *Nature* **1996**, 384, 83.

(2) Ahn, K.; McKinney, M. K.; Cravatt, B. F. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1687.

(3) Di Marzo, V. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2008, 7, 438.

(4) (a) Seierstad, M.; Breitenbucher, J. G. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 7327. (b) Otrubova, K.; Ezzili, D. L.; Boger, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 4674.

(5) (a) Tarzia, G.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Piersanti, G.; Mor, M.; Rivara, S.; Plazzi, P. V.; Park, C.; Kathuria, S.; Piomelli, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *46*, 2352. (b) Mor, M.; Rivara, S.; Lodola, A.; Plazzi, P. V.; Tarzia, G.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Piersanti, G.; Kathuria, S.; Piomelli, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 4998. (c) Mor, M.; Lodola, A.; Rivara, S.; Vacondio, F.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Sanchini, S.; Piersanti, G.; Clapper, J. R.; King, A. R.; Tarzia, G.; Piomelli, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 3487.

(6) (a) Ahn, K.; Smith, S. E.; Liimatta, M. B.; Beidler, D.; Sadagopan, N.; Dudley, D. T.; Young, T.; Wren, P.; Zhang, Y.; Swaney, S.; Becelaere, K. V.; Blankman, J. L.; Nomura, D. K.; Bhattachar, S. N.; Stiff, C.; Nomanbhoy, T. K.; Weerapana, E.; Johnson, D. S.; Cravatt, B. F. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2011**, 338, 114. (b) Johnson, D. S.; Stiff, C.; Lazerwith, S. E.; Kesten, S. R.; Fay, L. K.; Morris, M.; Beidler, D.; Liimatta, M. B.; Smith, S. E.; Dudley, D. T.; Sadagopan, N.; Bhattachar, S. N.; Kesten, S. J.; Nomanbhoy, T. K.; Cravatt, B. F.; Ahn, K. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. **2011**, *2*, 91.

(7) (a) Tarzia, G.; La Rana, G.; Calignano, A.; Giustino, A.; Tattoli, M.; Palmery, M.; Cuomo, V.; Piomelli, D. *Nat. Med.* **2003**, *9*, 76. (b) Gobbi, G.; Bambico, F. R.; Mangieri, R.; Bortolato, M.; Campolongo, P.; Solinas, M.; Cassano, T.; Morgese, M. G.; Debonnel, G.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Tarzia, G.; Mor M. Trezza, V.; Goldberg, S. R.; Cuomo, V.; Piomelli, D. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 18620. (c) Bortolato, M.; Mangieri, R. A.; Fu, J.; Kim, J. H.; Arguello, O.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Mor, M.; Tarzia, G.; Piomelli, D. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2007**, *62*, 1103. (d) Russo, R.; LoVerme, J.; La Rana, G.; Compton, T. R.; Parrott, J.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Mor, M.; Tarzia, G.; Calignano, A.; Piomelli, D. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2007**, *322*, 236.

(8) Clapper, J. R.; Moreno-Sanz, G.; Russo, R.; Guijarro, A.; Vacondio, F.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Sanchini, S.; Sciolino, N. R.; Spradley, J. M.; Hohmann, A. G.; Calignano, A.; Mor, M.; Tarzia, G.; Piomelli, D. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2010**, *13*, 1265.

(9) Moreno-Sanz, G.; Barrera, B.; Guijarro, A.; d'Elia, I.; Otero, J. A.; Alvarez, A. I.; Bandiera, T.; Merino, G.; Piomelli, D. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2011**, *64*, 359.

(10) Sasso, O.; Bertorelli, R.; Bandiera, T.; Scarpelli, R.; Colombano, G.; Armirotti, A.; Moreno-Sanz, G.; Reggiani, A.; Piomelli, D. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2012**, *65*, 553.

(11) Narender, N.; Srinivasu, P.; Ramakrishna Prasad, M.; Kulkarni, S. J.; Raghavan, K. V. N. *Synth. Commun.* **2002**, *32*, 2313.

(12) Hoger, S. Liebigs Ann./Recueil 1997, 273.

(13) The regiochemistry of the reaction is in accordance with a literature report on the bromination of (4-methoxyphenyl) acetate leading to (2-bromo-4-methoxy-phenyl) acetate as the major product: see Roughley, S., Walls, S., Hart, T., Parsons, R., Brough, P., Graham, C., Macias, A. U.S. Patent Appl. 2012/028953, 2012. Direct bromination of **8** is reported to give 4-benzyloxy-2-bromophenol: see Epple, R., Cow, C., Azimiora, M., Russo, R., Reid, S. W. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2007/056496, 2007.

