

Synthesis of N-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine: An Application of Lanthanide-Catalyzed Transamidation

Selçuk Çalimsiz and Mark A. Lipton*

Department of Chemistry and Cancer Center, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907-2084

lipton@purdue.edu

Received March 15, 2005



N-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (6) was synthesized from tert-butyl N-Boc-(2S,3S,4R)dimethylpyroglutamate (13). This synthesis involved selective deprotection of a Boc group from a lactam nitrogen in the presence of a tert-butyl ester, Fmoc protection of the lactam, and a lanthanidecatalyzed transamidation reaction of the Fmoc-protected lactam, using ammonia and dimethylaluminum chloride. The scope of Lewis acid-catalyzed transamidation of acylated lactams was explored through the variation of lanthanide, lactam, acyl group, amine, and aluminum reagent. The reactivity of various metal triflates was found to vary in the following qualitative order: Yb \sim $Sc > Er \sim Eu \sim Sm > Ce \sim Ag^{I} > Cu^{II} \sim Zn$. Intriguingly, catalysis was only observed when ammonia was the nitrogen nucleophile; addition of other amidoaluminum complexes to acyl lactams was found to be insensitive to the addition of lanthanides.

Introduction

The nonproteinogenic amino acid (2S, 3R, 4S)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (DiMeGln) has been found to be a key component of the cyclic depsipeptide natural products callipeltin A^1 (1) and papuamides A (2) and B (3).² Callipeltin A (1) was isolated from a shallow water sponge of the genus Callipelta (order Lithistida) by Minale and co-workers and shown to have both antitumor and antiHIV activities.1 Likewise, the papuamides were isolated from the sponges Theonella mirabilis and Theonella swinhoe by Boyd and co-workers and shown to also possess both antitumor and antiHIV activities.² To date, syntheses of DiMeGln have been reported by Joullié,³ Hamada,⁴ and ourselves.⁵ In all three cases, however, DiMeGln was synthesized with acid-labile protecting





groups on N_{α} . In our case, an Fmoc-protected version of DiMeGln was desired for an Fmoc-based solid-phase synthesis of 1, 2, and related molecules. However, when the Boc-protected intermediate 4 was deprotected and reprotected with an Fmoc group under Schotten-Baumann conditions, it was found that the product 5 was a mixture of C-4 epimers, presumably as a result of acidcatalyzed enolization of the side chain amide (Scheme 1).⁶ Variation of the deprotection conditions failed to alleviate this problem, so an alternate solution was sought.

^{*} Address correspondence to this author. Phone: +765-494-0132. Fax: +765-494-0239.

⁽¹⁾ Zampella, A.; D'auria, M. V.; Paloma, L. G.; Casapullo, A.;
Minale, L.; Debitus, C.; Henin, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6202.
(2) Ford, P. W.; Gustafson, K. R.; McKee, T. C.; Shigematsu, N.;
Maurizi, L. K.; Pannell, L. K.; Williams, D. E.; de Silva, E. D.; Lassota,

P.; Allen, T. M.; Van Soest, R.; Andersen, R. J.; Boyd, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5899.

⁽³⁾ Liang, B.; Carroll, P. J.; Joullié, M. M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4157. (4) Okamoto, N.; Hara, O.; Makino, K.; Hamada, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 1353.

⁽⁵⁾ Acevedo, C. M.; Kogut, E. F.; Lipton, M. A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57. 6353.

SCHEME 1



Fmod

t-BuO₂C

SCHEME 2

SCHEME 3



6

NHFmod

 $\bar{C}O_2H$

Results and Discussion

Our revised strategy to obtain the Fmoc-protected DiMeGln residue **6** (Scheme 2) called for ammonolysis of an Fmoc-protected lactam **7** that would be synthesized from the previously synthesized intermediate **8** via selective Boc deprotection of the lactam nitrogen in the presence of the *tert*-butyl ester followed by Fmoc protection of the lactam nitrogen.

