

Article

Mechanistic Insight into the Cu-Catalyzed C-S Cross-Coupling of Thioacetate with Aryl Halides. A Joint Experimental-Computational Study

Silvia M. Soria-Castro, Diego M. Andrada, Daniel A. Caminos, Juan E. Argúello, Marc Robert, and Alicia B. Peñeñory

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.7b01991 • Publication Date (Web): 29 Sep 2017 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 29, 2017

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



The Journal of Organic Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Mechanistic Insight into the Cu-Catalyzed *C-S* Cross-Coupling of Thioacetate with Aryl Halides. A Joint Experimental-Computational Study

Silvia M. Soria-Castro,^a Diego M. Andrada,^{b,c}* Daniel A. Caminos,^a Juan E. Argüello,^a Marc Robert^d and Alicia B. Peñéñory^a*

^aINFIQC, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, CONICET, Dpto. de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, X5000HUA Córdoba, Argentina

^bPhilipps-Universität Marburg, Fachbereich Chemie, Hans-Meerwein straße 4, 35032 Marburg, Germany

^cKrupp-Professur für Allgemeine und Anorganische Chemie, Universität des Saarlandes, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany.

^dLaboratoire d'Electrochimie Moléculaire, UMR 7591 CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 15 rue Jean-Antoine de Baïf, F-75205 Paris Cedex 13, France.

† This paper is dedicated to the memory of Adriana Beatriz Pierini 1953-2016

RECEIVED DATE (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if required according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR FOOTNOTE (Phone number: (+54) 351-5353867, e-mail:

penenory@fcq.unc.edu.ar and diego.andrada@uni-saarland.de

Keywords: Copper catalysis, mechanism, sulfur nucleophile, arylation, DFT

Abstract:

The mechanism of the Ullmann-type reaction between potassium thioacetate (KSAc) and iodobenzene (PhI) catalyzed by CuI associated with 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) as a ligand has been explored experimentally and computationally. The study on C-S bond formation has been investigated by UV-visible spectrophotometry, cyclic voltammetry, mass espectrometry and products assessment from radical probes. The results indicate that under experimental conditions the catalytically active species is [Cu(phen)(SAc)] regardless of the copper source. An examination of the aryl halide activation mechanism using radical probes was undertaken. No evidences of the presence of radical species have been found during the reaction process, which is consistent with an oxidative addition cross coupling pathway. The different reaction pathways leading to the experimentally observed reaction products have been studied by DFT calculation. The oxidative addition – reductive elimination mechanism via an unstable Cu^{III} intermediate is energetically more feasible than other possible mechanisms such as Single Electron Transfer, Halogen Atom Transfer and σ -Bond Methatesis.

Table of Contents



Introduction

The C-S bond-formation reactions have attracted a widespread attention as a tool to construct highly relevant molecules with applications in biological, pharmaceutical and material areas.¹ Among conventional approaches, the use of metals has been developing rapidly after overcoming the mismatch with sulfur containing compounds.² In particular, Ullmann cross-coupling copper-mediated reactions have proven to be an efficient and environmentally friendly alternative pathway for building this desired motif.^{1a} For a long time, these processes have been far from reaching reliability, mildness, functional group tolerance and selectivity.^{1f,3} However, the successful achievements of improved catalytic strategies have triggered a 'renaissance' of what is now known as the modified Ullmann reaction.^{3,4} Arguably, the use of auxiliary ligands (L), often bidentate ligands, like phenanthroline,⁵ diamines,⁶ aminoacids^{3d,7} and β -ketoesters⁸ among others,⁹ turned out to be the key to broaden the scope of this chemistry.^{4a} However, despite extensive studies to set synthetic strategies, no comparable effort has been made to elucidate the reaction mechanisms under different reaction conditions.^{2b,3b,10}

For more than half a century, many mechanisms have been proposed in order to explain the C-X (X = N, O and S) bond formation.^{11,12} While the related Ullmann-type C-N and C-O bond formation reactions have been widely explored and reasonably understood, the corresponding C-S formation has had significantly less insight.^{2a,b,3b,13} Due to the various possible intermediates and to the different oxidation and copper coordination states, the operating mechanism remains under discussion. Currently accepted mechanisms can be summarized in four different alternatives namely Oxidative Addition / Reductive Elimination (OA/RE),^{10d,14,15} Single Electron Transfer (SET), Halogen Atom Transfer (HAT),^{10d,16,17} Metathesis of σ bond,^{14b,18} while alternatives have also been proposed.^{11a,19} In the recent past, several examples were reported for the oxidative addition of the aryl halide

(ArI) to a copper complex [(L)Cu(SAr)]. Elusive mechanism proposal includes the formation of an [(L)Cu^{III}(SAr)IAr] intermediate which may then lead to the desired product through a reductive elimination.^{10a-c} In this context, Cheng *et al.* in 2011 reported the first experimental hints by the observation of the reactive intermediate using *in situ* electrospray ionization mass spectrometry under catalytic reaction conditions.²⁰ Based on those results, the catalytic OA/RE where no auxiliary ligand is involved was proposed. Later, Chen et al. described the synthesis and isolation of $[(phen)Cu(\mu-SAr)]_2$ dimer complexes in THF which can react with iodoarenes.^{15d} Based on reactivity studies, they provided evidences against the SET and HAT mechanism. However, Zhang et al. has recently carried out the first theoretical study on the mechanism of the Cu-catalyzed S-arylation reaction of iodobenzene using 1,10-phenanthroline in toluene and by a thorough examination, they claimed that the HAT mechanism from PhI to the active [LCu(SAr)] species is the most likely pathway.²¹ Shortly after, Weng et al. reported preparation and isolation of a series of trifluoromethylthiolate complexes ligated by bipyridine ligands.²² They demonstrated that complexes can react with aryl and heteroaryl halides to these afford trifluoromethylthioesthers. Computational studies performed therein led to the suggestion of an OA/RE pathway.

We have recently developed a simple and economical protocol to synthesize a variety of thioacetates and sulfur heterocycles by means of copper-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction under conventional and microwave conditions.²³ Continuing our research in this area, we were interested in contributing to clarify the reaction mechanism. Given the scarce studies on sulfur nucleophiles, we have chosen the coupling reaction between PhI and potassium thioacetate salt KSAc (1) catalyzed by copper in the presence of 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) as ligand (Scheme 1). Herein we present a combined experimental and computational study in an effort to understand the underlying mechanism of these transformations. We report an

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

electrochemical and spectroscopic characterization of different Cu/L/Nu species involved. Additionally, experiments were designed to obtain evidences on the possible reactive intermediates and we further performed a DFT computational study on the possible pathways.



