Anomalous reactivity of radical cations produced by photosensitized oxidation of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol derivatives: role of the sensitizer[†]

Tiziana Del Giacco,* Annalisa Faltoni and Fausto Elisei

Received 30th July 2007, Accepted 28th September 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 16th October 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b711541e

Steady-state and nanosecond laser flash photolysis measurements of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (1a), 4-methoxy- α -methylbenzyl alcohol (1b), 4,4'-dimethoxydiphenylmethanol (1c) and 4-methoxy- α , α' -dimethylbenzyl alcohol (1d) were carried out in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN solutions, in the presence of 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA) and *N*-methylquinolinium tetrafluoroborate (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻) as sensitizers. In particular, steady-state irradiation with DCA produced carbonyl compounds and, with NMQ⁺BF₄⁻, carbonyl compounds, ethers (substrates 1a–c) and styrene (substrate 1d) while time-resolved investigations gave evidence of charged species produced upon irradiation. The effect of solvent polarity on the reactivity was investigated; in the case of DCA, the reactivity increased with the solvent polarity, while the opposite was obtained when NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ was used. Quantum mechanical calculations at semiempirical (INDO/1-CI) and DFT (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) levels were used to support transient assignments and to obtain the charge and spin density distributions, respectively. The different photooxidation mechanisms operative with the neutral and charged sensitizer were rationalized in terms of the reactivity of free and complexed radical cations, respectively.

Introduction

Studies on the electron transfer oxidation of benzyl alcohol derivatives [X-C₆H₄CH(OH)R] via radical cation intermediate have been extensively reported. This reaction has been investigated upon UV irradiation in homogeneous and heterogeneous media¹⁻² and by electrochemical³ and thermal⁴ conditions, in organic and aqueous solvents. In all cases, oxidation produces exclusively benzaldehydes (with R = H) or the corresponding ketone (for alcohols with $R = CH_3$ and 4-YC₆H₄). The reaction mechanism proceeds through the deprotonation of the radical cation, formed in the first electron transfer step, to give an α -hydroxy X-benzyl radical. This intermediate is then converted into the carbonyl product through two different pathways, depending on the experimental conditions. In aerated solutions, the radical reacts quickly with oxygen to give a peroxyl radical that evolves to the final product, or it is oxidized in the reaction medium, producing the corresponding cation, which finally leads to the product (Scheme 1, path a and b, respectively). Only the latter path is operative in deaerated conditions. The mechanism is the same regardless of the way in which the radical cation is produced, however in aqueous solutions, it is only operative at pH \leq 4.5,6 The mechanism has been supported by intermediate characterization and kinetics studies performed by laser flash photolysis, pulse radiolysis, and steady-state experiments.

Different results were obtained when the radical cations of benzyl alcohols were generated by photoinduced electron transfer sensitized by 2,4,6-triphenylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate (TPP⁺BF₄⁻). A first report showed the different kinds of products observed in the reaction of 4-X-benzyl alcohols both in CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN, depending on the nature of the X group.⁷ As expected with X = H and Cl, the product obtained was the corresponding benzaldehyde, while with X = OMe (1a), bis(4-methoxybenzyl)ether, 4,4'-dimethoxydiphenylmethane and [4-methoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)phenyl](4-methoxyphenyl)methane were produced. The absence of oxidation products, even in the presence of oxygen, has been explained in terms of the acid properties of the TPP⁺ excited state, which is



Dipartimento di Chimica and Centro di Eccellenza Materiali Innovativi Nanostrutturati (CEMIN), Università di Perugia, Via Elce di Sotto 8, 06123 Perugia, Italy. E-mail: dgiacco@unipg.it.; Fax: + 39 075 5855560; Tel: +039 075 5855559

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Stern–Volmer plots of DCA and NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ fluorescence by **1a-d**, timeresolved absorption spectra of **1a**, growth of An₂CH at different concentrations of **1c**. See DOI: 10.1039/b711541e



able to catalyze the intermediate 4-methoxybenzyl cation involved in the product formation (Scheme 2).

The driving force of this process seems to be the higher stability of the 4-methoxybenzyl cation compared with that of the benzyl cations formed from electron-poor benzyl alcohols.

The hypothesis of a Lewis acid action of the excited TPP⁺ on 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol seems to be in disagreement with the kinetics and product studies of the TPP⁺BF₄⁻ photosensitized oxidation of ring-methoxylated benzyl alcohols in air-saturated CH₂Cl₂.8 In particular, for the reaction of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol, the oxidation product (4-methoxybenzaldehyde) was detected in addition to bis-(4-methoxybenzyl)ether and 4,4'-dimethoxy-diphenylmethane. The product distribution was strongly dependent on the experimental conditions (irradiation time, presence of bases). The results of the product analysis, intermediate investigation by laser flash photolysis and DFT calculations of the relative stability of ring-methoxylated benzyl cations have been used to formulate the mechanism shown in Scheme 3, where the substrate reacts with the singlet excited state of TPP⁺ to give the couple radical cation/TPP[•]. In CH₂Cl₂, the neutral substrate is thought to be the only base present in the system that is able to induce α -C-H deprotonation of the radical cation, to form an α -hydroxy-4-



Scheme 4

methoxbenzyl radical and a cation. The radical reacts with O_2 to give 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, while the cation can form bis(4-methoxybenzyl)ether and 4,4'-dimethoxydiphenyl-methane (Scheme 3).

This research deals with the oxidation of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol derivatives (see Scheme 4, where the molecular structures are reported together with those of the corresponding products) photosensitized by two well known electron acceptors, *N*-methylquinolinium tetrafluoroborate (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻) and 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA), that are able to form the radical cations of the substrates. The study was carried out by steady state, intermediate investigations (with nanosecond laser flash photolysis) and quantum mechanical calculations. The comparison of the results obtained with the two sensitizers in terms of product formation and intermediates involved in the mechanism suggests that the different behavior is due to specific electrostatic interactions between the BF₄⁻ anion and the radical cation.

Results

Fluorescence quenching

All the alcohols examined (1a–d) efficiently quenched the fluorescence emission of NMQ⁺ in accordance with the Stern–Volmer eqn (1) where I_0 and I are the emission intensities in the absence and in the presence of increasing concentrations of alcohol ([Q]), respectively. The quenching rate constants (k_q) calculated from the slopes (Stern–Volmer constants K_{SV}) of the linear plots (see ESI, Fig. S1)† divided by 3.3 ns (the lifetime of ¹NMQ⁺* measured in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂) are reported in Table 1. The high k_q values, close to the diffusional limit, indicate that the process is diffusion-



Table 1 Quenching rate constants (k_q) of NMQ⁺ and DCA fluorescence by alcohols **1a–d** measured in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂

Alcohol	$k_{ m q}/10^9~{ m M}^{-1}~{ m s}^{-1}$		
	NMQ ⁺	DCA	
1a	12	6.4	
1b	13	4.1	
1c	13	9.6	
1d	30	7	

controlled.

$$I_0/I = 1 + K_{\rm SV}[Q]$$
 (1)

