This article was downloaded by: [McMaster University] On: 14 November 2014, At: 08:07 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Organic Preparations and Procedures International: The New Journal for Organic Synthesis

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uopp20

SOLID STATE DEPROTECTION OF THIOACETALS AND THIOKETALS USING 1-BENZYL-4-AZA-1-AZONIABICYCLO[2.2.2]OCTANE PERIODATE AND ALUMINUM CHLORIDE

Abdol Reza Hajipour ^{a b} & Arnold E. Ruoho ^b

 $^{\rm a}$ Pharmaceutical Research Laboratory, College of Chemistry , Isfahan University of Technology , Isfahan, 84156, IRAN

^b Department of Pharmacology, University of Wisconsin, Medical School, 1300 University Avenue, Madison, WI, 53706-1532, USA Fax: E-mail:

Published online: 06 Feb 2009.

To cite this article: Abdol Reza Hajipour & Arnold E. Ruoho (2005) SOLID STATE DEPROTECTION OF THIOACETALS AND THIOKETALS USING 1-BENZYL-4-AZA-1-AZONIABICYCLO[2.2.2]OCTANE PERIODATE AND ALUMINUM CHLORIDE, Organic Preparations and Procedures International: The New Journal for Organic Synthesis, 37:3, 298-303, DOI: <u>10.1080/00304940509354965</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00304940509354965

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

(1977). (g) N. V. Kruglova and R. K. Freidline, *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Engl. Trans.*, 346 (1984). (h) G. M. Lee and S. M. Weinreb, *J. Org. Chem.*, 55,1281 (1990).

- 11. V. Migrdichian, Org. Synthesis Vol. 1: Open Chain Saturated Compounds, Chapman and Hall Ltd, London 702(1960).
- 12. M. Kosinski, Lodz. Towarz, Kauk., Wydzial III, Acta Chim., 9, 93 (1964); C. A., 62,11674a (1965).

SOLID STATE DEPROTECTION OF THIOACETALS AND THIOKETALS USING 1-BENZYL-4-AZA-1-AZONIABICYCLO[2.2.2]OCTANE PERIODATE AND ALUMINUM CHLORIDE

Abdol Reza Hajipour,*^{†, ††} and Arnold E. Ruoho^{††}

Submitted by (02/24//05)

 [†] Pharmaceutical Research Laboratory, College of Chemistry Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156, IRAN
 ^{††} Department of Pharmacology, University of Wisconsin Medical School, 1300 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706-1532, USA e-mail: haji@cc.iut.ac.ir, Fax: 0098-311-391-235

Carbonyl compounds are often protected as thioacetals and thioketals in organic synthesis,^{1,2} due to their stability under both acidic and basic conditions. Many procedures are available for the preparation of these derivatives ³⁻⁴ and extensive studies on the deprotection of these derivatives to the parent carbonyl compounds have been carried out.⁵ However, some of these methods for deprotection require higher temperatures, long reaction times and involve toxic metal ions and solvents which are detrimental to the environment.⁵ Therefore, there is need for a simple, less expensive and safer methods for deprotection of thioacetals and thioketals.

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in reactions that proceed in the absence of solvent due to reduced pollution, low costs and simplicity in process and straightforward work-up.⁷ Because of our interest in development of solvent-free reactions,⁸ we now report 1-benzyl-4-aza-1-azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane periodate **1** as an efficient and selective reagent for the deprotection of thioacetal **2** or **3** (1,3-dithioacetals and 1,3-dithianes) to the corresponding carbonyl compounds **4**. 1-Benzyl-4-aza-1-azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane periodate (**1**) is a mild, efficient, stable and inexpensive reagent; it is a white powder, which is quite soluble in polar

OPPI BRIEFS

organic solvent such as dichloromethane, chloroform, acetone and acetonitrile and insoluble in non-polar solvents such as carbon tetrachloride, *n*-hexane and diethyl ether. This reagent is readily prepared by addition of an aqueous solution of $NaIO_4$ to an aqueous solution of 1-benzyl-4-aza-1-azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane chloride in quantitative yield at room temperature and may be stored for months without losing its potency.⁶

Deprotection of thioacetals and thioketals with reagent **1** proceeds under solid-state conditions. To optimize the reaction conditions, we initially decided to explore the role of the reagent **1** in the presence of Lewis acid in a solventless system for the deprotection of 2-(3-nitrophenyl)-1,3-dithiane as a model compound. Addition of Lewis acid under solid-state condition in the absence of reagent **1** did not effect dethioacetalization of the 2-(3-nitrophenyl)-1,3-dithiane after prolonged reaction times. Since deprotection of model 2-(3-nitrophenyl)-1,3-dithiane with this reagent failed in the absence of Lewis acid, the effect of the acids $ZnCl_2$, $FeCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_2$, $BiCl_3$, $AlBr_3$ and $AlCl_3$ was also examined under solid-state conditions. AlCl₃ was shown to be the most effective catalyst for this purpose (*Table 1*). The reaction in the presence of $ZnCl_2$, $FeCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_2$, $BiCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_5$, $FeCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_5$, $FeCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_5$, $FeCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_5$, $FeCl_3$, $FeBr_3$, $SnCl_2$, $SnCl_4$, $CuCl_5$, $BiCl_3$ and $AlBr_3$ (0.5 mmol) proceeds with lower efficiency even with a higher molar ratio of the oxidant (1.5 mmol) in comparison with the amount of oxidant used in the presence of $AlCl_3$ (0.3 mmol). This could be the effect of hardness and greater solubility of $AlCl_3$ in comparison with the other acids used in this study. The optimum molar ratio of thioacetal to $AlCl_3$ to oxidant **1** (1:0.3:1) was found best for complete deprotection of thioacetals **2** or **3** to carbonyl compounds **4**.