SCHEME 1. Cu-catalyzed C-S cross coupling reaction of 1 with iodobenzene.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Formation of active Cu^I species from Cu^{II} precursors

It has been observed that the formation of C-O and C-N bonds catalyzed by Cu^{II} species are slower than those catalyzed by Cu^I, which suggests that a prior reaction takes place in which the Cu^{II} precursor slowly releases an active Cu^I catalyst.^{10d} It has also been shown by UV-visible absorption spectrophotometry, that the Cu^{II} species coordinated with phen can be reduced *in situ* by alcohols or amines, in the presence of a base, to generate Cu^I active species.^{12b}

However, in the case of the formation of C-S bonds, it has not yet been proven that the formation of active Cu^I species from Cu^{II} precursors occurs under experimental conditions. We have previously established that CuI and CuCl exhibited similar catalytic activity as well as Cu^{II} chloride dihydrated salt (CuCl₂.2H₂O) during the formation of *S*-aryl thioacetates while using phen as ligand (Scheme 1).^{23a} To monitor the Cu^{II}/Cu^I conversion mediated by a sulfur nucleophile, we first performed cyclic voltammetry experiments. The auxiliary ligand used in the reaction model, phen, can complex both Cu^I and Cu^{II} species. During CV study of the complex [Cu^{II}(phen)₂]²⁺, signals corresponding to Cu^I species were

observed, even before the addition of the ligand and the sulfur nucleophile. Many control CV experiments were performed; in all cases we observed the same behavior.

Consequently, UV-visible absorption spectrophotometry was used to follow the Cu^{II}/Cu^I conversion. Initially, the characterization of these complexes by absorption spectrophotometry UV-visible was carried out in a mixture PhMe:MeCN in a ratio 85:15, (see Supporting Information, S.I. Figure S1). The addition of two phen equivalents into a solution of the Cu^I salt (Cu(MeCN)₄BF₄) gave the $[Cu^I(phen)₂]^+$ complex. This compound exhibits a characteristic absorption band at $\lambda_{max} = 444$ nm (see Figure S1A). When 1 equivalent of phen was used, two absorption bands at $\lambda = 364$ and 444 nm were observed. These bands correspond to two different complexes: $[Cu^{I}(phen)]^{+}$ and $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$. We have additionally performed TD-DFT(PCM(ACN)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] (see Computational Details) calculations to accomplish the assignation of the absorptions bands. The computed vertical excitations show two band absorptions at 251 and 428 nm for $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ (see Tables S1-S2 and Figure S16A), where the later is assigned to the metal-to-ligand chargetransfer (MLCT) in good agreement with former observations.²⁵ On the other hand, a weak absorption at 326 nm was obtained for $[Cu^{I}(phen)]^{+}$ (Tables S3-S4 and Figure S16C). However, when a second equivalent of ligand was added only the band at $\lambda = 444$ nm was observed. In contrast, a solution of the Cu^{II} salt ($Cu(CF_3SO_3)_2$) with two equivalents of the ligand showed a broad absorption band at $\lambda_{max} = 740$ nm (calculated 529 and 651 nm), corresponding to the $[Cu^{II}(phen)_2]^{2+}$ complex, (see Figure S1B and S16B Tables S11-S12). The experimental values of the absorption bands observed for Cu^I and Cu^{II} with phen in PhMe:MeCN (85:15) as solvent are consistent with values previously reported in DMF.^{12b} The sulfur nucleophile mediated Cu^{II}/Cu^I transformation was thus studied. Compound **1** was added to the previously formed solution of [Cu^{II}(phen)₂]²⁺ complex, and the absorption of the system was followed over time (See, S.I. Figure S2). The absorption band of

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

 $[Cu^{II}(phen)_2]^{2+}$ complex vanished and a new signal at $\lambda = 444$ nm was observed. This signal corresponds to the characteristic absorption band of $[Cu^{I}(phen)_2]^+$ complex, indicating Cu^{II} reduction into Cu^{I} .

It is also important to note that addition of **1** to Cu^{II} complex led to the rapid formation of an intermediate species, which has an absorption band at $\lambda_{max} = 366$ nm, and then evolved to [Cu^I(phen)₂]⁺ complex ($\lambda_{max} = 444$ nm), (see Figure S2). The band at $\lambda_{max} = 366$ nm could correspond to [Cu^Iphen]⁺ complex (see Figure S1A) or [Cu^I(phen)Nu], (see below, Figure 3B). Our calculations indicate that the absorption bands of [Cu^I(phen)Nu] are 422, 303 and 245 nm, overlapping with transition corresponding to [Cu^I(phen)₂]⁺ and [Cu^I(phen)]⁺. Subsequently, the Cu^{II}/Cu^{II} transformation was followed upon 2 h and the Cu^{II} complex formed at $\lambda = 444$ nm (Figure 1) was quantified. The ε value for [Cu^{II}(phen)₂]⁺ complex was estimated as 6300 cm⁻¹M⁻¹. After 2h, 41% of the Cu^{II} complex was obtained. This experiment was repeated using a different initial concentration of [Cu^{II}(phen)₂]²⁺ (0.7 mM) complex, and similar results were obtained.



FIGURE 1. UV-vis spectra (1cm UV cell) at room temperature. $[Cu^{II}(phen)_2]^{2+}$ generated from the mixing of (Cu(CF₃SO₃)₂) (0.9 mM) and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) (1.8 mM) in 3 mL of PhMe: MeCN, 85:15), (black curve). Addition of KSAc (1) (5 mM, 5.5 eq.) results in the appearance of $[Cu^{I}(phen)_2]^+$. The upper spectrum (brown curve) was obtained after 120 min.

These experiments show that *in situ* sulfur nucleophile mediated reduction of Cu^{II} species into catalytically active Cu^I species, occurs in a similar way as with alcohol and amine nucleophiles.^{12b} In the present case, formation of the Cu^I complex from the reduction of Cu^{II} by KSAc is however less efficient than with phenoxide or phenyl amine anions.

Study and characterization of the complexes involved at the beginning of the catalytic cycle

1. Electrochemical characterization of the components of the catalyst system

To study the complexes involved at the beginning of the catalytic cycle, we performed the electrochemical characterization of each component. We first used Cu(MeCN)₄BF₄ as copper source, phen as auxiliary ligand, PhI as electrophile and KSAc as sulfur nucleophile (See S. I., Figure S3). All CVs were performed in PhMe:MeCN (85:15) as solvent, in the presence of 0.1M TBATFB as supporting electrolyte. Table 1 summarizes the results.²⁴ The cyclic voltammogram of the Cu¹ salt exhibits a reversible oxidation peak corresponding to the redox couple Cu¹/Cu^{II} ($E^0 = 1.0 \text{ V } vs \text{ SCE}$). The reduction peak at $E_p = -0.47 \text{ V } vs \text{ SCE}$ is due to the formation of Cu⁰, which is then deposited on the electrode surface. An oxidative, re-dissolution peak into Cu^I is observed at $E_p = 0.13 \text{ V } vs \text{ SCE}$. The cyclic voltammogram of the ligand (phen) is irreversible oxidation wave with $E_p = -1.92 \text{ V } vs \text{ SCE}$. In the case of the salt KSAc (1), an irreversible oxidation wave with $E_p = 0.44 \text{ V}$ is obtained. Finally, the cyclic voltammogram of the electrophile shows an irreversible reduction signal at a potential $E_p = -2.30 \text{ V} vs \text{ SCE}$.

