

Sodium Bicarbonate-Catalyzed Stereoselective Isomerizations of Electron-Deficient Propargylic Alcohols to (Z)-Enones

John P. Sonye and Kazunori Koide*

Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, 219 Parkman Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

koide@pitt.edu

Received November 21, 2006



Redox isomerization is a synthetically important process because it creates two new functional groups in the product, among which is the isomerization of propargylic alcohols to conjugated enones. Although *E*-enones have been prepared by this approach, *Z*-enones could not be accessed. We previously reported DABCO-catalyzed *E*-selective isomerization of electron-deficient propargylic alcohols to enones and its mechanism. Based on this mechanism, we have now developed the first *Z*-selective redox isomerization of electron-deficient propargylic alcohol to enone using sodium bicarbonate as a catalyst.

Diversity-oriented organic synthesis has emerged as a new paradigm to discover small molecules that perturb biological processes. In this field, a new and exciting challenge is to develop synthetic methods to prepare skeletally and stere-ochemically diverse bioactive small molecules from common substrates.¹ As part of our efforts in this area, we became interested in *Z*- and $E-\gamma$ -oxo- α , β -alkenyl esters (**B** and **C**, eq 1) and their derivatives. These moieties are part of biologically



important natural and unnatural compounds²⁻¹⁶ and are also

- (1) Schreiber, S. L. Science 2000, 287, 1964-1969.
- (2) Nozoe, S.; Hirai, K.; Tsuda, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, *6*, 4675–4677.
- (3) Aldridge, D. C.; Armstrong, J. J.; Speake, R. N.; Turner, W. B. Chem. Commun. 1967, 26–27.
- (4) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Fayos, J.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5954–5956.
- (5) Michel, K. H.; Demarco, P. V.; Nagarajan, J. J. Antibiot. **1977**, 30, 571–575.
- (6) Nukina, M.; Sassa, T.; Ikeda, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 301–302.
- (7) Fex, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 2703-2706.

(8) Ohmoto, T.; Koike, K. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1984, *32*, 3579–3583.
 (9) Arai, K.; Rawlings, B. J.; Yoshizawa, Y.; Vederas, J. C. *J. Am. Chem.*

Soc. 1989, 111, 3391-3399.

 TABLE 1.
 Conversion of 1 to 2 and 3

	OH Ph CO ₂ Me	→ 0 CO ₂ Me Ph 3 (D) (3-𝔄)2	Ph H	[∼] CO₂Me
entr	y base	solvents	$t_{1/2}$	2:3
1	ⁱ Pr ₂ NEt	CDCl ₃	60	1.2:1
2	ⁱ Pr ₂ NEt	C_6D_6	126	1.0:1
3	ⁱ Pr ₂ NEt	DMSO-d ₆	12	1.6:1
4	ⁱ Pr ₂ NEt	DMSO-d ₆ /D ₂ O(8:1)	n.d.	3- <i>d</i> -2 only
5 NaHCO ₃		$DMSO-d_6/H_2O(8:1)$	n.d.	2 only

important substrates in Diels–Alder cycloadditions^{17–19} and potentially in many other cycloaddition reactions. *Z*- and *E*- γ -oxo- α , β -alkenyl esters **B** and **C** are interesting electrophiles as a result of their distinct reactivities, with the greater electrophilicity of **C** compared to that of **B**.²⁰ Presumably because of such differences, they exhibit distinct biological activities.²⁰ These distinctive chemical reactivities and biological activities prompted us to develop divergent synthetic methods to prepare a range of analogs **B** and **C** from common intermediates **A**.

We have recently reported the stereoselective isomerization of **A** (and other electron-deficient propargylic alcohols) to **C** using catalytic DABCO.^{21,22} Despite the synthetic and biological importance of esters **B**, the only general approach toward **B** is the oxidation of 2-alkoxyfurans that are not readily accessible.²³ Presumably for this reason, the full synthetic and biological potential of **B** has not yet been explored. In this paper, we wish to describe a convenient and highly stereoselective method to produce **B** from readily available **A**.^{24,25} This is the first stereoselective isomerization of electron-deficient propargylic alcohols to the corresponding Z-enones.

Prior to this work, we attempted the hydrogenation of **4** (Scheme 1); this deceptively straightforward Lindlar catalyst-

