# ARTICLE

www.rsc.org/obc

# The charge delocalised $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication—preparation, structure elucidation by NMR and reactions with nucleophiles † ‡

Bjart Frode Lutnaes, Liv Bruås, Geir Kildahl-Andersen, Jostein Krane and Synnøve Liaaen-Jensen\*

Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway. E-mail: slje@chem.ntnu.no; Fax: +47 73594256; Tel: +47 73594099

Received 3rd July 2003, Accepted 10th September 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 9th October 2003

The reaction between  $\beta_{\beta}$ -carotene and BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates has been investigated, leading to structural elucidation of the blue product, formed in appropriate organic solvents, as a symmetrical charge delocalised dication ( $\lambda_{max}$  985 nm at room temperature in CHCl<sub>3</sub>) with considerable stability. The reaction, monitored by EPR studies at -25 °C, occurred via free radical intermediates. A  $C_{40}H_{56}BF_3$  intermediate was captured by EIMS. The detailed structure of the dication was established by COSY, HSQC, HMBC and 1D and 2D ROESY NMR techniques (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, -20 °C) leading to complete assignments of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts and <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> coupling constants. The effects of the two delocalised charges on chemical shift (charge distribution) and bond distance  $({}^{3}J_{H,H})$  were considered. The results are consistent with charge delocalisation mainly in the C-5-C-9 and C-5'-C-9' regions and with bond inversion to retro shifted double bonds in the central C-13-C-13' region. A convention for denoting the charge delocalisation and bond types is presented. The experimental results are discussed relative to previous theoretical calculations of the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication structure. (All-*E*) and (15-*Z*)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene provided the same dication. The NIR spectra and stability of dications prepared in the same manner from the related carotenes 20,20'-dinor- $\beta$ , $\beta$ carotene, heptapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene and nonapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene were examined for comparison. Reactions of the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication with selected nucleophiles provided products including isocryptoxanthin, isocarotene and mutatochrome with  $H_2O$  as nucleophile, and isocryptoxanthin methyl ether, 8-methoxy-7,8-dihydro- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene and isocarotene with CH<sub>3</sub>ONa as nucleophile. The formation of these products is rationalised from the structure assigned to the dication.

# Introduction

Early work on reactions of carotenoids with strong acids or with Lewis acids, BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates in particular, has been summarised by Zechmeister.<sup>1</sup> It was recognised early on that carotenoids form strong blue complexes with BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates in appropriate organic solvents and that these complexes could be rapidly cleaved with water or alcohol. Treatment of the blue BF<sub>3</sub> complex of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) with water provided isocryptoxanthin (2,  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -caroten-4-ol) and with base *retro*dehydrocarotene (3, isocarotene; 4',5'-didehydro-4,5'-*retro*- $\beta$ , $\beta$ carotene). The reactions were formulated *via* resonance stabilised dication intermediates with covalently bound BF<sub>3</sub> groups of type 4.<sup>1</sup>

We have carried out a modern reinvestigation of this reaction aiming at characterisation and structure elucidation of the blue BF<sub>3</sub> complex, and detailed analyses of the quenching reactions. The blue complex has been identified as a charge delocalised dication **5** of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (**1**). A priority note has been published.<sup>2</sup> Recently, we have also studied mono- and dications obtained from  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (**1**) containing allylic hydroxy groups in the C-4 and C-4,4' positions.<sup>3</sup> An up-to-date survey on charged carotenoid species, including their established and potential functions in biological systems, is available.<sup>4</sup>

Doping of carotenoids in the solid phase with iodine vapour is reported to give charge transfer complexes with good conductivity properties.<sup>5-8</sup> Iodine complexes of several carotenoids



have been prepared.<sup>9,10</sup> For iodine complexes made in organic solutions, cationic, radical cationic and dicationic structures have been considered.<sup>9,11-14</sup>

The main methods employed for studies of charged carotenoid species include: NIR,<sup>15-20</sup> EPR,<sup>21,22</sup> ENDOR<sup>23</sup> and resonance Raman<sup>15</sup> spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry<sup>16-18</sup> and AM1 calculations.<sup>12,13,24,25</sup>

This study is the first successful application of NMR spectroscopy for the detailed structural assignment of a delocalised carotenoid carbocation.

Published on 09 October 2003. Downloaded by University of Strathclyde on 23/10/2014 11:22:06.

4064

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  No. 3 in the series 'Charged carotenoid species'. No. 1 = ref. 2, No. 2 = ref. 3.

<sup>‡</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: 2D ROESY NMR spectrum of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at -20 °C. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/ob/b3/b307531a/

Table 1NIR absorptions and estimated half lives for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) in various solvents at room temperature and at -20 °C.

	Room temperature		-20 °C		
Solvent	$\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$	t <sub>1/2</sub> /h	$\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$	t <sub>½</sub> /h	
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	960 <i>ª</i>	3.5	925	35 <sup>d</sup>	
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	920 <sup>b</sup>	1 <sup>b</sup>			
Benzene	840	4			
$CCl_4$	710	4			
Acetone	950 <sup>c</sup>	1			
$\lambda_{\min}$ at 1413 Extrapolated.	nm. <sup>b</sup> New	$\lambda_{\rm max}$ 755	nm. <sup>c</sup> Much	unreacted	1.

**Results and discussion** 

# Preparation, characterisation and structure elucidation of $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5)

In the following section, evidence will be presented for the identification and structure elucidation of the blue product obtained from  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) by treatment with BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates<sup>1</sup> as the charge delocalised dication **5**.

The dication **5** was prepared by treatment of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) in organic solvents with BF<sub>3</sub> diethyl etherate (BF<sub>3</sub>-dee), BF<sub>3</sub> dimethyl etherate (BF<sub>3</sub>-dme) or BF<sub>3</sub> tetrahydrofuran etherate (BF<sub>3</sub>-THF) added in different proportions at room temperature or -20 °C. The resulting NIR absorptions and estimated half-lives of **5** are presented in Table 1.