Page 9 of 30

species	type	$E_{\rm p}$ ox	$E_{\rm p}$ red
Cu ^I /Cu ^{II}	reversible	+ 1.09	+ 0.91
Cu ^I /Cu ⁰	irreversible		- 0.47
Cu ⁰ /Cu ^I	irreversible	+ 0.13	
phen	irreversible		- 1.92
KSAc	irreversible	+ 0.44	
PhI	irreversible		- 2.30

TABLE 1. Electrochemical characterization of the components in the catalyst system

Cyclic voltammetry at carbon-disk electrode (1 mm diameter) at a scan rate of 0.1 V s⁻¹ performed in PhMe: MeCN (85:15), containing n-Bu₄NBF₄, (0.1M, TBATFB) as supporting electrolyte, at 22 °C. All peak potentials are expressed in volts *vs* SCE.

2. Electrochemical characterization of Cu/phen complexes

It is well known that the phen species binds to Cu^I cation (Equations 1 and 2).^{25,12b} Therefore, we electrochemically characterized these complexes in PhMe: MeCN solvent mixture.

$$[Cu^{I}S_{4}]^{+} + phen \underbrace{K_{1} = 5 \times 10^{5}}_{K_{2}} [phenCu^{I}S_{2}]^{+} + 2 S \quad (1)$$

$$[phenCu^{I}S_{2}]^{+} + phen \underbrace{K_{2} = 8 \times 10^{4}}_{S = MeCN} [Cu^{I}phen_{2}]^{+} + 2 S \quad (2)$$

$$S = MeCN ; 20^{\circ}C ; phen = 1,10-phenanthroline$$

The CV of Cu^I was performed with 1 and 2 equivalents of the ligand (Figure 2). By adding one equivalent of phen (relatively to Cu^I), two new oxidation signals were observed, with $E_p = 0.47$ V and 0.63 vs SCE (Figure 2A). However, the corresponding oxidation wave of the free Cu^I cation (or solvated Cu^I, [Cu^IS₄]⁺) could still be detected. By adding a second equivalent of the ligand (Figure 2B), the Cu^I signal vanishes and a free single oxidation wave appears ($E_p = 0.33 \text{ V} vs \text{ SCE}$), which corresponds to the oxidation of the complex $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}\text{phen}_2]^+$. These features indicate that the complex $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}/\text{phen}$ is more easily oxidized than the free Cu^{I} cation.



FIGURE 2. Cyclic voltammetry of a solution of $Cu(MeCN)_4BF_4$ (1 mM in PhMe:MeCN/TBATFB) at a glassy carbon-disk electrode (1 mm diameter) and a scan rate of 0.1 V s⁻¹ at 20 °C, in the presence of 1,10-phenanthroline (phen): (A) 1 equiv. ; (B) 2 equiv.

3. Reaction of [Cu^Iphen₂]⁺complex in PhMe/MeCN

Once the $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex was characterized, its behavior was studied in the presence of different amounts of **1**, (Figure 3). Upon addition of 2 or 3 equivalents of **1**, two new oxidation waves $E_{p} = 0.17$ and 1.25 V vs SCE appeared in the CV (Figure 3A). The new oxidation signal at $E_{p} = 0.17$ V vs SCE could indicate the formation of a new complex ($[Cu^{I}(phen)Nu]$) with the participation of the nucleophile (Nu = AcS⁻) to the coordination sphere. This observation was confirmed by UV-Vis absorption spectrophotometry (Figure 3B). When the nucleophile was added to $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex, the intensity of the absorption band at $\lambda = 444$ nm decreased and a new band appeared at $\lambda = 370$ nm, approximately. Therefore, the $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex may be not present in reaction conditions where the nucleophile is in large excess with respect to the initial concentrations of Cu^I and phen.



FIGURE 3. Reaction of $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex by the addition of **1**. A) CV for $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex (1 mM, in PhMe:MeCN/TBATFB), and after the addition of **1** (2 and 3 mM) at a glassy carbon-disk electrode (1 mm diameter) and a scan rate of 0.1 V s⁻¹ at 20 °C. B) UV-vis spectrum from $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex (0.2 mM, in PhMe:MeCN), and after the addition of **1** (from 0.2 to 1 nM).

The next step was to evaluate the effect of the addition of the electrophile (PhI) to the new $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$ complex by CV and UV-vis spectrophotometry (See Supporting Information, Figure S4). During these experiments the temperature of the medium was raised up to 40 °C to increase the solubility of the nucleophile in the solvent (PhMe/MeCN). Unfortunately, the addition of PhI did not produce any change in the oxidation signal of the $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$ complex and similar results were observed by UV-vis spectrophotometry (Figure S4 A and B, respectively).

Then the behavior of the complex $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ was studied in the presence of the electrophile (PhI). After the addition of 1 and 2 equivalents of PhI, no modification of the CV was observed and no change was detected by UV-vis spectrophotometry (See Supporting Information, Figure S5). That is, under our experimental conditions, we could not observed reaction between the electrophilic species and the $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex.

Finally, a solution of the Cu^I salt was prepared and **1** was added in order to evaluate the possible formation of $[Cu^{I}(SAc)_{2}]^{-}$ complex. CV revealed a new oxidation signal at $E_{p} = 0.65 \text{ V} vs$ SCE, accompanied by signals corresponding to Cu^I and **1** (Figure 4A). This new signal may indicate the formation of a complex between the metal and the nucleophile. Furthermore, a solution of $[Cu^{I}(MeCN)_{4}]^{+}$ in PhMe:MeCN (85:15) was prepared and the UV-vis spectra shows an absorption band at $\lambda_{max} = 480 \text{ nm}$, which evolved to a new band at 360 nm after addition of **1**, assigned to the formation of the $[Cu^{I}(SAc)_{2}]^{-}$ complex (Figure 4B).



FIGURE 4. Reaction of $[Cu^{I}(MeCN)_{4}]^{+}$ complex by the addition of **1**. A) CV of the Cu(MeCN)_4BF₄ complex (1 mM, in PhMe:MeCN/TBATFB), and after the addition of 1 and 2 equivalents of **1** at a glassy carbon-disk electrode (1 mm diameter) and a scan rate of 0.1 Vs⁻¹ at 20 °C. B) UV-vis spectra of the $[Cu^{I}(MeCN)_{4}]^{+}$ complex (CuI 1 mM. in PhMe: MeCN, 85:15) and after addition of 1, 2, 3 and 4 equivalents of KSAc.