- (11) Takamatsu, S.; Kim, Y. P.; Hayashi, M.; Hiraoka, H.; Natori, M.; Komiyama, K.; Omura, S. J. Antibiot. 1996, 49, 95–98.
- (12) Goto, S.; Chen, K.-X.; Oda, S.; Hori, H.; Terada, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2457-2463.
- (13) Zhang, H.; Tomoda, H.; Tabata, N.; Miura, H.; Namikoshi, M.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Masuma, R.; Omura, S. J. Antibiot. **2001**, *54*, 635–641.
- (14) Evidente, A.; Andolfi, A.; Vurro, M.; Zonno, M. C.; Motta, A. *Phytochemistry* **2002**, *60*, 45–53.
- (15) Berg, A.; Notni, J.; Dorfelt, H.; Grafe, U. J. Antibiot. 2002, 55, 660-662.
- (16) Findlay, J. A.; Li, G. Q.; Miller, J. D.; Womiloju, T. O. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 284–292.
- (17) Angell, E. C.; Fringuelli, F.; Guo, M.; Minuti, L.; Taticchi, A.; Wenkert, E. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 4325–4328.
- (18) Molander, G. A.; Harris, C. R. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 812–816.
 (19) Kowalski, C. J.; Lal, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3693–3695.
- (20) Dal Pozzo, A.; Acquasaliente, M.; Donzelli, G.; DeMaria, P.; Nicoli, M. C. J. Med. Chem. **1987**, *30*, 1674–1677.
- (21) Sonye, J. P.; Koide, K. Synth. Commun. 2006, 36, 599-602.
- (22) Sonye, J. P.; Koide, K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6254-6257.
- (23) Antonioletti, R.; D'Auria, M.; De Mico, A.; Piancatelli, G.; Scettri, A. *Synthesis* **1984**, *3*, 280–281.
- (24) Shahi, S. P.; Koide, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2525-2527.
- (25) Midland, M. M.; Tramontano, A.; Cable, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 28–29.

10.1021/jo0623944 CCC: \$37.00 © 2007 American Chemical Society Published on Web 02/02/2007

⁽¹⁰⁾ Hayashi, M.; Kim, Y. P.; Hiraoka, H.; Natori, M.; Takamatsu, S.; Kawakubo, T.; Masuma, R.; Komiyama, K.; Omura, S. J. Antibiot. **1995**, 48, 1435–1439.

SCHEME 1. Model Systems Used in This and Previous Studies



SCHEME 2. Proposed Mechanism



based hydrogenation of 4 was not Z-selective, providing a mixture of 2 and 3 in a 1:5 ratio in favor of 3. Therefore, the lack of a convenient method to prepare 2 prompted us to develop a new synthetic methodology so that we would be able to prepare both 2 and 3 stereoselectively from the same precursor 1.

We previously screened various organic bases (DBU, DMAP, DABCO, Et₃N, ⁱPr₂NEt) in NMR solvents (CDCl₃, C₆D₆, DMSO- d_6 , CD₃CN) to convert **1** to **3**.²² As Table 1 shows, we discovered that ⁱPr₂NEt catalyzed the conversion of 1 to 2 and 3 in CDCl₃ (entry 1) and C_6D_6 (entry 2) and more rapidly in DMSO- d_6 (entry 3). In the presence of ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt, the E:Z ratio remained the same even after 1 was consumed, excluding the possibility for isomerization of 2 to 3. Although the stereoselectivity was less than desirable at this point, ranging from 1:1 to 1.6:1, we became interested in the mechanism of this moderately Z-selective transformation. To determine how hydrogen atoms are transferred in the Pr2NEt-catalyzed isomerization of 1, we subjected 1 to 10 mol % of Pr₂NEt in a DMSO d_6 -D₂O mixture (8:1) at 23 °C and monitored the reaction in an NMR tube. To our surprise, this experiment generated exclusively 3-d-2 (entry 4) and no E-isomer! This high Z-selectivity is not due to the potential selective degradation of 3 since compound 3 was found to be stable under the reaction conditions.

The position of the deuterium incorporation indicates that the mechanism is analogous to the DABCO-catalyzed *E*-selective isomerization, except that the final *Z* to *E* isomerization does not take place. In other words, as shown in Scheme 2, ${}^{i}Pr_{2}EtN$ first abstracts the 4-H to form cumulenoate 5. This intermediate is then protonated by $iPr_{2}EtNH^{+}$ to form the allene intermediate 6. It is worthwhile to mention here that $iPr_{2}EtNH^{+}$ reacts faster with 6 than with D₂O (i.e., no proton exchange with water) during this process, analogous to our previous studies.²⁶ Intermediate 6 then abstracts a deuterium atom from D₂O to generate 3-*d*-2. An alternative mechanism has recently been described for a closely related transformation, in which the

TABLE 2.	Scope	of Z-	Isomerization
----------	-------	-------	---------------



authors proposed a 1,3-hydride shift.²⁷ Their transformation can also be explained by extending our mechanism presented here and in our previous communication,²⁶ which does not involve the 1,3-hydride shift that is known to be antarafacial and thus requires extremely high temperature.²⁸

With such high Z-selectivity in wet DMSO, we screened other non-nucleophilic bases and found that NaHCO₃ also catalyzed the conversion of **1** to **2** (entry 5, Table 1). After some optimization efforts, we found that this transformation was efficient when **1** was treated with 0.5 equiv of NaHCO₃ and 0.1 mol % of hydroquinone (as a possible stabilizer of **1** and **2**) in an 8:1 DMSO-H₂O mixture. Under these conditions, **2** was obtained in 76% yield with trace **3** (~1%) after 24 h at 23 °C (Table 2, entry 1).