A hypsochromic shift of 35 nm was observed when lowering the temperature from room temperature to -20 °C in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution. The highest specific absorption coefficients were observed for  $\lambda_{max}$  in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, estimated  $E_{1\%,1}$  cm 2920 at 925 nm in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at -20 °C. This is a higher specific absorption coefficient than for  $\beta,\beta$ -carotene (1). The reported value for 1 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature is  $E_{1\%,1}$  cm 2396.<sup>26</sup> Chloroform was considered the most suitable solvent. A typical experiment demonstrating the formation of the dication 5 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution at room temperature using a 2 : 1 ratio between CHCl<sub>3</sub> and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 UV-VIS-NIR spectrum of  $\beta_1\beta_2$ -carotene dication (5), freshly prepared from  $\beta_1\beta_2$ -carotene (1) and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee in a 1 : 2 ratio between BF<sub>3</sub>-dee and CHCl<sub>3</sub>.

The remarkable stability of the dication **5** is demonstrated in Table 1 and Fig. 2.

The course of formation of the dication **5** by BF<sub>3</sub>-dee treatment of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) was monitored by EPR, demonstrating free radical intermediates. The EPR spectrum at room temperature showed a weak signal with a linewidth of 14 G. At -25 °C the linewidth was 15–16 G and it increased further to 18 G when the temperature was lowered to 180 K, see Fig. 3.

The observed linewidth is in agreement with published values for the delocalised  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) radical cation.<sup>14</sup> Moreover, EIMS analyses of a freshly prepared reaction mixture of



Fig. 2 Stability of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at -20 °C monitored by absorption at  $\lambda_{max} \sim 920$  nm.



Fig. 3 EPR spectrum of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> recorded after addition of BF<sub>3</sub>-etherate at -25 °C.

 $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee in CHCl<sub>3</sub> caught an ion consistent with the BF<sub>3</sub>-adduct **6**, with fragment ions compatible with two consecutive losses of acetylene (26 mass units), rationalised in Scheme 1.

From the evidence obtained, it is concluded that the formation of the dication **5** proceeds by radical mechanism by two



Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 4064-4072 4065



one-electron transfers from  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) to BF<sub>3</sub>-dee, as rationalised in Scheme 2. The structure of the negative counterion of the dication **5** as BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> is tentatively formulated by analogy<sup>27</sup> and is not established.

The presence of radical species in this reaction was also supported by the observation that the NMR resonances were initially broadened when mixing  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) and the BF<sub>3</sub>-etherate before the spectrum of the dication **5** could be obtained.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR studies of the dication **5** were performed in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at -25 °C as an optimum temperature, and with suppression of the methyl/ethyl signals of the etherate reagent. However, due to dominant signals from the etherate reagent, no <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C connectivities could be observed. Therefore, the dication **5** was subsequently prepared using BF<sub>3</sub> complexed with (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O as Lewis acid.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum clearly demonstrated a symmetrical dication. The 2D  $^{1}H^{-1}H$  COSY NMR spectrum of **5** is shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4  ${}^{1}H_{-1}H$  COSY NMR (600 MHz) spectrum of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) at -20 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were established using homonuclear <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY and heteronuclear <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C HSQC and HMBC techniques, see assignments for the dication **5** in Scheme 3. The through-space interactions in the dication **5** shown in Scheme 3, demonstrate the reorientation of the rings relative to the polyene chain in **5** versus  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1).

The differences in <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts and particularly in <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) relative to

β,β-carotene (1) were used for identifying the charge distribution in the dication 5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts for β,β-carotene (1) are given on the half-structures in Scheme 3, as well as the differences in <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts and in <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts. The bond order is not considered at this stage of the structure elucidation. The total <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift difference of the dication 5 relative to 1 was 504 ppm. This is consistent with the formation of a dication.<sup>28</sup> Moreover, the total <sup>1</sup>H chemical shift difference of 35.82 ppm, counting methylene protons twice and methyl protons three times, was compatible with the expected value per positive charge <sup>29</sup> for the formation of a polyene dication. The reported <sup>2</sup> value of 24.24 ppm is hereby corrected.

As shown in Scheme 3, the downfield shift for the dication **5** is most pronounced for the carbon atoms C-5, C-7 and C-9. The downfield <sup>13</sup>C shifts increased towards the end of the polyene chain with a linear trend for the odd-numbered carbon atoms, as shown in Fig. 5. Deviations for C-7/7' and C-9/9' may be due to secondary (C-7/7') *versus* tertiary (C-9/9') carbon, where the tertiary carbon is expected to stabilise the positive charge better. The even-numbered carbons are carrying less positive charge, as seen from the smaller downfield shift differences, Fig. 5. The shift differences of these carbons show a general increase towards the centre of the molecule.



**Fig. 5** <sup>13</sup>C NMR downfield shift difference for carbons in the polyene chain for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) relative to  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1).

As shown in Scheme 3, the size of the downfield <sup>1</sup>H NMR shift of the dication 5 relative to 1 is largest at H-7/7', even when larger steric hindrance between H-7/7' and Me-18/18' in 5 than between H-7/7' and the geminal dimethyl groups in 1 is taken into consideration. Indeed, a similar effect is noted for C-7/7' in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR. These are the carbons showing the largest downfield chemical shifts of all proton carrying carbon atoms. Steric effects may also explain the small upfield shifts of C-8/8', see Scheme 3, because C-8/8' will experience less steric hindrance in the dication 5 than in neutral  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1).



Scheme 3

In conclusion, the chemical shift data support a symmetrical delocalised dication with the charge preferentially in the C-5–C-9 and C-5'–C-9' regions, as illustrated at the bottom of Scheme 4. Larger filled circles indicate higher charge density.