The model compound **9** (Scheme 3) was selected for its ready accessibility from commercially available (*S*)pyroglutamic acid.^{7,8} Extensive exploration revealed two methods for selective deprotection of the lactam (Scheme 3). In the first method, microwave irradiation of **9** on silica support for 1 min⁹ gave the desired product **10** in 35-75% yield. Alternatively, catalytic Yb(OTf)₃ in THF effectively deprotected **9** after 18 h,¹⁰ giving **10** in quantitative yield. Despite the quicker reaction time and easier workup of the microwave deprotection method, deprotection with Yb(OTf)₃ was adopted as the method of choice owing to variability in yields using microwave radiation.

The next step in the synthesis called for Fmoc protection of a lactam nitrogen. This was accomplished by deprotonation of the amide nitrogen of **10** with LHMDS at -78° C, followed by inverse addition of the deprotonated amide into 5 equiv of Fmoc-Cl (Scheme 4). This



3: R=H

FIGURE 2. Papuamides A (2) and B (3).



t-BuO₂

SCHEME 5



proceduregave the desired product, **11**, in 76% isolated yield after 14 h.

In the next step, **11** was subjected to the ammonolysis conditions that had previously worked successfully with its Boc-protected analogue 8.5 To our surprise, reaction of 11 with AlMe₃-NH₃¹¹ gave only 38% of the desired product 12 and after 2 h led to substantial deprotection of the Fmoc group. It was inferred from these results that the reagent was basic enough to deprotect an Fmoc carbamate. It was reasoned that, to avoid Fmoc deprotection, a faster or less basic ammonolysis reaction was needed. To this end, the use of Lewis acids as catalysts was investigated. In an early screening experiment, it was discovered that addition of catalytic Yb(OTf)₃ catalyzes ammonolysis of 11 by AlMe₃-NH₃ very efficiently (Scheme 5). After optimization studies it was determined that usage of 6-10 equiv of AlMe₃, addition of 40 mol % of lanthanide catalyst, and changing the solvent from CH_2Cl_2 to THF were needed to obtain high yields.

Under these conditions, ammonolysis of **11** was nearly instantaneous even at 25 °C. On the basis of these encouraging results, other Lewis acidic metal triflates— $Sc(OTf)_3$, $Er(OTf)_3$, $Eu(OTf)_3$, $Sm(OTf)_3$ Ce(OTf)_3, Ag-(OTf), Cu(OTf)_2, and Zn(OTf)_2—were also screened as potential catalysts of ammonolysis (Table 1). As expected,

(7) Kolasa, T.; Miller, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1711.

(8) August, R. A.; Khan, J. A.; Moody, C. M.; Young, D. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 507.

(9) Siro, J. G.; Martín, J.; García-Navío, J. L.; Remuiňan, M. J.; Vaquero, J. V. Synlett **1998**, 147.

(10) For applications of lanthanide-catalyzed acyl-activated amide/lactam deprotections, see: (a) Kotsuki, H.; Ohishi, T.; Araki, T.; Arimura, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 4869. (b) Evans, D. A.; Trotter, B. W.; Coleman, P. J.; Côté, B.; Dias, L. C.; Rajapakse, H. A.; Tyler, A. N. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 8671–8726. (c) Vanderwal, C. D.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, *126*, 14724–14725.

(11) Basha, A.; Lipton, M.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 18, 4171.

⁽⁶⁾ Acevedo, C. M. Ph.D., Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, May 2004.

TABLE 1. Lewis Acid Catalysis of Transamidation

NH ₃ +	AIMe ₃ 11, catalyst	► 12
$catalyst^a$	time, min	yield, %
_	120	38
Yb(OTf) ₃	2	87
$Sc(OTf)_3$	2	88
Er(OTf) ₃	5	78
$Eu(OTf)_3$	5	91
$Sm(OTf)_3$	5	91
$Ce(OTf)_3$	20	70
AgOTf	20	36
$\tilde{Cu}(OTf)_2$	30	24
$Zn(OTf)_2$	30	67
^a 40 mol % used.		