Analogous fluorescence-quenching experiments were performed in air-saturated CH₂Cl₂ to evaluate the efficiency of alcohols **1a–d** to quench the lowest excited singlet state of DCA. The k_q values, listed in Table 1, were calculated from the linear Stern–Volmer plots (see ESI, Fig. S2),† taking 12.3 ns as the lifetime of ¹DCA* measured in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂.⁹ In the case of DCA, the k_q values are significantly smaller than those determined with NMQ⁺, but are still quite close to the diffusion-controlled rate. In both cases, efficient quenching presumably occurs *via* an electron-transfer process, in agreement with the laser flash photolysis experiments (see below). In fact, DCA is less efficient than NMQ⁺ in promoting the formation of radical ions from alcohols, because its reduction potential (1.91 V *vs.* SCE in CH₃CN)¹⁰ is less than that of ¹NMQ^{+*} (2.7 V *vs.* SCE in CH₃CN).¹¹

Steady-state photolysis

Steady-state experiments sensitized by DCA and NMQ⁺ were performed in air-equilibrated CH_2Cl_2 and CH_3CN , as reported in the Experimental section. No products were detected in the absence of irradiation or sensitizer. After workup, the products were identified by ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR and GC-MS by comparison with authentic specimens. Quantitative analysis was performed by GC and ¹H-NMR by using the internal standard method. The material balance was very satisfactory (>90%) in all experiments. The regeneration of the sensitizers was checked by UV-visible analysis. All the product structures are reported in Scheme 4.

Photolysis of alcohols 1a, 1b and 1c (2.0×10^{-2} M) in the presence of catalytic amounts of DCA (3.0×10^{-4} M) by

Table 2 Chemical yields (%) of the photoproducts formed in the DCA-photosensitized reaction of alcohols 1a-d in air-equilibrated solution^{*a*}

Alcohol	Irradiation time/min	Solvent	Yield/%	
			Unreacted alcohol	AnCOR
1a	420	CH ₂ Cl ₂	98	_
	105	CH ₃ CN	85	15
1b	420	CH_2Cl_2	98	1
	105	CH ₃ CN	_	91
1c	330	CH ₂ Cl ₂	96	3
	105	CH ₃ CN	29	70
1d	210	CH ₂ Cl ₂	100	
	105	CH ₃ CN	98	2
a [DCA] =	3.0×10^{-4} M,	[alcohol] = 2	$.0 \times 10^{-2}$ M.	

irradiation at 410 \pm 20 nm in air-equilibrated condition gave the corresponding oxidation product, that is, 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2a), 4-methoxyacetophenone (2b) and 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone (2c), respectively. As shown in Table 2, the product yields were remarkable in CH₃CN, but very low in CH₂Cl₂ (1a was unreactive), despite longer irradiation times (up to four times longer) in this latter solvent. Only traces of 2b (2%) were detected in the case of alcohol 1d in CH₃CN, while no products were detected in CH₂Cl₂.

Irradiation at 310 \pm 20 nm of an air-equilibrated solution containing NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ (1.0×10^{-3} M), **1a**, **1b** and **1c** (2.0×10^{-3} M) 10^{-2} M) produced, together with the expected carbonyl compounds (2a, 2b and 2c, respectively), as well as the corresponding symmetrical ethers: bis(4-methoxybenzyl) ether (3a), bis-[1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl] ether (3b) and bis[4,4'-dimethoxydiphenylmethyl] ether (3c), respectively. High product yields were generally obtained with **1a-c**, as shown in Table 3, especially in CH₂Cl₂, where comparable amounts of products were obtained with an irradiation time about three times shorter than in CH₃CN. Experiments carried out under these experimental conditions at different irradiation times showed that the ether formed was not stable. In fact, separate experiments evidenced that, when the ethers were photolyzed under the same experimental conditions as those used for alcohols, they reacted to give the initial alcohol and the carbonyl product.

The reaction of alcohol **1d** with excited NMQ⁺ BF₄⁻ produced 4-methoxyphenyl- α -methylstyrene (**4e**) as primary product (Table 3), together with an isomer mixture characterized by 2,4-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-1-pentene (**4f**) and 2,4-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-2-pentene (**4g**), in high yield in CH₂Cl₂, but only in traces in CH₃CN. Experiments performed at different irradiation times indicated that these isomers were formed by the reaction of **4e**; in fact, with short irradiation times, **4e** was the only product detected, while with prolonged irradiation times, the formation of **4e** slowed down, thus favoring the increase of the isomer mixture. As observed for the other alcohols, the total yield of **4e** was higher in CH₂Cl₂(32% after 30 min) than in CH₃CN (28% after 105 min).

The photoproduct quantum yields were determined for the reactions of alcohols **1b**, **1c** and **1d** with NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ irradiated around 313 nm in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂. From the data reported in Table 4, it can be observed that the ketone quantum yields were very high and independent of the irradiation time, while the ether quantum yields were not constant over time and reached values higher than unity, especially in the case of **1c**. The result with **1d** is much clearer, in fact the quantum yield for the formation of **4e** was 0.64 and independent of the irradiation time.

Laser flash photolysis experiments

In the cases of the alcohol/NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ systems, only the laser flash photolysis results in CH₂Cl₂ are reported because much smaller transient signals were obtained in CH₃CN; this is in line with the efficiencies observed in the steady state experiments. All experiments showed an electron transfer from the alcohol to the NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ excited state, confirmed by the detection of the radical cation–radical couple, as shown below.

		Yield/%			
Alcohol	Solvent	Unreacted alcohol	AnCOR	(AnCRR ¹) ₂ O	AnC(CH ₃)=CH ₂
1a	CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	66	18	14	
	CH_3CN^c	73	25		
1b	CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	21	11	65	
	CH_3CN^c	30	30	30	
1c	CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	41	12	40	
	CH_2CN^c	37	43	13	
1d	CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	52			14^d
	CH_3CN^c	69			28^d
^{<i>a</i>} [NMQ ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻ substituted <i>p</i> -n	$[] = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ M; [methoxystyrenes was also	alcohol] = 2.0×10^{-2} M. ^b Irr	radiation time = 30 : H ₂ Cl ₂ and only traces	min. ^{c} Irradiation time = in CH ₂ CN (see Results sec	105 min. ^{<i>d</i>} A mixture of tion)

Table 3 Chemical yields (%) of the photoproducts formed in the $NMQ^+BF_4^-$ photosensitized reaction of alcohols **1a–d** (AnCRR¹OH) in an air-equilibrated solvent^a

In order to increase the yield of separate radical cations, 1 M toluene was used as a co-sensitizer. Under these conditions, the sequence of reactions (2) and (3) takes place (A = alcohol, T = toluene).

$$NMQ^{+*} + T \to NMQ^{\bullet} + T^{+\bullet}$$
(2)

$$T^{+\bullet} + A \to T + A^{+\bullet} \tag{3}$$

Upon laser excitation ($\lambda_{exc} = 308$ and/or 355 nm) of CH₂Cl₂ solutions of NMQ⁺BF₄⁻/toluene/1a–d, time resolved absorption spectra and decay kinetics were recorded in the presence of dioxygen. By laser photolysis of 1a (Fig. S3 in the ESI)† and **1b** (Fig. 1) in air-equilibrated solutions, two absorption bands were detected just after the laser pulse in the 425 and 530 nm regions assigned to the radical cations $(\lambda_{max} = 445 \text{ nm})^5$ and NMQ[•] ($\lambda_{max} = 540$ nm),¹² respectively. The time-evolution of the absorption spectra shows that the decay kinetics recorded at the two maxima are different, thus proving that the two transients follow different reactive paths. In particular, the kinetics analysis of $1a^{+\bullet}$ and $1b^{+\bullet}$ gives first order rate constants of 4.2×10^5 and 4.6×10^5 s⁻¹, respectively. The radical NMO[•] also shows a first-order kinetics, due to its reaction with O2 (see Discussion session), with a rate constant of $3.1 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in both experiments.