The size of the coupling constants established for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) was used to define the bond character. Previous generalisations for neutral carotenoids have defined the region for the coupling constants of *trans* double bonds as 13.5–16.8 Hz with a tendency to decrease towards the central part of the polyene chain. The coupling constants across single bonds (s-*trans*) are lower, usually 10.5–12.0 Hz, with the larger values near the central part of the conjugated chain.<sup>30</sup> However, these generalisations do not include *retro*-carotenoids,<sup>30,31</sup> where the positions of the double bonds are shifted, as in isocarotene (3). For *cis* double bonds the range 11.5–12.8 Hz has been concluded.<sup>30</sup>

All  ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$  coupling constants of the polyene chain were determined from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum for the dication **5**. However, while the two-spin system (H-7–H-8) and the three-spin system (H-10–H-11–H-12) gave first order spectra, so that the coupling constants could be determined directly from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, the coupling constants of the central four-spin system were detemined by spectrum simulation using WinDaisy software. The experimental and simulated spectra of the H-14/14' multiplet are shown in Fig. 6.

In Scheme 4 the coupling constants of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) and its dication 5 are compared. It follows that the single bond coupling constants ( $J_{14,15} = 11.8 \text{ Hz}^{32}$  for 1 and  $J_{15,15'} = 12.0 \text{ Hz}$ for 5) and the double bond coupling constants ( $J_{15,15'} =$ 14.4 Hz<sup>32</sup> for 1 and  $J_{14,15} = 14.6 \text{ Hz}$  for 5) in the central region are of the same size, albeit with bond reversal. This is compatible with localised *retro* double/single bonds in the C-13–C-13' region in 5, Scheme 4. The C-10,11 ( $J_{10,11} = 13.7 \text{ Hz}$ ) and C-11,12 ( $J_{11,12} = 12.4 \text{ Hz}$ ) bonds have similar bond character, compatible with bond reversal in this region (dotted bonds). Expected coupling constants of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) are  $J_{10,11} = 10.8$ Hz and  $J_{11,12} = 15.1 \text{ Hz}.^{32}$ 

Considering the ends of the polyene chain, the coupling constant  $J_{7,8} = 15.1$  Hz, although smaller than in  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1)  $(J_{7,8} = 16.1$  Hz),<sup>32</sup> is compatible with a *trans* 7,8-double bond. It is inferred that the C-5,6 bond must also have a high degree of double bond character, thereby forcing the C-4–C-5–C-6–C-1 structural element of the end groups into a planar arrangement, compatible with <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the end groups. A planar polyene is also a prerequisite for maximum electron delocalisation.

In the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum, long-range couplings can be seen from in-chain methyl groups to protons *trans* to the methyl group (H-19 to H-10 and from H-20 to H-14), but not to protons in an s-*trans* position (H-19 to H-8 and H-20 to H-12) for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1), as shown in Fig. 7. The long-range couplings are indicated by solid bonds. For the dication **5**, these longrange couplings can be seen from H-20 to H-12 and from H-19 to H-8 (strongest) and H-10, supporting the rearrangements of the double bonds.

The total NMR evidence is consequently best accomodated with structure **5** for the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication, Scheme 4, where dotted lines indicate intermediate bond type. Including the symbols used in Scheme 3, indicating the charge distribution, the bottom structure **5** in Scheme 4, is more informative.

Using more conventional structures, the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) may alternatively be represented as a resonance hybrid with main contributing structures carrying the positive charge alternatively at the C-5, C-7, C-9, C-9', C-7' and C-5' positions, Scheme 5, compatible with charge repulsion.

Austin Model 1 (AM1) theoretical calculations have previously been used for obtaining information on the structure of the dication of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene.<sup>12,13,25</sup> In structure 7, the dication was depicted as a pair of charged solitons.<sup>12,13</sup> Information gained on bond lengths, charge distribution and orbital energies resulted in structure 8 for the dication.<sup>25</sup> The doubly charged cation posessed two spinless charged symmetry equivalent



Fig. 6 Experimental (A) and simulated (B) multiplet for H-14/14'.



Fig. 7 Long-range couplings from in-chain methyl groups in the  $^1\mathrm{H}\mathrm{-}^1\mathrm{H}\mathrm{COSY}$  spectrum.



areas, which developed more or less ideal bond equalisations. However, in the central part of the molecule, strong bond alternations were assigned, and a reversal of double and single bonds relative to  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1).<sup>25</sup> It should be pointed out that both  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) and 7 were depicted with rotated end groups,<sup>12,13</sup> but rotation of the C-6,7 and C-6',7' bonds was not treated in these calculations.

It is interesting to note that the dication structure 5, here elucidated by NMR, incorporates elements predicted by theoretical calculations including a pair of charged solitons (7, 8)



4068 Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 4064-4072

and reversal of double and single bonds in the central part of the molecule (7, 8).

The effect of ring rotation on spin density and bond lengths in the polyene chain has been studied by application of the density functional method B3LYP for the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene cation radical.<sup>33</sup> Rotated C-6,7 and C-6',7' single bonds, as calculated for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene cation radical,<sup>33</sup> was established here for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) by NMR data.

Application of multireference Møller–Plesset theory confirmed the general tendency observed for carotenoids, that the first transition of the dications of polyenes are at a higher energy (shorter  $\lambda_{max}$ ) than the intense transition of the radical cation and at a lower energy (longer  $\lambda_{max}$ ) than the first allowed transition of the neutral species.<sup>34</sup> However, concerning  $\lambda_{max}$  of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5), given in Table 1, it should be pointed out that the  $\lambda_{max}$  recorded here are at considerably longer wavelengths than the previously published  $\lambda_{max}$ , 817 nm in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature.<sup>35</sup> Since the cation radical and dication of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) in the latter investigation were produced by the action of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, it appears that the effect of the negatively charged counter ion on the NIR spectrum needs to be considered.

# Comparative study with dications prepared from other model carotenes

Four synthetic model carotenes, (15Z)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (9),<sup>36</sup> 20,20'-dinor- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (10),<sup>37</sup> heptapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (11)<sup>38</sup> and nonapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (12)<sup>38</sup> were available.



(15Z)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (9) provided the same  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) as  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1), consistent with expected isomerisation of cationic intermediates to the most stable all-*trans* dication configuration (5).

It was expected that the lateral C-19, 20, 19', 20' methyl groups with positive inductive effect serve to stabilise the dication **5** containing positive charge at adjacent carbons (C-9,13,9',13'). However, in a comparative study in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at

-15 °C, the stability of the dication of the derivative 10 was the same as for the dication 5.