Entry	Lewis acid	Time (min)	Yield (%)	
1	ZnCl ₂ ^c	60	30	
2	CuCl ₂	60	40	
3	FeCl ₃ ^c	60	50	
4	BiCl ₃ ^c	60	60	
5	FeBr ₃ ^c	60	40	
6	SnCl ₂ ^c	60	30	
7	SnCl ₄ ^c	60	40	
8	AlBr ₃	20	75	
9	AlCl ₃	20	95	

 Table 1. Deprotection of 2-(3-Nitrophenyl)-1,3-Dithiane By Reagent 1 with Different Lewis

 Acids Under Solvent-Free Conditions a, b

^aMonitored by TLC analysis. ^bOxidant/2-(3-Nitrophenyl)-1,3-dithiane /Lewis acid (1:1:0.3). ^cOxidant/2-(3-Nitrophenyl)-1,3-dithiane /Lewis acid (1.5:1:0.5).

In this method, deprotection of the substrate was achieved by grinding a mixture of a thioacetal (or thioketal) 2 or 3, $AlCl_3$ and reagent 1 under solvent-free condition for 5-35 minutes (*Table 2* and *Scheme 1*). The carbonyl compounds 4 were isolated by extracting the reaction



mixture with a solvent followed by filtration of the mixture. Evaporation of filtrate under vacuum followed by flash chromatography provided pure carbonyl compounds 4 in high yield (Table 2). As evident from the results shown in Table 2, functional groups such as NO2 and MeO required longer times.reaction. This could be the formation of complexes between these functional groups with AlCl_a. It is to be noted that aldehydes did not undergo further oxidation to carboxylic acids under the reaction conditions. To demonstrate the utility of the procedure, reaction on a ten-fold scale was carried out under solvent-free condition for the deprotection of 2-(3-nitrophenyl)-1,3dithiane to afford 3-nitrobenzaldehyde in 95% yield. There are no thermal or safety problems during mixing and reaction of starting materials.

Table 2. Deprotection of 1,3-Dithianes And 1,3-Dithiacetals with Reagent 1 in the Presence of AlCl₃ in Solventless System ^{a,b}

Substrate	R ¹	R ²	n	Time (Min)	Yield (%) ^c	mp or bp (°C)/torr (<i>lit</i> . ⁸⁻⁹)
2a	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Н		15	94	141-143/50 (141-143/50)
2b	$3,4-(MeO)_2C_6H_3$	Н		12	95	81-83 (82)
2c	$4-O_2NC_6H_4$	Н		20	98	104-106 (104-106
2d	C ₆ H ₅	CH ₃		10	91	200-2002/760 (200-2002/760)
2e	C ₆ H ₅	C_6H_5		10	93	46-48 (47-49)
2f	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₉ CH ₂	Н		35	88	239-240/760 (240/760)
2g	t-Bu-SMe			30	90	170-172/760 (169-171/760)
3a	C ₆ H ₅	Me	1	10	92	201-202/760 (200-202/760)
3b	4-BrC ₆ H ₄	Me	1	12	94	49-52 (49-52)
3c	$2-O_2NC_6H_4$	Н	2	20	91	43-45 (42-43)
3d	$3-O_2NC_6H_4$	Н	2	20	95	54-56 (55-58)
3e	$4-O_2NC_6H_4$	Н	2	20	96	81-83 (82)
3f	t-Bu-	1	1	30	88	170-172/760 (169-171/760)
3g	t-Bu→ S J),	1	2	30	85	