The time-resolved absorption spectra of 1c in O₂-saturated solution appear to be much more complex, due to the presence of three bands centered at 350, 420 and 550 nm just after the laser pulse (Fig. 2). The absorption at 550 nm was again ascribed to NMQ[•], while the one at 420 nm can be reasonably assigned to $1c^{+\bullet}$, the partner of NMQ[•] in the electron transfer reaction. Actually, the absorption spectrum of $1c^{+\bullet}$ generated by pulse radiolysis in aqueous solution⁶ shows three absorp-

Table 4 Quantum yields (Φ) of the photoproducts formed in the NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ photosensitized reaction of alcohols **1b–d** (AnCRR¹OH) in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂^{*a*}

Alcohol	Irradiation time/min	Φ			
		AnCOR	(AnCRR ¹) ₂ O	AnC(CH ₃)=CH ₂	
1b	601	0.42	0.16		
	860	0.44	1.3		
1c	608	0.65	1.2		
	2314	0.67	3.8		
1d	1800			0.64	
^a [NMQ ⁺	$BF_4^{-}] = 3.9$	$\times 10^{-4}$ M;	[alcohol] = 1.0	$\times 10^{-2}$ M.	

tion bands at 290, 440 and 980 nm. The last one is typical of these systems and is ascribed to an intramolecular charge resonance interaction. In our case, the maximum at 290 nm is out of the detectable wavelength region, while the NIR absorption band was completely missing (Fig. 2). This spectral behavior is explained in the Discussion section. Moreover, the absorption at 350 nm is made up of two components, a shortlived one, probably due to the ketyl radical An₂COH[•], that reacts quickly with molecular oxygen,⁶ and a longer-lived one coupled with the absorption at 420 nm. The kinetics analysis gave a lifetime of 6.6 µs for the long component, which is very close to the 6.8 µs value obtained at 420 nm. The rate constant calculated by first order fitting at 420 nm is 1.5×10^5 s⁻¹ (Table 5). The time-evolution of the absorption spectra shows that the decay at 550 nm is well fitted by a first-order law (k = $7.7 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$; it is faster than that obtained for **1a** and **1b** (k = $3.1 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$) because of the higher O₂ concentration. A narrow absorption band detected at 510 nm that builds up in the same amount time ($\tau = 7.0 \ \mu s$) as the absorptions at 350 and 420 nm was assigned to the cation An₂CH⁺ on the basis of literature data.¹³ This assignment is in agreement with the absence of O₂ effects on the decay kinetics recorded at 510 nm (lifetimes of 49 and 50 µs were recorded in air-equilibrated and N₂-saturated solutions, respectively).



Fig. 1 Time-resolved absorption spectra of the NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ (2.6 × 10^{-3} M)/toluene (1 M)/1b (1.0×10^{-2} M) system in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂ recorded 0.08 (Δ), 1.2 (\blacktriangle) and 6.2 (\bigcirc) µs after the laser pulse. $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 355$ nm. Inset: decay kinetics recorded at 430 (A) and 540 (B) nm.



Fig. 2 Time-resolved absorption spectra of the NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ (2.0 × 10^{-4} M)/toluene (1 M)/1c (9.0 × 10^{-3} M) system in O₂-saturated CH₂Cl₂ recorded 0.08 (Δ), 0.13 (\blacktriangle), 1.5 (\bigcirc), 3.5 (\blacklozenge) and 6.4 (\Box) µs after the laser pulse. $\lambda_{ecc} = 308$ nm. Inset: decay kinetics recorded at 350 (A), 510 (B) and 550 (C) nm.

The time-resolved absorption spectra of 1d in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂ recorded by flash photolysis show the formation of both NMQ[•] ($\lambda_{max} = 540 \text{ nm}$)¹² and $\mathbf{1d}^{+\bullet}$ ($\lambda_{max} =$ 440 nm)⁵ within the laser pulse (Fig. 3). Their absorption is further replaced by the build up of the intense absorption signal at 370 nm ascribed to the cation $AnC^+(CH_3)_2$ by comparison with its already reported spectrum.¹⁴ The nature of this transient is confirmed by the fact that molecular oxygen had little influence on its lifetime; in fact, τ values of 34 and 40 µs were measured in air-equilibrated and N2-saturated solution, respectively. In addition, it reacts quickly with water by a second-order kinetics ($k_2 = 5.0 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). By analyzing the kinetics, it is clear that NMQ[•] decays with a first-order kinetics ($k = 3.1 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$); the same rate constant value was found for 1a and 1b (this was expected because the experiments were performed with the same amount of O_2). For $1d^{+\bullet}$ the change of absorbance at 440 nm follows a second order kinetics $(k_2/\epsilon = 8.9 \times$ 10^6 s⁻¹ cm, Table 5), which is different from the cases of $1a^{+\bullet}$, $1b^{+\bullet}$ and $1c^{+\bullet}$ where a first order decay was found. Furthermore, it is reasonable to suppose that $1d^{+\bullet}$ is the precursor of the cation ($\lambda_{max} = 370$ nm), even if the build up kinetics was prevented by its fast reaction.

Transient quantum yields were measured by flash photolysis experiments performed on **1c** and **1d**, according to the procedure reported in the Experimental section. In the case of **1c**, the quantum yield of NMQ[•] is 0.60, with a value of 3800 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for the extinction coefficient in CH₂Cl₂ at 550 nm,

Table 5 Decay rate constants for fragmentation of alcohol radical cations (AnCRR¹OH⁺•) generated in the sensitized NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ photolysis in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂

Radical cation	$k/10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$
1a ^{+•}	4.2
1b ^{+•}	4.6
1c ^{+•}	1.5
1d ^{+•}	89 ^{<i>a</i>}

^{*a*} Ratio of second order rate constant and extinction coefficient (k_2/ε) in s⁻¹ cm.