Sc(OTf)₃ was as reactive as Yb(OTf)₃ and both proved to be the most active Lewis acid catalysts tested. Although Er(OTf)₃, Eu(OTf)₃, and Sm(OTf)₃ gave slower reactions that went to completion in 5 min, reaction with Eu(OTf)₃ and Sm(OTf)₃ resulted in the production of fewer side products. The use of Ce(OTf)₃, AgOTf, Cu(OTf)₂, and $Zn(OTf)_2$ as catalysts resulted in slower reactions that were complete in 20-30 min with moderate yields (Table 1). In the best cases, the yield of ammonolysis product was improved and the time of reaction drastically reduced. It was also noted that the amount of Fmoc deprotection observed in these reactions was substantially less than that in the uncatalyzed version. Whether the decrease in Fmoc deprotection results from lessened basicity of the reagent or is simply a result of the much shorter reaction times we cannot determine.

It has also recently been shown that the use of Me_2 -AlCl/NH₃ affords greater reactivity than AlMe₃/NH₃ for the ammonolysis of sterically demanding esters.¹² All the metal triflates mentioned above were also screened with Me_2 AlCl instead of AlMe₃. Although the reactivity trend was almost the same, and yields were slightly better (see Table 2), reactions with Me_2 AlCl were slower their AlMe₃ counterparts.

With the methodology in hand, our efforts turned to the synthesis of the desired product 6, beginning with the conversion of the previously synthesized intermediate 13 to its *tert*-butyl ester 8 with use of *N*,*N*'-diisopropyl-O-tert-butylisourea.⁵ Selective deprotection of the lactam Boc group with catalytic $Yb(OTf)_3$ gave the lactam 14, which was then protected with an Fmoc group in high yield. Ring opening of the Fmoc-protected lactam 7 with use of ammonia in conjunction with Me₂AlCl and Eu- $(OTf)_3$ resulted in the formation of 15 in 77% isolated yield. The target compound 6 was obtained from 15 by treatment with TFA in methylene chloride in high yield. In contrast to our previous efforts, the ¹H NMR of 6 showed no evidence of epimerization. With the synthesis of 6 thus completed, it was decided to further investigate the scope of lanthanide-catalyzed transamidation by examining the reaction of N-Fmoc-caprolactam (16) in addition to 7 and 11 (Table 2).

Except in the case of 7, lanthanide-catalyzed ammonolysis of Fmoc-protected lactams (7, 11, and 16) gave higher yields and shorter reaction times compared to the

 TABLE 2.
 Lanthanide-Catalyzed Transamidation of Lactams

amine	lactam	Al reagent	$catalyst^a$	time, min	yield, %		
$\rm NH_3$	7	AlMe ₃	-	120	61		
NH_3	7	$AlMe_3$	Yb(OTf) ₃	10	32		
NH_3	7	Me ₂ AlCl	_	120	25		
NH_3	7	Me ₂ AlCl	Eu(OTf)3	30	77		
NH_3	11	$AlMe_3$	_	120	38		
NH_3	11	$AlMe_3$	Yb(OTf) ₃	2	87		
NH_3	11	Me ₂ AlCl	Eu(OTf)3	10	91		
NH_3	16	$AlMe_3$	_	60	40		
NH_3	16	Me ₂ AlCl	Eu(OTf)3	60	90		
NH_3	9	$AlMe_3$	_	10	85		
NH_3	9	$AlMe_3$	Yb(OTf) ₃	10	86		
$PhCH_2NH_2$	11	$AlMe_3$	_	5	80		
$PhCH_2NH_2$	11	$AlMe_3$	Yb(OTf) ₃	10	80		
$PhCH_2NH_2$	11	Me ₂ AlCl	Eu(OTf)3	40	87		
Et_2NH	11	$AlMe_3$	_	180	b		
Et_2NH	11	AlMe ₃	Yb(OTf) ₃	60	b		
t -BuNH $_2$	11	$AlMe_3$	_	360	с		
$t ext{-BuNH}_2$	11	$AlMe_3$	Yb(OTf) ₃	360	с		
a 40 mol %. b Fmoc deprotection. c Partial Fmoc deprotection.							