We then set out to determine the scope of this method. Unless specifically noted, the following reactions provided exclusively Z-products. To verify that the optimized conditions can be applied to other types of esters, the ethyl ester 7 (entry 2) was treated with NaHCO₃ to generate 8 in 55% isolated yield. The

⁽²⁶⁾ Sonye, J. P.; Koide, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 199-202.

⁽²⁷⁾ Wang, S.-H.; Tu, Y.-Q.; Wang, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8621–8623.

⁽²⁸⁾ Baldwin, J. E.; Fleming, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2140-2142.

OH Ph	OEt P-OFt	Reagent(s) (0.5-0.75 equiv) DMSO/H O (8:1)		O Ph Pr-OEt	
	ö	23 °C	0.1) Ph	<i>~</i>	Ō
25			2	26	27
entry	r	eagent(s)	time (h)	26:27	combined yield (%)
1	NaH	CO ₃	52	1:2	45
2	NaH	$CO_3 + LiBr$	52	1:>20	50
3	KHO	CO_3	50	1:4	n.d.
4	CsH	CO ₃ (40 °C)	29	1:>20	38

 TABLE 3.
 Isomerization of Phosphonate 25

SCHEME 3. Diels-Alder Reactions of Z- and $E-\gamma$ -Oxo- α , β -Alkenyl Esters



more electron-deficient trifluoromethyl derivative **9** (entry 3) and the electron-rich substrate **11** (entry 4) underwent the isomerizations smoothly, providing the *Z*-products **10** and **12** in 50% and 58% yields, respectively. The *o*-bromo derivative **13** (entry 5) was converted to its derivative **14** in 20% yield after 48 h. We also recovered the starting material **13** in 20% yield. Similarly to this example, another *ortho* halo-substituted compound proved to be a poor substrate: the *o*-fluoro derivative **15** (entry 6) underwent isomerization to give **16** in 22% yield.

Interestingly, the isomerization of compound **17** (entry 7) under the above conditions generated a mixture of *Z*-product **18** and its *E*-isomer in a 3.5:1 ratio (not shown). However, the presence of LiBr (0.9 equiv) as an additive allowed for the exclusive formation of **18** in 57% yield, indicating compatibility with furans. The cinnamylalcohol derivative **19** (entry 8) underwent the isomerization more rapidly (7.5 h) than most other substrates to afford the diene compound **20** in 53% yield. The aliphatic alkene derivative **21** (entry 9) provided the expected product **22** in 52% yield, whereby the crude NMR did not indicate any byproduct formation. This result shows that the NaHCO₃-catalyzed isomerization is not limited to aromatic compounds. Unfortunately, substrates **23** and **24** (entries 10 and 11) generated intractable mixtures under the reaction conditions.

The isomerization of phosphonate **25** under the above conditions gave a 1:2 mixture of **26** and **27** in 45% combined yield (Table 3, entry 1). In an attempt to improve the *Z*-selectivity, we screened related bases. Because LiHCO₃ is not commercially available, we mixed NaHCO₃ with LiBr (1:1) to test the effect of cations. Interestingly, these modified conditions increased the *E*-selectivity (entry 2). With other bases such as KHCO₃ (entry 3) and CsHCO₃ (entry 4), we were only able to improve the *E*-selectivity. Thus, this challenging transformation would require further substantial investigation for the *Z*-olefin formation.

To demonstrate the viability of our base-dependent isomerization methods in the context of diversity-oriented synthesis, we subjected compounds **2** and **3** to Diels—Alder reactions with 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (10 equiv) in the presence of AlCl₃ (0.2 equiv)^{17,18} for 1.5 h (Scheme 3). From the Z-compound **2**, the corresponding *cis* Diels—Alder product **28** was generated in 86% yield without epimerization. The *E*-substrate **3** afforded **29** in 94% yield. Since a cyclohexyl group has a higher migratory aptitude than a phenyl group in Baeyer—Villiger oxidation reactions,²⁹ the benzoyl groups in **28** and **29** could serve as precursor for benzoyl-protected hydroxy groups.

In conclusion, we have developed the first method to stereoselectively transform A to B using catalytic NaHCO₃ in DMSO-water. This divergent approach to prepare B and C from A should allow for the preparation of stereochemically diverse small molecule libraries.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Preparation of Z-Olefins. To a solution of alkynoate (0.2500 mmol) in 1:8 H₂O–DMSO (1.25 mL total) at 23 °C was added a 0.01 M solution of hydroquinone in DMSO (25 μ L, 2.5 μ mol). Subsequently, NaHCO₃ (15.8 mg, 50.0 μ mol) was added in one portion, and the resulting solution was stirred at the same temperature for the indicated time. The reaction was then diluted with water (25 mL) and then acidified to pH 3 with a pH 3 phosphate buffer. The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with Et₂O (25 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were then washed with water (25 mL) and brine (25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the corresponding Z-olefin.

Acknowledgment. Financial support was provided by the University of Pittsburgh. We thank Dr. Damodaran Krishnan and Dr. John Williams for assisting with NMR and mass spectroscopic analyses, respectively.

Supporting Information Available: ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, and HRMS analysis data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO0623944

⁽²⁹⁾ Friess, S. L.; Farnham, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 5518-5521.