Fig. 3 Time-resolved absorption spectra of the NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ (2.5 × 10^{-3} M)/toluene (1 M)/1d (1.0×10^{-2} M) system in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂ recorded 0.08 (Δ), 0.90 (\blacktriangle), 3.5 (\bigcirc) and 6.4 (\bigcirc) µs after the laser pulse. $\lambda_{exc} = 355$ nm. Inset: decay kinetics recorded at 370 (A), 440 (B) and 540 (C) nm.

measured with respect to the biphenyl radical cation ($\varepsilon = 14\,000 \ M^{-1} \ cm^{-1}$ at $\lambda_{max} = 670 \ nm$)¹⁵ in an independent experiment. In contrast, the An₂CH⁺ quantum yield, obtained by using a value of 100 000 $M^{-1} \ cm^{-1}$ for the extinction coefficient of the cation,¹³ is quite low (0.034). Finally, concerning the experiments with **1d**, a quantum yield of 0.69 was obtained for NMQ[•]; this was close to the value of 0.62 obtained for the cation AnC⁺(CH₃)₂ by using a value of 11 000 $M^{-1} \ cm^{-1}$ for the extinction coefficient of cumyl cation.¹⁶

The results of the steady-state photooxidation of alcohols **1a–d** with DCA are in line with those reported for the well known oxidation *via* photoinduced electron transfer of benzyl alcohol derivatives.¹ In particular, substrates **1a–c**, whose radical cations are able to deprotonate, form the respective carbonyl compounds, while compound **1d**, whose radical cation cannot deprotonate, is practically unreactive. In order to gain a greater insight into the photooxidation mechanism, a detailed time-resolved investigation of substrate **1c** was performed. Compounds **1a** and **1b** were not studied in detail because the cations generated by their radical cations absorb below 300 nm¹⁷ and could not be detected with our experimental setup.

The flash photolysis experiments were carried our in airequilibrated CH_3CN in the presence of 0.2 M biphenyl (BP) as a co-sensitizer; under these conditions the formation efficiencies of radical ions (eqns (4) and (5)) are higher.¹⁵

$$DCA^* + BP \rightarrow DCA^{-\bullet} + BP^{+\bullet}$$
 (4)

$$DCA^{+\bullet} + A \to BP + A^{+\bullet}$$
 (5)

The time-resolved absorption spectra were recorded in the 330–900 nm range. The BP radical cation was completely replaced, within the laser pulse, by two bands at $\lambda_{max} = 450$ nm and in the NIR region ($\lambda_{max} > 900$ nm), that match perfectly the **1c**^{+•} spectrum reported in the literature (Fig. 4).⁶ The decay kinetics recorded at 450 and 900 nm were well-fitted by a first-order law with the same rate constant ($k = 5.0 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$), thus supporting the assignment of the two bands to



Fig. 4 Time-resolved absorption spectra of the DCA $(2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})/$ biphenyl (0.2 M)/1**c** $(1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M})$ system in air-equilibrated CH₃CN recorded 0.32 (Δ), 1.9 (\blacktriangle), 6.3 (\bigcirc) µs after the laser pulse. $\lambda_{\text{exc}} =$ 355 nm. Inset: decay kinetics recorded at 450 nm.

the same transient. The $1c^{+\bullet}$ partner, DCA^{-•} ($\lambda_{max} = 500$, 580, 645 and 705 nm),¹⁵ was quenched at about 140 ns by a very fast reaction with molecular oxygen.

Quantum mechanical calculations were performed at a semi-empirical level to investigate the effect of the interactions between $1c^{+\bullet}$ and BF_4^- on the UV-Vis absorption spectrum. The absorption spectra of the radical cation $1c^{+\bullet}$ and the complex $(1c^{+\bullet}/BF_4^-)$ calculated by INDO/1-CI, after geometrical optimization by PM3, are shown in Fig. 5. It was found that the most stable structure was due to a hydrogen bond between a fluorine atom of BF_4^- and the hydroxyl group of $1c^{+\bullet}$, where the main positive charge is predicted to be localized. These calculations predict that the $1c^{+\bullet}/BF_4^-$ interaction shifts the absorption band from 400 to about 350 nm, in agreement with the absorption spectra obtained by laser flash photolysis of the DCA/1c and NMQ⁺BF₄⁻/1c systems.

In order to obtain more detailed information on the effect of BF_4^- on the reactivity of the radical cations here investigated,



Fig. 5 UV-Vis absorption spectra of the free (a) and complexed with BF_4^- (b) radical cation $1c^{+\bullet}$ calculated by the INDO/1-CI semiempirical method after optimization with the PM3 Hamiltonian together with the respective oscillator strengths (bars). The singly excited configurations were built by using the 15 highest occupied MOs and the 15 lowest virtual MOs.



Fig. 6 Numbering of 1d^{+•} atoms.

calculations at a DFT level were carried out on $1d^{+\bullet}$ (Fig. 6) by using the B3LYP/6-311G(d) model both in the absence and presence of the BF₄⁻ anion. The same theoretical model was also used to calculate the spin density and partial-charge distributions on the free and complexed radical cation $1d^{+\bullet}$; the results are summarized in Fig. 7.

While the effect of the association between $1d^{+\bullet}$ and BF_4^{-} (also in this case the more stable complex was predicted to have a hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl hydrogen and a fluorine atom) on the charge distribution is practically negligible (Fig. 7b), the effect on the spin density is much more evident. In fact, a significant increase in spin density is predicted on the oxygen atom (number 12 of Fig. 6) which reaches a value of about 0.3 (compared with the value of about 1 calculated by the same theoretical model for the spin density on the oxygen atom of OH[•]). Thus, a large part of the spin density of the complex is located on the C–O bond which therefore becomes more reactive than that in the radical cation (see Discussion).

Discussion

Reaction with DCA

The occurrence of an electron transfer as the primary step was confirmed by laser flash photolysis experiments that clearly showed the formation of the alcohol radical cations (Fig. 4). The high quenching rate constant values (Table 1) determined with DCA are close to the diffusion limit in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5×10^{10} M⁻¹ s⁻¹)¹⁸ which is in line with an efficient electron transfer process. This is confirmed by the negative free energy change (*ca.* -0.4 eV) calculated by the Rhem–Weller's eqn (6)¹⁹ where E_{red}^{0*} is the reduction potential of ¹DCA* and E_{ox}^{0} is the oxidation potential of the benzyl alcohols **1a–d** (1.52–1.59 V *vs.* SCE).²⁰

$$\Delta G_{\rm et} = E_{\rm ox}^0 - E_{\rm red^*}^0 - 0.06 \text{ eV}$$
 (6)

There is no relationship between the quenching rate constant and the potential values; in fact, the oxidation potentials of the substrates are very low and close to each other (due to the presence of the methoxy group on the aromatic ring). One also has to take into account that the small differences among the k_q values obtained for each sensitizer also include the experimental errors.