The dications of the  $C_{35}$  model (11), with 9 conjugated double bonds, and the  $C_{45}$  model (12), with 13 conjugated double bonds, were of interest concerning  $\lambda_{max}$  and stability. As expected, the dications of the nonaene 11 absorbed at shorter wavelengths (793, 880 nm) and that of the tridecaene 12 at longer wavelengths (956, 1050 nm) than that of the undecaene 1 (5), which absorbed at 928 nm in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -15 °C. Thus, the dication of the tridecaene 12, not investigated by NMR, is the carotenoid dication studied so far absorbing at the longest wavelength. The stability of the unsymmetrical dications of 11 and 12, monitored by NIR spectroscopy in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -15 °C, appeared to be higher than for 5.

#### Reactions of $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) with nucleophiles

In principle, structural information may be obtained from reactions of a carotenoid cation with suitable nucleophiles.  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -Carotene dication (5) has been reacted with i) water as nucleophile in acetone at room temperature and ii) CH<sub>3</sub>ONa in methanol at -20 °C.

The dication 5 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> was treated with water in acetone, and the reaction mixture analysed by TLC and HPLC. The product mixture contained  $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -carotene (1, ca. 5% of total recovered), isocryptoxanthin (2, 51%) and isocarotene (3, 20%). Isocryptoxanthin (2) was identified by HPLC/VIS data, including co-chromatography with an authentic sample prepared by LiAlH<sub>4</sub> reduction of echinenone ( $\beta$ , $\beta$ -caroten-4-one), MS and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data, as well as allylic dehydration to isocarotene (3) with acidified chloroform.<sup>39,40</sup> Isocarotene (3) was identified by HPLC/VIS data and mutatochrome (13) by VIS and characteristic MS fragmentation including [M-80]<sup>+</sup>, homopyrylium (m/z = 205) and pyrylium (m/z = 165) ions.<sup>41</sup> A suitable HPLC system<sup>42</sup> revealed the high degree of *cis*-isomerisation of products 2 (di-cis: mono-cis: all-trans: mono-cis ca. 2:2:6:1) and 3, compatible with cationic intermediates. The formation of products 2, 3 and 13 by nucleophilic attack on the dication 5 by  $H_2O(2, 13)$  or by elimination (3) is rationalised in Scheme 6.

Treatment of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) with 5% CH<sub>3</sub>ONa in methanol at -20 °C provided isocryptoxanthin methyl ether (14, 4-methoxy-β,β-carotene, 16–23%), isocarotene (3, 16–18%) and 8-methoxy-7,8-dihydro-β,β-carotene (15, 2-23%). Isocryptoxanthin methyl ether (14) was identified by HLPC/VIS. EIMS data and an HPLC profile of cis-isomerised 3 will be presented elsewhere. The structure elucidation of the 8-methoxyderivative 15 with monocyclic octaene chromophore rested on HPLC/VIS, EIMS and <sup>1</sup>H NMR including <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY. Location of the methoxy group at C-8 rather than C-9 was compatible with <sup>1</sup>H NMR data revealing an ABX spin system with a methine proton at 3.60 ppm, and with the MS fragmentation providing a strong fragment ion at m/z 431 compatible with cleavage of the C-7,8 single bond. Allylic elimination with HCl in CHCl<sub>3</sub> of 15 provided  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) and confirmed the assigned structure.

As for the reaction of **5** above quenched with water, considerable *cis/trans* isomerisation was also noted for the products in the present reaction. Thus, product isocarotene (**3**) was resolved by HPLC into several stereoisomers in a 39 : (20+2+6+2=20)all-*trans* : *cis* ratio unsuitable for <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis, and isocryptoxanthin methyl ether (**14**) was resolved into three stereoisomers in a 1.0 : 0.63 : 0.30 ratio. The results are consistent with cationic intermediates.

Whereas the formation of products 14 and 3 are readily rationalised on the basis of structure 5 for the dication (Scheme 6), the formation of the monocyclic octaene methoxy derivative 15 requires the addition of a hydrogen, formulated in Scheme 6 as addition of a hydrogen radical to the radical cation from an unidentified donor. A minor product (< 2% of total), encountered upon treatment of the dication 5 with H<sub>2</sub>O in

acetone, corresponded, according to MS fragmentation reactions, to the allylic 8-ol 16, analogous to the 8-methoxy derivative 15 above.

Concerning the product distribution for i) water as a nucleophile at room temperature *versus* ii) methoxide as a nucleophile at -20 °C: in the latter reaction much more  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) was recovered (*ca.* 45%), oxygenated substitution products dominated under the former conditions (*ca.* 70% *versus ca.* 20% of total recovered) and the elimination product isocarotene (3) constituted around 20% of recovered carotenoids in both cases. Since  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) was quantitatively converted to the dication **5** according to VIS/NIR and NMR data, the recovered, strongly isomerised  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) in these reactions must have been formed by electron transfer to **5** from the counter ion.

In conclusion, the products obtained from  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) by reaction with selected nucleophiles were in good agreement with the structure determined for 5 by NMR spectroscopy.

#### Experimental

#### General methods

Chemical manipulations were carried out in darkness, as far as possible, and under nitrogen or argon atmosphere. Visible light (VIS) and near infrared (NIR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5 UV-VIS-NIR spectrophotometer (220–1500 nm) or a Varian Cary 50 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (190–1100 nm). EI mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan MAT 95XL ThermoQuest spectrometer with a direct inlet to the ion source, 70 eV, ion source 250 °C. Diagnostically useful ions only are cited. EPR spectra were obtained at room temperature on a Bruker ESP 300E instrument, rectangular cavity, flat cell sample holder at 248 K and 180 K on a Bruker EMX instrument using an HS resonator/probe and an ER4131VT variable temperature unit with liquid nitrogen for cooling.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of neutral carotenoids were recorded on a Bruker Avance DPX 400 instrument, using a 5 mm QNP probe. NMR spectra of charged carotenoids were obtained on a Bruker Avance DRX 600 instrument, using a 5 mm inverse probe (QXI). CDCl<sub>3</sub> was used as solvent and as internal standard. Chemical shifts are cited relative to TMS with calibration against CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 7.27 ppm and CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 77.0 ppm (7.37 ppm and 78.8 ppm in solutions with BF<sub>3</sub>) for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C respectively.