After the addition of 2 equivalents of the phen ligand to a Cu^I:1 mixture in a 1:1 ratio, an oxidation wave with $E_p = 0.17$ V vs SCE was observed, corresponding to the [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] complex, similarly to the signal previously detected and characterized, (Figure 3). It could be due to the existence of an equilibrium between the complexes [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] and [Cu^I(SAc)₂]⁻ in the reaction medium. To check for this equilibrium,

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

the model reaction was carried out adding the copper source, the ligand and the nucleophile salt (1), in different orders (reaction $\mathbf{a} = 1^{\circ} \operatorname{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$, 2° KSAc, 3° phen; reaction $\mathbf{b} = 1^{\circ} \operatorname{KSAc}$, 2° Cu^I, 3° phen, etc.). After 24h of reaction at 100 °C, no significant difference in product 2 yield was noticed. Although the reaction may form different complexes, they are all in equilibrium, with a common intermediate, [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)], which is likely the catalytically active species. In addition, we have carried out *in situ* ESI-HRMS analysis, in order to characterize possible Cu complex intermediates formed in toluene at room temperature (see S. I. Figures S6-S9). The ESI-HRMS in the positive-ion mode showed a peak with m/z = 423.06 together with another peak with m/z = 318.98; the former was assigned to $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ while the second was identified as $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)+H]^{+}$. A third peak with m/z = 242.99 was also observed which was assigned to $[Cu^{I}(phen)]^{+}$. These are the Cu species found under our experimental conditions in the positive-ion mode. Probably due to the slight solubility of Cu species in toluene at room temperature, the ion abundance determined for these species were low, consequently the observed uncertainty was slightly high. Nevertheless, these observations show that [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] together with $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ and $[Cu^{I}(phen)]^{+}$ are formed in the catalytic system at room temperature in good agreement with UV-vis and cyclic voltammetry characterization experiments discussed above.

Determination of the aryl halide activation step

While our results confirm the formation and participation of a pre-complex $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$, the activation step of the aryl halide may occur by different processes, such as HAT, SET, OA/RE, or σ -bond metathesis. To gain insight into the activation step, a series of experiments was performed.

1- Experimental evidences for the activation pathway of the C-Halogen bond

During the electrochemical studies, it was not possible to observe the reaction between [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] complex (generated *in situ*) and PhI, at least under the conditions tested. This may be due to a large barrier for the activation step of the C-X bond, being rate-determining in the mechanism. It should be noted that these reactions occur at 100 °C and after 24 h in toluene as solvent. Although the diverse proposed mechanistic pathways involve different oxidation states of the metal, it is difficult to identify the oxidation state of Cu under the experimental conditions. However, the nature of the intermediates can be interpreted by using thoroughly designed experiments. To do so, remarkable ways to recognize free-radical intermediates have been described elsewhere.^{12c,d, 15d}

With this in mind, 1-(allyloxy)-2-iodobenzene (**3**) was employed as an electrophile and allowed to react with **1** for 24 h under catalytic conditions. If radicals were formed during the reaction, it would result in a rapid 5-*exo*-trig ring closure reaction to form a [3-(2,3,dihydrobenzofuran)]methyl radical with a rate constant of 9.6 x 10^9 s⁻¹ in DMSO.²⁶ This radical would combine with the nucleophile or abstract atom hydrogen from the solvent. Only unrearranged product **4** (69% isolated yield) was formed from direct electrophilic substitution (Scheme 2), suggesting that free-aryl radicals are not intermediates in the reaction.



SCHEME 2. Cu-catalyzed arylation reaction of **1** with 1-(allyloxy)-2-iodobenzene (**3**). Moreover, it was previously reported that the photoinduced reaction of PhI with **1** in DMSO gives the PhSMe after quenching the crude reaction mixture with MeI (Scheme 3,

A). The participation of $S_{RN}1$ -type mechanism was proposed which involves fragmentation of the radical anion intermediate [PhSAc]⁻ to gives benzenethiolate anion.²⁷ In contrast, the Cu-catalyzed reaction provides the PhSAc in excellent yields, (Scheme 3, B).²³ Such different behaviours observed clearly indicate that a different mechanism is operating in both reactions.



SCHEME 3. Cu- and photo-catalyzed arylation reactions of 1 with PhI.

The use of dihalobenzenes allows testing for the intermediacy of aryl radical-anions species and the possible contribution of an $S_{RN}l$ mechanism.²⁸ Thus we employed 1-bromo-4iodobenzene (**5**) as an electrophile. It is known that bromobenzene did not react with **1** by Cu-catalysis under the experimental conditions, which means that the C_{Ar} -Br bond is not directly activated during the arylation reaction.²³ However, if the activation of C_{Ar} -I bond in **5** occurs by an SET or HAT processes, an aryl radical will be generated, which after coupling with the Nu, will form a radical anion. Once formed, this radical anion would react by intermolecular or more likely by intramolecular ET. Thus cleavage of C_{Ar} -Br bond would take place by an intramolecular ET and the disubstituted product would be generated. However, the Cu-catalyzed reaction of **5** with **1** only gave the monosubstituted product (**6**) with retention of bromine in 80% yield (Scheme 4). Given the lack of C_{Ar} -Br activation bond product and the lack of C_{Ac} -S fragmentation in **6**, the presence of radical anion intermediates can be ruled out.



SCHEME 4. Cu-catalyzed arylation reaction of 1 with 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene (5).

Moreover, if the activating step of the C-X bond proceeds by an ET pathway, the same should occur from the initial [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)]complex with the electrophile PhI. Considering the oxidation potential for the complex [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] ($E_p = 0.17 \text{ V} vs \text{ SCE}$) and the reduction potential for PhI ($E_p = -2.30 \text{ V} vs \text{ SCE}$) in PhMe:MeCN, it suggests that an electron transfer would be highly endergonic. In other words, the transfer of an electron from the [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] to PhI is thermodynamically very unfavorable and kinetically endowed with a high barrier (ΔG^{θ}_{ET} and ΔG^{\neq}_{ET} close to 38 and 44 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively; see Supporting Information). Overall, the participation of free radicals during the C_{Ar}-I bond activation could be dismissed.