The product analysis showed higher reactivity in CH_3CN with respect to the less polar CH_2Cl_2 , which is in agreement with a reduced Coulombic barrier for the separation of the initially formed intermediates, the radical anion/radical cation pairs, in the more polar solvent.²¹ In fact, irradiation of DCA



Fig. 7 Spin-density (a) and partial-charge (b) distributions of the radical cation $1d^{+\bullet}$, free (open symbols) and associated with BF_4^- (full symbols) calculated by B3LYP/6-311G(d) after optimization with the same method.

in CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of **1a-d** leaves the alcohol almost unreacted, while in CH₃CN, there was considerable conversion of **1a-c** into the corresponding carbonyl products. Only alcohol 1d was practically unreactive in the latter solvent. From these experimental results, the mechanism already proven for analogous alcohols (Scheme 1), but under different experimental conditions, seems to be operative. The radical cation, produced by electron transfer from the alcohol to the singlet excited state of DCA, deprotonates thus forming a benzyl radical, that probably reacts with dioxygen (path a) to give the final carbonyl product. Path **b** should not be thermodynamically favored because DCA ($E_{\text{DCA/DCA}}^0 = -0.98 \text{ V} \text{ vs.}$ SCE)¹⁰ should not be able to oxidize the radical AnC•ROH $(E_{\text{ox}}^0 = -0.2 \div -0.3 \text{ V vs. SCE}).^{22,23}$ The sensitizer is re-established by the fast reaction of $DCA^{-\bullet}$ with O_2 , thus forming $O_2^{-\bullet}$, owing to the reduction potential of DCA being slightly more negative than that of O₂ ($E_{O_2/O_2}^0 - \cdot = -0.87$ V vs. SCE).²⁴ The low yield of 4-methoxybenzophenone formed by 1d cannot be justified by the mechanism proposed for 1a-c, because the benzyl hydrogens are missing in this compound; anyway, no further investigation was carried on this mechanism

Despite the presence of $O_2^{-\bullet}$ that could react with the radical cation $\mathbf{1c}^{+\bullet}$,²⁵ the first-order decay kinetics obtained for $\mathbf{1c}^{+\bullet}$ ($k = 5.0 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$)²⁶ suggests that the deprotonation is mainly due to interactions with the solvent.

Reaction with NMQ⁺BF₄⁻

The sensitizer *N*-methylquinolinium is more efficient than DCA in promoting an electron transfer reaction; in fact, in agreement with the higher reduction potential of ¹NMQ⁺*, the quenching rate constants are slightly higher in the case of NMQ. The electron transfer from the substrate to singlet excited state of quinolinium produces a radical cation/neutral radical pair whose separation is facilitated by the lack of any electrostatic barrier, even in moderately polar solvents. In solvents of low-polarity, the return electron transfer reaction

is slower which makes the electron transfer process more efficient.²¹ These properties of NMQ⁺ BF₄⁻ explain the higher reactivity shown with this sensitizer in CH₂Cl₂ with respect to CH₃CN (Table 2). As with DCA, smaller amount of NMQ⁺ BF₄⁻ was used with respect to the substrate since it is known that molecular oxygen can generate NMQ⁺ by oxidation of NMQ[•] even if the reduction potential of NMQ⁺ is -0.85 V (*vs.* SCE) because the electrostatic factor (0.24 eV in CH₂Cl₂) is responsible for the slightly exoergonic free energy change.¹⁹

Regarding the steady-state experiments, considerable yields of dibenzyl ethers were obtained by using $NMQ^+BF_4^-$ (also obtained for **1a** and **1c** in the presence of $TPP^+BF_4^-$ as sensitizer),⁸ together with the carbonyl compounds from alcohols 1a-c, while only styrene was formed in the reaction of 1d sensitized by NMQ⁺ (Table 3). The advantage of using NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ instead of TPP⁺BF₄⁻ in photoinduced electron transfer processes is related to its ground state absorption spectrum. In fact, while the bleaching of the $NMQ^+BF_4^$ ground state does not significantly affect the time-resolved absorption spectra above 330 nm (molar absorption coefficient smaller than 5000 M^{-1} cm⁻¹), in the presence of TPP⁺BF₄⁻ a large wavelength region (ca. 330-450 nm, where the molar absorption coefficient reaches also values of ca. $3.3 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ cm^{-1}) is hidden by the ground state bleaching of the sensitizer. Therefore it is difficult to detect transients and analyze their decay kinetics in this spectral region.

The experimental evidence suggests that the particular reactivity of the NMQ⁺-sensitized photolysis of **1a-d** is probably due to the involvement of the BF₄⁻ anion which can associate with the radical cation of the alcohols. This kind of interaction has already been proposed to take place between aromatic cation radicals and anions such as PF_6^- , BF_4^- and ClO_4^- in disproportionation equilibria.²⁷

One of the main proofs here proposed in agreement with the formation of a $(AnRR^{1}OH^{+\bullet}/BF_{4}^{-})$ complex is the timeresolved absorption spectra recorded by irradiation of NMQ^+ in the presence of 1c in aerated CH₂Cl₂ (Fig. 2).²⁸ The species formed within the laser pulse together with NMQ[•] and absorbing at 350 and 420 nm was identified as the complex $(1c^{+\bullet}/BF_4^{-})$. This assignment is confirmed by the semiempirical INDO/1-CI calculations which can reproduce the spectral changes due to the $(1c^{+\bullet}/BF_4^{-})$ interaction. This interaction prevents the intramolecular charge resonance interactions between the neutral donor and charged acceptor rings of the radical cation, as confirmed by the lack of the NIR absorption in the presence of BF_4^{-} . The presence of a charge transfer complex is also in agreement with the solvent effect on reactivity. In fact, the higher reactivity noted in CH₂Cl₂ with respect to that obtained in CH₃CN can be justified on the basis of a better separation of the radical cation/NMQ[•] pairs formed in the ET process in a less polar solvent. A further contribution could be due to the higher stability of the complex in CH₂Cl₂, since the ions were less solvated in this solvent.

The intense absorption of the ground state of NMQ⁺ below 330 nm prevented the investigation of this spectral region; in any case, the formation of the complex radical cation/ BF_4^- is also extended in *bona fides* to alcohols **1a**, **1b** and **1d**.



On the basis of experimental and theoretical evidence collected in this work, the mechanism reported in Scheme 5 is suggested for the NMQ⁺ photosensitized oxidation of alcohols 1a-d. With alcohols 1a, 1b and 1c, the complex radical cation/BF₄⁻ could react according to two paths. Firstly, according to path a (analogous to path a in Scheme 1 already noted in the DCA-photosensitized oxidation) the radical cation deprotonates to form the benzyl radical that evolves to the final carbonyl product by reacting with O₂. The oxidation of the benzyl radical can be excluded because its oxidation potential is well above the reduction potential of NMQ^+ , as already seen in the case of DCA. Secondly, path **b** involves the formation of a cationic intermediate, probably assisted by $O_2^{-\bullet}$ (see below) that reduces the incipient hydroxyl radical (as indicated from the quantum mechanical calculations).²⁹ The cation forms the symmetrical ether by reacting with the neutral alcohol (path c). There was direct evidence for the formation of the benzyl cation only with 1c (λ_{max} = 510 nm, Fig. 2). With the other alcohols the absorption of the cations is hypsochromic, shifted out of the detectable spectral region, but the formation of symmetrical ethers in the product mixture suggests the involvement of this intermediate in the reaction.