HPLC was carried out on a Hewlett Packard instrument series 1050 equipped with a diode array detector. Detection wavelengths were set at 335, 420, 450 and 480 nm. VIS spectra of the carotenoid components were recorded on-line during chromatography using two different HPLC systems:

System 1,<sup>42</sup> Waters YMC Carotenoid C30 column, 250 × 4.6 mm. Mobile phase 0 min: methanol : *t*-butyl methyl ether : water (81 : 15 : 4 v/v/v, 1.0 ml min<sup>-1</sup>), 60 min: methanol : *t*-butyl methyl ether : water (31 : 65 : 4 v/v/v, 1.0 ml min<sup>-1</sup>), 70 min: methanol : *t*-butyl methyl ether : water (16 : 80 : 4 v/v/v, 1.0 ml min<sup>-1</sup>). This reversed phase system offers excellent separation of *cis/trans* isomers of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) and isocarotene (3).

System 2, Interchrom Uptisphere 50DB column,  $250 \times 4.6$  mm. Mobile phase 0 min: methanol : acetone (90 : 10 v/v, 1.0 ml min<sup>-1</sup>), 90 min: methanol : acetone (0 : 100 v/v, 1.0 ml min<sup>-1</sup>). This reversed phase system gives less resolution of *cis/trans* isomers of carotenes.

Preparative TLC was carried out on self-made TLC plates (silica : calcium carbonate 2 : 1).

#### Reactions of $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) with BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates

**β,β-carotene (1).** Synthetic **1** from Hoffmann-La Roche was used. HPLC (System 1) 91% all-*trans* ( $R_T$  = 37.8 min), 3% 13-*cis* (32.6), 0.2% 9-*cis* (40.0), other *cis* isomers *ca*. 6%;  $\lambda_{max}$ (heptane)/nm 424sh, 446 ( $E_{1\%,1cm}$  2390), 476;  $\lambda_{max}$ (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)/nm 430sh, 460, 486;  $\delta_H$ (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>; Me<sub>4</sub>Si) see Scheme 3;



 $\delta_{\rm C}(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \text{Me}_4\text{Si})$  see Scheme 3; *m*/*z* (EI) 538 (16%, M + 2), 537 (43, M + 1), 536 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100), 444 (26, M - 92), 430 (2, M - 106).

VIS/NIR experiments at room temperature.  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1, 1 mg) was dissolved in the solvent (1 ml) and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee (2 ml) added. An aliquot was diluted to a concentration suitable for VIS/NIR analysis. The reaction was monitored by VIS/NIR spectroscopy; Fig. 1, Table 1.

VIS/NIR experiments at -20 °C.  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1, 2.3 mg) was dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (1 ml) and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee (1 ml) added at -35 °C. An aliquot (15 µl) was transferred to a cuvette containing CDCl<sub>3</sub> (3 ml) at -20 °C. The VIS/NIR spectrum was recorded at 10 min intervals (-20 °C), Table 1, with the cuvette placed in a cuvette holder cooled by cold methanol (-20 °C) from a cryostat. Mist developing on the cuvette caused some baseline problems.

#### EPR analysis at room temperature

To  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1, a few mg) dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added the same volume of BF<sub>3</sub>-dee. The reaction was monitored by EPR spectroscopy. The observed linewidth was 14 G (1.4 mT). After 1 h the original signal was reduced to 65%.

# EPR analysis at -25 °C and -93 °C

To a 9.3 mM solution of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added the same volume of BF<sub>3</sub>-dee. The EPR line width was 15–16 G at -25 °C and 18 G at -93 °C, Fig. 3.

# EIMS analysis

 $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1, 1 mg) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1 ml) and BF<sub>3</sub>dee (1 ml) added. An aliquot was withdrawn and the solvent blown off with N<sub>2</sub> upon addition of xylene. EIMS was recorded 25 min after the reactants were mixed.

m/z (EI) 604 (M<sup>+</sup>, 27%), 578 (29, M - C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 552 (9, M - C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 450 (6), 394 (11), 339 (19), 313 (7), 262 (35), 243 (12), 223 (11), 165 (15), 119 (17), 109 (21), 95 (36), 83 (41), 55 (59), 28 (100).

### NMR analysis at -20 °C

BF<sub>3</sub>-dme was distilled at 126–128 °C and CDCl<sub>3</sub> dried over a  $MgSO_4$  column prior to the experiment.

The dication was generated under argon atmosphere.  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1, *ca.* 3 mg) or (15*Z*)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (13, *ca.* 3 mg) was dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (0.3 ml) and cooled (dry ice–isopropanol). Chilled BF<sub>3</sub>-etherate (0.3 ml) was added and the mixture transferred to a chilled NMR tube and analysed by 600 MHz NMR experiments at -20 °C. In freshly prepared reaction mixtures, the NMR resonances were broadened, and the best NMR spectra was therefore obtained after some minutes, but before decomposition. In a separate experiment the results from NMR and VIS/NIR were correlated by checking the VIS/NIR spectra ( $\lambda_{max}$  925 nm) prior to, and after, an NMR experiment.

1D <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were obtained with a relaxation delay of 1 s. The gs-COSY<sup>43</sup> spectra were recorded in magnitude mode using a 90° read pulse. Phase-sensitive 2D ROESY spectra were obtained by the States-TPPI method.<sup>44</sup> All

View Article Online

ROESY experients were performed with a mixing time of 450 ms. Presaturation was used to reduce the intensity of the methyl signal from the  $BF_3$ -dme reagent in the <sup>1</sup>H, COSY and ROESY spectra.

gs-HSQC spectra<sup>45</sup> were recorded in the phase-sensitive mode with echo/anti-echo acquisition. Different windows were used for the olefinic and aliphatic regions, optimised for a  ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ coupling of 160 and 140 Hz, respectively. gs-HMBC spectra<sup>46</sup> were recorded in the phase-sensitive mode using States-TPPI. The experiment was optimised for a  ${}^{n}J_{C,H}$  coupling constant of 11 Hz.