uncatalyzed reactions. In addition to the Fmoc-protected lactams, Boc-protected lactam **9** was also subjected to ammonolysis reaction conditions. Interestingly, even with the NH₃ no significant catalytic activity with the addition of metal triflates was observed. Amines other than NH_3 were also tried with **11**, but in no case was catalysis by the added lanthanide triflate observed.

Conclusion

In summary, N-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (**6**) was synthesized in 57% overall yield in five steps starting from *tert*-butyl N-Boc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylpyroglutamate (**13**). It was also shown that lanthanide triflates catalyze the ammonolysis of Fmoc-protected lactams in conjunction with AlMe₃ or Me₂AlCl. These conditions offer a way to ammonolyze even sterically hindered, Fmoc-protected lactams. With compound **6** in hand, total syntheses of the callipeltins and papuamides are actively under way.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for Boc Deprotection of Lactams with Catalytic Yb(OTf)₃. To a solution of Boc-protected lactam in THF (2 mL) was added Yb(OTf)₃ (45 mol %). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and solution filtered through a fine pore sintered glass funnel. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S,4R)-3,4-Dimethylpyroglutamate (14). The general procedure for Boc deprotection of lactam was followed with use of *tert*-butyl *N*-Boc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylpyroglutamate (8) (89 mg, 0.285 mmol) and Yb(OTf)₃ (79 mg, 0.114 mmol). Flash column chromatography with 50% EtOAc/ petroleum ether yielded 14 as a colorless, flocculent crystalline solid (57 mg, 95%): mp 61 °C; IR (NaCl, cm⁻¹) 3233 (br), 2975, 2934, 2871, 1735, 1709, 1458, 1393, 1219, 1158; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.78 (br s, 1H), 3.67 (d, 1H, 4.7 Hz), 2.64–2.53 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.16 (d, 3H, 6.74 Hz), 1.11 (d, 3H, 7.18 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 179.7, 170.9, 82.4, 61.4, 39.1, 37.8, 28, 14.6, 10.4 ppm; HRMS (CI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₉-NO₃ (M+) 214.1443, found 214.1446.

⁽¹²⁾ Shimizu, T.; Osako, K.; Nakata, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 2685.



General Procedure for the Fmoc Protection of Lactams. To a solution of the lactam in THF at -78 °C was added LHMDS (0.95 equiv, 1 M solution in THF) slowly. The resultant pale yellow mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 15 min, and slowly transferred by cannula to a solution of Fmoc-Cl (5 equiv) in THF at -78 °C. The reaction was allowed to stir at -78 °C for 2 h, after which it was allowed to rise to room temperature. After 14 h of stirring at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by addition of sat. NH₄Cl (2 mL) and H₂O (1 mL). The solution was extracted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography.

tert-Butyl N-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylpyroglutamate (7). The general procedure for Fmoc protection of lactam was followed with use of *tert*-butyl (2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylpyroglutamate (14) (27 mg, 0.13 mmol) in THF (2 mL). Flash column chromatography with 20% EtOAc/petroleum ether yielded 7 as a colorless oil (48 mg, 87%): IR (NaCl, cm⁻¹) 3066, 2977, 2935, 2978, 1798, 1761, 1722, 1478, 1451, 1387, 1369, 1303, 1156; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (d, 4H, 7.6 Hz), 7.41–7.24 (m, 4H), 4.55–4.3 (m, 3H), 4.14 (s, 1H), 2.85 (p, 1H, 7 Hz), 2.50 (p, 1H, 7 Hz), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.16 (d, 3H, 7 Hz), 1.10 (d, 3H, 7 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.9, 169.6, 151.9, 143.6 143.4, 141.3, 141.2, 127.8, 127.3, 125.5, 119.9, 82.6, 69, 64.9, 46.7, 40.7, 34.7, 27.9, 15.4, 9.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₆H₂₉NO₅ (Na+) 458.1943, found 458.1945.