Considering the strong acidity of this kind of radical cation,³⁰ path **b** should be a less important reaction pathway; this is confirmed by the low quantum yield (0.035) of the cation formed in the case of **1c**; the value is about 6% of the quantum yield of radical cation (0.60). Instead, the formation yields of the ether (Table 4) were surprisingly high. The kinetics of $1a^{+\bullet}$, $1b^{+\bullet}$ and $1c^{+\bullet}$ follow first order decays (Table 5), as expected when the deprotonation process is the main decay path. The close values of the decay rate constants (of the order of 10^5 s^{-1} , as already found for similar radical cations) can be justified with the formation of an early transition state of the deprotonation reaction of the alkylaromatic radical cations.⁶

Path **a** of Scheme 5 is excluded in the case of **1d** because no benzyl hydrogens are present in the substrate; therefore, the formation of $AnC^+(CH_3)_2$ is the only reactive way. The direct evidence of this intermediate in the absorption spectra ($\lambda_{max} =$

370 nm, Fig. 3) supports such a hypothesis. Furthermore, the efficiency of the formation of 4-methoxycumil cation was high (0.62), close to the quantum yield of the corresponding radical cation (0.69) and in line with the high stability of the cation.³¹ This cation, in contrast with the others, loses one proton thus forming the corresponding styrene (Scheme 5, path **d**). When styrene is cumulated, its double bond is attacked by the cationic intermediate and gives the mixture of substituted *p*-methoxystyrenes (**4f** and **4g**) reported in Table 3. The driving force of path **c** seems to be the stability of the substituted olefin; in fact, alcohol **1b** that could lose the proton of the β carbon, does not form a detectable amount of styrene.

Two things suggest the involvement of $O_2^{-\bullet}$ in the formation of the cation by Scheme 5, path **b**: (i) the very high reactivity of the hydroxyl radical that makes the monomolecular process of cation formation too energy-expensive and (ii) the second-order decay of $1d^{+\bullet}$ which suggests a reaction with a species at a similar concentration, like $O_2^{-\bullet}$. A reaction between OH[•] and $O_2^{-\bullet}$, which could favor the formation of the cation, has already been reported in the literature.³² The higher reactivity of the complex $(1d^{+\bullet}/BF_4^{-})$ in comparison with the "free" $1d^{+\bullet}$ radical cation is in line with the increased spin density in the O atom which reaches a value of 30% in the complex.

The quantum yield of ether formation, at least for **1b** and **1c** (i) is much larger than that of the cation, (ii) depends on the irradiation time and (iii) becomes larger than unity (Table 4). The findings suggest the involvement of a thermal chain pathway in the formation of the final products. Scheme 6 depicts a probable reaction pathway where the cation interacts with the neutral alcohol, bonded by a hydrogen bond with another alcohol molecule (a), and releases the ether and a protonated alcohol molecule (b). The cation can then be regenerated by the loss of a water molecule from the protonated alcohol molecule.

In contrast, the final product of 1d was 4-methoxystyrene, with a quantum yield less than one, independent of the irradiation time. This suggests that for this compound the deprotonation of the cation, probably assisted by the hydroxyl anion formed in path **b** of Scheme 5, is much faster than the interaction with the neutral alcohol.

The results of this investigation show that the previously reported mechanism (Scheme 3),⁸ in which the benzyl cations, formed through deprotonation of the radical cations by interaction with the neutral alcohols, are precursors of the final



ethers, is not applicable for several reasons: (i) the reactivity of the radical cation is different when sensitized with different sensitizers, (ii) the decay rate constant of the radical cation and the growth of the cation (Fig. S4 of ESI)† are independent of the ground state concentration of the alcohol and (iii) the formation of the cation from compound **1d** could not be explained.

4-Methoxybenzyl alcohol (1a), 4-methoxy- α -methylbenzyl alcohol (1b), and 4,4'-dimethoxydiphenylmethanol (1c) were commercial samples (Aldrich). *N*-Methylquinolinium tetra-fluoroborate was prepared according to a literature procedure.³³ 9,10-Dicyanoanthracene (Kodak) was recrystallized from pyridine. CH₂Cl₂ (Carlo Erba, HPLC grade) was pur-

ified by letting it stand with CaH_2 and distilling as needed. CH₃CN (Fluka for UV spectroscopy) and toluene (Carlo Erba, RPE) were used as received. 4-Methoxy- α, α' -dimethylbenzyl alcohol (**1d**) was prepared by reacting 4 methocusets reheaves with Griemand reactions.

by reacting 4-methoxyacetophenone with Grignard reactant CH₃MgI, obtained by adding CH₃I to a Mg suspension in anhydrous ethyl ether. After workup, the crude was purified by column chromatography (neutral alumina, eluent *n*-hexane/ethyl ether 6:4) and identified by ¹H NMR³⁴ and GC-MS; m/z (70 eV, EI): 166 (M⁺, 21%), 151 (100), 135 (7), 121 (4), 109 (7), 91 (3), 77 (12), 65 (5), 51 (3).

Bis(4-methoxy- α -methylbenzyl) ether (3b) was prepared by reacting alcohol 1b in dimethyl sulfoxide at 175 °C for 15 min.³⁵ The reaction mixture was chromatographed on a silica gel column (eluent *n*-hexane/ethyl ether 9:1). The product was a mixture of two diastereomers (meso and dl isomers) in different amounts. They were identified by ¹H NMR and GC-MS; $\delta_{\rm H}(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \text{Me}_4\text{Si})$ of diastereomer A: 7.27 (4 H, d, Ar), 6.84 (4 H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, Ar), 4.47 (2 H, q, J = 6.6 Hz, CH), 3.81 (6 H, s, OCH₃), 1.45 (6 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₃); diastereomer B: 7.27 (4 H, d, Ar), 6.92 (4 H, d, J = 8.7Hz, Ar), 4.18 (2 H, q, J = 6.6 Hz, CH), 3.84 (6 H, s, OCH₃), 1.36 (6 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₃). Two distinguishable peaks were obtained by GC-MS analysis of the two diastereomers, which corresponded to the same mass spectrum. m/z (70 eV, EI): 286 (M⁺, 2%), 207 (1), 178 (7), 151 (4), 135 (100), 121 (13), 105 13), 91 (17), 77 (20), 65 (8), 51 (4),

Bis(4-methoxybenzyl) ether (**3c**) was prepared by performing the NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ photosensitized reaction of alcohol **1c** in a preparative scale. The product was isolated by column chromatography (silica gel, eluent *n*-hexane/ethyl ether 9:1) and identified by ¹H NMR and GC-MS.³⁶

4-Methoxy- α -methylstyrene (**4e**) was prepared by reacting alcohol **1d** with HClO₄ in CH₃CN for 5 min. After workup, the crude product was isolated by column chromatography (neutral alumina, hexane as eluent) and identified by ¹H NMR and GC-MS.³⁷ 2,4-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-1-pentene (**4f**) and *E*-2,4-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-2-pentene (**4g**) were obtained as a mixture by column chromatography (neutral alumina, *n*-hexane/ethyl ether 9:1) in the preparation of **4e**. They were identified by ¹H NMR, ¹³C-NMR and GC-MS;

The amount of H_2O_2 was quantitatively determined by titration with iodide anion. The water solution, obtained from the reaction mixture workup, was treated, after dilution, with an excess of KI and a few drops of AcOH. The amount of I_3^- formed was determined by spectrophotometric analysis ($\varepsilon = 25000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 361 \text{ nm}$).³⁸ No H_2O_2 was formed in blank experiments performed in the dark.