2. Computational exploration of the different reaction mechanism.

To gain further insight into the operating mechanism we have carried out quantum chemical calculations at the PCM(PhMe)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] and PCM(MeCN)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] level of theory. The thermodynamics between the different possible copper species in solution has been first studied. As it was previously suggested,^{12e,21,29} at least five species which are displayed in Scheme 5 may be formed. The complex [Cu^I(phen)] can be produced by the direct mixing of CuI and phen. The computed dissociation Gibbs energy suggests a strong binding ($\Delta G = +35.8$ and +33.8 kcal/mol in PhMe and MeCN, respectively). The addition of 1 and consequent release of KI is slightly endergonic ($\Delta G = +3.8$ kcal/mol); [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] can further disproportionate to generate the ionic species [Cu^I(phen)₂]⁺ and [Cu^I(SAc)₂]⁻. Similar fenomenon has been experimentally obtained by

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Fiaschi *et al.*^{12e,30} and Chen *et al.*^{15d} Indeed, Zhang *et al.* have demonstrated that the formation of theses complexes strongly depends on the nature of the solvent.^{12f,21,31} We have found this disproportionation is unfavorable by 22.7 kcal/mol in PhMe and by only 1.4 kcal/mol in MeCN, in good agreement with results obtained elsewhere.^{12f,21,31} Interestingly, the dimerization is thermodynamically favorable by $\Delta G = -2.3$ kcal/mol in PhMe and has almost no energy penalty in MeCN ($\Delta G = +0.6$ kcal/mol). This result is in agreement with those reported by Weng *et al.*²²



SCHEME 5. Equilibrium between the possible copper species. The numbers are Gibbs free energy variations (ΔG , kcal/mol) computed at the PCM(PhMe)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] and PCM(MeCN)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] (in parenthesis) level of theory.

According to Scheme 5 the most stable species in PhMe is $[Cu^{I}I(phen)]$, albeit under experimental condition (i.e. a large excess of KSAc) $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$ is likely to be abundant. In MeCN, the five species are predicted to be in equilibrium, $[Cu^{I}I(phen)]$, $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$, $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]_{2}$, $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ and $[Cu^{I}(SAc)_{2}]^{-}$. In addition, it has been demonstrated that the most reactive species are $[Cu^{I}I(phen)]$ and $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$.^{12d,32} In this context, we have calculated the energy barriers for all the most probable pathways for the formation of PhSAc (**2**) by considering both complexes as the reactive species toward PhI. We have taken into account commonly suggested mechanisms, namely, oxidative addition/reductive elimination (OA/RE), σ -bond metathesis (MET), Halogen Atom Transfer (HAT) and Single Electron Transfer (SET).^{4a,10d,14b,16} Figure 5 illustrates the computed Gibbs energy profile in PhMe and MeCN solution.



FIGURE 5. Computed Gibbs energy profile (ΔG at 298.15 K) of the Cu-catalyzed crosscoupling reaction between PhI and KSAc. Oxidative addition / reductive elimination from (OA/RE) [Cu^I(phen)(SAc)] (—) and [Cu^II(phen)] (—); Methatesis (MET) (—) and Iodine Atom Transfer (IAT/HAT) (—). Relative Gibbs energy and bond distances are given in [kcal mol⁻¹] and [Å], respectively. All calculations were performed at the PCM(PhMe)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] and PCM(MeCN)-[M06/def2-TZVPP] (in parenthesis) level of theory.

The single electron transfer from the $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$ complex to PhI forming the radical cation Cu^{II} and the formation of phenyl radical and I⁻ in both PhMe and MeCN was disregarded based on strong experimental evidences from cyclic voltammetry measurement

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

that such pathway is not feasible. The so-called Halogen Atom Transfer mechanism has been proposed to occur in the reaction of *O*- and *S*-arylations of aryl halides.^{12f, 21} Here, **1** could transfer the iodine atom to the Cu^I center leading to the formation of the complex [(phen)Cu^{II}SAcI] (**INT**_{IAT}) and phenyl radical. The phenyl radical can latter attack either the SAc moiety to form the product or the Cu^{II} center to yield a Cu^{III} species. DFT calculations predict a high energy lying intermediate for this pathway. The Gibbs energies relative to the separated reactants are 41.5 kcal/mol in PhMe and 40.1 kcal/mol in MeCN. This intermediate is about 10 kcal/mol less stable than the one reported for the catalytic cross-coupling between PhSH and PhI.²¹ Unfortunately, all the attempts to locate the transition state (**TS**_{**IAT}) were unsuccessful. Instead, we have estimated the barrier energy by the Marcus-Hush equation giving 43.1 and 41.9 kcal/mol for PhMe and MeCN, respectively (Figure 5, grey line).</sub>**

The σ -bond metathesis reaction pathway has been considered next. The transition state TS_{MET} consists in the concerted C-I bond breaking and C-S bond formation. The structure of the transition state is presented in Figure 5 (blue line). The four-membered transition state results in a strong energy demand, i.e., 45.2 and 46.7 kcal/mol in PhMe and MeCN, respectively. In contrast, OA / RE involves the same process but in a stepwise manner. The first step is the cleavage of the Ph-I bond via a three-membered transition state (TS_{OA-1}), where the iodine atom takes an axial position while Ph an equatorial position. TS_{OA-1} is a late developing transition state, where the I-Ph distance is 2.536 Å. Remarkably, the activation barrier is only +26.2 kcal/mol above the reactants in PhMe and +27.3 kcal/mol in MeCN (Figure 5, black line). These values are lower than those computed for the related amide (+28.7 kcal/mol),^{12e} PhOH (+34.2 kcal/mol)^{12f} and PhSH (+39.3 kcal/mol)²¹ arylation reactions. However, it is predicted to be slower than the thrifluoromethylthiolation (+21.0 kcal/mol).²² The resulting intermediates INT_{OA/RE-1} have energies of +23.9 and +24.7

kcal/mol, close to the **TS**_{OA-1}. The subsequent reductive elimination to yield **2** is strongly exergonic by 39.2 and 41.9 kcal/mol. The transition state (**TS**_{RE-1}) is only 1.8 and 0.6 kcal/mol above the intermediate. Considering the thermochemical equilibrium indicated in Scheme 5, an alternative reaction pathway consists of the oxidative addition of **1** directly to the complex [Cu^II(phen)], which results in the formation of the intermediate **INT**_{OA/RE-2}. This intermediate lies just 0.4 kcal/mol below **INT**_{OA/RE-1} (in PhMe) and the barriers for its formation amounts to 29.5 kcal/mol (Figure 5, red line). From **INT**_{OA/RE-2} one Γ can be exchanged by ⁻SAc leading to **INT**_{OA/RE-1} and then continues the reaction pathway to the final adduct. The energies shown in Figure 5 suggest that this process is possible in PhMe, while it is not feasible in MeCN (uphill by 4.9 kcal/mol).