tert-Butyl N°-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (15). To a solution of Me₂AlCl (2.52 mL of a 1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂, 2.52 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) at -8 °C was bubbled gaseous NH₃ for 4 min. The reaction was allowed to stir at -8°C for 15 min and allowed to warm to room temperature. Over 30 min CH₂Cl₂ was removed by using a flow of nitrogen gas to afford a colorless slurry. The residue was added to THF (7 mL) and allowed to stir at 25 °C for a minute. Lactam 7 (110 mg, 0.252 mmol) and Eu(OTf)₃ (60 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (1.1 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. After 30 min the reaction was quenched with 0.1 N HCl (25.2 mL, 2.52 mmol) and EtOAc (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. Organic fractions were combined, washed with brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄. After removal of solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography with 50% EtOAc/hexane followed by 80% EtOAc/hexane, yielding **15** as a colorless oil (88 mg, 77%): IR (NaCl, cm⁻¹) 3345 (br), 3203, 3066, 2975, 2936, 1716, 1662, 1516, 1452, 1427, 1369, 1345, 1156; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79–7.76 (m, 2H), 7.60 (d, 2H, 7 Hz), 7.45–7.27 (m, 4H), 6.83 (br, 1H), 5.64 (d, 1H, 8.8 Hz), 5.57 (br, 1H), 4.60–4.55 (m, 1H), 4.46–4.40 (m, 1H), 4.25–4.17 (m, 1H), 2.37 (dd, 1H, 6.5, 3.5 Hz), 1.82 (br, 1H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.16 (d, 3H, 7 Hz), 0.94 (d, 3H, 7 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.9, 170.7, 156.8, 143.7, 143.4, 141.4, 141.3, 127.8, 127.1, 125.0, 124.9, 120.0, 119.9, 82.9, 66.9, 57.6, 47.3, 41.6, 40.0, 27.9, 16.1, 12.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₆H₃₂N₂O₅ (Na+) 475.2209, found 475.2213.

N-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (6). A solution of *tert*-butyl *N*-Fmoc-(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (15) (88 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 30% TFA-CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was allowed to stir at room temperature for 90 min. Removal of solvent and TFA by evaporation under reduced pressure yielded N-Fmoc-(2S, 3S, 4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (6) as a fine, colorless powder (72 mg, 93%): mp >210 °C dec; IR (NaCl, cm⁻¹) 3323 (br), 3066, 2923, 2851, 1702, 1671, 1609, 1515, 1450, 1412, 1319, 1227, 1034; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_2D_6SO) δ 7.89 (d, 2H, 7.6 Hz), 7.75 (d, 2H, 6.5), 7.44-7.33 (4H, m), 6.82 (s, 1H), 4.25 (br s, 3H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 2.34 (t, 1H, 7 Hz), 1.97 (br s, 1H), 1.42 (s, 1H), 1.09 (d, 3H, 6.4 Hz), 0.87 (d, 3H, 5.9 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C_2D_6SO) δ 181, 174.5, 158.7, 145.5, 145.3, 142.7, 128.3, 126.5, 126.3, 121.2, 121.1, 68.2, 57.4, 48.3, 44.0, 40.5, 16.9, 10.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_5$ (Na+) 419.1583, found 419.1583.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (AI-50888) for financial support of this work.

Supporting Information Available: Complete experimental descriptions of transformations not included in the Experimental Section and characterization for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO050518R