Fluorescence quenching

Measurements were carried out on a Spex Fluorolog F112AF spectrofluorometer. Relative emission intensities at 400 nm (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ emission maximum) or 434 nm (DCA emission maximum) were measured by irradiating at 316 nm (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ absorption maximum) or 400 nm (DCA absorption maximum) a solution containing the sensitizer (1 × 10^{-5} M) with the substrate at different concentrations (from 2×10^{-3} to 1.5×10^{-2} M) in air-saturated CH₂Cl₂. The error estimated on the Stern–Volmer constants (K_{SV}) was ±5%.

 $\delta_{\rm H}(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \text{Me}_4\text{Si})$ of **4f**: 7.15 (2 H, d, Ar), 7.08 (2 H, d, Ar), 6.70 (4 H, d, Ar), 5.01 (1 H, d, CH), 4.63 (1 H, m, CH), 3.70 (6 H, s, OCH₃), 2.68 (2 H, s, CH₂), 1.12 (6H, s, CH₃); 4g: 7.25 (4 H, d, Ar), 6.79 (2 H, d, Ar), 6.75 (2 H, d, Ar), 5.96 (1 H, m, CH), 3.73 (6 H, s, OCH₃), 1.55 (3 H, s, CH₃), 1.48 (6 H, s, CH₃); $\delta_{C}(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_{3})$ of **4f**: 158.4 157.1, 135.8, 135.3 (ipso, Ar), 127.0, 126.7 and 113.3 and 112.8 (CH, Ar), 142.7 (CH styrene), 115.4 (CH₂ styrene), 55.2 e 55.1 (OCH₃), 38.0 (quaternary styrene), 28.8 (CH₃); 4g: 158.4 157.1, 135.8, 135.3 (ipso, Ar), 127.0, 126.7 and 113.3 and 112.8 (CH, Ar), 135.3 (quaternary), 128.2 (CH styrene), 55.1 (OCH₃), 29.6 (quaternary), 31.6 (CH₃); m/z (70 eV, EI) of 4f: 296 (M⁺, 3%), 175 (3), 149 (100), 133 (3), 121 (10), 109 (7), 91 (5), 77 (3), 65 (2), 51 (2); **4g**: 296 (M⁺, 93%), 281 (100), 265 (10), 227 (5), 188 (7), 173 (67), 158 (17), 135 (17), 121 (18), 91 (8), 77 (7), 65 (3), 51 (1).

Steady state photolysis

Photooxidation reactions were carried out in an Applied Photophysics photoreactor equipped with 6 lamps of 15 W each (310 \pm 20 nm with NMQ⁺BF₄⁻, 410 \pm 20 nm with DCA). A solution containing the alcohol (2.0×10^{-2} M) and the sensitizer (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻, 1.0×10^{-3} M or DCA, 3.0×10^{-4} M) in air-saturated solvent (CH₃CN or CH₂Cl₂) was irradiated in a cylindrical flask provided with a water cooling jacket. The mixture was analyzed after adding an internal standard (bibenzyl) by GC, GC-MS and ¹H-NMR and all the products were identified by comparison with authentic specimens.

Product analysis was carried out on a HP 6890-2 gas chromatograph (capillary column, 30 m), on an HP 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a MSD-HP 5973 mass selective detector and on a Bruker AC 200-NMR spectrometer. The material balance was always satisfactory (>90%). The sensitizer analysis was performed by optical density measurements on a HP-8451 diode array spectrophotometer. No product was formed in a blank experiment, carried out in the dark condition or by irradiating the solutions in the absence of the sensitizer.

An excitation wavelength of 355 nm (from a Nd:YAG laser, Continuum, third harmonic, pulse width ca. 7 ns and energy <3 mJ per pulse) was used in nanosecond flash photolysis experiments.³⁹ The transient spectra were obtained by a pointto-point technique, monitoring the change of absorbance (ΔA) after the laser flash at intervals of 5-10 nm over the spectral range 300-900 nm, averaging at least 10 decays at each wavelength. The lifetime values (the time at which the initial signal is reduced to 1/e) are reported for the transients showing first-order decay kinetics. A 2 ml solution containing the substrate (1.0 \times 10⁻² M), the sensitizer (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻, 2.5 \times 10^{-3} M or DCA, 2.0×10^{-4} M) and the cosensitizer (1 M toluene with NMQ⁺ BF_4^- and 0.5 M biphenyl with DCA) was flashed in a quartz photolysis cell, while nitrogen or oxygen was bubbling through them. The experimental error was $\pm 10\%$.

Transient quantum yields ($\phi_{\rm Tr}$) were obtained by using the relationship (eqn 8) between quantum yields, absorption coefficient (ε), and changes of absorbance (ΔA), measured at the corresponding absorption maxima of the transient (Tr) and the reference (ref.), namely triplet benzophenone ($\phi_{\rm T} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm T} = 6500 \,{\rm M}^{-1} \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ at 520 nm).⁴⁰ The experimental error on $\phi_{\rm Tr}$ was $\pm 15\%$. All measurements were carried out at 22 ± 2 °C.

$$\phi_{Tr} = \phi(\text{ref.}) \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{T}}(\text{ref.}) \Delta A_{\text{Tr}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{Tr}} \Delta A(\text{ref.})}$$
(8)

Quantum yields

A 2 mL solution of NMQ⁺BF₄⁻ (3.9×10^{-4} M) and **1b-d** (1.0×10^{-2} M) in air-saturated CH₃CN was placed in a quartz cell and irradiated at 313 nm, selected with a Balzer interference filter by a high pressure Hg lamp. The photoproducts were quantified by GC and ¹H-NMR analysis, by using bibenzyl as internal standard. The light intensity (*ca.* 1×10^{15} photons s⁻¹) was measured by potassium ferric oxalate actinometry.

Quantum mechanical calculations

The lowest excited state energies, electronic structures and transition probabilities of $1c^{+\bullet}$ and its complex with the anion BF4⁻ were investigated by semi-empirical computational methods. The geometry of the substrates was optimized using the PM3 model,⁴¹ while the transition energies and oscillator strengths were calculated by the INDO/1-CI model⁴² (ZINDO program of CAChe 7.05 package). The configuration interaction (CI) calculations included the singly excited configurations built on the 15 highest occupied (HOMO) and 15 lowest virtual (LUMO) molecular orbitals. In order to investigate the effect of BF_4^- on the charge and spin-density distributions of the radical cations of the substrates, *ab initio* calculations were performed on 1d⁺• at a DFT level (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) with Gaussian 0343 after optimization of the molecular structures with the same method.

Conclusions

The photooxydation of the methoxybenzyl alcohols **1a–d**, sensitized by 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA) and *N*-methylquinolinium tetrafluoroborate (NMQ⁺BF₄⁻) produced the radical cation of the alcohol and the corresponding reduced form of the sensitizer within the laser pulse.