#### β,β-Carotene dication (5)

Prepared from 1 or 13 with BF<sub>3</sub>–OMe<sub>2</sub>, BF<sub>3</sub>–OEt<sub>2</sub> or BF<sub>3</sub>–THF as Lewis acids;  $\lambda_{max}$  see Table 1, Fig. 1;  $\delta_{H}$  (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>4</sub>Si, -20 °C) see Scheme 3 and Scheme 4. Long-range couplings from the in-chain methyl groups are shown in Fig. 7;  $\delta_{C}$  (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>4</sub>Si, -20 °C) see Scheme 3.

#### Preparation of deuterated BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates

Deuterated BF<sub>3</sub>-etherates necessary for determination of <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were prepared as follows:

 $BF_3-OMe_2-d_6$ . Deuterated BF<sub>3</sub>-dme was prepared by reacting BF<sub>3</sub> (g) with (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O (g), giving BF<sub>3</sub>-O(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> as a condensate. Purification by distillation gave the product as a colourless liquid, bp 126–128 °C.

 $BF_3$ -*THF*- $d_8$ . THF- $d_8$  and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee were mixed in equimolar quantities. Diethyl ether was distilled from the mixture at 36–38 °C. After pressure reduction, deuterated BF<sub>3</sub>-THF etherate was collected at 80–82 °C as a colourless liquid.

#### Preparation and properties of other dications

Dications of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1), 20,20'-dinor- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (14), heptapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (15) and nonapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (16) were prepared at the 1–2 mg scale at –15 °C in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with BF<sub>3</sub>-dee as described for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) at –20 °C. VIS/NIR spectra were recorded every 5 min. at –15 °C for 2 h. Complete conversion of the substrate occurred immediately to products with  $\lambda_{max}$  of 1: 928 nm, 14: 931 nm, 15: 793 (880) nm and 16: 956 (1050) nm. After 2 h, the absorption at  $\lambda_{max}$  for the corresponding dications had dropped 10% for nonapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ carotene (16), 16% for 20,20'-dinor- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (14), 22% for heptapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (15) and 23% for  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1).

#### Reactions of $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene dication (5) with nucleophiles

Water in acetone as nucleophile. Procedure adapted from ref. 47. β,β-Carotene (1, 3.2 mg) was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 ml) and BF<sub>3</sub>-dee (1 ml) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture, which immediately turned black, was flushed with N<sub>2</sub>, shaken for 2 min and poured into 20% H<sub>2</sub>O in acetone (40 ml). A colour change to yellow-orange occurred. Hexane (6 ml) was added. The organic phase was washed with water and analysed. Pigment recovery 40% ( $E_{1\%,\text{tem}} = 2500$ ),  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\text{hexane})/\text{nm}$  443; HPLC (System 1):  $R_{\rm T}$  19–49 min. Products were isolated by preparative TLC developed with 5% acetone in hexane and eluted with acetone to give:

 $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) (5%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.97$ ;  $R_{\rm T} = 37.8 \min (\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm} 430, 452, 480).$ 

*Isocryptoxanthin* (2, β,β-caroten-4-ol) (51%).  $R_{\rm F}$  = 0.12–0.23;  $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (acetone)/nm 428, 451, 484;  $R_{\rm T}$  = 19.7 (18%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}$ /nm 420sh, 444, 473; % $D_{\rm B}/D_{\rm II}^{-26,48}$  = 40; di-*cis*),  $R_{\rm T}$  = 21.5 (18%  $\lambda_{\rm max}$ /nm 420sh, 447, 477; % $D_{\rm B}/D_{\rm II}$  = 30; mono-*cis* A),  $R_{\rm T}$  = 23.4 (55%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}$ /nm 430sh, 452, 480; % $D_{\rm B}/D_{\rm II}$  = 0; all-*trans*),  $R_{\rm T}$  = 26.1 (9%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}$ /nm 428sh, 452, 480; % $D_{\rm B}/D_{\rm II}$  = 10; mono-*cis* U);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>4</sub>Si) 1.03 (12H, 16/17/16'/17'-H), 1.72 (3H, 18'-H), 1.83 (3H, 18-H), 2.00 (12H, 19/20/19'/10'-H), 4.02 (1H, 4-H), 6.16 (4H, 7,8,7',8'-H). *m*/z (EI) 552 (M<sup>+</sup>, 13%), 550 (16,  $M=2),\,534\,(100,\,M=H_2O),\,442\,(23,\,M=H_2O=92),\,428\,(4,\,M=H_2O=106).$ 

An aliquot of product **2** was tested for allylic hydroxyl by treatment with 0.03 M HCl–CHCl<sub>3</sub><sup>39</sup> providing *cis/trans* isomerised isocarotene (**3**), which by HPLC (System 1) showed:  $R_{\rm T} = 46.4$  (22%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  435sh, 465, 495);  $R_{\rm T} = 49.5$  (12%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  440sh, 465, 495);  $R_{\rm T} = 50.2$  (13%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  445sh, 470, 500);  $R_{\rm T} = 54.8$  (35%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  440sh, 470, 500);  $R_{\rm T} = 59.8$  (17%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  445sh, 470, 505).

For direct comparison of product **2** with an authentic sample synthetic echinenone ( $\beta$ , $\beta$ -caroten-4-one) was reduced with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in dry diethyl ether by standard procedure,<sup>39,40</sup> providing all-*trans* isocryptoxanthin (**2**),  $R_{\rm T} = 23.4$  (System 1).

Isocarotene (3, 4',5'-didehydro-4,5'-retro- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene) (20%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.9$ ; For  $R_{\rm T}$  see under 2 and below.