The overall results indicate that the Cu-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of thioacetate and iodobenzene would occur via an OA / RE reaction mechanism. The first transition state is the rate-determining step leading to an unstable Cu^{III} intermediate which collapses into the products. It is worthy to mention that these results explain our unsuccessful attempts to detect the Cu^{III} intermediate. The energy profile in Figure 5 shows a highly unfavorable equilibrium to form the INT_{OA/RE-1} and a fast conversion into product. Thus, neither the thermodynamic nor the kinetic conditions are met for intermediate detection.³³

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the mechanism of the Cu-catalyzed *C-S* cross-coupling reaction between thioacetate and PhI. Four different pathways have been envisioned to explain the formation of phenyl thioacetate: Single Electron Transfer, Halogen Atom Transfer, Oxidative Addition / Reductive Elimination and σ -Bond Metathesis. The following conclusions can be drawn: (i) from UV-visible spectroscopy it has been demonstrated that Cu^I species are reactive species. (ii) cyclic voltammetry studies indicate that the Cu^I species involved in the reaction can be oxidized more easily in the presence of phen as an auxiliary ligand. This

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

feature might favor the catalytic cycle reaction. (iii) by adding KSAc to the $[Cu^{I}(phen)_{2}]^{+}$ complex, a new species was formed with a lower oxidation potential. (iv) evidences against the formation of radical intermediates have been obtained by performing test reaction with radical clock probes and *p*-bromo iodobenzene. (v) DFT calculations indicate that the Oxidative Addition / Reductive Elimination presents the lowest activation barrier. The addition can be done from both $[Cu^{I}I(phen)]$ and $[Cu^{I}(phen)(SAc)]$ complexes.

Experimental section

Materials and Methods

Reactives and General Equipments

All chemical reagents (Cu(CF₃SO₃)₂), Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄, CuI, CuCl, 1,10-phenantroline, potassium thioacetate, iodobenzene, tetrabuthylamonium tetrafluorborate (^{*n*}Bu₄NBF₄, TBATFB), thioacetic acid, lithium *tert*-butoxide (LiO^{*t*}Bu), *n*-pentane, 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene, 2-iodophenol, allyl bromide, were obtained commercially, and used without further purification.

The compound 1-(allyloxy)-2-iodobenzene (**3**) was obtained from 2-iodophenol by reaction with allyl bromide, as described in the literature.³⁴

General Methods

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 400.16 and 100.62 MHz, and all spectra were reported in δ (ppm) relative to Me₄Si (solvent CDCl₃). Gas chromatographic analyses were performed on a chromatograph with a flame-ionization detector, on 30 m capillary column of a 0.32 mm x 0.25 µm film thickness, with a 5% phenylpolysiloxane phase. GC-MS analyses were performed on a spectrometer by electronic impact (70 eV) positive mode employing a 25 m x 0.2 mm x 0.33 µm with a 5% phenylpolysiloxane phase column.

HRMS spectra were recorded on an orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight (oa-TOF) mass spectrometer. Ionization was achieved by ESI (positive detection mode).

Cyclic voltammetry: Cyclic voltammetry of $(Cu(CF_3SO_3)_2)$, $Cu(CH_3CN)_4BF_4$, 1,10phenanthroline, KSAc and PhI (0.1 mM solutions) were conducted in a three electrode glass cell, thermostated at 25 °C, and under a dry nitrogen or argon atmosphere. The working electrode was a 3 mm diameter glassy carbon electrode. The electrode was carefully polished and ultrasonically rinsed with ethanol before each run. The reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) in a solution of MeCN/TBATFB (0.1 M) separated from the main solution by a fine porosity glass frit. The counter electrode was a platinum wire.

The potentiostat was connected to a computer that recorded the current vs potential curves.³⁵ The scan rate used ranges from 0.1 to 100 V/s, all the CV presented in the figures were taken at 0.1 V/s. A feedback correction was applied to minimize the Ohmic drop between the working and reference electrode.

General procedure for CuI-catalyzed C-S arylation reactions:²³

Synthesis of S-2-(allyloxy)-phenyl thioacetate (4) and S-4-bromophenylthioacetate (6)

A 10 mL two-necked Schlenk tube, equipped with magnetic stirrer and nitrogen inlet, was first dried with heat gun and under vacuum. Once cooled, 4 mL of PhMe, degassed under an N₂ stream for 20 minutes, were added. Then, ArX (0.5 mmol), CuI (10 mol%, 0.05 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (20 mol%, 0.1 mmol) and finally KSAc (1.5 equiv., 0.75 mmol) were added under N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated at 100° C for 24 h, cooled at room temperature, poured into ethyl ether (20 mL) and water (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with 2 aliquots of ethyl ether (20 mL). The organic phases were combined and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The crude reaction product was analyzed by GC and GC-

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

MS. The products were isolated by column chromatography or radial chromatography, or were quantified by GC with internal standard method.

In situ high resolution ESI-MS characterization of Cu complex intermediates.

A toluene solution of KSAc (1.5 equiv), IPh (1.0 equiv), CuI (10mol%) and 1,10phenanthroline (phen, 20mol%) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h under N_2 atmosphere, the same experimental conditions used in the general procedure for CuIcatalyzed C-S arylation reactions, and ESI-HRMS analysis of the reaction was performed.

Computational details

Geometry optimizations were performed using the Gaussian 09 optimizer³⁶ together with Turbo Mole V7.0.³⁷ All geometry optimizations were computed using the functional M06³⁸ in combination with the def2-TZVPP basis set.³⁹ The stationary points were located with the Berny algorithm⁴⁰ using redundant internal coordinates. Analytical Hessians were computed to determine the nature of stationary points (one and zero imaginary frequencies for transition states and minima, respectively)⁴¹ and to calculate unscaled zero-point energies (ZPEs) as well as thermal corrections and entropy effects using the standard statistical-mechanics relationships for an ideal gas.⁴² Transition structures (TSs) show only one negative eigen value in their diagonalized force constant matrices, and their associated eigen vectors were confirmed to correspond to the motion along the reaction coordinate under consideration using the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) method.⁴³ Unless otherwise stated, Gibbs energies have been computed at 298.15 K. For these calculations the PhMe and MeCN solvents were described by nonspecific solvent effects within the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) approach in Tomasi's formalism.⁴⁴

Time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) was employed to calculate excitation energies as implemented in ORCA 4.0.1.2.⁴⁵ We used the functional M06,³⁸ B3LYP,⁴⁶

CAM-B3LYP⁴⁷ and PBE0⁴⁸ in combination the def2-TZVPP basis sets. The solvent MeCN was described in this case by the conductor-like polarizable continuum model, CPCM.⁴⁹

Characterization of isolated compounds

All the products were characterized by ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR and GC-MS. The following compounds are known and their signals are in agreement with data previously reported in the literature: 1-(allyloxy)-2-iodobenzene (**3**),³³ S-phenyl thioacetate (**2**),⁵⁰ S-(4-bromophenyl) thioacetate (**6**).⁵¹

S-(2-(Allyloxy)phenyl) thioacetate (4)