(14) Regioisomeric ratio was determined by comparison of the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude material with that of an authentic sample of (4-benzyloxy-2-bromophenyl)-N-cyclohexylcarbamate (see Supporting Information). Integration of the signals of the benzylic protons in the crude material gave a >99:1 ratio between compound **10a** and its regioisomer.

(15) General reviews on the Suzuki reaction: Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 95, 2457. Kotha, S.; Lahiri, K.; Kashinath, D. Tetrahedron **2002**, 58, 9633. Suzuki, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 2.

(16) As an example, the phenol **5** accounted for only 8% of the reaction mixture when **10a** (1 equiv) and **11** (1.5 equiv) were heated at 80 °C in dioxane in the presence of KOAc (2.5 equiv) for 3 h. Under the same experimental conditions, but using DMF as the solvent, the phenol **5** accounted for 94% of the reaction mixture after only 30 min.

(17) Wright, S. W.; Hageman, D. L.; McClure, L. D. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6095.

(18) (a) Edwards, J. P.; Zhi, L.; Pooley, C. L. F.; Tegley, C. M.; West, S. J.; Wang, M.; Gottardis, M. M.; Pathirana, C.; Schrader, W. T.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. **1998**, 41, 2779. (b) Sheng, W. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 5575.

(19) Wang, B.; Sun, H.; Sun, Z. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 3688.

(20) (a) Yoo, Y.; Choi, J.; Song, J.; Oh, N.; Zin, W.; Park, S.; Chang, T.; Lee, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6294. (b) Kawaguchi, K.; Nakano, K.; Nozaki, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1199. (c) Kawaguchi, K.; Nakano, K.; Nozaki, K. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5119.

(21) Kaupp, G.; Naimi-Jamal, M. R.; Stepanenko, V. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2003, 9, 4156. described its use as a boronic acid protective group during acylation reactions. Yang, W.; Gao, X.; Springsteen, G.; Wang, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 6339 employed a similar approach on solid state with a catechol pendant resin.

(22) Hwang, K. L.; Na, Y.; Lee, J.; Do, Y.; Chang, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *38*, 6166. reported the use of the catechol boronic ester of phenylboronic acid in a Pd-catalyzed arylation with tetraphenylphosphonic salts as the coupling partner. Yu, D.; Yu, M.; Guan, B.; Li, B.; Zheng, Y.; Wu, Z.; Shi, Z. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *15*, 3374 d escribed a Nicatalyzed arylation of naphthyl nitriles.

(23) Cammidge, A. N.; Crépy, K. V. L Chem. Commun. 2000, 1723. Cammidge, A. N.; Crépy, K. V. L. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 4377.

(24) Under the same experimental conditions, increasing the amount of catalyst to 10% resulted in a faster and slightly cleaner reaction, with 84% of compound 7 formed after 60 min, 10% of starting material **10a** remaining unreacted, and the combined hydrolysis products **5** and **6** accounting for 6% of the reaction mixture. The high percentage of catalyst, however, raised the concern for possible contamination of the final product with palladium and/or phosphine ligand. Therefore, the optimization of the reaction conditions was performed using 5% catalyst.

(25) Chanthavong, F. N. E.; Leadbeater, N. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 1909.

Organic Process Research & Development

(26) Barder, T. E.; Walker, S. D.; Martinelli, J. R.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4685. Walker, S. D.; Barder, T. E.; Martinelli, J. R.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1871.

(27) O'Brien, C. J.; Kantchev, E. A. B.; Valente, C.; Hadei, N.; Chass, G. A.; Lough, A.; Hopkinson, A. C.; Organ, M. G. Chem.-Eur. J.

2006, 12, 4743.

(28) Brieger, G.; Nestrick, T. J. Chem. Rev. 1974, 5, 567.

(29) Andrews, I. P.; Atkins, R. J.; Breen, G. F.; Carey, J. S.; Forth, M. A.; Morgan, D. O.; Shamji, A.; Share, A. C.; Smith, S. A. C.; Walsgrove, T. C.; Wells, A. S. Org. Proc. Res. Dev. 2003, 7, 655.

(30) Lipowitz, J.; Bowman, S. A. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 163. Chandrasekhar, S.; Basu, D.; Reddy, C. R. Synthesis 2007, 1509.

(31) Prashad, M.; Liu, Y.; Repič, O. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1769.

(32) ICH Q3C guidelines can be found at the following address: http://www.ich.org/products/guidelines/quality/quality-single/article/impurities-guideline-for-residual-solvents.html.

(33) Garrett, C. E.; Prasad, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 889.

(34) European Medicines Agency, Guideline on the Specification Limits for Residues of Metals Catalysts or Metal Reagents, February 2008, http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/ Scientific guideline/2009/09/WC500003586.pdf.

■ NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

This article was published ASAP on February 27, 2013. Changes have been made to the footnotes of Schemes 1 and 5. The corrected version was reposted on March 1, 2013.