Mutatochrome (13, 5,8-epoxy-5,8-dihydro-β,β-carotene) (20%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.74-0.81$ ;  $R_{\rm T} = 20.2$  (50%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  405, 430, 455);  $R_{\rm T} = 28.5$  (50%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  410sh, 430, 455), tentatively identified as two C-8 epimers; m/z (EI) 552 (M<sup>+</sup>, 71%), 550 (16, M - 2), 536 (14, M - 16), 472 (73, M - 80), 205 (100, homopyrylium), 165 (54, pyrylium) with characteristic fragmentation pattern.<sup>41</sup>

7,8-Dihydro- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -caroten-8-ol (16) (2%).  $R_F = 0.33$ ;  $R_T = 20.2$  ( $\lambda_{max}$ /nm 405sh, 430, 455); *m*/*z* (EI) 554 (M<sup>+</sup>, 95%), 536 (98, M - H<sub>2</sub>O), 462 (15, M - 92), 444 (M - H<sub>2</sub>O - 92), 417 (22, M - 137), 119 (100).

#### CH<sub>3</sub>ONa in CH<sub>3</sub>OH as nucleophile

To  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1, 5.5 mg) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (2 ml) was added BF<sub>3</sub>-dee (2 ml) at -20 °C. The solution immediately turned black. A 5% solution of CH<sub>3</sub>ONa in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (7 ml) was added after 5 min. The colour of the reaction mixture changed to yellow. The pigments were transferred to CHCl<sub>3</sub> and the organic phase washed with water to give a pigment recovery of 49–62%. The composition of the reaction mixture was analysed by HPLC (System 2) and TLC (2% acetone in hexane). The results from two experiments are given:

 $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene (1) (53–39%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.56$ –0.60,  $R_{\rm T} = 35.8$ –36.6, cis-isomerised.

*Isocarotene* (3) (16–18%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.51-0.56$ ;  $R_{\rm T} = 33.7$  (7%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  441, 460, 485; *cis* B),  $R_{\rm T} = 34.5$  (50%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  442, 465, 495; *cis* A),  $R_{\rm T} = 35.1$  (43%,  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  447, 473, 501; all-*trans*).

Isocryptoxanthin methyl ether (14, 4-methoxy-β,β-carotene) (16–23%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.35-0.41$ ;  $R_{\rm T} = 27.9$  (33%;  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  425sh, 449, 473; *cis* A),  $R_{\rm T} = 28.2$  (52%;  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  429sh, 451, 478; all-*trans*),  $R_{\rm T} = 28.5$  (16%;  $\lambda_{\rm max}/{\rm nm}$  423sh, 447, 473; *cis* U);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>4</sub>Si) 1.03–1.07 (12H, 16/17/16'/17'-H), 1.38 (1H,  $2_{\rm a}$ -H), 1.48 (2H, 2'-H), 1.63 (2H, 3'-H), 1.67 (1H,  $2_{\rm b}$ -H), 1.73 (3H, 18'-H), 1.74 (1H,  $3_{\rm a}$ -H), 1.81 (3H, 18-H), 1.82 (1H,  $3_{\rm b}$ -H), 1.98–2.00 (12H, 19/20/19'/20'-H) 2.03 (2H, 4'-H), 3.40 (3H, 4-OMe), 3.52 (1H, 4-H), 6.12–6.18 (6H, 7/8/10/7'/8'/10'-H), 6.27 (2H, 14/14'-H), 6.38 (2H, 12/12'-H), 6.64 (2H, 15/15'-H, 6.66 (2H, 11/11'-H); *m*/z (EI) 566 (M<sup>+</sup>, 50%), 534 (100, M – CH<sub>3</sub>OH). Test for allylic methoxyl was carried out with 0.03 M HCl in CHCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>39</sup> resulting in a bathochromic shift of 16 nm.

8-Methoxy-7,8-dihydro-β,β-carotene (15) (2–23%).  $R_{\rm F} = 0.15-0.18$ ;  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (acetone)/nm 410sh, 428, 453;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>4</sub>Si) 1.02–1.07 (12H, 16/17/16'/17'-H), 1.45 (2H, 2-H), 1.49 (2H, 2'-H'), 1.61 (2H, 3-H), 1.64 (2H, 3'-H), 1.74 (3H, 18'-H), 1.80 (3H, 18-H), 1.95 (2H, 4-H), 1.96–2.02 (12H, 19/20/19'/20'-H) 2.03 (2H, 4'-H), 2.22 (1H, 7-H), 2.39 (1H, 7-H), 3.15 (3H, 8-OMe), 3.61 (1H, 8-H), 6.06 (1H, 10-H), 6.13–6.19 (3H, 7'/8'/10'-H), 6.25 (2H, 14/14'-H), 6.31 (1H, 12-H), 6.36 (1H, 12'-H), 6.50 (1H, 11-H), 6.63 (2H, 15/15'-H), 6.66 (1H, 11'-H); m/z (EI) 568 (M<sup>+</sup>, 49%), 536 (43, M – CH<sub>3</sub>OH), 431 (100), 137 (27). Test for allylic methoxyl with 0.03 M HCl in CHCl<sub>3</sub><sup>39</sup> resulted in a bathochromic shift of 16 nm. Subsequent HPLC/VIS (System 1) revealed the formation of β,β-carotene (1), > 90% of total pigment recovered.