The typical above described procedure was followed using 1-(allyloxy)-2-iodobenzene (**3**, 0.5 mmol), CuI (10 mol%, 0.05 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (20 mol%, 0.1 mmol) and KSAc (1.5 equiv., 0.75 mmol). After extraction, the crude residue was purified by column chromatography using pentane/CH₂Cl₂ (75/25) as solvent mixture, to afford pure **4** as an oily liquid (71.9 mg, 69%). ¹**H-NMR (CDCl₃**): δ = 7.41 – 7.35 (m, 2H); 7.01-6.94 (m, 2H); 6.01 (ddt, *J* = 17.2; 10.5; 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-10); 5.41 (ddd, *J* = 17.2; 3.3; 1.6 Hz, 1H); 5.27 (ddd, *J* = 10.5; 2.9; 1.5 Hz, 1H); 4.58 (dt, *J* = 4.9; 1.6 Hz, 2H, H₂-9); 2.40 (s, 3H, H₃-8). ¹³**C-NMR (CDCl₃**): δ = 193.5 (C, CO-7); 158.2 (C, C-6); 136.6; 132.8; 131.5; 121.3; 117.40; 116.9 (C, C-1); 113.1; 69.4 (CH₂, C-9); 30.0 (CH₃, C-8). **GC-MS (m/z)** 208 (M⁺, 14), 167 (11), 166 (100), 165 (8), 151 (25), 137 (44), 133 (15), 125 (52), 119 (8), 97 (33), 96 (13), 70 (10), 69 (10), 43 (56), 41 (25). ESI-HRMS m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₂NaO₂S 231.0450, found 231.0452.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge ECOS-Sud (Grant A10E03), INFIQC-CONICET, and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC). This work was supported partly by MINCyT-ECOS, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Fondo de Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCYT), Argentina. S. M. S-C. gratefully acknowledges the receipt of a fellowship from CONICET.

Supporting Information

Electrochemical, UV-vis spectroscopic and HRMS characterization of reactions components, experimental evaluation of Ea for the ET pathway, Cartesian coordinates and energies. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR for compound **4**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) I. P.; Beletskaya, V. P. Ananikov, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 1596-1636; (b) Shen, C.;
Zhang, P.; Sun, Q.; Bai, S.; Hor, T. S. A.; Liu, X. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 291-314; (c)
McReynolds, M. D.; Dougherty, J. M.; Hanson, P. R. *Chem. Rev.* 2004, *104*, 2239-2258;
(d) Mellah, M.; Voituriez, A.; Schulz, E. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, *107*, 5133-5209; (e) Murphy, A.
R.; Frechet, J. M. J. *Chem. Rev.* 2007, *107*, 1066-1096; (f) Evano, G.; Theunissen, C.;
Pradal, A. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2013, *30*, 1467-1489.

(2) (a) Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T.-a. *Chem. Rev.* 2000, *100*, 3205-3220; (b) Lee, C.-F.; Liu, Y.-Ch.; Badsara, S. S. *Chem Asian J.*, 2014, 9, 706-722; (c) Bichler, P.; Love, J. A. In *C-X Bond Formation*; Vigalok, A., Ed. 2010; Vol. 31, p 39.

(3) (a) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2337-2364; (b)
Ley, S. V.; Thomas, A. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5400-5449; (c) Monnier, F.;
Taillefer, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3096-3099; (d) Ma, D.; Cai, Q. Acc. Chem.
Res. 2008, 41, 1450-1460.

(4) (a) Sperotto, E.; van Klink, G. P. M.; van Koten, G.; de Vries, J. G. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 10338-10351;(b) Monnier, F.; Taillefer, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 6954-

6971;(c) Evano, G.; Blanchard, N.; Toumi, M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3054-3131; (d) Finet,

J. P.; Fedorov, A. Y.; Combes, S.; Boyer, G. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 597-626.

(5) (a) Evindar, G.; Batey, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1802-1808; (b) Belestkaya, I. P.;

Sigeev, A. S.; Peregudov, A. S.; Petrovskii, P. V. Mendeleev Commun. 2006, 250-251; (c)

- Ding, Q.; He, X.; Wu, J. J. Comb. Chem. 2009, 11, 587-591; (d) Wang, F.; Chen, C.; Deng,
 G.; Xi, C. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4148-4151.
- (6) (a) Baskin, J. M.; Wang, Z. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4423-4425; (b) Herrero, M. T.; San Martín, R.; Domínguez, E. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 1500-1503; (c) Prasad, D. J. C.; Sekar, G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1008-1011.
- (7) (a) Ma, D.; Geng, Q.; Zhang, H.; Jiang, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1291-1294;
 (b) Gan, J.; Ma, D. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2788-2790; (c) Zhu, W.; Ma, D. J. Org. Chem.
 2005, 70, 2696-2700.
- (8) (a) Lv, X.; Bao, W. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3863-3867; (b) Xu, H.-J.; Zhao, X.-Y.;
 Deng, J.; Fu, Y.; Feng, Y. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 434-437.
- (9) (a) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, H.; López, R.; Gómez-Bengoa, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, *41*, 1283-1286. (b) Kumar, S.; Engman, L. J. Org. Chem. 2006, *71*, 5400-5403
- (10) (a) Verma, A. K.; Singh, J.; Chaudhary, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 7199-7202;
- (b) Rout, L.; Saha, P.; Jammi, S.; Punniyamurthy, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 640-643; (c)
- Feng, Y.; Wang, H.; Sun, F.; Li, Y.; Fu, X.; Jin, K. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 9737-9741; (d)

Sambiagio, C.; Marsden, S. P.; Blacker, A. J.; McGowan, P. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 3525-3550.

- (11) (a) Weingarten, H. J. Org. Chem. **1964**, 29, 3624-3626; (b) Cohen, T.; Cristea, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, 98, 748-753; (c) Paine, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 1496-1502.
- (12) (a) Mansour, M.; Giacovazzi, R.; Ouali, A.; Taillefer, M.; Jutand, A. Chem. Comm.
- 2008, 6051-6053; (b) Franc, G.; Jutand, A. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7873-7875; (c) Tye, J.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
20	
21	
ง วา	
ა∠ ეე	
აა ექ	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
53	
54	
00 50	
56	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

W.; Weng, Z. Q.; Giri, R.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2185-2189; (d)
Tye, J. W.; Weng, Z.; Johns, A. M.; Incarvito, C. D.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2008, 130, 9971-9983; (e) Zhang, S.-L.; Liu, L.; Fu, Y.; Guo, Q.-X. Organometallics 2007,
26, 4546-4554; (f) Zhang, S.; Zhu, Z.; Ding, Y. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 13832-13840.
(13) (a) Eichman, C. C.; Stambuli, J. P. Molecules 2011, 16, 590-608; (b) Yu, H.; Zhang,
M. S.; Li, Y. Z. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8898-8903; (c) Ma, D.; Xie, S.; Xue, P.; Zhang,
X.; Dong, J.; Jiang, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4222-4225.
(14) (a) Casitas, A.; Ribas, X. In Copper-Mediated Cross-Coupling Reactions; John Wiley

& Sons, Inc.: 2013, p 239; (b) Casitas, A.; Ribas, X. In *Copper-Mediated Cross-Coupling Reactions*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: 2013, p 253; (c) Casitas, A.; Canta, M.; Sola, M.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19386-19386; (d) Huffman, L. M.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9196-9197.