When the sensitizer was DCA, the back electron transfer process was operative in CH_2Cl_2 ; all the substrates were left unreacted. Alcohol **1d** was unreacted also in CH_3CN . For **1a–c** in CH_3CN , where the benzyl hydrogen is present, the radical cation was able to deprotonate and then form the corresponding carbonyl compounds. The same behavior was found when compounds **1a–c** were sensitized by $NMQ^+BF_4^-$ in both CH_2Cl_2 and CH_3CN .

A parallel decay path of the **1a–d** radical cations with formation of the AnC⁺RR¹ cation was operative in both CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN when the sensitizer was NMQ⁺BF₄⁻. The cation was a precursor of ethers (**1a–c**) and styrene (**1d**) (see Scheme 5, path **b**).

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca and the University of Perugia (Italy) for financial support.

References

- (a) S. Fukuzumi, K. Yasui, T. Suenobu, K. Ohkbo, M. Fujitsuka and O. Ito, J. Phys. Chem., 2001, **105**, 10501–10510; (b) S. Fukuzumi, S. Itoh, T. Komori, T. Suenobu, A. Ishida, M. Fujitsuka and O. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 8435–8443; (c) W. Tong, H. Ye, H. Zhu and V. T. D'Souza, J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM), 1995, **333**, 19–27.
- 2 (a) T. Del Giacco, C. Rol and G. V. Sebastiani, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2000, 13, 745–751; (b) T. Del Giacco, M. Ranchella, C. Rol and G. V. Sebastiani, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2003, 16, 127–132; (c) S. Vijaikumar, N. Somasundaram and C. Srinivasan, Appl. Catal., A, 2002, 223, 129–135.
- 3 E. A. Mayeda, L. L. Miller and J. F. Wolf, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 6812–6816.
- 4 E. Baciocchi, S. Belvedere, M. Bietti and O. Lanzalunga, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **5**, 1785–1793.
- 5 E. Baciocchi, M. Bietti and S. Steenken, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 1999, **5**, 1785–1793.
- 6 M. Bietti and O. Lanzalunga, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 2632-2638.
- 7 M. Martiny, E. Steckhan and T. Esch, *Chem. Ber.*, 1993, **126**, 1671–1682.
- 8 B. Branchi, M. Bietti, G. Ercolani, M. A. Izquierdo, M. A. Mirando and L. Stella, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 8874–8885.
- 9 The lifetime of DCA singlet state is 13.1 μs in nitrogen degassed CH₂Cl₂. A. F. Olea, D. R. Worrall, R. Wilkison, S. L. Williams and A. A. Abdel-Shafi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, 4, 161–167.
- 10 J. Eriksen and C. S. Foote, J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, 2659-2662.
- 11 K. P. Dockery, J. P. Dinnocenzo, S. Farid, J. L. Goodman, I. R. Gould and W. P. Tood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 1876–1883.
- 12 G. Guirado, C. N. Fleming, T. G. Ligenfelter, M. L. Williams, H. Zuilhof and J. P. Dinnocenzo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14086–14094.
- 13 J. Bartl, S. Steenken, H. Mayr and R. A. McClelland, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 6918–6928.
- 14 F. Cozens, V. M. Kanagasabapathy, R. A. McClelland and S. Steenken, Can. J. Chem., 1999, 77, 2069–2082.
- 15 I. R. Gould, D. Ege, J. E. Moser and S. Farid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4290–4301.
- 16 G. A. Olah, C. U. Pittman, R. Waack and M. Doran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 1488–1495.

- 17 R. A. McClelland, C. Chan, F. Cozens, A. Madro and S. Steenken, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 1337-1339
- 18 Handbook of Photochemistry, ed. S. L. Murov, M. Dekker, New York, 1973
- 19 D. Rehm and A. Weller, Isr. J. Chem., 1970, 8, 259-271.
- 20 Measured by Dr Marta Bettoni by cyclic voltammetry in CH₃CN. 21 P. W. Todd, J. P. Dinnocenzo, S. Farid, J. L. Goodman and I. R.
- Gould, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 3601-3602. 22 T. Lund, D. D. M. Wayner, M. Jonsson, A. G. Larsen and K. Daasbjerg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 12590-12595. Indeed, the
- potentials are referred to unsubstituted ring, anyway a methoxy group can not increase the oxidation potential values up to the reduction potential DCA^{23} .
- 23 B. A. Sim, P. H. Milne, D. Griller and D. D. M. Wayner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 6635-6638.
- 24 D. T. Sawyer, G. Chiericato, Jr, C. T. Angelis, E. J. Nanni, Jr and T. Tsuchiya, Anal. Chem., 1982, 54, 1720-1724.
- 25 Hydrogen peroxide was revealed at the end of the photolysis by iodometric titration, hence some acidity is finally neutralized by O_2^-
- 26 This value is similar to that already reported: E. Baciocchi, T. Del Giacco and F. Elisei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 12290-12295.
- 27 E. E. Bancroft, J. E. Pemberton and H. N. Blount, J. Phys. Chem., 1980, 84, 2557-2560.
- 28 For instance, the effect of salt addition on absorption spectra is known for aromatic radical cations forming the contact ion pairs with the anion PF₆⁻. Y. Yamamoto, T. Aoyama and K. Hayashi, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 1988, 84, 2209-2214.
- 29 H. Ronsen and S. J. Klebanoff, J. Exp. Med., 1979, 149, 27-39.
- 30 E. Baciocchi, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1990, **44**, 645–652. 31 J.-L. M. Abbound, I. Alkorta, J. Z. Davalos, P. Müller, E. Quintanilla and J.-C. Rossier, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 3786-3796.
- 32 NMQ $^{\bullet}$ should be a possible reactant, but the reaction with O₂ is faster ($\tau = 0.32 \ \mu s$ in air-equilibrated CH₂Cl₂) than that of the radical cation decay ($\tau = 2.2 \ \mu s$).
- 33 P. F. Donovan and D. A. Conley, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 1966, 11, 614-615.

- 34 R. Jacquesy and J. F. Patoiseau, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1978, 255 - 262
- 35 J. Emert, M. Goldenberg and G. L. Chiu, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 2012 - 2013.
- 36 C. Henneuse, K. Gillard, O. Noiset and J. Marchand-Brynaert, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1995, 132, 333-336.
- 37 D. Shukla, C. Lu, N. P. Schepp, W. C. Bentrude and L. J. Johnston, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 6167-6172.
- 38 S. Fukuzumi, S. Kuroda and T. Tanaka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107. 3020-3027.
- 39 (a) A. Romani, F. Elisei, F. Masetti and G. Favaro, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1992, 88, 2147-2154; (b) H. Görner, F. Elisei and G. G. Aloisi, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1992, 88, 29-34.
- 40 I. Carmichael and G. L. Hug, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1986, 15, 1 - 250.
- 41 (a) J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Chem., 1989, 10, 209-220; (b) J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Chem., 1989, 10, 221-264.
- 42 M. C. Zerner, Semiempirical molecular orbital methods, in Reviews in Computational Chemistry, ed. K. B. Lipkowitz and D. B. Boyd, VCH, New York, 1991, vol. 2, pp. 313-365.
- 43 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr, T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004.