We thank Dr A. Kamlowski, Bruker, Karlsruhe, and E. Malinen and T.A. Vestad, University of Oslo, for the EPR spectra, Dr A. Giger, Hoffmann-La Roche, Basel, for the samples of (15Z)- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene and 20,20'-dinor- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene, and Dr A. Nürrenbach, BASF, Ludwigshafen, for the samples of heptapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene and nonapreno- $\beta$ , $\beta$ -carotene. A research grant from Hoffmann-La Roche, Basel, to S. L. J. is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- 1 L. Zechmeister, Fortschr. Chem. Org. Naturst., 1958, 15, 31-82.
- 2 B. F. Lutnaes, L. Bruas, J. Krane and S. Liaaen-Jensen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, 43, 5149–5152.
- 3 G. Kildahl-Andersen, B. F. Lutnaes, J. Krane and S. Liaaen-Jensen, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 2675–2678.
- 4 S. Liaaen-Jensen and B. F. Lutnaes, in *Studies in Natural Products Chemistry*, ed. Atta-ur-Rahman, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003, *in press*.
- 5 P. Pal and T. N. Misra, J. Phys. D.: Appl. Phys., 1990, 23, 218-222.
- 6 D. Ghosh, S. Hazra, P. Pal and T. N. Misra, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, 1993, 16, 127–135.
- 7 S. Sen, P. Pal and T. N. Misra, J. Mater. Sci., 1993, 28, 1367-1371.
- 8 S. Beutner, O. Graef, K. Schaper and H.-D. Martin, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1994, 66, 955–962.
- 9 J. H. Lupinski, J. Phys. Chem., 1963, 67, 2725-2728.
- 10 N. T. Ioffe, A. A. Engovatov and V. G. Mairanovskii, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1976, 46, 1638–1644.
- 11 E. Ehrenfreund, T. W. Hagler, D. Moses, F. Wudl and A. J. Heeger, Synth. Met., 1992, 49, 77–82.
- 12 E. Ehrenfreund, D. Moses, A. J. Heeger, J. Cornil and J. L. Bredas, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1992, **196**, 84–90.
- 13 E. Ehrenfreund, D. Moses, K. Lee, A. J. Heeger, J. Cornil and J. L. Bredas, *Synth. Met.*, 1993, **57**, 4707–4713.
- 14 A. S. Jeevarajan, L. D. Kispert, N. I. Avdievich and M. D. E. Forbes, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 669–671.
- 15 J. S. Vrettos, D. H. Stewart, J. C. de Paula and G. W. Brudvig, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1999, 103, 6403–6406.
- 16 Z. He and L. D. Kispert, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1999, 103, 10524– 10531.
- 17 D. Liu, Y. Gao and L. D. Kispert, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2000, 488, 140–150.
- 18 Y. Deng, G. Gao, Z. He and L. D. Kispert, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 5651–5656.
- 19 R. Edge, E. J. Land, D. McGarvey, L. Mulroy and T. G. Truscott, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 4087–4090.
- 20 V. V. Konovalov and L. D. Kispert, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1999, 4, 901–910.
- 21 K. V. Lakshmi, M. J. Reifler, G. W. Brudvig, O. G. Poluektov, A. M. Wagner and M. C. Thurnauer, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 10445–10448.

- 22 J. A. Bautista, V. Chynwat, A. Cua, F. J. Jansen, J. Lugtenburg, D. Gosztola, M. R. Wasielewski and H. A. Frank, *Photosynth. Res.*, 1998, 55, 49–65.
- 23 P. Faller, T. Maly, A. W. Rutherford and F. MacMillan, *Biochemistry*, 2001, **40**, 320–326.
- 24 L. D. Kispert, G. Gao, Y. Deng, V. Konovalov, A. S. Jeevarajan, J. A. Jeevarajan and E. Hand, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1997, **51**, 572–578.
- 25 G. Broszeit, F. Diepenbrock, O. Graf, D. Hecht, J. Heinze, H. D. Martin, B. Mayer, K. Schaper, A. Smie and H. H. Strehblow, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1997, **11**, 2205–2213.
- 26 G. Britton, in *Carotenoids Vol 1B: Spectroscopy*, ed. G. Britton, S. Liaaen-Jensen and H. Pfander, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 13–62.
- 27 F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, New York, 1988.
- 28 P. v. R. Schleyer, D. Lenoir, P. Mison, G. Liang, G. K. S. Prakash and G. A. Olah, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 683–691.
- 29 T. S. Sorensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 5075-5084.
- 30 G. Englert, in *Carotenoids Vol 1B: Spectroscopy*, ed. G. Britton, S. Liaaen-Jensen and H. Pfander, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 147– 260.
- 31 IUPAC Commission on the Nomenclature of Organic Compounds and IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1975, 41, 405–431.
- 32 J. Wernly and J. Lauterwein, Magn. Reson. Chem., 1985, 23, 170– 176.
- 33 F. Himo, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 7933-7937.
- 34 Y. Kawashima, K. Nakayama, H. Nakano and K. Hirao, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, 267, 82–90.
- 35 J. A. Jeevarajan, C. C. Wei, A. S. Jeevarajan and L. D. Kispert, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 5637–5641.
- 36 O. Isler, H. Lindlar, M. Montavon, R. Rüegg, G. Saucy and P. Zeller, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1957, 40, 456–467.
- 37 G. M. Wirtz, C. Bornemann, A. Giger, R. K. Muller, H. Schneider, G. Schlotterbeck, G. Schiefer and W.-D. Woggon, *Helv. Chim. Acta.*, 2001, 84, 2301–2315.
- 38 F. Feichtmayr, E. Heilbroner, A. Nuerrenbach, H. Pommer and J. Schlag, *Tetrahedron*, 1969, 25, 5383–5408.
- 39 C. H. Eugster, in *Carotenoids Vol 1A: Isolation and Analysis*, ed. G. Britton, S. Liaaen-Jensen and H. Pfander, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 71–80.
- 40 S. Liaaen-Jensen, in *Carotenoids*, ed. O. Isler, Birkhaüser, Basel, 1971, pp. 61–188.
- 41 C. R. Enzell and S. Back, in *Carotenoids Vol 1B: Spectroscopy*, ed. G. Britton, S. Liaaen-Jensen and H. Pfander, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, vol 1B, pp. 261–320.
- 42 L. C. Sander, K. E. Sharpless, N. E. Craft and S. A. Wise, Anal. Chem., 1994, 66, 1667–1674.
- 43 R. E. Hurd, J. Magn. Reson., 1990, 87, 422-428.
- 44 A. Bax and D. G. Davis, J. Magn. Reson., 1985, 63, 207-213.
- 45 L. E. Kay, P. Keifer and T. Saarinen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114,
- 10663–10665. 46 D. O. Cicero, G. Barbato and R. Bazzo, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 2001, **148**, 209–213.
- 47 F. J. Petracek and L. Zechmeister, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 3188–3191.
- 48 B. Ke, F. Imsgard, H. Kjoesen and S. Liaaen-Jensen, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1970, 210, 139–152.