(15) (a) Huffman, L. M.; Casitas, A.; Font, M.; Canta, M.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X.; Stahl, S.
S. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 10643-10650; (b) Casitas, A.; King, A. E.; Parella, T.; Costas, M.; Stahl, S. S.; Ribas, X. *Chem. Sci.* 2010, *1*, 326-330; (c) Giri, R.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 15860-15863; (d) Chen, C. H.; Weng, Z. Q.; Hartwig, J. F. *Organometallics* 2012, *31*, 8031-8037.

(16) (a) Jones, G. O.; Liu, P.; Houk, K. N.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6205-6213; (b) Bowman, W. R.; Heaney, H.; Smith, P. H. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5821-5824.

(17) Fier, P. S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10795-10798.

(18) (a) Bacon, R. G. R.; Hill, H. A. O. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1097-1119; (b) Bacon, R. G.
R.; Karim, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 272-280.

(19) Weingarten, H. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 977-978.

(20) Cheng, S.-W.; Tseng, M.-C.; Lii, K.-H.; Lee, C.-R.; Shyu, S.-G. Chem. Comm. 2011, 47, 5599-5601.

(21) Zhang, S.-L.; Fan, H.-J. Organometallics 2013, 32, 4944-4951.

(22) Weng, Z. Q.; He, W. M.; Chen, C. H.; Lee, R.; Tan, D.; Lai, Z. P.; Kong, D. D.; Yuan,

Y. F.; Huang, K. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1548-1552.

(23) (a) Soria-Castro, S. M.; Peñéñory, A. B. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 467-475. (b)

Soria-Castro, S. M.; Bisogno, F.; Peñéñory, A. B. Org. Chem. Frontiers, 2017, 4, 1533 – 1540.

(24) It was not possible to use pure toluene as a solvent, in order to solubilize the ionic species, such as TBATFB, the Cu salt and 1.

(25) Meyer, M.; Albrecht-Gary, A. M.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C. O.; Sauvage, J. P. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 2279-2287.

(26) A. Annunziata, C. Galli, M. Marinelli, T. Pau, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 1323-1329.

(27) Schmidt, L. C.; Rey, V.; Peñéñory, A. B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 2210-2214.

(28) Rossi, R. A.; Pierini, A. B.; Peñéñory, A. B. Chem Rev. 2003, 103, 71-167.

(29) Yu, H.-Z.; Jiang, Y.-Y.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 18078-18091.

(30) Fiaschi, P.; Floriani, C.; Pasquali, M.; Chiesivilla, A.; Guastini, C. *Inorg. Chem.* 1986, 25, 462-469.

(31) Zhang, S. L.; Bie, W. F.; Huang, L. Organometallics 2014, 33, 5263-5271.

(32) Zhang, S. L.; Ding, Y. Q. Organometallics 2011, 30, 633-641.

(33) Bernasconi, C. F. Investigation of rates and mechanisms of reactions, general considerations and reactions at conventional rates. Wiley-Interscience: **1986**; Vol. 6.

(34) Boisvert, G.; Giasson, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6587-6590.

(35) Pause, L.; Robert, M.; Saveant, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7158-7159.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(36) M. J. Frisch; G. W. Trucks; H. B. Schlegel; G. E. Scuseria; M. A. Robb; J. R. Cheeseman; G. Scalmani; V. Barone; B. Mennucci; G. A. Petersson; H. Nakatsuji; M. Caricato; X. Li; H. P. Hratchian; A. F. Izmaylov; J. Bloino; G. Zheng; J. L. Sonnenberg; M. Hada; M. Ehara; K. Toyota; R. Fukuda; J. Hasegawa; M. Ishida; T. Nakajima; Y. Honda; O. Kitao; H. Nakai; T. Vreven; J. A. Montgomery, J.; J. E. Peralta; F. Ogliaro; M. Bearpark; J. J. Heyd; E. Brothers; K. N. Kudin; V. N. Staroverov; R. Kobayashi; J. Normand; K. Raghavachari; A. Rendell; J. C. Burant; S. S. Iyengar; J. Tomasi; M. Cossi; N. Rega; J. M. Millam; M. Klene; J. E. Knox; J. B. Cross; V. Bakken; C. Adamo; J. Jaramillo; R. Gomperts; R. E. Stratmann; O. Yazyev; A. J. Austin; R. Cammi; C. Pomelli; J. W. Ochterski; R. L. Martin; K. Morokuma; V. G. Zakrzewski; G. A. Voth; P. Salvador; J. J. Dannenberg; S. Dapprich; A. D. Daniels; O. Farkas; J. B. Foresman; J. V. Ortiz; J. Cioslowski; D. J. Fox; Gaussian 09, Revision C.01. Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2009. (37) A development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungs zentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, 1989-2007; TURBOMOLE GmbH, since 2007; available from <u>http://www.turbomole.com</u>: Karlsruhe.

(38) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215-241.

(39) (a) Schäfer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. **1992**, 97, 2571-2577; (b) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **2005**, 7, 3297-3305.

(40) Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Schlegel, H. B.; Frisch, M. J. J. Comput. Chem. 1996, 17, 49-56.

(41) McIver Jr, J. W.; Komornic. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2625-2633.

(42) Atkins, P. W.; De Paula, J. *Physical Chemistry. 8th ed.*; Oxford: Oxford University Press: New York, 2006.

(43) Gonzalez, C.; Schlegel, H. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 5523-5527.

(44) (a) Miertus, S.; Scrocco, E.; Tomasi, J. Chemical Physics 1981, 55, 117-129; (b)

Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Tomasi, J. J. Comput. Chem. 1998, 19, 404-417.

(45) Neese, F. Orca-an ab initio, density functional and semiempirical program package,

4.0.1.2; Max-Planck institute for Bioinorganic Chemistry; Mulheim an der Ruhr, Germany: 2005.

- (46) (a) Becke, A.D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100. (b) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R.G.
- Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- (47) Yanai, T.; Tew, D.P.; Handy, N.C. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2004, 393, 51-57.
- (48) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 110, 6158-6170.
- (49) Barone, V.; Cossi, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 1995-2001.
- (50) Qiu, R. H.; Xu, X. H.; Li, Y. H.; Shao, L. L.; Zhang, G. P.; An, D. L. Synth. Commun.

, *40*, 3309-3314.

(51) Giri, S. K.; Gour, R.; Kartha, K. P. R. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 13653-13667.