-

OPPI BRIEFS

Downloaded by [McMaster University] at 08:07 14 November 2014

Table 2. (Continued
------------	-----------

Substrate	R ¹	R ²	n	Time (Min)	Yield (%) ^c	mp or bp (°C)/torr (<i>lit.</i> ⁸⁻⁹)
3h			1	35	82	57-59 (57-59)
3i			2	30	86	57-59 (57-59)
3ј	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	Н	2	10	98	45-48 (45-47)
3k	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	Me	1	12	95	231-232/760 (232/760)
31	4-Br-C ₆ H ₄	CH ₂ Br	2	15	85	107-110 (108-110)
3m	s s		1	12	93	127/13 (127/13)
3n	s s s		2	15	94	127/13 (127/13)
30	Ph	Н	2	10	90	176-1178760 (176-1178760)
3р	2-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Н	2	15	93	37-39 (37-39)
3q	MeO ₂ CCH ₂ CH ₂	Me	1	25	88	192-193/760 (193-195/760)
3r	MeO ₂ CCH ₂ CH ₂	Me	2	25	90	192-193/760 (193-195/760)
3s	nt & S	\prec	1	35	91	79-81 (79-82)
3t		\prec	2	35	95	79-81 (79-82)
3 u	Aco] _{),}	1	35	86	148-150 (148-150)
3v	Aco] _{}n}	2	35	88	148-150 (148-150)

a) Confirmed by comparison with authentic samples (TLC, IR, ¹H-NMR, melting and boiling points). b) Yield of carbonyl compound after purification.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All of the yields refer to isolated products after purification. All of the products were characterized by comparison of their spectral (IR, ¹H-NMR and TLC) and physical data (melting and boiling points) with those of authentic samples.^{2-5, 8} All 1H-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz in CDCl3 relative to TMS as an internal standard and IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu 435 IR spectrometer. The reagent **1** was prepared according to our previous reported procedures.⁶ All of the reactions were carried out in a hood with strong ventilation. The carbonyl derivatives were prepared from the corresponding carbonyl compounds and 1,2ethanedithioacetal and 1,3-propanedithioacetal according to the reported procedures.^{3, 5}

Typical Procedure for Solvent-Free Deprotection of Thioacetals and Thioketals with Reagent 1.- To a mixture of 2-methyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-dithioketal (3k, 0.231 g, 1 mmol) and aluminum chloride (0.04 g, 0.3 mmol)in a mortar was added 1-benzyl-4-aza-1-azoniabi-cyclo[2.2.2]octane periodate 1 (0.40 g, 1 mmol). The reaction mixture was ground with pestle at room temperature under solvent-free condition for 12 minutes. After the disappearance of starting material (monitored by TLC, *n*-hexane:EtOAc 80:20), the mixture was extracted with cyclohexane (2 x 10 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting crude material was purified by flash chromatography on SiO₂ (eluent: dichloromethane) to afford 4-chloroacetophenone (0.14 g, 90%), bp 231-232°C/760 Torr (*lit.*⁸ bp 232°C/760 Torr). IR (KBr): 3050 (m), 2890 (m), 1680 (s), 1590 (s), 1400 (m), 1250 (s), 830 (m), 760 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 2.6 (s, 3H), 7.4-7.7 (d, 2H, J = 6 Hz), 7.9-8.2 (d, 2H, J = 6 Hz) ppm.

Acknowledgements.- We gratefully acknowledge support received for this project from the Isfahan University of Technology (IUT), IR Iran (A. R. H.) and Grant GM 33138 (A. E. R.) from the National Institutes of Health, USA.

REFERENCES

- T. W. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, P. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, Wiley & Sons, New York, NY (1991).
- 2. N. Komatsu, M. Uda and H. Suzuki, Synlett, 984 (1995).
- 3. G. Guanti, L. Banfi, S. Brusco and R. Riva, Tetrahedron Lett., 34, 8549 (1993).
- 4. A. Lebouc, J. Simonet, J. Gelas and A. Dehbi, Synthesis, 320 (1987).
- 5. A. R Hajipour, S. Khoee and A. E. Ruoho, Org. Prep. Proced Int., 35, 527 (2003).
- (a) A. R. Hajipour and N. Mahboubkhah, J. Chem. Research (S), 122 (1998). (b) A. R. Hajipour and N. Mahboubkhah, Synth. Commun., 28, 3143 (1998). (c) A. R. Hajipour and N. Mahboubkhah, Org. Prep. Proced Int., 31, 112 (1999).

- a) A. R. Hajipour, M. Arbabian and A. E. Ruoho, J. Org. Chem., 67, 8622 (2002). b) A. R. Hajipour and A. E. Ruoho, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 34, 647 (2202). c) A. R. Hajipour and G. Mazloumi, Synth. Commun., 32, 23 (2002). d) A. R. Hajipour and A. E. Ruoho, J. Chem. Research (S), 547 (2002). e) A. R. Hajipour, H. Adibi and A. E. Ruoho, J. Org. Chem., 68, 4553 (2003). f) A. R. Hajipour, H. Bageri and A. E. Ruoho, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 25, 1238 (2004). g) A. R. Hajipour and M. Malakutikhah, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 36, 472 (2004). h) A. R. Hajipour and A. E. Ruoho, Sulfur Lett. 25, 151 (2002). i) A. R. Hajipour, B. F. Mirjalili, A. Zarei, L. Khazdooz and A. E. Ruoho, Tetrahedron Lett. 45, 6607 (2004).
- 8. Dictionary of Organic Compounds, 6th ed.; Chapman and Hall, London, 1982.